Analysis of Somaclonal Variation in Natural Tetratploid *Trifolium pratense* L. Regenerants Using RAPD Markers
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1. Introduction

The variation among plants regenerated from an in vitro culture is termed somaclonal variation. Such variations resulted by the alterations in genetic structures of the plants regenerated from in vitro culture are heritable and therefore persist over generations. Typical genetic alterations are; changes in chromosome numbers, chromosome structure and gene DNA sequence.

Estrogen deficiency in the body leads to such symptoms of decreased bone density, hot flashes, sweats, unrest, tingling in arms and legs, difficulty falling asleep, headache, nervousness, muscle and joint aches. Phytoestrogens which mimic mammalian estrogen and its biological activities are widely used in treatment of menopausal symptoms. Phytoestrogens are reported to prevent cancer, heart diseases and osteoporosis. *Trifolium pratense* L. has a rich content of isoflavonoids which are known to have estrogenic effect (Colgecen, Koca, & Buyukkartal, 2011). The natural tetraploid *T. pratense* has a seed setting problem which inhibits reproduction. Regeneration is considered as an alternative method for resolution of reproduction problem (Algan & Buyukkartal, 1999; Çolgecen & Toker, 2008). This study aimed to analyze somaclonal variation among natural tetraploid *T. pratense* L. regenerants propagated in vitro and their donor plants by using RAPD techniques. In this study, for regeneration, the apical meristems of aseptic plants germinated on hormone-free MS medium were used, then they were transferred on PC - L2 medium containing 1 mg/l NAA + 3 mg/l BAP. In this research, RAPD techniques including 8 different primers were used to detect somaclonal variation. As a result, all of the primers showed polymorphism. The lowest polymorphism percentage was seen between number 2 clone and donor with 17.07% (D2-C2), the highest polymorphism percentage was seen between number 4 clone and donor with 60.21% (D-C4). The highest variation difference was seen between donors D2 (a total of 123 bands) and D6 (total 86 bands).
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**Abstract:** *Trifolium pratense* L. is an agricultural legume which is grown mainly in Europe, North America, and Anatolia. As the plant shows great diversity in Anatolia, Anatolia was accepted as the center of the origin of *T. pratense* L. This study aimed to analyze somaclonal variation among natural tetraploid *T. pratense* L. regenerants propagated in vitro and their donor plants by using RAPD techniques. In this study, for regeneration, the apical meristems of aseptic plants germinated on hormone-free MS medium were used, then they were transferred on PC - L2 medium containing 1 mg/l NAA + 3 mg/l BAP. In this research, RAPD techniques including 8 different primers were used to detect somaclonal variation. As a result, all of the primers showed polymorphism. The lowest polymorphism percentage was seen between number 2 clone and donor with 17.07% (D2-C2), the highest polymorphism percentage was seen between number 4 clone and donor with 60.21% (D-C4). The highest variation difference was seen between donors D2 (a total of 123 bands) and D6 (total 86 bands).
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2.3. Callus initiation and plant regeneration

Hormone-free Murashige and Skoog (MS) medium was used for seed germination. Aseptic seedlings were grown to be used as explant sources. The age of the plant tissue effects DNA quality and quantity. It is difficult to purify DNA from mature tree leaves because of their thick cell wall and high content of polysaccharides and phenolic compounds. Due to this fact, young aseptic seedlings were used in this study.

Apical meristem (1 mm) of the 15-day-old aseptic seedlings was used as explant source (Fig. 1). The most successful PC-L2 medium supplemented with 1 mg/l NAA + 3 mg/l BAP was used for plant regeneration (Çölgeçen et al., 2008).

2.4. DNA extraction

Genomic DNA isolation from natural tetraploid T. pratense donor and clone plant samples were performed using the protocol of Lefort, Lally, Thompson, and Douglas (1998). DNA isolation from Trifolium was performed by using modified CTAB method. Because of the fact that the phenolic compounds cause contamination, young aseptic seedlings were used in this study and DNA isolation was performed using the protocol of Lefort et al. (1998). The quality and quantity of the isolated DNAs were determined by a nanodrop. In DNA quality assessment, high-quality DNAs will have an A260/A280 ratio of 1.8-2.0. An A260/A280 value above 2.0 indicates contamination with RNA, chloroform or phenol and A260/A280 ratio of 1.8 indicates protein or phenolic content in DNA. DNA purity ratios calculated in this study ranged between 1.49-2.07. The quantity and purity of the obtained genomic DNA were measured by a nanodrop.

