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ABSTRACT
The present study aimed to investigate the effects of sodium silicate (Si) and methyl jasmonate (MeJA) on the pigments and antioxidant activity of tomato, under salinity stress. For this purpose, completely randomized factorial design with three factors including three levels of salinity (0, 4 and 6 dS m−1), Si (0, 4 and 8 mM) and MeJA (0, 5 and 7.5 µM), and three replications was used. The present study displayed that the increase in salinity level reduced chlorophyll index, fluorescence, and vitamin C; however, the catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activities increased. MeJA and Si enhanced the chlorophyll index and vitamin C at different salinity levels, respectively. CAT and APX decreased when the salinized plants were treated with MeJA and Si. MeJA and Si may act to mitigate the adverse effect of salinity stress by reducing the H₂O₂ production. Finally, it can be concluded that MeJA and Si partially offset the adverse impacts of salinity stress.
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1. Introduction

Nowadays, soil and water salinity are the main obstacles and limitation factors for agriculture development all around the world (Behzadifar et al 2013). Approximately 33 M ha of all agricultural lands (55%) in Iran are affected by soil and water salinity (Haghighi & Pessarakli 2013). Salinity is the major environmental stress that adversely affects plant metabolism and growth. Yield reductions caused by salinity occur on an estimated 50% of all irrigated land worldwide (Panhwar et al 2017). Plants employ various methods and physiological mechanisms to adapt to salinity stress so as to mitigate its adverse impacts (Enteshari & Jafari 2013; Sheikh Beig Goharrizi et al 2016). The destructive effects of salinity on tomato physiology and biochemistry have been subjected to extensive research (Quarto & Fernández-Muñoz 1998; Maggio et al 2007). Jasmonate is one of the most important plant growth regulators which can mitigate the adverse effect of environmental stresses. Methyl jasmonate (MeJA) influences the production of proteins and enzymes through gene regulation (Reinbothe et al 1994; Hao et al 2015; Losvik et al 2018). It, also, affects the production of antioxidants which improves plant resistance to environmental stresses (Akbari et al 2018; Boroomand et al 2018). Furthermore, jasmonate plays a crucial role in defense mechanisms against abiotic stresses such as drought, salinity, and low temperature (Yoon et al 2009; Qui et al 2014). Salinity stress can significantly disrupt the physiological and biochemical activity of tomato. Methyl Jasmonate (MeJA) alleviates the harmful effects of salinity in tomato plants by inducing biochemical and physiological resistance mechanisms (Manan et al 2016). Under salinity condition, plants produce free radicals such as...
superoxide anion, radical hydroxyl, and peroxides (Enteshari & Jafari 2013). Silica can reduce the concentration of malondialdehyde under salinity stress, which reflects the role of silica in alleviating lipid peroxidation (Soylemozoglu et al. 2009). Silicon may enhance the antioxidant defense mechanisms through increasing the antioxidant enzyme activities and non-enzymatic antioxidants contents. It may also involve in osmotic adjustment and increase photosynthetic enzymatic activities (Zhu & Gong 2014). The probable mechanisms for the better growth of the plants exposed to silica under salinity stress may be related to preserving nutrient balance, developing the photosynthetic rate, increasing water uptake and maintaining water in leaves (Kardoni et al. 2013). Silica improved the soybean growth through increasing in chlorophyll and gibberelic acid contents under salinity stress (Lee et al. 2010). In different parts of Iran, tomato production is limited by a variety of stresses such as salt, drought, cold and heat. To the best of our knowledge, no study to date has studied the effects of simultaneous use of MeJA and sodium silicate (Si) on plants under salinity stress. The present study aimed to investigate the effects of MeJA and Si on pigments and antioxidant activity of tomato under salinity stress.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Plant material and experimental conditions

