

On the Geometry of Pseudo-Slant Submanifolds of a Cosymplectic Manifold

Süleyman Dirik * and Mehmet Atçeken

(Communicated by H. Hilmi HACISALİHOĞLU)

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we study pseudo-slant submanifolds of a Cosymplectic manifold. We research integrability conditions for the distributions which are involved in the definition of a pseudo-slant submanifold. The necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a pseudo-slant submanifold to be pseudo-slant product.

Keywords: Cosymplectic manifold; Slant submanifold; Pseudo-slant submanifold; Pseudo-slant product.

AMS Subject Classification (2010): 53C15; 53C25; 53C42; 53D15.

1. Introduction

The differential geometry of slant submanifolds has shown an increasing development since B.Y. Chen defined slant submanifolds in complex manifolds as a natural generalization of both invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds [9, 10]. After then many research articles have been appeared on the existence of these submanifolds in various know spaces. The slant submanifolds of an almost contact metric manifolds were defined and studied by A. Lotta [15]. After, such submanifolds were studied in [5] and by J. L. Cabrerizo et al, of Sasakian manifolds [6].

Semi-slant submanifolds of Kaehler manifold N. Papaghich [16], as a naturel generalization of slant submanifolds. After then, bi-slant submanifolds was introduced in a almost Hermitian manifold. Recently, Carriazo defined and studied bi-slant submanifolds in an almost Hermitian manifold and gave the notion of pseudo-slant submanifold in an almost Hermitian manifold. After then, V. A. Khan and M. A. Khan [12], defined and studied the contact version of pseudo-slant submanifold in a Sasakian manifold. Recently, M. Atçeken [2] studied slant and pseudo-slant submanifold in $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds.

The present paper is organized as follows.

In this paper, we study the geometry of the pseudo-slant submanifolds of a Cosymplectic manifold. In section 2, we review basic formulas and definitions for a Cosymplectic manifold and their submanifolds. In section 3, we recall the definition and some basic results of a pseudo-slant submanifold of almost contact metric manifold. We deal with the integrability of the distributions on the pseudo-slant submanifolds of a Cosymplectic manifold and then we obtain analogous results for these submanifolds in the setting of Cosymplectic manifolds. The necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a pseudo-slant submanifold to be pseudo-slant product.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we give some notations used throughout this paper. We recall some necessary fact and formulas from the theory of Cosymplectic manifolds and their submanifolds.

Let \widetilde{M} be a $(2m + 1)$ -dimensional C^∞ -differentiable manifold with the almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where ϕ is a tensor field of type $(1, 1)$, ξ is a vector field, η 1-form and g Riemannian metric on \widetilde{M} , satisfying

$$\phi^2 X = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta \circ \phi = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad \eta(X) = g(X, \xi) \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad g(\phi X, Y) = -g(X, \phi Y) \tag{2.3}$$

for any vector fields X, Y on \widetilde{M} .

An almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) is said to be normal if the almost complex structure J on the product manifold $\widetilde{M} \times R$ given by.

$$J(X, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt})$$

where f is the C^∞ -function on $\widetilde{M} \times \mathbb{R}$. The condition for normality in terms of ϕ, ξ and η is $[\phi, \phi] + 2d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$ on \widetilde{M} , where $[\phi, \phi](X, Y) = \phi^2 [X, Y] + [\phi X, \phi Y] - \phi [\phi X, Y] - \phi [X, \phi Y]$ is the Nijenhuis tensor of ϕ . Finally the fundamental 2-form Φ is defined by $\Phi(X, Y) = g(X, \phi Y)$.

An almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to be Cosymplectic, if it is normal and both Φ and η are closed, and structure equation of Cosymplectic manifold is given by

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = 0 \tag{2.4}$$

for any vector fields X, Y on \widetilde{M} .

Then, \widetilde{M} is called a Cosymplectic manifold, where $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of g . We have also on a Cosymplectic manifold \widetilde{M}

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X \xi = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M})$.

Now, let M be a submanifold of a contact metric manifold \widetilde{M} with the induced metric g . Also, let ∇ and ∇^\perp be the induced connections on the tangent bundle TM and the normal bundle $T^\perp M$ of M , respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulas are, respectively, given by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X V = -A_V X + \nabla_X^\perp V, \tag{2.7}$$

where h and A_V are the second fundamental form and the shape operator (corresponding to the normal vector field V), respectively, for the immersion of M into \widetilde{M} . The second fundamental form and shape operator are related by formula

$$g(A_V X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), V) \tag{2.8}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$.

If $h(X, Y) = 0$, for each $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ then M is said to be totally geodesic submanifold.

