

A note on the endomorphism ring of finitely presented modules of the projective dimension ≤ 1

Serap Şahinkaya^{*}, Arda Kör[†] and M. Tamer Koşan[‡]

Abstract

In this paper, we study the behavior of endomorphism rings of a cyclic, finitely presented module of projective dimension ≤ 1 . This class of modules extends to arbitrary rings the class of couniformly presented modules over local rings.

2000 AMS Classification: 16D50.

Keywords: Couniformly presented module, Semilocal ring, Monogeny class, Epigeny class.

Received 25 : 11 : 2011 : Accepted 17 : 07 : 2013 Doi : 10.15672/HJMS.2014437518

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, all rings will be associative with identity and modules will be unital right modules. For any ring R , the Jacobson radical of R will be denoted by $J(R)$

Recall that M_R is *couniform* if it has dual Goldie dimension one (if and only if it is non-zero and the sum of any two proper submodules of M_R is a proper submodule of M_R). It is well known that a projective right module P_R is couniform if and only if $\text{End}(P_R)$ is a local ring, if and only if there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ with $P_R \cong eR$ and eRe a local ring, if and only if it is a finitely generated module with a unique maximal submodule.

In [7], Facchini and Girardi introduced and studied the notion of couniformly presented modules. A module M_R is called *couniformly presented* if it is non-zero and there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C_R \xrightarrow{\iota} P_R \rightarrow M_R \rightarrow 0$$

^{*}Department of Mathematics, Gebze Institute of Technology, Çayirova Campus, 41400, Gebze- Kocaeli, Turkey

Email: ssahinkaya@gyte.edu.tr

[†] Email: akor@gyte.edu.tr

[‡] Email: mtkosan@gyte.edu.tr

with P_R projective and both C_R and P_R couniform modules. In this case, every endomorphism f of M_R lifts to an endomorphism f_0 of its projective cover P_R , and we will denote by f_1 the restriction to C_R of f_0 . Hence we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & C_R & \xrightarrow{\iota} & P_R & \rightarrow & M_R & \rightarrow & 0 \\ & & f_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow f_0 & & \downarrow f & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & C_R & \xrightarrow{\iota} & P_R & \rightarrow & M_R & \rightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

In [7, Theorem 2.5], Facchini and Girardi proved that:

- Let $0 \rightarrow C_R \rightarrow P_R \rightarrow M_R \rightarrow 0$ be a couniform presentation of a couniformly presented module M_R . Set $K := \{f \in \text{End}(M_R) \mid f \text{ is not surjective}\}$ and $I := \{f \in \text{End}(M_R) \mid f_1: C_R \rightarrow C_R \text{ is not surjective}\}$. Then K and I are completely prime two-sided ideals of $\text{End}(M_R)$, and the union $K \cup I$ is the set of all non-invertible elements of $\text{End}(M_R)$. Moreover, one of the following two conditions holds:

- (a) Either $\text{End}(M_R)$ is a local ring, or
- (b) K and I are the two maximal right, maximal left ideals of $\text{End}(M_R)$.

If M_R and M'_R are two couniformly presented modules with couniform presentations $0 \rightarrow C_R \rightarrow P_R \rightarrow M_R \rightarrow 0$ and $0 \rightarrow C'_R \rightarrow P'_R \rightarrow M'_R \rightarrow 0$, we say that M_R and M'_R have the same lower part, and we write $[M_R]_\ell = [M'_R]_\ell$, if there are two homomorphisms $f_0: P_R \rightarrow P'_R$ and $f'_0: P'_R \rightarrow P_R$ such that $f_0(C_R) = C'_R$ and $f'_0(C'_R) = C_R$.

Recall that a ring R is *semilocal* if $R/J(R)$ is semisimple artinian, that is, isomorphic to a finite direct product of rings $M_{n_i}(D_i)$ of $n_i \times n_i$ matrices over division rings D_i . A ring R is *homogeneous semilocal* if $R/J(R)$ is simple artinian, that is, isomorphic to the ring $M_n(D)$ of all $n \times n$ matrices for some positive integer n and some division ring D [2, 4]. Examples of such rings include all local rings and all simple Artinian rings. If R is a homogeneous semilocal ring, then so are the rings eRe and $M_n(R)$, where e is a nonzero idempotent element of R and $M_n(R)$ is the matrix ring over R . Also, homogeneous semilocal rings appear in a natural way when one localizes a right Noetherian ring with respect to a right localizable prime ideal.