The present study was carried out at a commercial greenhouse in Jahrom, Fars province, Iran, during 2017-2018. The greenhouse conditions were as follows: area of 300 m², thermostat heating system, fan and pad cooling system, plastic cover, the relative humidity of 67 ± 3%, and day and night temperatures of 26 ± 3 and 17 ± 2 °C, respectively. The seeds of tomato ‘Dafnis’ (hybrid F1 made in India with 99% purity and 94% germination) were sown on a seed planting tray. The plants were transferred to pots at 5 to 6 leaf stage. The pots were filled by 8 kg of sand with an in-row and inter-row spacing of 35 and 75 cm, respectively. The results of soil and water analyses were presented in Table 1. A completely randomized factorial design with three factors including three levels of salinity (0, 4 and 6 dS m⁻¹), Si (0, 4 and 8 mM) and MeJA (0, 5 and 7.5 μM), and three replications was used. Two weeks after transplanting, water salinity treatments were manually applied, until the end of the plants’ lifespan. The salinity solution was composed of sodium and calcium chloride salts (2:1 ratio). Both Si and MeJA were applied in three times at one, 7 and 15 weeks after transplanting. According to Table 2, the plants were fertigated. Also, chelated iron fertilizer (350 g) and 0.04 kg of a mix of trace elements (containing 1.45% B, 3.2% Cu, 7.5% Fe, 8.15% Mn, 4.6% Mo, and 4.5% Zn) were dissolved in 500 L of water and used for plant fertigation. At the end of the experiment, the following characters were measured, vitamin C, chlorophyll index, chlorophyll fluorescence, lycopene content, catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activities.

Table 1- The results of water and soil analyses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water analyses</th>
<th>CO₂⁺ meq/L</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻ meq/L</th>
<th>Cl⁻ meq/L</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻ meq/L</th>
<th>Total ions meq/L</th>
<th>Ca²⁺ meq/L</th>
<th>Mg²⁺ meq/L</th>
<th>Na⁺ meq/L</th>
<th>K⁺ meq/L</th>
<th>Total cations meq/L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EC μS/cm 280.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>2.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS mg/L 171.38</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>32.21</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>TA mg/L</td>
<td>42.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil analyses</th>
<th>EC μS/cm 798</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>TNV</th>
<th>OC (%)</th>
<th>OM (%)</th>
<th>TN (%)</th>
<th>P (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>K⁺ (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clay (%)</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>3.28</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silt (%)</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sand (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>3.60</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2- Fertigation program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fertigation time (weeks after sowing)</th>
<th>Type and composition of fertilizer (mg/ dm³) and manufacturing country</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calcium nitrate Ca(NO₃)₂</td>
<td>Potassium nitrate KNO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-4</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-8</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn planting 11-12</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total consumed fertilizer</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.2. Vitamin C

The vitamin C content was measured using the titration method (Suntornsuks et al 2002). The sample extract (10 mL), distilled water (20 mL) and 2 mL of 1% starch solution were mixed in a 250-mL Erlenmeyer. Then, the content of each Erlenmeyer was titrated with the iodide solution in potassium iodide (KI) until the blue-black color was observed. Vitamin C content (mg per 100 g sample) was obtained from the following equation:

\[
\text{Vitamin C content} = \text{volume of solution used for each sample} \times 0.88
\]

(1)

2.3. Chlorophyll index and fluorescence

In order to measure the chlorophyll index, four upper developed leaves of each seedling were subjected to a Minolta SPAD-502 chlorophyll meter and the average of three seedlings was recorded for each experimental unit.

The chlorophyll fluorescence was measured by a chlorophyll fluorometer (model OS1-FL, Opti-Sciences, Tyngsboro, MA, USA). The quantum yield of photosystem II (ΦPSII) were determined under light conditions by the following equation (Maxwell & Johnson 2000):

\[
\Phi_{PSII} = \frac{F_m}{F_v}
\]

(2)

Variable fluorescence (Fv) was calculated as \(F_m - F_0\), where, \(F_0\) and \(F_m\) stand for minimum and maximum chlorophyll fluorescence, respectively.

