The Euler Class in the Simplicial de Rham Complex

Naoya Suzuki

(Communicated by Yusuf Yaylı)

ABSTRACT

We exhibit a cocycle in the simplicial de Rham complex which represents the Euler class. As an application, we construct a Lie algebra cocycle on $L\mathfrak{so}(4)$.

Keywords: Characteristic classes; simplicial manifold.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): Primary:53-XX.

For any Lie group G, we can define a simplicial manifold $\{NG(*)\}$ and a double complex $\Omega^*(NG(*))$ on it. In classical theory, it is well-known that the cohomology ring of the total complex $\Omega^*(NG)$ is isomorphic to $H^*(BG)$ where BG is a classifying space of G, which is not a manifold in general [2] [5] [6].

In [4], Dupont introduced another double complex $A^{*,*}(NG)$ on NG such that the cohomology ring of its total complex $A^{*}(NG)$ is also isomorphic to $H^{*}(BG)$. He used it to construct a homomorphism from $I^{*}(G)$, the G-invariant polynomial ring over Lie algebra G, to $H^{*}(BG)$. By using Dupont's method, in [8] the author exhibited cocycles in $\Omega^{*}(NG)$ which represent the Chern characters. In this paper, we will exhibit cocycles which represent the Euler classes.

Using a cocycle in $\Omega^*(NG)$, we can construct a cocycle in the local truncated complex $[\sigma_{< p}\Omega^*_{\rm loc}(NG)]$ due to Brylinski [3]. Furthermore, we can obtain a Lie algebra cocycle of a free loop group LG. Following Brylinski's idea, we will construct a Lie algebra 2-cocycle on $L\mathfrak{so}(4)$ using a cocycle in $\Omega^4(NSO(4))$.

1. Review of the universal Chern-Weil Theory

In this section we recall the universal Chern-Weil theory following [5]. For any Lie group G, we have simplicial manifolds $N\bar{G}$, NG and simplicial G-bundle $\gamma:N\bar{G}\to NG$ as follows:

$$N\bar{G}(q) = \overbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}^{q+1-times} \ni (g_1, \cdots, g_{q+1})$$

$$NG(q) = \overbrace{G \times \cdots \times G}^{q-times} \ni (h_1, \cdots, h_q) :$$
 face operators $\varepsilon_i : NG(q) \to NG(q-1)$

$$\varepsilon_i(h_1, \dots, h_q) = \begin{cases} (h_2, \dots, h_q) & i = 0\\ (h_1, \dots, h_i h_{i+1}, \dots, h_q) & i = 1, \dots, q-1\\ (h_1, \dots, h_{q-1}) & i = q. \end{cases}$$

We define
$$\gamma: N\bar{G} \to NG$$
 as $\gamma(g_0, \cdots, g_q) = (g_0g_1^{-1}, \cdots, g_{q-1}g_q^{-1})$.

For any simplicial manifold $X = \{X_*\}$, we can associate a topological space $\|X\|$ called the fat realization. It is well-known that $\|\gamma\|$ is the universal bundle $EG \to BG$ [7].

Now we introduce a double complex associated to a simplicial manifold.

Definition 1.1. For any simplicial manifold $\{X_*\}$ with face operators $\{\varepsilon_*\}$, we define a double complex as follows:

$$\Omega^{p,q}(X) := \Omega^q(X_p)$$

Derivatives are:

$$d' := \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i \varepsilon_i^*, \qquad d'' := (-1)^p \times \text{the exterior differential on } \Omega^*(X_p).$$

For NG and $N\bar{G}$ the following holds [2] [5] [6].

Theorem 1.1. There exist ring isomorphisms

$$H(\Omega^*(N\bar{G})) \cong H^*(EG), \qquad H(\Omega^*(NG)) \cong H^*(BG).$$

Here $\Omega^*(N\bar{G})$ and $\Omega^*(NG)$ mean the total complexes.

There is another double complex associated to a simplicial manifold.

Definition 1.2 ([4]). A simplicial *n*-form on a simplicial manifold $\{X_p\}$ is a sequence $\{\phi^{(p)}\}$ of *n*-forms $\phi^{(p)}$ on $\Delta^p \times X_p$ such that

$$(\varepsilon^i \times id)^* \phi^{(p)} = (id \times \varepsilon_i)^* \phi^{(p-1)}$$
 on $\Delta^{p-1} \times X_n$.