In [4], Corisello and Facchini showed that:

- a homogeneous semilocal ring has a unique maximal proper two-sided ideal and a unique simple module up to isomorphism. Similarly, as in the case of local rings, a homogeneous semilocal ring has only one indecomposable projective module P_R up to isomorphism, and all projective modules are direct sums of copies of this P_R .
- for a module M over any ring R , the Krull-Schmidt theorem holds for M provided $\text{End}_R(M)$ is homogeneous semilocal—that is, the direct sum decomposition of M into indecomposable summands is unique up to isomorphism.

In [2], Barioli-Facchini-Raggi proved that:

- The later result fails to extend to modules M_R with finite direct sum decompositions whose indecomposable summands have homogeneous semilocal endomorphism rings,
- If a module M over a ring R has two decompositions $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_t = N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_s$ where all the summands are indecomposable with homogeneous semilocal endomorphism rings, then these two decompositions are isomorphic.

2. The endomorphism ring

The following results describe the endomorphism ring of a cyclic, finitely presented module of projective dimension ≤ 1 over a local ring. Throughout this paper, we will assume that $M_R \neq 0$.

2.1. Theorem. *Let R be a local ring and let $M_R := R_R/I$ be a cyclic, finitely presented module of projective dimension ≤ 1 . Suppose $\text{Ext}_R^1(M_R, R_R) = 0$.*

Assume $0 \neq I \neq R$ and let E be the idealizer of the right ideal I of R , that is, the set of all $r \in R$ with $rI \subseteq I$, so that $\text{End}(M_R) \cong E/I$. Set $L := \{r \in R \mid rI \subseteq IJ(R)\}$ and $K := E \cap J(R)$. Let $\psi: E \rightarrow \text{End}_R(I/IJ(R))$ be the ring morphism defined by

$$\psi(e)(x + IJ(R)) = ex + IJ(R),$$

for every $e \in E$ and $x \in I$. Let n be the dimension of the right vector space $I/IJ(R)$ over the division ring $R/J(R)$. Then:

- (1) *L and K are prime two-sided ideals of E containing I and K is a completely prime ideal of E ;*
- (2) *For every $e \in E$, the element $e + I$ of E/I is invertible in E/I if and only if $e + J(R)$ is invertible in $R/J(R)$ and $\psi(e)$ is invertible in $\text{End}_R(I/IJ(R))$.*
- (3) *The quotient ring E/L is isomorphic to the ring $M_n(R/J(R))$ of all $n \times n$ matrices over the division ring $R/J(R)$.*
- (4) *Exactly one of the following two conditions holds:*
 - (a) *Either $K \subseteq L$, in which case E/I is a homogeneous semilocal ring with Jacobson radical L/I , or*
 - (b) *L and K are not comparable.*

Proof. (1) and (3). Notice that L is contained in E and is the kernel of ψ , so that L is a two-sided ideal of E . Trivially, I is contained in L . Let us prove that ψ is onto. Let $f: I/IJ(R) \rightarrow I/IJ(R)$ be a morphism. Since $M_R := R_R/I$ is of projective dimension ≤ 1 , the ideal I_R is projective, so that f lifts to a morphism $f': I_R \rightarrow I_R$. Apply the functor $\text{Hom}(-, R_R)$ to the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow I_R \rightarrow R_R \rightarrow M_R \rightarrow 0$, getting a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M_R, R_R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(R_R, R_R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(I_R, R_R) \rightarrow 0$$

because $\text{Ext}_R^1(M_R, R_R) = 0$. Hence f' can be extended to a morphism $f'': R_R \rightarrow R_R$, which is necessarily left multiplication by an element $r \in R$. Since f'' restricts to the endomorphism f' of I_R , we get that $r \in E$, and $\psi(e) = f$. This proves that ψ is an onto ring morphism, so that

$$E/L = E/\ker \psi \cong \text{End}_R(I/IJ(R)) \cong M_n(R/J(R)).$$

This proves (3).