2.5. Randomly Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) analysis:

RAPD-PCR method of Williams, Kubelik, Livak, Rafalski, and Tingey (1990) was used in the study. 8 primers were used, the codes and sequences of which are specified below in Table 1. It was used: 20 ng genomic DNA, 10X reaction buffer, 2.5 mM MgCl2, 20 µM dNTPs, 0.2µM primer, 0.5 unit Taq polymerase.

PCR cycling conditions:

95 °C 2 min, 94 °C 30 sec
36 °C 1,5 min 36 °C 1,5 min
72 °C 1,5 min 72 °C 5 min

The bands obtained by RAPD-PCR were analyzed by 1.6% agarose gel electrophoresis.

Table 1: Information regarding primer codes and sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Nucleotide sequence (5’→3’)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPC 01</td>
<td>TTCGAGCCAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 02</td>
<td>GTGAGCCGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 05</td>
<td>GATGACGGCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 06</td>
<td>GAACCGACTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 08</td>
<td>TGACCGGTTG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 09</td>
<td>CTACACGTTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPO 07</td>
<td>CAGCAGCCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUBE A08</td>
<td>GTGACGTTAGG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6. Statistics

Genomic template stability (GTS) is a quantitative measure reflecting the changes in the number of RAPD profiles. The changes in the number of RAPD profiles indicate the changes of percentage of GTS. Polymorphism in RAPD profiles represents the proportion of the number of bands lost and newly produced in comparison to the control over the total number of bands in control.

Figure 1: The production of regenerant plants from aseptic seedling.

3. Results

Polymorphism calculations were performed by comparing the band profiles of samples and the control for each primer. The bands which were present in the control but missing in the sample and the bands which did not exist in control and newly appeared in the samples were evaluated as polymorphism. Polymorphism percentages were calculated as the proportion of polymorphic bands over the total number of bands. 6 T. pratense L. aseptic donor plants and 6 clone plants were used in the study. Donor plants were represented by D, clone plants were represented by C and a total of 8 primers OPC 01, OPC 02, OPC 05, OPC 06, OPC 08, OPC 09, OPO 07 and Tube A08 were used for RAPD analyses.

In donor sample D1, primer OPC 01 produced 14 bands, primer OPC 02 produced 17 bands, primer OPC 05 produced 11 bands, primer OPC 06 produced 14 bands, primer OPC 08 produced 14 bands, primer OPC 09 produced 11 bands, primer OPO 07 produced 14 bands and primer Tube A08 produced 20 bands, all amounting to a total band number of 115. In clone sample C1, in primer OPC 01, 4 news bands were produced and 2 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 02, 3 news bands were produced and 1 band was lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 05, 3 news bands were produced and 2 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 06, 1 new band was produced and 3 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 08, no new or missing bands were recorded in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 09, 4 new bands were produced and 3 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPO 07, 4 new bands were produced and 5 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer Tube 08, no newer missing bands were recorded in comparison to the donor. The polymorphism percentage between donor D1 and clone C1 was 30.43% (Table 2).
In donor sample D2, primer OPC 01 produced 16 bands, primer OPC 02 produced 12 bands, primer OPC 05 produced 14 bands, primer OPC 06 produced 15 bands, primer OPC 08 produced 14 bands, primer OPC 09 produced 10 bands, primer OPO 07 produced 20 bands and primer Tube A08 produced 22 bands, all amounting to a total band number of 123. In clone sample C2, in primer OPC 01, 1 new band was produced in comparison to the donor and no missing bands were recorded. In primer OPC 02, 12 new bands were produced in comparison to the donor and no missing bands were recorded. In primer OPC 05, 14 new bands were produced in comparison to the donor and no missing bands were recorded. In primer OPC 06, no new bands were produced in comparison to the donor. The polymorphism percentage between donor D2 and clone C2 was 17.07% (Table 2).

### Table 2: BAND modifications between donor and controls

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>C1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>C2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>C3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>C4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>C5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>C6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPC 01</td>
<td>D1</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>D2</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>C3</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>D4</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>D5</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>D6</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 02</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 05</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 06</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 08</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPC 09</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPO 07</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TUBE A08</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a+b</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Changes in total number of Bands (Polymorphism) Between Donors and Clones</td>
<td>30.43</td>
<td>17.07</td>
<td>33.92</td>
<td>60.21</td>
<td>43.92</td>
<td>41.86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: The number of newly produced bands in comparison to control (D=Donor), b: The number of missing bands in comparison to control (D=Donor).

