AN EXAMINATION OF THIGH MUSCLE ACTIVATIONS IN BRIDGE-PLANK EXERCISES PERFORMED ON DIFFERENT GROUNDS
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: The study aimed to examine hamstring-quadriceps muscle activations of the supine bridge and reverse plank exercises that are performed for both improving the overall body strength and contributing to the treatment in the process following an injury on different grounds. Methods: Ten participants (four males and six females, age=26.70±3.02 years) without regular sports habits were included in the study. Bilateral supine bridge and bilateral reverse plank exercises were practiced randomly on stable and unstable grounds. In the study, muscle activation values of vastus medialis (VM), vastus lateralis (VL), biceps femoris (BF) and semitendinosus (SEM) muscles of the participants were examined. Along with the muscle activation that occurred during the exercise, hamstring (BF+SEM)/quadriceps (VM+VL) ratio was also determined (H:Q).

Results: The lowest co-activation ratio (most balanced H:Q activation) was observed on stable ground bridge exercise (5.83±4.04), and the largest co-activation ratio (hamstring dominant activation) was observed on stable ground plank exercise (8.84±6.60). No significant difference was found between exercises at H:Q co-activation ratio (p>0.05). A statistically significant difference was found in the quadriceps and hamstring group in terms of exercise and difference ground in favor of plank (p<0.05).

Conclusion: Reverse plank exercise has greater agonist muscle activation than the supine bridge. Therefore, it is thought that bridge exercise could be advised in the rehabilitation process that necessitates a high level of balance, especially in co-activation ratio. Further study with various clinical problems may direct the process.
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INTRODUCTION

Various exercises are performed to obtain overall body strength and to be able to spend the post-injury period in the most efficient way (1,2). The exercises in which the only bodyweight is used are called calisthenic workouts (3). Calisthenic exercises are superior to other exercise modalities in terms of protection of joint health and potential to prevent injury (4). According to the American College of Sports Medicine’s exercise preference survey, calisthenic exercises ranked the first in 2015 (5). Plank and bridge exercises are some of the most commonly used calisthenic exercises in terms of both the development of the strength and their therapeutic benefits. Plank and bridge exercises are posture exercises designed to use bodyweight to resist gravity (6). They are known to be beneficial in improving muscular endurance and gaining essential strength (7). It is also known that closed kinetic chain (CKC) exercises are recommended in post-injury process and strengthening (8,9). It is essential to examine the muscle activation patterns that occur in the thigh muscles during reverse plank and supine bridge exercises which could be classified as CKC exercises during the rehabilitation process including anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) injury.

The ground on which the exercise is performed may affect the activation values of the muscles involved in the movement (10,11). Unstable surface exercises are generally considered to be a physiotherapy-focused exercise preference that provides the improvement of proprioceptive neuromuscular control (12). The reason is the increase in the involvement capacity of the synergist or secondary muscles in the activation during exercise to obtain coordination between the muscles. Reducing the workload of the muscles in the single prime mover role could minimize the risk of injury (13). Furthermore, the activation rates of the antagonist muscle or muscle groups are increased in unstable surface exercises (14). The activation rate of the prime mover muscles may be higher during stable surface exercises, inversely proportional to this increase in the antagonist activation on the unstable surface (15). Unstable surface exercises are more beneficial for the body stabilizer muscles rather than the prime mover muscles (16,17).

In addition, the adaptation and the co-activation ratio of the muscles reciprocally functioning during any exercise provide valuable information on whether or not the planned exercise is suitable for the purpose (18). In terms of co-activation, one of the most frequently investigated regions in the literature is the hamstring/quadriceps (H:Q) muscle groups (19-20). One of the rational explanations is that ACL injuries are prevalent in athletes, and there is a significant relationship between ACL injury and H:Q ratio (21,22). The thigh muscles act as the second functional stabilizer of the knee joint (23). It is crucial to examine the H:Q ratio during commonly performed exercises. The expected values of the H:Q ratio may vary depending on the purpose. The co-activation ratio is generally expected to be low (the most balanced) in terms of H:Q in exercises requiring balance and therapy (24). A reduction occurs in the activation of the antagonist muscle after neural adaptation that provides performance enhancement. For this reason, the co-activation ratio has higher values (25). Examining the activation rates of the muscles involved during the exercises for a specific purpose could ensure the choice of the right exercise types.

This study aimed to investigate the hamstring-quadriceps muscle activations in supine bridge and reverse plank exercises performed for both improving the overall body strength on different grounds.