2.4. Lycopene content

The lycopene content was measured by a spectrophotometer (T80, UV/VIS PG Instruments Ltd) by the standard method. The measurement was performed at 503 nm in hexane solvent (Choudhary et al 2009). The amount of full-trans lycopene was calculated using the following equation and the specific extinction coefficient (Choudhari & Ananthanarayan 2007).

\[
\text{Lycopene (mg)} = \frac{A \times \text{dil} \times ml \times 10}{E_{1cm}}
\]

(3)

Where \(A\), \(\text{dil}\), \(ml\), and \(E_{1cm}\) were the solution absorbance in a 1-cm cuvette, dilution factor, final volume, and specific extinction coefficient of the sample, respectively. Lycopene extraction efficiency was calculated in mg per 100 g sample using the following equation:

\[
\text{Yield (mg/100 g)} = \frac{C \times V}{M} \times 100
\]

(4)

Where \(C\), \(V\), and \(M\) were the extracted lycopene content (mg), the extracted oleoresin volume (g), and the weight of the sample skin or dried waste of tomato, respectively.

2.5. Antioxidant activity

For determination of antioxidant activity, samples were ground in liquid nitrogen and homogenized in the reaction solution contained 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8, 0.1 mM Na2EDTA, 1.5% (m/v) PVPP and 0.1% (v/v) Triton X100). Then it was centrifuged at 12000g for 10 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was collected for determination of CAT and APX activities. The CAT activity was measured by calculating \(H_2O_2\) absorption decrease at 240 nm. One enzymatic unit of catalase is the amount of enzyme that can decompose 1 mM \(H_2O_2\) in one minute (Mazorra et al 2002). Ascorbate peroxidase enzyme activity was calculated by the decrease in absorbance of ascorbate within one min at 290 nm. One enzymatic unit of APX is the amount of enzyme that oxidizes 1 mM ascorbate in 1 min (Chen et al 2009). Enzyme activity was recorded in terms of enzymatic unit per total protein (mg) content.

2.6. Statistical analysis

The study was set up as a completely randomized design, with a factorial arrangement and three replications. The normality of the data was evaluated by the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test with the SPSS 25.0 software (SPSS Inc, Chicago,
Analysis of variance was performed on the data using Statistical Analysis Software (Version 9.1 for Windows; SAS Institute, Cary, NC) and the means were compared by the Tukey test (P<0.05).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Analysis of variance

The ANOVA demonstrated that there were significant differences among the three levels of salinity for vitamin C content (P<0.01), chlorophyll index (P<0.05) and chlorophyll fluorescence (P<0.01) characters (Table 3). The simple effect of Si was only significant for chlorophyll fluorescence. Also, the results revealed that the double interactions between MeJA with salinity and MeJA with Si were significant for chlorophyll index (P<0.05 and P<0.01, respectively). In addition, ANOVA showed that the triple interactions among the three factors were significant for lycopene content and CAT and APX activities. According to Wickens and Keppel (2004), when the interaction of factors is significant, then less attention is paid to the main effects and then the main focus will be on the interaction effects.

(*, **; significant at 0.05 and 0.01 levels, respectively)

3.2. Vitamin C

The results demonstrated that the highest vitamin C content was observed in the interaction of 0 dS m\(^{-1}\) salinity with 4 mM Si (Figure 1). Also, the results showed that at lower levels of salinity (0 and 4 dS m\(^{-1}\)), 4 mM Si was more effective to increase vitamin C compared to the other Si levels, while at 6 dS m\(^{-1}\) of salinity the highest vitamin C content was obtained at 6 mM Si. The mean values of vitamin C content in this study were within the range normally in tomato reported by Fontes et al. (2004). Stamatakis et al. (2003) stated that the amount of vitamin C in the plants was increased due to the application of Si to the nutrient solution. Marodin et al. (2016) reported that the amount of vitamin C was increased with increasing Si doses, however, at the highest level of Si, it was decreased. The role of Si in metabolic pathways involved in the biosynthesis of vitamin C is unclear yet.

![Figure 1- Effect of the interaction between salinity and Si factors on vitamin C content of tomato](image-url)
3.3. Chlorophyll index and fluorescence

According to the results of ANOVA two interactions of the factors including salinity with MeJA and Si with MeJA were significant for chlorophyll index. The interaction between salinity and MeJA showed that with increasing the salinity level from 0 to 6 dS m\(^{-1}\), the chlorophyll index was decreased, however, at 4 and 6 dS m\(^{-1}\) salinity levels, the MeJA enhanced the character (Figure 2a). In addition, the lowest and the highest chlorophyll index were obtained from the interactions of 0 and 4 dS m\(^{-1}\) salinity levels with 7.5 µM MeJA, respectively.