3. Pseudo-Slant Submanifolds of a Cosymplectic Manifold

In this section, we will obtain the integrability condition of the distributions of pseudo-slant submanifold of a Cosymplectic manifold. Also, the necessary and sufficient conditions are given for a pseudo-slant submanifold to be pseudo-slant product.

Now, let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \widetilde{M} . Then for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, we can write

$$\phi X = TX + NX, \tag{3.1}$$

where TX is the tangential component and NX is the normal component of ϕX . Similarly, for $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$, we can write

$$\phi V = tV + nV, \tag{3.2}$$

where tV is the tangential component and nV is also the normal component of ϕV .

Thus by using (2.1), (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$T^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi - tN, \quad NT + nN = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$Tt + tn = 0, \quad Nt + n^2 = -I. \tag{3.4}$$

Furthermore, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have $g(TX, Y) = -g(X, TY)$ and $V, U \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$, we get $g(U, nV) = -g(nU, V)$. These show that T and n are also skew-symmetric tensor fields. Moreover, for any $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$, we have

$$g(NX, V) = -g(X, tV), \tag{3.5}$$

which gives the relation between N and t .

Furthermore, the covariant derivatives of the tensor field T, N, t and n are, respectively, defined by

$$(\nabla_X T)Y = \nabla_X TY - T\nabla_X Y, \tag{3.6}$$

$$(\nabla_X N)Y = \nabla_X^\perp NY - N\nabla_X Y, \tag{3.7}$$

$$(\nabla_X t)V = \nabla_X tV - t\nabla_X^\perp V \tag{3.8}$$

and

$$(\nabla_X n)V = \nabla_X^\perp nV - n\nabla_X^\perp V. \tag{3.9}$$

A submanifold M is said to be invariant if N is identically zero, that is, $\phi X \in \Gamma(TM)$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. On the other hand, M is said to be anti-invariant if T is identically zero, that is, $\phi X \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. By an easy computation, we obtain the following formulas

$$(\nabla_X T)Y = A_{NY}X + th(X, Y) \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$(\nabla_X N)Y = nh(X, Y) - h(X, TY). \tag{3.11}$$

Similarly, for any $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ and $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, we obtain

$$(\nabla_X t)V = A_{nV}X - TA_V X \tag{3.12}$$

and

$$(\nabla_X n)V = -h(tV, X) - NA_V X. \tag{3.13}$$

Since M is tangent to ξ , making use of (2.5), (2.6), (2.8) and (3.1), we obtain

$$\nabla_X \xi = 0, h(X, \xi) = 0, A_V \xi = 0 \tag{3.14}$$

for all $V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$ and $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

In contact geometry, A. Lotta introduced slant submanifold as follows [15].

Definition 3.1. A submanifold M of an almost contact metric manifold \widetilde{M} is said to be a slant submanifold if for any $x \in M$ and $X \in T_x(M) - \xi$, the angle between ϕX and $T_x(M)$ is constant. The constant angle $\theta(x) \in [0, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ is called slant angel of M in \widetilde{M} . If $\theta = 0$ the submanifold is *invariant submanifold*, if $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ then it is *anti-invariant submanifold*, if $\theta \neq \{0, \frac{\pi}{2}\}$ then it is *proper slant submanifold*. [15]. The tangent bundle TM of M is decomposed as $TM = D \oplus \xi$, where the orthogonal complementary distribution D of ξ is know as the slant distribution on M . We have the following result in the setting of almost contact manifolds given by Cabrerizo et.al.

Theorem 3.1. Let M be a slant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \widetilde{M} such that $\xi \in \Gamma(TM)$. Then, M is slant submanifold if and only if there exists a constant $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that

$$T^2 = -\lambda(I - \eta \otimes \xi) \tag{3.15}$$

furthermore, in this case, if θ is the slant angle of M , then $\lambda = \cos^2 \theta$ [6].

Corollary 3.1. Let M be a slant submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \widetilde{M} with slant angle θ . Then for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, we have

$$g(TX, TY) = \cos^2 \theta \{g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\} \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$g(NX, NY) = \sin^2 \theta \{g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)\}. \tag{3.17}$$

It is well known that $th = 0$ plays an important role in the geometry of submanifolds. This means that the induced structure T is a cosymplectic structure on M . By using (3.10) and (3.14), we obtain

$$\eta((\nabla_X T)Y) = 0 \tag{3.18}$$

for $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$.

Definition 3.2. Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \widetilde{M} . M is said to be pseudo-slant of \widetilde{M} if there exist two orthogonal distributions D^\perp and D_θ on M such that:

- i) TM has the orthogonal direct decomposition $TM = D^\perp \oplus D_\theta$, $\xi \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$.
- ii) The distribution D^\perp is an anti-invariant, that is, $\phi D^\perp \subset T^\perp M$.
- iii) The distribution D_θ is a slant, that is, the slant angle between of D_θ and $\phi(D_\theta)$ is a constant.