As $\text{End}_R(I/IJ(R)) \cong M_n(R/J(R))$ is a simple ring, it follows that L is a prime ideal and a maximal two-sided ideal. Similarly, K is the kernel of the composite morphism $\varphi: E \rightarrow R/J(R)$ of the embedding $E \rightarrow R$ and the canonical projection $R \rightarrow R/J(R)$. Since $R/J(R)$ is a division ring, we get that K is a completely prime, two-sided ideal of E containing I . This concludes the proof of (1).

(2). (\Rightarrow) Since $\varphi(I) = 0$ and $\psi(I) = 0$, the morphisms φ and ψ induce morphisms $\tilde{\varphi}: E/I \rightarrow R/J(R)$ and $\tilde{\psi}: E/I \rightarrow \text{End}(I/IJ(R))$, respectively. Hence $e + I$ invertible implies $\varphi(e) = e + J(R)$ invertible in $R/J(R)$ and $\psi(e)$ is invertible in $\text{End}_R(I/IJ(R))$. (\Leftarrow) Assume that $e \in E$ and that $\varphi(e)$ and $\psi(e)$ are invertible in $R/J(R)$ and $\text{End}_R(I/IJ(R))$, respectively. Then we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & R_R & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R_R/I & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow e & & \downarrow e & & \downarrow e & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & I & \longrightarrow & R_R & \xrightarrow{\pi} & R_R/I & \longrightarrow & 0. \end{array}$$

Now $\varphi(e) = e + J(R)$ invertible implies that $e \in R \setminus J(R)$, and so e is invertible in R . Hence the middle vertical arrow is an isomorphism. Since $\psi(e)$ is invertible, it is an automorphism of $I/IJ(R)$, and so $e(I/IJ(R)) = I/IJ(R)$, that is, $eI + IJ(R) = I$. By Nakayama's Lemma, $eI = I$. Hence the left vertical arrow is an epimorphism. By the Snake Lemma, the right vertical arrow is a monomorphism, hence an isomorphism. That is, $e + I$ is invertible in E/I .

(4) We have the three cases (a) $L \subset K$, (b) $K \subseteq L$, and (c) $L \not\subseteq K$ and $K \not\subseteq L$.

Assume $L \subset K$. In this case, $L \subset K \subset E$ implies that $0 \subset K/L \subset E/L$, so that $E/L \cong M_n(R/J(R))$ has a proper non-zero two-sided ideal. This is impossible, because $M_n(R/J)$ is a simple ring. Hence this case cannot occur.

Assume $K \subseteq L$. From (2), it follows that an element $e + I$ of E/I is invertible in E/I if and only if $e + J(R)$ is invertible in $R/J(R)$ and $e + L$ is invertible in E/L . Hence, in order to prove (4) in this case $K \subseteq L$, it suffices to prove that $J(E/I) = L/I$.

(\subseteq) If $e + I \in J(E/I)$, then $1 - xey + I$ is invertible in E/I for every $x, y \in E$. Thus $1 - xey + L$ is invertible in E/L for all $x, y \in E$, so that $e + L \in J(E/L)$. But $E/L \cong M_n(R/J(R))$ has Jacobson radical 0 so that $e \in L$.

(\supseteq) Take $l + I \in L/I$ with $l \in L$. Then $1 - xly + L = 1 + L$ in E/L for every $x, y \in E$. Hence $1 - xly + L$ is invertible in E/L . In particular, $1 - xly \notin L$. Thus $1 - xly \notin K$, so that $1 - xly \notin J(R)$. As $R/J(R)$ is a division ring, it follows that $1 - xly + J(R)$ is invertible in $R/J(R)$. Thus $1 - xly + I$ is invertible in E/I , and $l \in J(E/I)$. \square

It is known that a finitely presented module over a semilocal ring always has a semilocal endomorphism ring. We have the following natural question.

2.2. Question. Characterize $J(E/I)$. This was done in [1] for cyclically presented modules.

As far as Question 2.2 is concerned, notice that, in the proof of Theorem 2.1(2), we have seen that the mapping

$$\tilde{\varphi} \times \tilde{\psi}: E/J \rightarrow R/J(R) \times \text{End}(I/IJ(R))$$

is a local morphism, so that its kernel $K/I \cap L/I$ is contained in $J(E/I)$. In particular, when $K \subseteq L$, we have that $L/I = J(E/I)$ as we have seen in Theorem 2.1(4)(a). We are not able to describe $J(E/I)$ when K and K are not comparable.