The interaction between Si and MeJA revealed that at 0 mM Si, with increasing in MeJA, the chlorophyll index was increased and then decreased, respectively (Figure 2b), whereas the opposite trend was observed for 4 mM Si with the MeJA levels. Low concentrations of jasmonic acid in *Chlorella vulgaris* significantly increased the chlorophyll a and b contents (Czerpak et al 2006). Our results suggested that the effect of MeJA on chlorophyll index was depending on its concentration. Similar results have already been reported (Czerpak et al 2006; Ueda & Saniewski 2006; Fugate et al 2018). It has been documented that MeJA involved in the expression of a set of genes encoding key enzymes that responsible for chlorophyll biosynthesis via the formation of 5-aminolevulinic acid (Wang et al 2019; Wu et al 2019). MeJA hinders the degradation of chlorophyll and the loss of photosynthesis through activating antioxidant enzymes in the chloroplast, thereby enhancing the growth and activity of the plant (Babst et al 2005).

![Figure 2](image-url)  
**Figure 2- Effect of the interaction between salinity with MeJA (a) and Si with MeJA (b) on chlorophyll index of tomato**

The results of ANOVA revealed that the main effects of salinity and Si were significant for chlorophyll fluorescence, while there were no significant effect for the main effect of MeJA and the interactions of the factors. The results also uncovered that the increase in the salinity level resulted in the loss of chlorophyll fluorescence so that the lowest chlorophyll fluorescence was observed at 6 dS m\(^{-1}\) salinity level (0.771; Figure 3a). Similar to salinity levels with increasing in Si levels the chlorophyll fluorescence was also decreased so that the lowest chlorophyll fluorescence was obtained at 8 mM Si (0.775), although it was not significant with 4 mM Si (Figure 3b). Despite that our results showed a reduction in the chlorophyll fluorescence using Si application, Al-aghabary et al (2005) reported that photochemical efficiency of PSII (F\(_{v}/F_{m}\)) was enhanced by Si in tomato under salinity stress. Morales et al (1992) indicated that salinity stress had no significant effect on PSII. However in this study salinity stress significantly decreased the chlorophyll fluorescence. Environmental stresses such as salinity, drought, and heat cause damage to PSII (Rochaix 2011; Mosavi et al 2018).

![Figure 3](image-url)  
**Figure 3- Effect of salinity (a) and Si (b) on chlorophyll fluorescence of tomato**
3.4. Lycopene content

The interaction between the three factors was significant for lycopene content. The results revealed that the highest and lowest lycopene contents were obtained in control (7.98 mg L\(^{-1}\)) and 0 mM Si and 7.5 \(\mu\)M MeJA at 4 dS m\(^{-1}\) salinity (4.51 mg L\(^{-1}\)) treatments, respectively (Table 4). According to the results, the average values of lycopene content at 0 dS m\(^{-1}\) salinity with the other factors was more than the other treatments. The salinity stress restricted lycopene content in tomato fruit (Stamatakis et al 2003). Pascale et al (2001) reported that at higher levels of salinity (more than 4 dS m\(^{-1}\)) the lycopene contents were declined. In the present study a similar result was observed. Based on the results, Si and MeJA had no significant effect on lycopene content. In contrast with our finding Stamatakis et al (2003) and Marodin et al (2016) reported that Si significantly enhanced lycopene content in tomato. Fruit appearance in tomato is directly related to lycopene content, which the red color of the plant is due to the ratio of lycopene to beta-carotene (Catalkaya & Kahveci 2019).