If $\theta = 0$ then, the submanifold becomes a semi-invariant submanifold.

Let $m_1 = \dim(D^\perp)$ and $m_2 = \dim(D_\theta)$. We distinguish the following five cases.

- i) If $m_2 = 0$ or $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$, then M is an anti-invariant submanifold.
- ii) If $m_1 = 0$ and $\theta = 0$, then M is invariant submanifold.
- iii) If $m_1 = 0$ and $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$, then M is a proper slant submanifold.
- iv) If $m_2 m_1 \neq 0$ and $\theta = 0$, then M is a semi-invariant submanifold.
- v) If $m_2 m_1 \neq 0$ and $\theta \neq 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$, then M is a pseudo-slant submanifold [12].

If we denote the projections on D^\perp and D_θ by P_1 and P_2 , respectively, then for any vector field $X \in \Gamma(TM)$, we can write

$$X = P_1 X + P_2 X + \eta(X)\xi. \tag{3.19}$$

Now operating ϕ on both sides of equation (3.19), we have

$$\phi X = \phi P_1 X + \phi P_2 X$$

and

$$TX + NX = NP_1 X + TP_2 X + NP_2 X.$$

We can easily see

$$TX = TP_2 X, \quad NX = NP_1 X + NP_2 X$$

and

$$\phi P_1 X = NP_1 X, \quad TP_1 X = 0, \quad \phi P_2 X = TP_2 X + NP_2 X, \quad TP_2 X \in \Gamma(D_\theta).$$

If we denote the orthogonal complementary of $\phi(TM)$ in $T^\perp M$ by μ , then the normal bundle $T^\perp M$ can be decomposed as follows

$$T^\perp M = N(D^\perp) \oplus N(D_\theta) \oplus \mu. \tag{3.20}$$

We can easily see that the bundle μ is an invariant subbundle with respect to ϕ . Since D^\perp and D_θ are orthogonal distribution on M , $g(Z, X) = 0$ for each $Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$. Thus, by equation (2.3) and (3.1), we can write

$$g(NZ, NX) = g(\phi Z, \phi X) = g(Z, X) = 0$$

that is, the distributions $N(D^\perp)$ and $N(D_\theta)$ are also mutually perpendicular. In fact, the decomposition (3.20) is an orthogonal direct decomposition.

Theorem 3.2. *Let M be a submanifold of an almost contact metric manifold \widetilde{M} . Then D_θ is slant distribution if only and if there is a constant $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ such that*

$$(TP_2)^2 X = -\lambda X. \tag{3.21}$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$. In this case, the slant angle θ satisfies $\lambda = \cos^2 \theta$ [6].

Now, we construct on example of a pseudo-slant submanifold in an almost contact metric manifold.

Example 3.1. Let M be a submanifold of \mathbb{R}^7 defined by the equation

$$(u, v, s, t, z) = (\sqrt{3}u, v, v \sin \alpha, v \cos \alpha, s \cos t, -s \cos t, z).$$

We can easily to see that the tangent bundle of M is spanned by the tangent vectors

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 &= \sqrt{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \quad e_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} + \sin \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \cos \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \\ e_3 &= \cos t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} - \cos t \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}, \quad e_4 = -s \sin t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} + s \sin t \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} \\ e_5 &= \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \end{aligned}$$

For the contact structure ϕ of \mathbb{R}^7 , choosing

$$\begin{aligned} \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}, \quad \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3 \\ \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) &= 0, \quad \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad \eta = dz. \end{aligned}$$

For any vector field $W = \mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \nu_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \in T(\mathbb{R}^7)$, then we have

$$\phi W = \mu_i \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\right) + \nu_j \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_j}\right) + \lambda \phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = \mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - \nu_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\phi W, \phi W) &= g(\mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - \nu_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - \nu_j \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}) = \mu_i^2 + \nu_j^2, \\
 g(W, W) &= g(\mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \nu_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \nu_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = \mu_i^2 + \nu_j^2 + \lambda^2, \\
 \eta(W) &= g(W, \xi) = g(\mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \nu_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) = \lambda
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\phi^2 W = -\mu_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} - \nu_j \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} - \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \lambda \frac{\partial}{\partial z} = -W + \eta(W)\xi$$

for any $i, j = 1, 2, 3$. It follows that $g(\phi W, \phi W) = g(W, W) - \eta^2(W)$. Thus (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is an almost contact metric structure on \mathbb{R}^7 . We call the usual contact metric structure of \mathbb{R}^7 . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \phi e_1 &= \sqrt{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1}, \quad \phi e_2 = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \sin \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} - \cos \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \\
 \phi e_3 &= \cos t \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} + \cos t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}, \quad \phi e_4 = -s \sin t \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3} - s \sin t \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.
 \end{aligned}$$