2.3. Remark. Let R be a local right self-injective ring. Let M_R be a cyclic and finitely presented module of projective dimension ≤ 1 . Since R_R is injective, we have that $\text{Ext}_R^1(M_R, R_R) = 0$. Thus, Theorem 2.1 can be applied.

Let A and B be two modules. We say that:

- A and B have the same monogeny class, and write $[A]_m = [B]_m$, if there exist a monomorphism $A \rightarrow B$ and a monomorphism $B \rightarrow A$ [5];

- A and B have the same epigeny class, and write $[A]_e = [B]_e$, if there exist an epimorphism $A \rightarrow B$ and an epimorphism $B \rightarrow A$;

It is clear that a module A has the same monogeny (epigeny) class as the zero module if and only if $A = 0$.

- Two cyclically presented modules R/aR and R/bR over a local ring R are said to have the same lower part, denoted $[R/aR]_l = [R/bR]_l$, if there exist $r, s \in R$ such that $raR = bR$ and $sbR = aR$ [1].

- If M_R and M'_R are two couniformly presented modules with couniform presentations

$$0 \rightarrow C_R \rightarrow P_R \rightarrow M_R \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow C'_R \rightarrow P'_R \rightarrow M'_R \rightarrow 0,$$

we say that M_R and M'_R have the same lower part, and we write $[M_R]_\ell = [M'_R]_\ell$, if there are two homomorphisms $f_0: P_R \rightarrow P'_R$ and $f'_0: P'_R \rightarrow P_R$ such that $f_0(C_R) = C'_R$ and $f'_0(C'_R) = C_R$ [7].

2.4. Theorem. *Let R be a semiperfect ring and let R_R/L be a cyclic uniform right R -module with $L \neq 0$. Let E be the idealizer of the right ideal L of R , that is, the set of all $r \in R$ with $rL \subseteq L$, so that*

$$\text{End}(R_R/L) \cong E/L.$$

Similarly, let E' be the idealizer of the right ideal $L + J(R)$ of R , so that

$$\text{End}(R_R/(L + J(R))) \cong E'/(L + J(R)).$$

Set $I := \{e \in E \mid \text{left multiplication by } e + I \text{ is a non-injective endomorphism of } R_R/L\}$ and $K := E \cap (L + J(R))$. Then:

- (1) I and K are two two-sided ideals of E containing L , and I is completely prime in E .
- (2) For every $e \in E$, the element $e + L$ of E/L is invertible in E/L if and only if $e + L + J(R)$ is invertible in $E'/(L + J(R))$ and $e \notin I$.
- (3) Moreover:
 - (a) If $I \subseteq K$, then every epimorphism $R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L$ is an automorphism of R_R/L ,
 - (b) $K \not\subseteq I$ if and only if $[R_R/L]_m = [L + J(R)/L]_m$.

Proof. (1) We know that $\text{End}(R_R/L) \cong E/L$. Every endomorphism $e + L$ of R_R/L extends to an endomorphism e_1 of the injective envelope $E(R_R/L)$. Define a ring morphism

$$\varphi: E \rightarrow \text{End}(E(R_R/L))/J(\text{End}(E(R_R/L)))$$

by $\varphi(e) = e_1 + J(\text{End}(E(R_R/L)))$ for every $e \in E$. Since R_R/L is uniform, the injective envelope $E(R_R/L)$ is indecomposable, the endomorphism ring $\text{End}(E(R_R/L))$ is a local ring, and the Jacobson radical $J(\text{End}(E(R_R/L)))$ consists of all non-injective endomorphisms of $E(R_R/L)$. It follows that I , which is equal to the kernel of the ring morphism φ , whose range is the division ring

$$\text{End}(E(R_R/L))/J(\text{End}(E(R_R/L))),$$

must be a completely prime two-sided ideal of E . The remaining part of statement (1) is easily checked.