Here ε^i is the canonical *i*-th face operator of Δ^p .

Let $A^{k,l}(X)$ be the set of all simplicial (k+l)-forms on $\Delta^p \times X_p$ which are expressed locally of the form

$$\sum a_{i_1\cdots i_k j_1\cdots j_l}(dt_{i_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dt_{i_k}\wedge dx_{j_1}\wedge\cdots\wedge dx_{j_l})$$

where (t_0, t_1, \dots, t_p) are the barycentric coordinates in Δ^p and x_j are the local coordinates in X_p . We define derivatives as:

$$d' :=$$
the exterior differential on Δ^p

$$d'' := (-1)^k \times \text{the exterior differential on } X_p.$$

Then $(A^{k,l}(X), d', d'')$ is a double complex and the following theorem holds.

Theorem 1.2 ([4]). Let $A^*(X)$ denote the total complex of $A^{*,*}(X)$. A map $I_{\Delta}: A^*(X) \to \Omega^*(X)$ defined as $I_{\Delta}(\alpha) := \int_{\Delta^p} (\alpha|_{\Delta^p \times X_p})$ induces a natural ring isomorphism $I_{\Delta}^*: H(A^*(X)) \cong H(\Omega^*(X))$.

Let $\mathcal G$ denote the Lie algebra of G. A connection on a simplicial G-bundle $\pi: \{E_p\} \to \{M_p\}$ is a sequence of 1-forms $\{\theta\}$ on $\{E_p\}$ with coefficients $\mathcal G$ such that θ restricted to $\Delta^p \times E_p$ is a usual connection form.

There is a canonical connection $\theta \in A^1(N\bar{G})$ on $\gamma : N\bar{G} \to NG$ defined as follows:

$$\theta|_{\Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p)} := t_0\theta_0 + \dots + t_p\theta_p.$$

Here θ_i is defined as $\theta_i = \operatorname{pr}_i^* \bar{\theta}$ where $\operatorname{pr}_i : \Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p) \to G$ is the projection into the (i+1)-th factor of $N\bar{G}(p)$ and $\bar{\theta}$ is the Maurer-Cartan form of G. We obtain also its curvature $\Omega \in A^2(N\bar{G})$ on γ as:

$$\Omega|_{\Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p)} = d\theta|_{\Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p)} + \frac{1}{2} [\theta|_{\Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p)}, \theta|_{\Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p)}].$$

Let $I^*(G)$ denote the ring of G-invariant polynomials on G. For $P \in I^k(G)$, we restrict $P(\Omega) \in A^{2k}(N\bar{G})$ to each $\Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p)$ and apply the usual Chern-Weil theory then we have $I_{\Delta}(P(\Omega)) \in \Omega^{2k}(NG)$. In this way we have a homomorphism $I^*(G) \to H(\Omega^*(NG))$ which maps $P \in I^*(G)$ to $[I_{\Delta}(P(\Omega))]$.

2. The Euler class in the double complex

In this section we exhibit a cocycle in $\Omega^*(NSO(2p))$ which represents the Euler class of the universal bundle $ESO(2p) \to BSO(2p)$. Throughout this section, G means SO(2p).

Recall that the polynomial on $\mathfrak{so}(2p)$ called Pfaffian is defined as follows:

$$Pf(A, \dots, A) = \frac{1}{2^{2p} \pi^p p!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} sgn(\tau) a_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} \dots a_{\tau(2p-1)\tau(2p)}.$$

Here a_{ij} is a (i, j) entry of $A \in \mathfrak{so}(2p)$.

2.1. The cochain on the edge

We first give the cochain in $\Omega^{2p+1}(N\bar{G}(1))$ which corresponds to the Euler class. This is given by integrating $\mathrm{Pf}\left(\Omega|_{\Delta^1\times N\bar{G}(1)}\right)$ along Δ^1 . Since $\Omega|_{\Delta^1\times N\bar{G}(1)}=-dt_1\wedge(\theta_0-\theta_1)-t_0t_1(\theta_0-\theta_1)^2$, we can see $\mathrm{Pf}\left(\Omega|_{\Delta^1\times N\bar{G}(1)}\right)$ is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2^{2p}\pi^p p!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) ((-dt_1 \wedge (\theta_0 - \theta_1) - t_0 t_1 (\theta_0 - \theta_1)^2)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)}$$

$$\cdots (-dt_1 \wedge (\theta_0 - \theta_1) - t_0 t_1 (\theta_0 - \theta_1)^2)_{\tau(2p-1)\tau(2p)}).$$