Table 4- Effects of the interaction among salinity, Si and MeJA factors on three measured characters of tomato

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salinity (dS, m(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Na(_2)SiO(_3) (mM)</th>
<th>MeJA (mM)</th>
<th>Lycopene (mg L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Catalase activity (unit / mg protein)</th>
<th>Peroxidase activity (unit / mg protein)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.98 a</td>
<td>67.58 c-f</td>
<td>9.77 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.66 a-c</td>
<td>70.22 c-f</td>
<td>12.91 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.94 b-d</td>
<td>60.83 d-f</td>
<td>10.32 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.93 a-d</td>
<td>150.59 ab</td>
<td>10.78 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.16 b-d</td>
<td>75.63 b-f</td>
<td>7.40 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.08 b-d</td>
<td>68.53 c-f</td>
<td>7.89 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.14 b-d</td>
<td>98.98 a-f</td>
<td>5.66 b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.02 cd</td>
<td>53.3 d-f</td>
<td>9.91 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.28 b-d</td>
<td>109.98 a-e</td>
<td>10.59 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.09 cd</td>
<td>156.85 a</td>
<td>10.33 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.62 b-d</td>
<td>31.2 f</td>
<td>10.78 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.51 d</td>
<td>98.14 a-f</td>
<td>10.41 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.38 b-d</td>
<td>90.52 a-f</td>
<td>10.59 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.76 d</td>
<td>79.53 a-f</td>
<td>14.912 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.32 b-d</td>
<td>143.82 a-c</td>
<td>10.32 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.99 cd</td>
<td>75.63 b-f</td>
<td>8.61 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7.4 a-c</td>
<td>75.21 b-f</td>
<td>15.32 a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.11 cd</td>
<td>117.6 a-d</td>
<td>8.54 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.26 b-d</td>
<td>107.78 a-f</td>
<td>13.16 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.76 d</td>
<td>31.3 f</td>
<td>13.49 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.32 b-d</td>
<td>76.14 b-f</td>
<td>10.87 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>4.99 cd</td>
<td>32.91 ef</td>
<td>10.33 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5.1 cd</td>
<td>31.3 f</td>
<td>8.61 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5.71 b-d</td>
<td>43.15 d-f</td>
<td>13.32 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>6.09 b-d</td>
<td>82.06 a-f</td>
<td>8.54 ab</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5. CAT and APX activities

The results indicated that the interaction among the three factors were significant for both enzymes. Also, results showed that the highest CAT and APX activity (156.85 and 15.32 unit/mg protein, respectively) were achieved at 4 and 6 dS m\(^{-1}\) salinity with 0 mM of Si and 0 \(\mu\)M MeJA, respectively (Table 4). According to the results, the CAT activity was increased by salinity stress, however, the average values of the enzyme at moderate salinity level was more than the other levels. The APX activity was increased by increasing salinity levels from 0 to 6 dS m\(^{-1}\). The results of the present study revealed that salinity stress increased CAT and APX activities. Environmental stresses such as salinity and drought led to an increase in the antioxidant enzyme activity. There are many reports which indicated that the salinity stress enhanced POD and APX activities in different plants such as cucumber (Xie et al 2008), tomato (Srinieng et al 2015), Kentucky bluegrass (Puyang et al 2015), wheatgrass (Sheikh-Mohamadi et al 2017, 2018). CAT and APX are two main hydrogen peroxide-scavenging enzymes in the plants, which transformed the overproduction of H\(_2\)O\(_2\) induced by salinity stress to H\(_2\)O and O\(_2\) (Ashraf et al 2019). Salinity stress led to a reduction in the activity of these enzymes, but their activities were restored in the presence of the Si and MeJA. It seems that Si and MeJA mitigated the adverse effects of salinity stress by reducing the H\(_2\)O\(_2\) production. Si can reduce the malondialdehyde concentration under salinity stress, which can be attributed to its antioxidant role in the plant defense system (Kim et al 2017).
4. Conclusion

The present study displayed that the increase in salinity level reduced chlorophyll index and fluorescence while vitamin C, CAT and APX activities were increased. MeJA and Si enhanced the chlorophyll index and vitamin C at different salinity levels, respectively. However, CAT and APX decreased when the salinized plants were treated with MeJA and Si. MeJA and Si may act to mitigate the adverse effects of salinity stress by reducing the H$_2$O$_2$ production. Finally, it can be concluded that MeJA and Si partially offset the adverse impacts of salinity stress.
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