By direct calculations, we can infer $D_\theta = span\{e_1, e_2\}$ is a slant distribution with slant angle

$$\cos \theta = \frac{g(e_2, \phi e_1)}{\|e_2\| \|\phi e_1\|} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}, \theta = 45^\circ. \text{ Since}$$

$$g(\phi e_3, e_1) = g(\phi e_3, e_2) = g(\phi e_3, e_4) = g(\phi e_3, e_5) = 0,$$

$$g(\phi e_4, e_1) = g(\phi e_4, e_2) = g(\phi e_4, e_3) = g(\phi e_4, e_5) = 0,$$

ϕe_3 and ϕe_4 are orthogonal to M and $D^\perp = span\{e_3, e_4\}$ is an anti-invariant distribution. Thus M is a 5-dimensional proper pseudo-slant submanifold of \mathbb{R}^7 with it's usual almost contact metric structure.

Moreover, for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and $U \in \Gamma(TM)$, also by using (2.4), (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(A_{NZ}W - A_{NW}Z, U) &= g(h(W, U), NZ) - g(h(Z, U), NW) \\
 &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_U W, \phi Z) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_U Z, \phi W) \\
 &= g(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_U Z, W) - g(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_U W, Z) \\
 &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_U \phi Z - (\tilde{\nabla}_U \phi)Z, W) \\
 &\quad + g((\tilde{\nabla}_U \phi)W - \tilde{\nabla}_U \phi W, Z) \\
 &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_U \phi Z, W) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_U \phi W, Z) \\
 &= -g(A_{NZ}U, W) + g(A_{NW}U, Z) \\
 &= g(A_{NW}Z - A_{NZ}W, U).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$A_{NZ}W = A_{NW}Z. \tag{3.22}$$

Theorem 3.3. Let M be pseudo-slant submanifold of Cosymplectic manifold \tilde{M} , then

$$\nabla_W^\perp NZ - \nabla_Z^\perp NW \in N(D^\perp)$$

for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

Proof. For any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and $V \in \mu$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\nabla_W^\perp NZ - \nabla_Z^\perp NW, V) &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_W \phi Z + A_{\phi Z}W - \tilde{\nabla}_Z \phi W - A_{\phi W}Z, V) \\
 &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_W \phi Z - \tilde{\nabla}_Z \phi W, V) \\
 &= g((\tilde{\nabla}_W \phi)Z + \phi \tilde{\nabla}_W Z, V) \\
 &\quad - g((\tilde{\nabla}_Z \phi)W + \phi \tilde{\nabla}_Z W, V) \\
 &= g(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_W Z, V) - g(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_Z W, V) \\
 &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z W, \phi V) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_W Z, \phi V) \\
 &= g(\nabla_Z W, \phi V) - g(\nabla_W Z, \phi V) \\
 &\quad + g(h(Z, W), \phi V) - g(h(W, Z), \phi V) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let M be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a Cosymplectic manifold \widetilde{M} . Then the anti-invariant distribution D^\perp is completely integrable and its maximal integral submanifold is an anti-invariant submanifold of \widetilde{M} .*

Proof. For any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$, by using (2.4), (2.6), (2.7) and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], X) &= g(\widetilde{\nabla}_Z W - \widetilde{\nabla}_W Z, X) = g(\widetilde{\nabla}_W X, Z) - g(\widetilde{\nabla}_Z X, W) \\ &= g(\phi \widetilde{\nabla}_W X, \phi Z) - g(\phi \widetilde{\nabla}_Z X, \phi W) \\ &= g(\widetilde{\nabla}_W \phi X, \phi Z) - g(\widetilde{\nabla}_Z \phi X, \phi W) \\ &\quad -g((\widetilde{\nabla}_W \phi)X, \phi Z) + g((\widetilde{\nabla}_Z \phi)X, \phi W) \\ &= g(\widetilde{\nabla}_W TX + \widetilde{\nabla}_W NX, NZ) \\ &\quad -g(\widetilde{\nabla}_Z TX + \widetilde{\nabla}_Z NX, NW) \\ &= g(h(TX, W), NZ) - g(h(TX, Z), NW) \\ &\quad +g(\nabla_W^\perp NX, NZ) - g(\nabla_Z^\perp NX, NW) \\ &= g(A_{NZ}W - A_{NW}Z, TX) + g(\nabla_W^\perp NX, NZ) \\ &\quad -g(\nabla_Z^\perp NX, NW) \end{aligned}$$