We set:

$$\bar{P}_{\tau}^{k} := (\theta_{0} - \theta_{1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)}^{2} \cdots (\theta_{0} - \theta_{1})_{\tau(2k-3)\tau(2k-2)}^{2} (\theta_{0} - \theta_{1})_{\tau(2k-1)\tau(2k)}^{2}$$
$$(\theta_{0} - \theta_{1})_{\tau(2k+1)\tau(2k+2)}^{2} \cdots (\theta_{0} - \theta_{1})_{\tau(2p-1)\tau(2p)}^{2}.$$

Then the following equation holds.

$$\int_{\Delta^1} \operatorname{Pf} \left(\Omega|_{\Delta^1 \times N\bar{G}(1)} \right) = (-1)^p \frac{1}{2^{2p} \pi^p p!} \left(\int_0^1 (t_0 t_1)^{p-1} dt_1 \right) \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \sum_{k=1}^p \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \bar{P}_{\tau}^k.$$

Now we obtain the cochain in $\Omega^{2p-1}(NG(1))$.

Proposition 2.1. The cochain μ_p in $\Omega^{2p-1}(NG(1))$ which corresponds to the Euler class is given as follows:

$$\mu_1 = (-1)^p \frac{1}{2^{2p} \pi^p p!} \frac{1}{2^{p-1} C_{p-1} \cdot p} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \sum_{k=1}^p \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) P_\tau^k.$$

Here P_{τ}^{k} is defined as:

$$P_{\tau}^k := (h^{-1}dh)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)}^2 \cdots (h^{-1}dh)_{\tau(2k-3)\tau(2k-2)}^2 (h^{-1}dh)_{\tau(2k-1)\tau(2k)} (h^{-1}dh)_{\tau(2k+1)\tau(2k+2)}^2 \cdots (h^{-1}dh)_{\tau(2p-1)\tau(2p)}^2 dh$$

$$\textit{Proof. This follows from the equation } \int_0^1 (t_0 t_1)^{p-1} dt_1 = \frac{1}{2p-1} C_{p-1} \cdot p \text{ and } \gamma^* \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau) P_\tau^k = \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \bar{P}_\tau^k.$$

As a special case of Proposition 3.1, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. In the case of G = SO(2), the cocycle $E_{1,1}$ in $\Omega^2(NG)$ which represents the Euler class of $ESO(2) \rightarrow BSO(2)$ is given as follows:

$$E_{1,1} = \frac{1}{4\pi} (-(h^{-1}dh)_{12} + (h^{-1}dh)_{21}) \in \Omega^1(SO(2)).$$

If we write an element h in SO(2) as

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\ \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

then the equation

$$h^{-1}dh = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -d\theta \\ d\theta & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

holds, so we obtain

$$E_{1,1} = \frac{1}{4\pi}(2d\theta) = \frac{d\theta}{2\pi}.$$

2.2. The cochain in $\Omega^p(NG(p))$

In $\Omega^p(N\bar{G}(p))$, $\Omega|_{\Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p)}$ is equal to $-\sum_{i=1}^p dt_i \wedge (\theta_0 - \theta_i) - \sum_{0 \le i < j \le p} t_i t_j (\theta_i - \theta_j)^2$, so the cochain $\int_{\Delta^p} \mathrm{Pf}(\Omega|_{\Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p)})$ in $\Omega^p(N\bar{G}(p))$ which corresponds to the Euler class is given as follows:

$$\frac{1}{2^{2p}\pi^{p}p!} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \Big(-\sum_{i=1}^{p} dt_{i} \wedge (\theta_{0} - \theta_{i}) \Big)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} \cdots \Big(-\sum_{i=1}^{p} dt_{i} \wedge (\theta_{0} - \theta_{i}) \Big)_{\tau(2p-1)\tau(2p)}.$$

