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ABSTRACT
Purpose- In this article, we examine the link between current account and energy prices, namely crude oil, coal and natural gas in Turkey the years between 2005 and 2016.

Methodology- This article investigates how the changes in the energy prices affect the current account in Turkey. For this purpose, a Factor-Augmented Vector Auto Regression (FAVAR) model is used with economic data from the Turkish economy and world commodity price indexes to obtain empirical results for current account dynamics in the Turkish economy. The impact of various factors that include crude oil prices, coal prices, natural gas prices data have been studied by obtaining impulse response functions.

Findings- Natural gas prices gradually affect the current account. The impact is permanent after a period indicating a very limited elasticity. Oil prices have an immediate impact on the current account. Swings, in the long run, indicate some degree of elasticity. The negative effect of coal price on the current account deficit occurs with a significant delay.

Conclusion- We can conclude that, from all of the energy indices, the crude oil price is the most effective on the current account.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Turkey has affected oil prices heavily. Efforts to raise the growth rates of developing countries as well as developed countries have increased energy demand. Despite improvements in renewable energy sources, the largest share in energy consumption is 80% of the fossil fuels in the World (World Bank data). With the increase in demand for fossil fuels and political risks in oil-producing countries have led to fluctuations in energy prices since 1973. The impact of rising oil prices on economies has attracted the interest of economists especially after 1980 (Hamilton 1983, 1988, 1996, 2003, 2009; Hamilton and Herrera, 2004; Mork, 1989; Barsky and Kilian, 2004). Rises in oil prices affect new investments, trade, and output negatively by increasing production costs. After 1990, the world faced rapid economic growth in especially in China and India. According to the U.S. Energy Information Agency, China became the world largest net importer of oil and also became the largest primary energy consumer in 2016. Under the influence of the Iraq war, oil prices started to increase in 2003. Rising oil prices and 2007-2008 price shocks hit current accounts in oil importer developing countries. Current account deficit is also very related to oil prices in Turkey.

Current Account is the primary account of the balance of payments. The current account measures all transactions that involve economic values of goods, services, primary income, and secondary income. The goods produced in a country and exported to other countries are also part of the national product at the same time. A current account surplus economy saves money instead of investing and thus provides resources for other countries’ economies. On the other hand, an economy that generates a current account deficit borrows from other countries and invests more than its savings. Current account shows the financing needs of countries and saving trends. Current account deficit or surplus is closely related to the basic size of the country such as national income and employment level, growth rate, interest rate and inflation rate. Turkey has current account deficit mostly except for a couple of crisis years.

Turkey has long been oil importer country dealing with of current account deficit and so that Turkey is a good “Small Open Economy” example for investigating oil prices and current account. For this reason, this topic has recently attracted the interest of researchers. To the knowledge of the author, it is the first time that a FAVAR model used for investigating oil, coal, natural gas prices and current account relationship.
2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Despite the importance of developing countries, there is a limited study that analyzed the relationship between current account and oil price. Vidal and Ruiz (2016) use panel data to estimate the effect of oil price slump after 2014 on structural and cyclical current account in 98 countries. According to this study, Turkey is one of the most benefited countries regarding cyclical effects. Oil exporter countries structurally damaged with this oil price decrease. Barnett and Straub (2008) used the structural VAR approach to identify the impact of monetary policy, private absorption, technology, and oil price shocks on the current account fluctuations in the United States. According to the study, monetary policy shocks and private absorption shocks had a much more important role than oil prices on the deterioration in Current Account in the USA over time.

Ang and Sek (2011) used Generalized methods of moments analyzing the determinants of current account dynamics in ten economies from 1973 to 2010. Besides world oil prices, interest rate, the exchange rate and consumer price index are the major determinants of the current account deficit.

Erdogan and Bozkurt (2009) carried out MGARCH methodology for estimating the determinants of the current account deficit in Turkey. In this study, oil prices are still very decisive. M2, export/import coverage ratio, inflation, inflation uncertainty, exchange rate, exchange rate uncertainty, and share of foreign direct investment in GDP are the other determinants of the current account deficit.


Basarr and Ercakar (2016) also used VAR analysis to investigate the effect of crude oil prices and exchange rates on the current account. Besel (2017) used Gregory-Hansen Cointegration Test and Toda-Yamamoto Causality Test to investigate oil prices and current account deficit dynamics. Yalta and Yalta used a maximum entropy bootstrap method to calculate dependency on imported oil and its effects on the current account.

3. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

3.1. FAVAR Method

The Factor-Augmented VAR (FAVAR) method, developed by Bernanke, Boivin and Eliasz (2005), has distinct advantages over the standard VAR. The FAVAR method is based on the Dynamic Factor Models (DFM), developed by Geweke (1977). With FAVAR, large macroeconomic time series can be summarized by a relatively small number of prediction 'factors'. FAVAR can predict the macroeconomic factors that occur in large data sets systematically and consistently. In this respect, the FAVAR method is a natural solution to the degrees-of-freedom problem in the VAR analysis (Bagzibagli, 2012). Also, impulse response functions for a large number of variables can be obtained by the FAVAR method, and factors that cannot be represented by a single time series (such as economic activity) can also be included in the model. FAVAR method is widely used in many economic types of research today. If a small number of forecasting factors can effectively summarize large amounts of information about an economy, with the help of increasing the number of standard VAR using forecasting factors could be a solution to the degrees-of-freedom problem in VAR analysis.