(2) We have already seen that there is a ring morphism

$$\varphi: E \rightarrow \text{End}(E(R_R/L))/J(\text{End}(E(R_R/L)))$$

whose kernel is I . Hence if $e \in E$ and $e + L$ is invertible in E/L , then $\varphi(e)$ must be invertible in the division ring $\text{End}(E(R_R/L))/J(\text{End}(E(R_R/L)))$. Thus $\varphi(e) \neq 0$, that is, $e \notin \ker \varphi = I$. Similarly, we can consider the ring morphism

$$\psi: E \rightarrow \text{End}(R_R/L + J(R))$$

defined by $\psi(e)(r + L + J(R)) = er + L + J(R)$ for every $e \in E$ and every $r \in R$. Its kernel is K , which contains L . Hence $e + L$ invertible in E/L implies $\psi(e)$ invertible in $\text{End}(R_R/L + J(R))$. But

$$\text{End}(R_R/(L + J(R))) \cong E'/(L + J(R)),$$

so that $e + L + J(R)$ must be invertible in $E'/L + J(R)$.

Conversely, assume $e \in E$, $e + L + J(R)$ invertible in $E'/L + J(R)$ and $e \notin I$. We want to show that $e + L$ is invertible in E/L . Since $E/L \cong \text{End}(R_R/L)$, this is equivalent to showing that left multiplication $\mu_e: R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L$ by e is an automorphism of R_R/L . Now $e \notin I$ is equivalent to μ_e is injective by definition of I . In order to show that μ_e is onto as well, it suffices to prove that μ_e induces an onto endomorphism

$$(R_R/L)/(R_R/L)J(R) \rightarrow (R_R/L)/(R_R/L)J(R)$$

by Nakayama's Lemma. But $(R_R/L)J(R) = L + J(R)/L$, so that

$$(R_R/L)/(R_R/L)J(R) \cong R_R/L + J(R).$$

Hence $e + L + J(R)$ invertible in $E'/L + J(R) \cong \text{End}(R_R/(L + J(R)))$ means that the endomorphism $\psi(e)$ of $R_R/L + J(R)$ induced by μ_e is onto, as desired.

(3) (a) Assume $I \subseteq K$. Let $e + L: R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L$ be an epimorphism with $e \in E$. Then the induced morphism $\psi(e): R_R/L + J(R) \rightarrow R_R/L + J(R)$ is also an epimorphism, so that it is an automorphism because $R_R/L + J(R)$ is a semisimple module of finite Goldie dimension. In the isomorphism

$$\text{End}(R_R/(L + J(R))) \cong E'/(L + J(R)),$$

we obtain that $e + L + J(R)$ is invertible in the ring $E'/(L + J(R))$. Thus $e \notin K$. Hence $e \notin I$. It follows from (2) that $e + L$ is invertible, that is, it is an automorphism of R_R/L .

(b) Assume $K \not\subseteq I$. Then there is an element $f \in K$, $f \notin I$. Thus $f \in E$ induces an endomorphism f of R_R/L . Now $f \notin I$ means that f is injective, and $f \in K$ means that the image of f is contained in $L + J(R)/L$. Hence $[R_R/L]_m = [L + J(R)/L]_m$. Conversely, if $[R_R/L]_m = [L + J(R)/L]_m$, then there is a monomorphism $f: R_R/L \rightarrow L + J(R)/L$. If we compose it with the inclusion $L + J(R)/L \rightarrow R_R/L$ we get an endomorphism of R_R/L which is in K but not in I . Hence $K \not\subseteq I$. \square

We finish this study with the following result.

2.5. Theorem. *Let R be a semiperfect ring, let $R/L, R/L'$ be two cyclic uniform modules with $L \neq 0$ and $L' \neq 0$ proper right ideals of R . Assume that either*

- (1) *every monomorphism $R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L$ is an automorphism of R_R/L , or*
- (2) *every epimorphism $R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L$ is an automorphism of R_R/L , or*
- (3) $[R_R/L]_m = [L + J(R)/L]_m$.

Then the followings are equivalent.

- (a) $R_R/L \cong R_R/L'$
- (b) $[R_R/L]_m = [R_R/L']_m$ and $[R_R/L]_e = [R_R/L']_e$.