Now

$$dt_i \wedge (\theta_0 - \theta_i) = dt_i \wedge \{(\theta_0 - \theta_1) + (\theta_1 - \theta_2) + \dots + (\theta_{i-1} - \theta_i)\}$$

and for any differential forms α, β, γ and any integer $0 \leq k, l, x \leq p$, the equation $\alpha \wedge (dt_i \wedge (\theta_x - \theta_{x+1})_{\tau(2k-1)\tau(2k)}) \wedge \beta \wedge (dt_j \wedge (\theta_x - \theta_{x+1})_{\tau(2l-1)\tau(2l)}) \wedge \gamma = -\alpha \wedge (dt_j \wedge (\theta_x - \theta_{x+1})_{\tau(2k-1)\tau(2k)}) \wedge \beta \wedge (dt_i \wedge (\theta_x - \theta_{x+1})_{\tau(2l-1)\tau(2l)}) \wedge \gamma$ holds, so the terms of these forms cancel with each other in $\operatorname{Pf}(\Omega|_{\Delta^p \times N\bar{G}(p)})$.

$$\varphi_s := h_1 \cdots h_{s-1} dh_s h_s^{-1} \cdots h_1^{-1}.$$

Then we can check that $\gamma^* \varphi_s = g_1(\theta_{s-1} - \theta_s)g_1^{-1}$ hence we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 2.2. The cochain μ_p in $\Omega^p(NG(p))$ which corresponds to the Euler class is given as follows:

$$\mu_p = \frac{(-1)^{\frac{p(p+1)}{2}}}{2^{2p}\pi^p(p!)^2} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) (\varphi_{\sigma(1)})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} \cdots (\varphi_{\sigma(p)})_{\tau(2p-1)\tau(2p)}.$$

Using Proposition 3.1 and Proposition 3.2, we obtain the cocycle which represents the Euler class of $ESO(4) \rightarrow BSO(4)$ in $\Omega^4(NSO(4))$.

Theorem 2.2. In the case of G = SO(4), the cocycle which represents the Euler class of $ESO(4) \rightarrow BSO(4)$ in $\Omega^4(NG)$ is the sum of the following $E_{1,3}$ and $E_{2,2}$:

$$\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \uparrow_{d''} \\ E_{1,3} \in \Omega^3(SO(4)) \stackrel{d'}{\longrightarrow} & \Omega^3(SO(4) \times SO(4)) \\ & \downarrow_{d''} \\ E_{2,2} \in \Omega^2(SO(4) \times SO(4)) \stackrel{d'}{\longrightarrow} & 0 \\ E_{1,3} = \frac{1}{192\pi^2} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_4} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \left((h^{-1}dh)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (h^{-1}dh)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)}^2 \right. \\ & \left. + (h^{-1}dh)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)}^2 (h^{-1}dh)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} \right) \\ E_{2,2} = \frac{-1}{64\pi^2} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_4} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \left((h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} \right. \\ & \left. + (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} \right). \end{array}$$

2.3. The cocycle in $\Omega^{p+q}(NG(p-q))$

Repeating the same argument in section 3.2, we obtain a cocycle in $\Omega^{p+q}(NG(p-q))$. We set:

$$R_{ij} := (\varphi_i + \varphi_{i+1} + \dots + \varphi_{j-1})^2$$
 $(1 \le i < j \le p - q + 1).$

Theorem 2.3. The cocycle in $\Omega^{p+q}(NG(p-q))$ $(0 \le q \le p-1)$ which represents the Euler class of $ESO(2p) \to BSO(2p)$ is

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{p-q}, \tau \in \mathfrak{S}_{2p}} \sum_{(T_{p,q}^{\tau,\sigma}(R_{i_1j_1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)}(\varphi_{\sigma(1)})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)}} \cdots (R_{i_qj_q})_{\tau(2p-3)\tau(2p-2)}(\varphi_{\sigma(p-q)})_{\tau(2p-1)\tau(2p)})$$

where R_{ij} $(1 \le i < j \le p-q+1)$ are put q-times between $\varphi_{\sigma(l)}$ and $\varphi_{\sigma(l+1)}$ or the edge in $\varphi_{\sigma(1)} \cdots \varphi_{\sigma(p-q)}$ permitting overlaps and \sum means the sum of all such forms. $T_{p,q}^{\tau,\sigma}$ is defined as:

$$T_{p,q}^{\tau,\sigma} = \operatorname{sgn}(\tau)\operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \frac{(-1)^{p + \frac{(p-q)(p-q-1)}{2}}}{2^{2p}\pi^{p}p!} \left(\int_{\Delta^{p-q}} \prod_{i < j} (t_{i-1}t_{j-1})^{r_{ij}} dt_{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dt_{p-q} \right)$$

where r_{ij} means the number of R_{ij} in each form.

