

GIDA

THE JOURNAL OF FOOD

E-ISSN 1309-6273, ISSN 1300-3070

Research / Araştırma GIDA (2019) 44 (4): 654-671 doi: 10.15237/gida.GD19028

DRYING CHARACTERISTICS OF RED BEET (*BETA VULGARIS ESCULENTACRUENTA*) PUREE IN A MICROWAVE OVEN

S. Nur Dirim^{1*}, Meryem Talih¹, Gülşah Çalışkan Koç²

¹Ege University, Department of Food Engineering, Izmir, Turkey ²Alanya Hamdullah Emin Paşa University, Art Faculty, Department of Gastronomy and Culinary Arts, Antalya, Turkey

Received / Geliş: 28.01.2019; Accepted / Kabul: 24.06.2019; Published online / Online bask1: 24.07.2019

Dirim, S. N., Talih, M., Çalışkan Koç, G. (2019). Drying characteristics of red beet (Beta vulgaris esculentacruenta) puree in a microwave oven. GIDA (2019) 44 (4): 654-671 doi: 10.15237/gida.GD19028

Dirim, S. N., Talih, M., Çalışkan Koç, G. (2019). Kırmızı pancar püresinin (*Beta vulgaris esculentacruenta*) mikrodalga fırında kuruma kinetiği. GIDA (2019) 44 (4): 654-671 doi: 10.15237/gida.GD19028

ABSTRACT

The aims of this study are to observe the effects of different microwave power and amount of samples on the drying characteristics of red beet puree, to determine the physical properties of the red beet powders and to calculate the energy efficiency of the drying process. The drying experiments were conducted at five different microwave power and thicknesses of the sample. Seven thin-layer drying models were fitted to the experimental data and Page model which had the highest R² and lowest χ^2 and RMSE for all drying experiments was found to satisfactorily describe the drying behavior of red beet puree. The total drying times increased depending on an increasing amount of sample and decreasing microwave power. The D_{eff} and Ea values ranged between 1.095E-08 to 3.438E-06 m².s⁻¹ and 14.35 to 77.35W.g⁻¹, respectively. The energy efficiency values of the drying processes are evaluated in terms of MER and SEC.

Keywords: Red beet puree, microwave drying, thin layer modeling, effective moisture diffusivity, energy consumption, activation energy

KIRMIZI PANCAR PÜRESİNİN (*BETA VULGARIS ESCULENTACRUENTA*) MİKRODALGA FIRINDA KURUMA KİNETİĞİ

ÖΖ

Bu çalışmanın amaçları farklı mikrodalga güçleri ve ürün miktarlarının kırmızı pancar püresinin kuruma karakteristiği üzerine etkisinin gözlenmesi, elde edilen kırmızı pancar püresi tozunun fiziksel özelliklerinin belirlenmesi ve kurutma işleminin verimliliğinin hesaplanmasıdır. Bu amaçla, kurutma çalışmaları beş farklı mikrodalga gücü ve ürün kalınlığında gerçekleştirilmiştir. Kırmızı pancar püresinin kuruma kinetiğinin belirlenmesi için, yedi ince tabaka kuruma modeli deneysel verilere uyarlanmıştır. En yüksek R² ve en düşük χ^2 ve RMSE değerleriyle Page modelin kırmızı pancar püresinin kuruma davranışını tanımladığı gözlenmiştir. Kırmızı pancar püresinin kuruma davranışını tanımladığı gözlenmiştir. Kırmızı pancar püresinin kuruma davranışını tanımladığı gözlenmiştir. Ayrıca, etkin nem difüzyonu ve aktivasyon enerjisi değerleri sırasıyla 1.095E-08 - 3.438E-06 m². s⁻¹ ve 14.35 - 77.35W. g⁻¹ arasında değişmiştir. Kurutma işlemlerinin enerji verimliliğinin belirlenmesi için nem uzaklaştırma hızı (MER) ve özgün enerji tüketimi (SEC) değerleri hesaplanmıştır.