METHODS

This study was carried out from December 3-28, 2018, at Hacettepe University, Faculty of Medicine. At an alpha level of 0.05 and 80% power, we needed 10 participants to detect a significant difference between two different surfaces (stable and unstable) and exercises (bridge and reverse plank). Ten participants (four males and six females) who had not regular sports habits were included in the study. The inclusion criteria were the absence of musculoskeletal problems that would lead to a decrease in the maximum performance of participants. After obtaining the information of demographic and physical characteristics of
participants, bilateral supine bridge and bilateral reverse plank exercises were practiced randomly on stable and unstable grounds (advanced stability pad was used as unstable ground). All participants signed written informed consent. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Hacettepe University (Approval Date: 20.11.2018 and Approval Number: GO 18/992-08), and conformed to the Helsinki Declaration. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

**Exercise Procedures**

Familiarity phase was performed for all participants before the exercises. After the familiarity phase, the participants randomized to the exercises using a computer-generated block randomization list (26). Exercises were continued isometric for 5 s to avoid fatigue (Figure 1). Muscle activation values for 5 s were included in the analysis.

**Electrode Placement and Maximal Voluntary Contraction Test Procedures**

Electrode placements followed SENIAM recommendations (27). Before the electrodes were positioned over each muscle, the skin was prepared by shaving, abrading, and cleaning with isopropyl alcohol wipes to reduce skin impedance values. Following the skin preparations, circular bipolar Ag-AgCl surface electrodes (Noraxon Dual Electrodes, Noraxon USA, Scottsdale, Arizona, USA) (diameter=1 cm and interelectrode distance=2 cm) were placed on the volunteer’s right side. Vastus medialis (VM), vastus lateralis (VL), biceps femoris (BF) and semitendinosus (SEM) muscles of participants were examined. Before starting exercises, the 5 s 3-repetition maximal voluntary contraction (MVC) values of the hamstring and quadriceps muscle groups of the participants, who were lying in the supine and prone position under the guidance of an expert, were determined by using a fixed belt. In addition, along with the muscle activation that occurred during the exercise, hamstring (BF+SEM)/quadriceps (VM+VL) ratio (H:Q) was also determined.

**EMG Signal Processing**

Raw sEMG signals were collected using an 8-channel wireless telemetry system (Noraxon Desktop DTS, Scottsdale, AZ, USA) and were analyzed using MyoMuscle MR 3.10 Clinical Applications software (Noraxon Telemyo, Scottsdale, AZ, USA). All raw sEMG signals were first 20-500 Hz Butterworth bandpass filtered, and then RMS (root-mean-square) filtered with a 100 ms time-window for movement artifact rejection and signal smoothing. Each RMS-filtered mean EMG signal of exercises was divided by the highest MVC value to obtain the percentage of maximal muscle contraction (mean %MVC).

**Statistical Analysis**

Findings were analyzed using GraphPad Prism 7.0 software (GraphPad Software Inc, San Diego, California, USA). Repeated measures ANOVA were used when normally distributed, if not, Friedman test were used to determine ground and exercise differences. If there was a difference between exercises, Tukey (parametric) or Dunn’s multiple comparison (nonparametric) tests were performed according to the significance level. Significance level in the study was determined as p<0.05. Results were presented graphically, including mean and standard deviations.

**RESULTS**

When normalized EMG activations are examined, the lowest co-activation ratio (the most balanced H:Q activation) was observed on stable ground bridge exercise (5.83±4.04). The highest co-activation ratio (hamstring dominant activation)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Participants (n=10)</th>
<th>Min-Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>26.70±3.02</td>
<td>22-52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (Males/Females, n)</td>
<td>4/6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>172.80±0.08</td>
<td>1.63-1.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>68.48±11.77</td>
<td>53-82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass Index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>22.82±2.75</td>
<td>18.78-27.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
was observed on stable ground plank exercise (8.84±6.60) (Figure 2). No significant difference was found between exercises at H:Q co-activation ratio (Chi-square=2.04, p>0.05). However, a statistically significant difference was found for each muscle in terms of exercise and ground difference (Figure 3-a, 3-b, 3-c, and 3-d). Friedman analysis revealed significant differences between exercises for VM, VL, and BF (Chi-square=20.28, 24.24, and 21.84 respectively, p<0.01). Significant interaction was detected between the activation values in terms of exercise for SEM ($F_{(1.37,12.23)} = 0.21$, p<0.01).

When bridge-plank exercises were compared in terms of BF and SEM in the hamstring group, a statistically significant difference was found in favor of plank on stable and unstable grounds (p<0.05). A statistically significant difference was found in VM and VL muscles in the quadriceps in terms of exercise and ground difference in favor of plank (p<0.05). However, there was no significant

---

**Figure 1:** Exercises Performed in the Study. Supine Plank on Stable Surface (A1), on Stability Pad (A2), Supine Bridge on Stable Surface (A3) and on Stability Pad (A4).

**Figure 2:** Mean and Standard Deviation of Co-activation Ratio in Terms of Exercise and Ground Difference (H:Q). No Significant Differences across Exercise Variations (p>0.05).