Theorem 2.4. In the case of G = SO(6), the cocycle which represents the Euler class in $\Omega^6(NG)$ is the sum of the following $E_{1,5}$, $E_{2,4}$ and $E_{3,3}$:

$$-(dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)}(h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} \cdot \\ \left(2h_1^{-1}dh_1h_1^{-1}dh_1 + 2dh_2h_2^{-1}dh_2h_2^{-1} + h_1^{-1}dh_1dh_2h_2^{-1} + dh_2h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}dh_1\right)_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -(dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} \left(2h_1^{-1}dh_1h_1^{-1}dh_1 + 2dh_2h_2^{-1}dh_2h_2^{-1} + h_1^{-1}dh_1dh_2h_2^{-1} + dh_2h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}dh_1\right)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -(2h_1^{-1}dh_1dh_2h_2^{-1} + dh_2h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}dh_1\right)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -\left(2h_1^{-1}dh_1h_1^{-1}dh_1 + 2dh_2h_2^{-1}dh_2h_2^{-1} + h_1^{-1}dh_1dh_2h_2^{-1} + dh_2h_2^{-1}h_1^{-1}dh_1\right)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} \\ \cdot (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \right). \\ E_{3,3} = \frac{1}{2^6 \cdot 6^2\pi^3} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_6} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \cdot \\ \left((h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -(dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -(h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ +(h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ +(dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -(h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -(h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -(h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (h_1^{-1}dh_1)_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -(h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} (dh_2h_2^{-1})_{\tau(5)\tau(6)} \\ -(h_2dh_3h_3^{-1}h_2^{-1})_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} (dh_2h$$

3. The cocycle in a local truncated complex

We recall the filtered local simplicial de Rham complex due to Brylinski [3].

Definition 3.1 ([3]). The filtered local simplicial de Rham complex $F^p\Omega_{loc}^{*,*}(NG)$ over a simplicial manifold NGis defined as follows:

$$F^{p}\Omega_{\text{loc}}^{r,s}(NG) = \begin{cases} \varinjlim_{1 \in V \subset G^{r}} \Omega^{s}(V) & \text{if } s \geq p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $F^p\Omega^*(NG)$ be a filtered complex

$$F^p\Omega^{r,s}(NG) = \begin{cases} \Omega^s(NG(r)) & \text{if } s \geq p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and $[\sigma_{\leq p}\Omega^*(NG)]$ a truncated complex

$$[\sigma_{< p}\Omega^{r,s}(NG)] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \ge p\\ \Omega^s(NG(r)) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then there is an exact sequence:

$$0 \to F^p\Omega^*(NG) \to \Omega^*(NG) \to [\sigma_{\leq n}\Omega^*(NG)] \to 0$$

which induces a boundary map $\beta: H^l(NG, [\sigma_{< p}\Omega^*_{\mathrm{loc}}]) \to H^{l+1}(NG, [F^p\Omega^*_{\mathrm{loc}}]).$ Let $\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_p$, $\mu_{p-q} \in \Omega^{p+q}(NG(p-q))$ be a cocycle in $\Omega^{2p}(NG)$. Using this cocycle, we can construct a cocycle η in $[\sigma_{< p}\Omega^*_{\mathrm{loc}}(NG)]$ in the following way.

We take a contractible open set $U \subset G$ containing 1. Using the same argument in [5], we can construct mappings $\{\sigma_l : \Delta^l \times U^l \to U\}_{0 \le l}$ inductively with the following properties:

(1)
$$\sigma_0(pt) = 1$$
;

(2)

$$\sigma_l(\varepsilon^j(t_0, \dots, t_{l-1}); h_1, \dots, h_l) = \begin{cases} \sigma_{l-1}(t_0, \dots, t_{l-1}; \varepsilon_j(h_1, \dots, h_l)) & \text{if } j \ge 1\\ h_1 \cdot \sigma_{l-1}(t_0, \dots, t_{l-1}; h_2, \dots, h_l) & \text{if } j = 0. \end{cases}$$

We define mappings $\{f_{m,q}: \Delta^q \times U^{m+q-1} \to G^m\}$ as

$$f_{m,q}(t_0,\cdots,t_q;h_1,\cdots,h_{m+q-1}):=(h_1,\cdots,h_{m-1},\sigma_q(t_0,\cdots,t_q;h_m,\cdots,h_{m+q-1})).$$