To explain the FAVAR method, let us assume is an observable economic variables vector with size Mx1 and these variables has a widespread influence on the economy. In this stage, the aim may be to reveal or predict the structural relationship between variables. If our aim is only to uncover structural relationships between variables, we can follow standard methods and continue with a VAR approach, structural VAR (SVAR) or other multivariate time series estimation models using. However, in many applications, there may be additional unobservable but important economic information that is not included in . Let us suppose that we can summarize this additional information with Kx1 vector, . For example, "economic activity" or "credit conditions" are factors that can not be observed. These factors, which we cannot easily represent with one or two series, affect the overall economy.

Assume that the joint dynamics of (Ft, Yt) are defined by:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
F_t \\
Y_t
\end{bmatrix} = \Phi(L) \begin{bmatrix}
F_{t-1} \\
Y_{t-1}
\end{bmatrix} + \nu_t
\]

where \(\nu_t\) is a lag polynomial of order d, which may contain a priori restrictions as in the structural VAR studies. \(\nu_t\) is the zero-mean error term with covariance matrix \(\Sigma\).

Equation (1) is a factor-augmented vector autoregression (FAVAR) and therefore, there is a direct mapping into the existing VAR results and provides a way of assessing the marginal contribution of the information included in . Besides, Equation (1) will, in general lead to biased estimates of the VAR coefficients and impulse response coefficients if the true system is a FAVAR.

3.2. Data

The dataset in this study consists of 50 items and is provided from the IMF, World Bank, CBRT, www.tradingeconomics.com website and TURKSTAT (Turkish Statistical Institute) data sources. The data set consists of monthly data covering the period January 2005 - December 2010.
2016 and given in Appendix-1. In addition to Turkish economy data such as the TUF index, CBRT interest rate, unemployment rate and current account, world commodity price indexes such as oil, natural gas and cotton are included in the dataset.

We implemented the Augmented Dickey-Fuller Test (ADF) to the dataset in MATLAB. Level difference and logarithmic difference transformations are applied to make the dataset stationary. ADF test results and p-values after the applied transformations. Since the items in the data set are in different sizes and units, the standardization process is used before they are used in the model. After the arithmetic means is subtracted from the time series value and the difference is divided by the standard deviation, the new series average is zero and the standard deviation is one.

4. FINDINGS

In this section, crude oil prices, coal prices, natural gas prices are used to investigate the main factors affecting the current account. We present impulse response functions to examine the effects of these variables on the current balance. The magnitude of the shock value is the standard deviation of the selected variable. Plus or minus sign of the shock value determines the direction of the impulse response function according to the equilibrium point, but these responses are symmetrical to the equilibrium position. In other words, the absolute value of the reactions is the same.

4.1. International Crude Oil Prices

The IMF crude oil index POILAPSP is calculated by taking simple averages of the three spot prices (Brent, West Texas Intermediate and Dubai Fateh). Figure 1 shows the current account changes following an increase in oil prices in the FAVAR model. For Turkey, where oil is a primary import commodity, an increase in oil prices has an impact on the current balance minus. It is evident from Figure 1 that oil prices are inversely proportional to the current account deficit in Turkey. The magnitude of the effect increases for approximately 20 periods, decreases after reaching its maximum value and oscillates around an average of -0.04.

Figure 1: The Impact of One Standard Deviation Increase in International Oil Price Index on the Current Account Balance

The spread of effect size over time may be due to two factors. The first is the time lag between the spot price of petroleum and the price on the date of import (2-3 months), and the other is the time for price adjustments of imported goods, which are partly dependent on oil prices, such as natural gas. Moreover, the decrease in demand after the increase in the price of petroleum products may explain the upward movement after the 20th period.

4.2. Coal Prices

Coal Price Index data is taken from www.tradingeconomics.com website. An increase in international coal price will not affect current account deficit in the first ten periods as shown in Figure 2. One explanation for this is that local coal resources can substitute the imported coal. We see clearly from the graph that there is a time lag between an increase in international coal price and its effect the on current account. On the other hand, current account deficit grows significantly after the tenth period.
4.3. Natural Gas Price

Natural gas price (Russian Natural Gas border price in Germany) data is taken from www.tradingeconomics.com website. An increase in international natural gas price will affect the current account in minus direction and after ten periods this change becomes permanent as shown in Figure 3.

5. CONCLUSION

In this study, we investigate the impact of the crude oil prices, coal prices, natural gas prices on current account dynamics of the Turkish economy. For this purpose, a FAVAR model was used. The dataset included in the FAVAR model covers the period from January 2005 to December 2016 and consists of 50 items. In addition to data such as the consumer price index, unemployment rate and industrial production index of the Turkish economy, world commodity price indices such as crude oil, natural gas and cotton are also included in the dataset. Impulse response functions were obtained to examine different fuel prices affecting the current account dynamics. In this comprehensive study crude oil prices, coal prices, natural gas prices deteriorate current account balance. Natural gas prices gradually affect the current account. The impact is permanent after a period indicating a very limited elasticity. Oil prices have an immediate impact on the current account. Swings, in the long run, indicate some degree of elasticity. The negative effect of coal price on the current account deficit occurs with a significant delay. We can conclude that, from all of the energy indices, the crude oil price is the most effective on the current account.
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