**Figure 3:** Normalized EMG Activity of (a) Vastus Medialis, (b) Vastus Lateralis, (c) Biceps Femoris, and (d) Semitendinosus across Stable/Unstable Exercises. Significant Difference between Stable/Unstable Exercises (p<0.05).
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difference when both exercises were compared in terms of ground differences (p>0.05).

DISCUSSION

In this study, the highest hamstring and quadriceps activation were observed in reverse plank. The most balanced H:Q co-activation ratio was observed in supine bridge exercise on stable ground (28).

Various exercises are performed for rehabilitation. If the exercises performed in the post-injury period, the exercises with low co-activation ratio (the high balanced) are preferred (24). However, in cases where exercise planning to improve the overall strength and muscular endurance, the activation level of the muscles with the primary lifting role in performed exercises could determine the exercise preference. Plank and bridge exercises increase the overall body strength, and could also be used for therapeutic purposes (6,10). In addition, these exercises are frequently preferred in clinical applications because they contain isometric contractions that are suitable for problems such as painful range of motion or muscle weakness (28).

In this study, the quadriceps and hamstring muscle activation of the reverse plank and supine bridge exercises, were investigated in terms of ground difference. The findings obtained from the EMG analyses stated that results in favor of plank for the size of muscle activation. In addition, the results of this study confirmed the hypothesis that the stability ground would produce significant increases in hamstring muscle activation levels in plank exercise. The reason for the greater muscle activation in the hamstring group during stable surface exercises maybe due to a higher activation the prime mover muscles on the stable surface (15).

Lee et al. found the muscle activation size was in favor of unstable ground and suspension system in plank exercise (6). Imai et al. investigated the muscle activations of bridge exercises on the selected muscles, and obtained results in favor of unstable ground in terms of ground difference (29). However, a study by Saeterbakken and Fimland, observed that the muscle activation rates of the pectoralis major and triceps brachia in the prime mover role were in favor of stable surface when the performances during bench press exercise on balance cushion, Swiss ball, and stable bench were compared in terms of surface difference (15). In another study, McBride et al. found results in favor of stable surface in terms of muscle activation rate during one-maximal-repetition squat exercise on the stable and unstable surfaces (using inflated discs under each foot) (30). The fact that the materials used as the unstable ground are not standard types and the differences in parameters such as the joint angle and activation time determined by the practitioner during movement may influence the results (31,32).

It is known that both exercises activate the hamstring group more. The exercises seem like dominant hamstring exercises. The activation of the quadriceps group involved in the antagonist role is also essential because the balance is expected to remain at the optimal level with maximum co-activation in exercises performed stably, especially during the rehabilitation period (19,24). There was hamstring and quadriceps activation in favor of plank in supine bridge and reverse plank exercises. However, supine bridge exercise performed on stable grounds was found to have the lowest co-activation ratio (the most balanced) in this study. The highest co-activation ratio (dominant hamstring activation) was determined in the stable ground plank exercise. A possible explanation for this result is that there is an inverse ratio between the agonist and antagonist muscle groups in terms of muscle activation rate. The rate of muscle activation in the hamstring group during stable surface plank exercise may cause a decrease in the co-activation rate by directly affecting the antagonist muscles (quadriceps groups). Many authors confirmed this finding, and they stated that the prime mover muscles may be more active in stable surface exercises (16,33) and that exercises performed on the unstable surface may be more appropriate in terms of the body stabilizer muscles (17,34). When the natural limits of co-activation are exceeded, a reduction may occur in the practical accuracy of any movement. However, it is thought that increased co-activation may provide benefit for a person who receives assisted walking support during rehabilitation (35). Therefore, it could be stated that the proportional values between the muscles as well as the size of muscle activation
arising during exercise should be taken into account when planning training or the treatment. In addition, H:Q ratio is examined in terms of different exercise modalities and variables. Harput et al. examined the H:Q ratio in terms of gender differences and found that women had a higher H:Q ratio than men during side lunge exercise on unstable ground (20). In another study, Youdas et al. found that women had a higher H:Q ratio than men during single-leg squat exercise, but there was no difference between the stable and unstable grounds in terms of H:Q for both sexes (36). These results show that H:Q ratio could be affected by various factors.

The limitations of this study could be expressed as the examination of only the thigh muscles in the study although the related exercises are commonly included in core exercise programs. According to the results of the study, a conclusion could be reached in terms of thigh muscles, but the effectiveness of the exercises could not be interpreted in terms of core strength, especially gluteus maximus and abdominal region. Moreover, the sample consisted of 10 participants may lead to limitations in terms of general interpretation. However, it is possible to see many studies in EMG studies, such sample size (37).

In conclusion, compared with the supine bridge, the reverse plank exercise showed a greater muscle activation on stable and unstable grounds, particularly in the hamstring group. It is thought that while plank exercise contributes more to the development of force, bridge exercise should be advised in the rehabilitation process that necessitates a high level of balance especially in H:Q co-activation ratio. Further study is needed to investigate the characteristics of the exercises used in study various clinical conditions.
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