A (2p-m-q)-form $\beta_{m,q}$ on U^{m+q-1} is defined as $\beta_{m,q}=(-1)^m\int_{\Delta^q}f_{m,q}^*\mu_m$. Then we define the cochain η as the sum of following η_l on U^{2p-1-l} for $0\leq l\leq p-1$:

$$\eta_l := \sum_{m+q=2p-l, \ p>m>1} \beta_{m,q}.$$

Theorem 3.1 ([3][8]). $\eta := \eta_0 + \cdots + \eta_{p-1}$ is a cocycle in $[\sigma_{< p}\Omega^*_{\rm loc}(NG)]$ whose cohomology class is mapped to $[\mu_1 + \cdots + \mu_p]$ in $H^{2p}(NG, [F^p\Omega^*_{\rm loc}])$ by a boundary map $\beta : H^{2p-1}(NG, [\sigma_{< p}\Omega^*_{\rm loc}]) \to H^{2p}(NG, [F^p\Omega^*_{\rm loc}])$.

4. Construction of a Lie algebra cocycle

For any Lie group G, let $C^{\infty}_{loc}(G^p,\mathbb{R})$ denote the group of germs at $(1,\cdots,1)$ of smooth functions $G^p\to\mathbb{R}$ and $H^p_{loc}(G,\mathbb{R})$ denote the cohomology group of the following complex:

$$\cdots \to C^{\infty}_{loc}(G^p, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\delta := \sum_{i=0}^{p+1} (-1)^i \varepsilon_i^*} C^{\infty}_{loc}(G^{p+1}, \mathbb{R}) \to \cdots$$

Brylinski constructed a natural cochain map $\phi: C^p_{loc}(G,\mathbb{R}) \to C^p(\mathcal{G},\mathbb{R})$ as follows:

$$\phi(c)(\xi_1,\cdots,\xi_p):=$$

$$\left[\frac{\partial^p}{\partial y_1 \cdots \partial y_p} \sum_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_p} \operatorname{sgn}(\rho) c(\exp(y_{\rho(1)} \xi_{\rho(1)}), \cdots, \exp(y_{\rho(p)} \xi_{\rho(p)}))\right]_{y_i = 0}$$

where $C^p(\mathcal{G},\mathbb{R})$ is the space of smooth alternating multilinear maps $\mathcal{G} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\xi_i \in \mathcal{G}$. For example, if we take $\delta c \in C^\infty_{loc}(G^2,\mathbb{R})$ and set $X_{\rho(i)} := \exp(y_{\rho(i)}\xi_{\rho(i)})$ then

$$\phi(\delta c)(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} \sum_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_2} \operatorname{sgn}(\rho)(\delta c)(X_{\rho(1)}, X_{\rho(2)})\right]_{y_i = 0}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} \sum_{\rho \in \mathfrak{S}_2} \mathrm{sgn}(\rho) (c(X_{\rho(2)}) - c(X_{\rho(1)} X_{\rho(2)}) + c(X_{\rho(1)})) \right]_{y_i = 0}$$

$$= \left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial u_1 \partial u_2} (-c(X_1 X_2 - X_2 X_1)) \right]_{y_i = 0} = (d(\phi(c)))(\xi_1, \xi_2).$$

Let LU be the free loop space of a contractible open set $U \subset SO(4)$ containing 1 and $\mathrm{ev}: LU \times S^1 \to U$ be the evaluation map, i.e. for $\gamma \in LU$ and $\theta \in S^1$, $\mathrm{ev}(\gamma,\theta)$ is defined as $\gamma(\theta)$. Then $\int_{S^1} \mathrm{ev}^* \mathrm{maps} \ \eta_1 \in \Omega^1(U^2)$ to a cochain in $\Omega^0(LU^2)$. This cochain defines a cohomology class in local cohomology group $H^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(LSO(4),\mathbb{R})$. So as an application of Theorem 3.2, we can obtain a cocycle in $\phi(\int_{S^1} \mathrm{ev}^* \eta_1) \in C^2(L\mathfrak{so}(4),\mathbb{R})$.