by using (3.7), (3.11) and (3.22), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], X) &= g(\nabla_W^\perp NX, NZ) - g(\nabla_Z^\perp NX, NW) \\ &= g((\nabla_W N)X + N\nabla_W X, NZ) \\ &\quad -g((\nabla_Z N)X + N\nabla_Z X, NW) \\ &= g(nh(W, X) - h(W, TX), NZ) \\ &\quad -g(nh(Z, X) - h(Z, TX), NW) \\ &\quad +g(N\nabla_W X, NZ) - g(N\nabla_Z X, NW) \\ &= -g(h(W, TX), NZ) + g(h(Z, TX), NW) \\ &\quad +g(N\nabla_W X, NZ) - g(N\nabla_Z X, NW) \end{aligned}$$

by using (3.17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], X) &= \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_W X, Z) - \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_Z X, W) \\ &= \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_Z W, X) - \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_W Z, X) \\ &= \sin^2 \theta g([Z, W], X) \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\cos^2 \theta g([Z, W], X) = 0.$$

Thus $[Z, W] \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, that is, anti-invariant distribution D^\perp is always integrable and its integral submanifold is an anti-invariant submanifold of \widetilde{M} . Thus the proof is complete. □

Now, by using (2.4), we have

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y = \widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi Y - \phi \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = 0.$$

Hence, by using (2.6), (2.7), (3.1) and (3.2), we obtain

$$-A_{NY}X + \nabla_X^\perp NY - T\nabla_X Y - N\nabla_X Y - th(X, Y) - nh(X, Y) = 0.$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$. From the tangent components of this last equation, we obtain

$$A_{NY}X + T\nabla_X Y + th(X, Y) = 0. \tag{3.23}$$

By interchange roles of X and Y in (3.23), we have

$$A_{NX}Y + T\nabla_Y X + th(X, Y) = 0 \tag{3.24}$$

which is equivalent to

$$T[X, Y] = A_{NX}Y - A_{NY}X.$$

From (3.22), we can easily see that the anti-invariant distribution D^\perp is always integrable.

Since the ambient manifold \widetilde{M} is Cosymplectic, for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$

$$(\widetilde{\nabla}_Z \phi)W = 0$$

which implies that

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_Z \phi W - \phi \widetilde{\nabla}_Z W = \widetilde{\nabla}_Z N W - \phi(\nabla_Z W + h(W, Z)) = 0.$$

So we have

$$-A_{NW}Z + \nabla_Z^\perp N W - T \nabla_Z W - N \nabla_Z W - th(W, Z) - nh(W, Z) = 0.$$

From the tangent components of the last equation, we obtain

$$A_{NW}Z + T \nabla_Z W + th(W, Z) = 0.$$

From the above equation, we conclude

$$T[W, Z] = A_{NW}Z + T \nabla_W Z + th(W, Z).$$

The anti-invariant distribution D^\perp is integrable, $\phi[Z, W] = N[Z, W]$ because of the tangent component of $\phi[Z, W]$ is zero. So we have

$$A_{NW}Z + T \nabla_W Z + th(W, Z) = 0. \tag{3.25}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$A_{NZ}W + T \nabla_Z W + th(Z, W) = 0. \tag{3.26}$$

Here, by using (3.22), (3.25) and (3.26), we obtain

$$(\nabla_Z T)W = (\nabla_W T)Z$$

Lemma 3.1. Let M be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a Cosymplectic manifold \widetilde{M} . Then we have

$$(\nabla_Z T)W = (\nabla_W T)Z \tag{3.27}$$

for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$.

Theorem 3.5. Let M be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a Cosymplectic manifold \widetilde{M} . Then the slant distribution D_θ is integrable if and only if

$$P_1 \{ \nabla_X T Y - T \nabla_Y X - A_{NY}X - th(X, Y) \} = 0 \tag{3.28}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$.

Proof. For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$, by using (2.4) and considering the tangential component, we obtain

$$T[X, Y] = \nabla_X T Y - T \nabla_Y X - A_{NY}X - th(X, Y). \tag{3.29}$$

Applying P_1 to (3.29), we get (3.28) □

Theorem 3.6. Let M be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a Cosymplectic manifold \widetilde{M} . Then the slant distribution D_θ is integrable if and only if

$$\nabla_Z^\perp N W - \nabla_W^\perp N Z + h(Z, T W) - h(W, T Z) \in \mu \oplus N(D_\theta)$$

for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$.