Proof. Assume $[R_R/L]_m = [R_R/L']_m$ and $[R_R/L]_e = [R_R/L']_e$. Then there are monomorphisms $\alpha: R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L'$ and $\beta: R_R/L' \rightarrow R_R/L$ and epimorphisms $\alpha': R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L'$ and $\beta': R_R/L' \rightarrow R_R/L$. Then $\beta\alpha$ is a monomorphism $R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L$ and $\beta'\alpha'$ is an epimorphism $R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L$. If hypothesis (a) holds, then $\beta\alpha$ is an automorphism

of R_R/L that factors through R_R/L' , so that R_R/L is isomorphic to a direct summand of R_R/L' . But $R_R/L \neq 0$ and R_R/L' is uniform, so that $R_R/L \cong R_R/L'$. This proves our theorem under hypothesis (a). Dually one proves that the theorem holds when hypothesis (b) holds.

Assume now that hypothesis (c) holds, i.e., $[R_R/L]_m = [L + J(R)/L]_m$. Equivalently, there exists a monomorphism $\gamma: R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L$ whose image is contained in $L + J(R)/L$. Now if either α or α' are isomorphisms, then the existence of α or α' shows that $R_R/L \cong R_R/L'$. This allows us to conclude. Thus we can assume that α is not an epimorphism and α' is not a monomorphism. Then $\alpha' + \alpha\gamma: R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L'$ is an isomorphism, because:

(1) It is injective, because it is the sum of the injective morphism $\alpha\gamma: R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L'$ and the non-injective morphism $\alpha': R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L'$, and R_R/L is uniform.

(2) The ideal $J(R)$ is superfluous in R_R by Nakayama's Lemma. Considering the canonical projection $R_R \rightarrow R_R/L$, it follows that $L + J(R)/L$ is superfluous in R_R/L . Applying the morphism $\alpha: R/L \rightarrow R/L'$, we get that the image of $\alpha\gamma$ is contained in $\alpha(L + J(R)/L)$, hence is a superfluous submodule of R/L' . Thus the sum of $\alpha\gamma$ and the surjective morphism $\alpha': R/L \rightarrow R/L'$ is a surjective morphism $\alpha' + \alpha\gamma: R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L'$.

Thus $\alpha + \alpha'\gamma$ is an isomorphism of R_R/L onto R_R/L' . \square

2.6. Remark. By Theorem 2.4, the only case in which we cannot apply Theorem 2.5 is when K is properly contained in I . Namely, if $K \not\subseteq I$, then $[R_R/L]_m = [L + J(R)/L]_m$ and we can apply Theorem 2.5(a); if $K \subseteq I$, then either K is properly contained in I , which is the case still unknown, or $K = I$, but in the latter case every epimorphism $R_R/L \rightarrow R_R/L$ is an automorphism of R_R/L by Theorem 2.4(1).

Acknowledgments. The authors are grateful to the referee for drawing our attention to a number of typos. Also it is a pleasure to thank Prof. A. Facchini for his helpful comments.

References

- [1] Amini, B., Amini, A. and Facchini, A. *Equivalence of diagonal matrices over local rings*, J. Algebra, **320**, 1288-1310, 2008.
- [2] Barioli, F., Facchini, A. and Raggi, F. J. R. *Krull-Schmidt theorem and homogeneous semilocal rings*, Commun. Algebra, **29**, 1649-1658, 2001.
- [3] Bumby, R. T. *Modules which are isomorphic to submodules of each other*, Arch. Math., **16**, 184-185, 1965.
- [4] Corisello, R. and Facchini, A. *Homogeneous semilocal rings*, Commun. Algebra, **29**, 1807-1819, 2001.
- [5] Facchini, A. *Krull-Schmidt fails for serial modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **348**, 4561-4575, 1996.
- [6] Facchini, A. *Module Theory: Endomorphism rings and direct sum decompositions in some classes of modules*, (Progress in Math., 167, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1998).
- [7] Facchini, A. and Girardi, N. *Couniformly presented modules and dualities*, (in Advances in Ring Theory, Dinh Van Huynh and Sergio R. Lopez Permouth Eds., Trends in Math., Birkhauser Verlag, Basel, Heidelberg, London, New York, pp. 149-163 2010).