Now we compute this cocycle. We define:

$$a := \int_{S^1} \operatorname{ev}^* \int_{\Delta^2} f_{1,2}^* E_{1,3}, \quad b := \int_{S^1} \operatorname{ev}^* \int_{\Delta^1} f_{2,1}^* E_{2,2}, \quad c := \int_{S^1} \operatorname{ev}^* \eta_1$$

then $c(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = a(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) + b(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in LU$. Recall that

$$f_{1,2}(t_0, t_1, t_2; \gamma_1(\theta), \gamma_2(\theta)) = \sigma_2(t_0, t_1, t_2; \gamma_1(\theta), \gamma_2(\theta))$$

$$f_{2,1}(t_0, t_1, t_2; \gamma_1(\theta), \gamma_2(\theta)) = (\gamma_1(\theta), \sigma_1(t_0, t_1; \gamma_2(\theta))).$$

In this case we can take:

$$\gamma_i(\theta) = \exp(y_i \xi_i(\theta))$$

$$\sigma_1(t_0, t_1; \exp(y_2 \xi_2(\theta))) := \exp(t_1 y_2 \xi_2(\theta))$$

$$\sigma_2(t_0,t_1,t_2;\exp(y_1\xi_1(\theta)),\exp(y_2\xi_2(\theta))):=\exp((1-t_0)y_1\xi_1(\theta))\exp(t_2y_2\xi_2(\theta))$$

where $\xi_i \in L\mathfrak{so}(4)$. By observing the coefficient of y_1y_2 , we see $\phi(a(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)) = 0$.

We define a map $\beta_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2}: S^1 \times \Delta^1 \to SO(4) \times SO(4)$ as follows:

$$\beta_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2}(\theta;t_0,t_1) := (\gamma_1(\theta),\sigma_1(t_0,t_1;\gamma_2(\theta))).$$

Then $b(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \int_{S^1 \times \Lambda^1} \beta_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2}^* E_{2,2}$ and up to $O(|y_1|^2)$ and $O(|y_2|^2)$,

$$\frac{\partial \beta_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2}}{\partial \theta} = \left(y_1 \frac{\partial \xi_1(\theta)}{\partial \theta}, t_1 y_2 \frac{\partial \xi_2(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \right), \quad \frac{\partial \beta_{\gamma_1,\gamma_2}}{\partial t_1} = \left(0, y_2 \xi_2(\theta) \right).$$

Therefore

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial y_1 \partial y_2} b(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)\right]_{y_i = 0} = \frac{-1}{128\pi^2} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_4} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \int_0^1 \left(\frac{\partial \xi_1(\theta)}{\partial \theta}\right)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} \xi_2(\theta)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} d\theta.$$

Now we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. There exists a Lie algebra 2-cocycle α on $L\mathfrak{so}(4)$ which is expressed as follows:

$$\alpha(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \frac{-1}{128\pi^2} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{S}_4} \left(\operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \cdot \right)$$

$$\int_0^1 \biggl(\biggl(\frac{\partial \xi_1(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \biggr)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} \xi_2(\theta)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} - \biggl(\frac{\partial \xi_2(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \biggr)_{\tau(1)\tau(2)} \xi_1(\theta)_{\tau(3)\tau(4)} \biggr) d\theta \biggr).$$

References

- [1] Bott, R., On the Chern-Weil homomorphism and the continuous cohomology of the Lie group. Adv. in Math. 11 (1973), 289-303.
- [2] Bott, R., Shulman, H. and Stasheff, J., On the de Rham Theory of Certain Classifying Spaces. Adv. in Math. 20 (1976), 43-56.
- [3] Brylinski, J-L., Differentiable cohomology of gauge groups, math.DG/0011069.
- [4] Dupont, J.L., Simplicial de Rham cohomology and characteristic classes of flat bundles. *Topology* Vol 15(1976),233-245, Perg Press.
- [5] Dupont, J.L., Curvature and Characteristic Classes, Lecture Notes in Math. 640, Springer Verlag, 1978.
- [6] Mostow, M. and Perchick, J., Notes on Gel'fand-Fuks Cohomology and Characteristic Classes (Lectures by Bott). In Eleventh Holiday Symposium. New Mexico State University, December 1973.
- [7] Segal, G., Classifying spaces and spectral sequences. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No.34 1968 105-112.
- [8] Suzuki, N., The Chern character in the Simplicial de Rham Complex. Nihonkai Mathematical Journal Vol.26 (2015), No1, pp.1-13.

Affiliations

Naoya Suzuki

ADDRESS: National Institute of the Technology, Akita College, 1-1, Iijima Bunkyo-cho, Akita-shi, Akita-ken, Japan.

E-MAIL: nysuzuki@akita-nct.ac.jp