Proof. For any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ and $X \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, by using (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], X) &= g(\widetilde{\nabla}_Z W, X) - g(\widetilde{\nabla}_W Z, X) \\ &= g(\phi \widetilde{\nabla}_Z W, \phi X) + \eta(\widetilde{\nabla}_Z W)\eta(X) \\ &\quad - g(\phi \widetilde{\nabla}_W Z, \phi X) - \eta(\widetilde{\nabla}_W Z)\eta(X). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$g([Z, W], X) = g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \phi W, NX) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z \phi)W, NX) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_W \phi Z, NX) + (\tilde{\nabla}_W \phi)Z, NX).$$

Taking into account (2.4) and (3.1), we have

$$g([Z, W], X) = g(\tilde{\nabla}_Z(TW + NW), NX) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_W(TZ + NZ), NX).$$

Then from the Gauss and Weingarten formulas the above equation takes the form, we have

$$g([Z, W], X) = g(h(Z, TW), NX) + g(\nabla_Z^\perp NW, NX) - g(h(W, TZ), NX) - g(\nabla_W^\perp NZ, NX).$$

Since, we have $NX \in N(D^\perp) \subseteq T^\perp M$ we conclude

$$\nabla_Z^\perp NW - \nabla_W^\perp NZ + h(Z, TW) - h(W, TZ) \in \mu \oplus N(D_\theta).$$

□

Theorem 3.7. *Let M be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a Cosymplectic manifold \tilde{M} . Then the slant distribution D_θ is integrable if and only if*

$$TA_{NU}X + A_{NU}TX = 0$$

for any $U \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$.

Proof. For any $U \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$ and $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$, by direct calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], U) &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y - \tilde{\nabla}_Y X, U) \\ &= g(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Y, \phi U) - g(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_Y X, \phi U) \\ &= g(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_X Y, NU) - g(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_Y X, NU) \\ &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi Y, NU) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_Y \phi X, NU) \\ &\quad - g((\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi)Y, NU) + g((\tilde{\nabla}_Y \phi)X, NU). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by using (2.4) and (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], U) &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_Y NU, \phi X) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_X NU, \phi Y) \\ &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_Y NU, TX) + g(\tilde{\nabla}_Y NU, NX) \\ &\quad - g(\tilde{\nabla}_X NU, TY) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_X NU, NY). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (2.4), (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_X \phi)U &= \tilde{\nabla}_X \phi U - \phi \tilde{\nabla}_X U \\ 0 &= \tilde{\nabla}_X NU - T\nabla_X U - N\nabla_X U - th(X, U) - nh(X, U) \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$-A_{NU}X + \nabla_X^\perp NU = T\nabla_X U + N\nabla_X U + th(X, U) + nh(X, U).$$

From the tangential components, we obtain

$$-A_{NU}X = T\nabla_X U + th(X, U)$$

and

$$(\nabla_X N)U = nh(X, U). \tag{3.30}$$

Also, by using (3.7) and (3.30) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 g([X, Y], U) &= g(A_{NU}X, TY) - g(A_{NU}Y, TX) + g(\nabla_Y^\perp NU, NX) - g(\nabla_X^\perp NU, NY) \\
 &= -g(TA_{NU}X, Y) - g(A_{NU}TX, Y) + g((\nabla_Y N)U + N\nabla_Y U, NX) \\
 &\quad - g((\nabla_X N)U + N\nabla_X U, NY) \\
 &= -g(TA_{NU}X, Y) - g(A_{NU}TX, Y) + g(nh(Y, U), NX) + g(N\nabla_Y U, NX) \\
 &\quad - g(nh(X, U), NY) - g(N\nabla_X U, NY) \\
 &= -g(TA_{NU}X, Y) - g(A_{NU}TX, Y) + g(N\nabla_Y U, NX) - g(N\nabla_X U, NY) \\
 &= -g(TA_{NU}X, Y) - g(A_{NU}TX, Y) + \sin^2 \theta \{g(\nabla_Y U, X) - g(\nabla_X U, Y)\} \\
 &= -g(TA_{NU}X, Y) - g(A_{NU}TX, Y) + \sin^2 \theta \{g(\nabla_X Y, U) - g(\nabla_Y X, U)\} \\
 &= -g(TA_{NU}X, Y) - g(A_{NU}TX, Y) + \sin^2 \theta \{g([X, Y], U)\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

So we conclude

$$\cos^2 \theta \{[X, Y], U\} = -g(TA_{NU}X, Y) - g(A_{NU}TX, Y)$$

which verifies our assertion. □

For a pseudo-slant submanifold M of \widetilde{M} , the slant and anti-invariant distributions are totally geodesic in M , then M is called pseudo-slant product.

The following theorem characterizes the pseudo-slant product in Cosymplectic manifolds.