In donor sample D3, primer OPC 01 produced 13 bands, primer OPC 02 produced 14 bands, primer OPC 05 produced 15 bands, primer OPC 06 produced 18 bands, primer OPC 08 produced 9 bands, primer OPC 09 produced 12 bands, primer OPO 07 produced 12 bands and primer Tube A08 produced 19 bands, all amounting to a total band number of 112. In clone C3, in primer OPC 01, 7 new bands were produced in comparison to the donor and no missing bands were recorded. In primer OPC 02, 12 new bands were produced and 1 band was lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 05, 2 new bands were produced and 4 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 06, no new bands were produced and 6 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 08, 3 new bands were produced in comparison to the donor and no missing bands were recorded. In primer OPC 09, 5 new bands were produced and 1 band was lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPO 07, 2 new bands were produced in comparison to the donor and no missing bands were recorded. In primer Tube A08, 2 new bands were produced and 3 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. The polymorphism percentage between donor D3 and clone C3 was 33.92% (Table 2).

In donor sample D4, primer OPC 01 produced 7 bands, primer OPC 02 produced 10 bands, primer OPC 05 produced 11 bands, primer OPC 06 produced 15 bands, primer OPC 08 produced 11 bands, primer OPC 09 produced 11 bands, primer OPO 07 produced 15 bands and primer Tube A08 produced 13 bands, all amounting to a total band number of 93. In clone sample C4, in primer OPC 01, 6 new bands were produced and 1 band was lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 02, 8 new bands were produced and 2 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 05, 5 new bands were produced in comparison to the donor and no missing bands were recorded. In primer OPC 06, 1 new band was produced and 3 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 08, 2 new bands were produced in comparison to the donor and no missing bands were recorded. In primer OPC 09, 4 new bands were produced and 4 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPO 07, 6 new bands were produced and 3 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer Tube A08, 8 new bands were produced and 3 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. The polymorphism percentage between donor D4 and clone C4 was 60.21% (Table 2).

In donor sample D5, primer OPC 01 produced 13 bands, primer OPC 02 produced 12 bands, primer OPC 05 produced 14 bands, primer OPC 06 produced 17 bands, primer OPC 08 produced 9 bands, primer OPC 09 produced 8 bands, primer OPO 07 produced 17 bands and primer Tube A08 produced 17 bands, all amounting to a total band number of 107. In clone sample C5, in primer OPC 01, 5 new bands were produced and 1 band was lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 02, 3 new bands were produced and 2 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 05, 2 new bands were...
produced and 1 band was lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 06, no new bands were recorded and 11 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 08, 4 new bands were produced and 4 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 09, 1 new band was produced and 1 band was lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 07, 2 new bands were produced and 2 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer Tube A08, 5 new bands were produced and 3 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. The polymorphism percentage between donor D5 and clone C5 was 43.92% (Table 2).

In donor sample D6, primer OPC 01 produced 12 bands, primer OPC 02 produced 14 bands, primer OPC 05 produced 10 bands, primer OPC 06 produced 9 bands, primer OPC 08 produced 11 bands, primer OPC 09 produced 9 bands, primer OPC 07 produced 14 bands and primer Tube A08 produced 7 bands, all amounting to a total band number of 86. In clone sample C6, in primer OPC 01, 1 new band was produced and 4 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 02, no new or missing bands were recorded in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 05, no new bands were recorded and 2 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 06, 4 new bands were produced and 2 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 08, 1 new band was produced and 4 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 09, no new bands were recorded and 1 band was lost in comparison to the donor. In primer OPC 07, 6 new bands were produced and 4 bands were lost in comparison to the donor. In primer Tube A08, 7 new bands were produced in comparison to the donor and no missing bands were recorded. The polymorphism percentage between donor D6 and clone C6 was 41.86% (Table 2).

The highest polymorphism percentage of 60.21% was calculated between donor D4 and clone C4, followed by 43.92% between donor D5 and clone C5, 41.86% between donor D6 and clone C6, 33.92% between donor D3 and clone C3, 30.43% between donor D1 and clone C1 and 17.07% between donor D2 and clone C2, being the lowest (Table 2).

Figures 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 are showed the image on agarose gel of amplification products are created by OPC 01, OPC 02, OPC 05, OPC 06, OPC 08, OPC 09, OPC 07 and Tube A08.

4. Discussion
This study aimed to analyze somaclonal variation between natural tetraploid *T. pratense* L. regenerants and their donor plants based on RAPD DNA techniques. RAPD technique advantages are: provides quick results, also is cheap. It requires little work force, a small amount of low quality DNA is sufficient, polymorphism ratio is high, universal primer set, no radioactivity required (Aydin, 2004; Arslan, 2004; Filiz & Koç, 2011; Kumar & Gurusubramanian, 2011).

In the first phase of the study, aseptic seedlings were obtained by using the seeds of natural tetraploid *T. pratense* L. and regeneration studies were performed according to the method of Çölgeçen et al. (2008). Apical meristem was used as the explant source to obtain clone plants by tissue culture techniques. Regeneration process was successfully completed without any contamination.

A single band was observed when DNAs were isolated and run on an agarose gel. The display of a single band indicates the yield of an unbroken genomic DNA purified from RNA and cell secondary metabolites and preserving its helical structure (Karatas & Ağaçglu, 2006).

The genetic variations between natural tetraploid *T. pratense* regenerants propagated in vitro were determined by observing the varying DNA bands in the 8 RAPD primers (OPC-01, OPC-02, OPC-05, OPC-06, OPC-08, OPC-09, OPC-07 and Tube A08) used in this study. All primers displayed polymorphism in agreement with findings of the former research indicating polymorphism in the same primers used in different species of family Fabaceae (Hossain, Konisho, Minami, & Nemoto, 2003; Patil, Sawardekar, Gokhale, Bhave, Sawant, Sawantdesai, Lipne, Sabale, & Joshi, 2013). All primers used in the study displayed polymorphism with varying amplification strengths and band numbers. Such changes at the genetic level indicated the presence of somaclonal variation in the obtained aseptic seedlings. Kongkiatgam, Waterway, Coulman, and Fortin (1996) was determined genetic variation among cultivars of red clover (*T. pratense* L.). They used genomic DNA samples of 15 different cultivar of *T. pratense* L. 55 were polymorphic was obtained all of 79 amplified products. Therefore, they identified relationships between cultivars.

The other similar study, Sheidai, Aminpoor, Noormohammadi, and Farahani, (2008) was determined somaclonal variation in banana (*Musa acuminate* L.) cultivar Valery. They studied 289 bands and determined all of 147 bands were polymorph (51.40%). 18 primers were used, consequently, OPI-07 produced the highest number of bands (24) while primers OPH-16 produced the lowest number (5).

Khan, Ahmad, Adnan, and Khan (2014) was determined the effect of somaclonal variation on salinity tolerances and glycoalkaloid content of potato tubers. They selected 38 regenerated plants. They used 20 RAPD primers and was detected somaclonal variation in only four primers: OPAA-01, OPAA-03, OPAA-05, OPAA-08.

The main reason for the success of RAPD analysis which is widely used for molecular identification is the detection of high level of polymorphism that requires small amounts of DNA (Williams et al., 1990). In this study, different levels of variations were detected with RAPD analyses by using small amounts of DNA. Different polymorphism percentages were also reported in the literature. In tomatoes, a percentage of similarity of above 95% was reported between the regenerants and the donor plants (Soniya, Banerjee, & Das, 2011). In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, polymorphism percentage was 66.6% among the regenerants derived from the same explant and 40% among the regenerants (Polanco & Ruiz, 2002).
Polymorphism percentage among *Capsicum annuum* regenerants ranged between 1.3% and 3.8% (Hossain, Konisho, Minami, & Nemoto, 2003). In *Vigna unguiculata*, polymorphism percentage was calculated as 72.82% (Patil et al., 2013). High polymorphism percentages detected in this study might be attributed to ploidy-dependent somaclonal variation. Besides, the use of a natural tetraploid *T. pratense* as the study material might have increased the polymorphism percentage.

In conclusion, although regeneration studies were performed by using natural tetraploid *T. pratense*, there are not any studies in the literature on the analysis of somaclonal variation between the donors and the clones produced by tissue culture methods. In the present study, somaclonal variation percentages were determined in propagation of natural tetraploid *T. pratense*, which has seed setting problem by tissue culture methods. After this stage, based on the known variation percentages, regenerants of this plant may be produced as appropriate to its usage as feed or medicinal plant. In case the individuals with high somaclonal variation display varying levels of secondary metabolites to be used as medicine raw materials, it may be possible to produce other types of regenerants. The findings of this thesis study will be useful for optimization of the utilization of natural tetraploid *T. pratense* in different usage areas including feeding and medicine. The propagation of the clones with low variation percentages will facilitate optimization processes.
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**Figure 2:** The image on agarose gel of amplification products are created by OPC01 primer (M: marker, N: negative).

**Figure 3:** The image on agarose gel of amplification products are created by OPC02 primer (M: marker, N: negative).
Figure 4: The image on agarose gel of amplification products are created by OPC 05 primer (M: marker, N: negative).

Figure 5: The image on agarose gel of amplification products are created by OPC 06 primer (M: marker, N: negative).

Figure 6: The image on agarose gel of amplification products are created by OPC 08 primer (M: marker, N: negative).
Figure 7: The image on agarose gel of amplification products are created by OPC 09 primer (M: marker, N: negative).

Figure 8: The image on agarose gel of amplification products are created by OPO 07 primer (M: marker).

Figure 9: The image on agarose gel of amplification products are created by TUBE A08 primer (M: marker, N: negative).
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