Theorem 3.8. *Let M be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a Cosymplectic manifold \widetilde{M} . Then M is a pseudo-slant product if and only if the second fundamental form h satisfies*

$$th(X, Z) = 0 \tag{3.31}$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proof. For all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$ and $U, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\nabla_X Y, U) &= -g(\nabla_X U, Y) = -g(\widetilde{\nabla}_X U, Y) \\
 &= -g(\phi \widetilde{\nabla}_X U, \phi Y) - \eta(\widetilde{\nabla}_X U)\eta(Y) \\
 &= g((\widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi)U - \widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi U, \phi Y) \\
 &\quad - g(\nabla_X U + h(X, U), \xi)\eta(Y) \\
 &= -g(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi U, \phi Y) - g(\nabla_X U, \xi)\eta(Y) \\
 &= -g(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi U, \phi Y) + g(\nabla_X \xi, U)\eta(Y) \\
 &= -g(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi U, TY) - g(\widetilde{\nabla}_X \phi U, NY).
 \end{aligned}$$

$\phi U = NU$ and using (3.14), we obtain

$$g(\nabla_X Y, U) = -g(\widetilde{\nabla}_X NU, TY) - g(\widetilde{\nabla}_X NU, NY).$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\nabla_X Y, U) &= g(A_{NU}X - \nabla_X^\perp NU, TY) + g(A_{NU}X - \nabla_X^\perp NU, NY) \\
 &= g(A_{NU}X, TY) - g((\nabla_X N)U, NY) - g(N\nabla_X U, NY) \\
 &= g(A_{NU}X, TY) - g(N\nabla_X U, NY) - g(nh(X, U), NY)
 \end{aligned}$$

hence using (3.14) and (3.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 g(\nabla_X Y, U) &= g(A_{NU}X, TY) - g(N\nabla_X U, NY) \\
 &= g(A_{NU}X, TY) - \sin^2 \theta \{g(\nabla_X U, Y) - \eta(\nabla_X U)\eta(Y)\} \\
 &= g(h(X, TY), NU) - \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_X U, Y) + \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_X U, \xi)\eta(Y) \\
 &= g(h(X, TY), NU) + \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_X Y, U) - \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_X \xi, U)\eta(Y) \\
 &= g(h(X, TY), NU) + \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_X Y, U)
 \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\cos^2 \theta g(\nabla_X Y, U) = g(h(X, TY), NU) = -g(th(X, TY), U). \quad (3.32)$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_V U, X) &= g(\tilde{\nabla}_V U, X) = -g(\tilde{\nabla}_V X, U) \\ &= -g(\phi \tilde{\nabla}_V X, \phi U) - \eta(\tilde{\nabla}_V X)\eta(U) \\ &= g((\tilde{\nabla}_V \phi)X, \phi U) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_V \phi X, \phi U). \end{aligned}$$

For $U, V \in \Gamma(D^\perp)$, since the tangent component of ϕU and TU are zero, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_V U, X) &= -g(\tilde{\nabla}_V \phi X, NU) + g((\tilde{\nabla}_V \phi)X, NU) \\ &= -g(\tilde{\nabla}_V \phi X, NU) = -g(\tilde{\nabla}_V TX, NU) - g(\tilde{\nabla}_V NX, NU) \\ &= -g(\nabla_V TX + h(TX, V), NU) + g(A_{NX}V - \nabla_V^\perp NX, NU) \\ &= -g(h(TX, V), NU) - g(\nabla_V^\perp NX, NU) \\ &= -g(h(TX, V), NU) - g((\nabla_V N)X + N\nabla_V X, NU) \end{aligned}$$

hence using (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_V U, X) &= -g(h(V, TX), NU) - g(N\nabla_V X, NU) \\ &\quad + g(h(V, TX), NU) - g(nh(V, X), NU) \\ &= -g(N\nabla_V X, NU) - g(nh(V, X), NU) \\ &= -g(nh(V, X), NU) + \sin^2 \theta g(\nabla_V U, X) \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\cos^2 \theta g(\nabla_V U, X) = -g(nh(V, X), NU) = g(th(V, X), U). \quad (3.33)$$

From equation (3.32) and (3.33). Thus D_θ and D^\perp are totally geodesic in M if and only if (3.31) is satisfied. \square

Theorem 3.9. *Let M be a pseudo-slant submanifold of a Cosymplectic manifold \tilde{M} . If N is parallel on D_θ , then either M is a D_θ -geodesic submanifold or $h(X, Y)$ is an eigenvector of n^2 with eigenvalue $-\cos^2 \theta$, for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$.*

Proof. For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D_\theta)$, from (3.11), we have

$$nh(X, Y) - h(X, TY) = 0. \quad (3.34)$$

On the other hand, since D_θ is a slant distribution, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= nh(X, Y - \eta(Y)\xi) - h(X, T(Y - \eta(Y)\xi)) \\ &= nh(X, Y - \eta(Y)\xi) - h(X, TY), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$nh(X, Y - \eta(Y)\xi) = h(X, TY). \quad (3.35)$$

Now, applying n to (3.35), we have

$$n^2 h(X, Y - \eta(Y)\xi) = nh(X, TY).$$

On the other hand, by interchanging of Y and TY in (3.34), we have

$$nh(X, TY) = h(X, T^2 Y).$$

Hence, using (3.15), we obtain

$$n^2 h(X, Y - \eta(Y)\xi) = nh(X, TY) = h(X, T^2 Y) = -\cos^2 \theta h(X, Y - \eta(Y)\xi).$$

This implies that either h vanishes on D_θ or h is an eigenvector of n^2 with eigenvalue $-\cos^2 \theta$. \square

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referee(s) for the useful comments, which improved the presentation of the manuscript.

References

- [1] Atçeken, M. and Dirik, S., On contact CR-submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds, *Acta Universitatis Sapientiae*, 4(2012), 182-198.
- [2] Atçeken M. and Hui, S. K., Slant and pseudo-slant submanifolds in $(LCS)_n$ -manifolds, *Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, 63(2013), 177-190.
- [3] Atçeken, M. and Dirik, S., On the geometry of pseudo-slant submanifolds of a Kenmotsu manifold, *Gulf journal of mathematics*, 2(2014), 51-66.
- [4] Blair, D., Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematic Springer-Verlog, New York, 509(1976).
- [5] Carriazo, A., New developments in slant submanifolds theory, Narasa Publishing Hause, New Delhi. india, 2000.
- [6] Cabrerizo, J. L. Carriazo, A. Fernandez L. M. and Fernandez, M., Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, *Glasgow Mathematical Journal*, 42(2000), 125-138.
- [7] Cabrerizo, J. L. Carriazo, A. Fernandez, L. M. and Fernandez, M., Slant submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds, *Geometriae Dedicata*, 78(1999), 183-199.
- [8] Chand De. U. and. Sarkar, A., On pseudo-slant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifolds, *Proceedings of the Estonian Academy of Sciences*, 60(2011), no.1, 1-11.
- [9] Chen, B.-Y., Geometry of slant submanifolds, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Leuven, Belgium, View at Zentralblatt Math., 1990.
- [10] Chen, B.-Y., Slant immersions, *Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society*, 41(1990), 135-147.
- [11] Dirik, S. and Atçeken, M., Pseudo-slant submanifolds of a nearly Cosymplectic manifold, *Turkish Journal of Mathematics Computer Science*, Article ID 20140035,14 page (2014).
- [12] Khan, V. A and. Khan, M. A., Pseudo-slant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, *Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 38(2007), 31-42.
- [13] Khan, M. A. Uddin, S. and Singh, K., classification on totally umbilical proper slant and hemi-slant submanifolds of a nearly trans-Sasakian manifold, *Differential Differential Geometry - Dynamical Systems*, 13(2011), 117-127.
- [14] Khan, M. A., Totally umbilical hemi slant submanifolds of Cosymplectic manifolds, *Mathematica Aeterna*, 3(2013), no. 8, 845-853.
- [15] Lotta, A., Slant submanifolds in contact geometry, *Bulletin Mathematical Society Roumanie*, 39(1996), 183-198.
- [16] Papaghuic, N., Semi-slant submanifolds of a Kaehlerian manifold, *An. St. Univ. Al. I. Cuza. Univ. Iasi*, 40(2009), 55-61.
- [17] Uddin, S. Ozel, C. Khan, M. A. and Singh, K., Some classification result on totally umbilical proper slant and hemi slant submanifolds of a nearly Kenmotsu manifold, *international journal of physical Scienses*, 7(2012), 5538-5544.
- [18] Uddin, S. Bernardine, W. R. and. Mustafa, A. A., Warped product pseudo-slant submanifolds of a nearly Cosymplectic manifold, *Hindawi Publishing Corporation Abstract and Applied Analysis*, Volume , Article ID 420890, 13 pp, doi:10.1155/2012/420890 (2012).

Affiliations

SÜLEYMAN DIRİK

ADDRESS: Amasya University, Dept. of Statistics, 05000, Amasya-Turkey.

E-MAIL: suleyman.dirik@amasya.edu.tr, E-MAIL: slymndirik@gmail.com

MEHMET ATÇEKEN

ADDRESS: Gaziosmanpasa University, Dept. of Mathematics, 60100, Tokat-Turkey.

E-MAIL: mehmet.atceken382@gmail.com