Anahtar kelimeler: Kırmızı pancar püresi, mikrodalga kurutma, ince tabaka modelleme, etkin nem difüzyonu, enerji tüketimi, aktivasyon enerjisi

**Corresponding author* / Yazışmalardan sorumlu yazar;

Red beet (Beta vulgaris esculentacruenta) being one of the important root vegetable crops in the world, is also one of the major sources of betalains which are used both as natural colorants to enhance the redness of different food products such as dairy products, ice creams, jams, tomato paste, beverages, desserts, and important minerals (calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium) (López et al., 2009; Obón et al., 2009). Agricultural products continue their respiratory activity which causes deterioration of products quickly even after harvest. Drying is an alternative method to increase the post-harvest durability of agricultural products (Ertekin and Yaldiz, 2004; Alibas, 2006). Drying can be accomplished using many different methods such as spray, freeze, sun, microwave drying, etc. The most common drying methods are the sun and convective drying which at the same time have some disadvantages such as long drying time, microbial growth, loss of nutritional content, and high amount of energy consumption, etc. (Toğrul, 2006). For the given reasons above, the alternative drying methods must be used for decreasing the drying time and energy consumption and preventing the loss of nutritional compounds (Alibas - Özkan et al., 2007). In recent years, microwave drying has become a widely used method due to a decrease in drying time and energy consumption and the protection of the nutrients content (Alibas, 2006). In microwave heating, the internal generation of fast and uniform heating heat provides throughout the food product. Microwave drying results in high drying rates of the food because of the quick absorption of energy by the water molecules that cause rapid evaporation of water, therefore, creates an outward flux of rapidly escaping vapor from the food (Sharma and Prasad, 2006). The energy consumption of microwave drying is low because of the volumetric heating effect by microwaves, which reduced the drying time considerably. The microwave drying method was used for several kind of foods such as corn husk (Akdoğan et al., 2017), Swiss chard leaves (Alibas, 2006), spinach (Alibas-Özkan et al., 2007), kiwifruits (Maskan, 2001), banana (Maskan, 2000), nettle leaves (Alibas, 2007), mint (Özbek and Dadali 2007), apple pomace (Wang et al., 2007a), and celery leaves (Demirhan and Ozbek, 2011).

There are several types of research related with the drving of red beet using methods such as freeze-drying (Lejeune et al., 1991), tray drying (Kaleta and Gornicki, 2010), hot air drying (Gökhlae and Lele, 2011), microwave-convection drying (Singh et al., 2013), and spray drying red beet juice (Koul et al., 2002). So far, there is no published study about modeling and effective diffusivity of the microwave drying of red beet puree. The studies on the drying of red beet, which has a high amount of betalain pigments, provides a great significance for obtaining natural colorants (Bazaria and Kumar, 2016), increasing the consumption, creating new usage areas, and reducing environmental pollution by recycling the red beets produced in excess amounts of the demand. In addition, the dried products have some advantages such as easy-storage, smartpackaging, and easy transportation, and they can be used as natural and easily measurable ingredients in food recipes (Caliskan and Dirim, 2013). The aims of this study are to observe the effects of different microwave power settings (in Watt) and the amount (grams) of the samples on the drying characteristics of red beet puree, to determine the physical properties of the obtained red beet powders and to calculate the energy efficiency of the drying process.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Materials

The red beets were purchased from a local supermarket in Izmir, Turkey and were washed, peeled, and mashed with a home type blender (Tefal Smart, MB450141, Turkey).

Microwave Drying

The experiments were performed using a domestic microwave oven (Arçelik MD 595, Turkey) at 2450 MHz with a maximum output power of 900 W. The dimensions of the microwave inner cavity were 220x 330x 360mm. The drying experiments were performed at five different microwave power settings (180, 360, 540, 720, and 900W). The oven has a glass plate with a 300 mm diameter at the base of the oven.

Five different sample amounts (9, 12, 15, 18, and 21g) (corresponding to the thicknesses of 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7mm) were placed in petri dishes as a thin layer on the rotating glass plate in the oven. In order to determine the moisture losses, the petri dishes were taken out at uniform intervals (30s) and weighed using a digital scale with an 0.01 precision (Ohaus AR2140, USA). The drying experiments were completed when the weight of the sequent samples reached the same weight. The powder was obtained by grinding the dried material for one minute in a home type blender (Tefal Smart, MB450141, Turkey). Then, the powders were stored in heat-sealed aluminumlaminated multilayer commercial polyethylene packaging materials in the dark at room temperature until further tests were carried out.

Mathematical modeling of the drying data

The moisture ratio (MR) of the red beet puree samples was calculated during the microwave drying using equation (1).

$$MR = \frac{M_t - M_e}{M_o - M_e}$$
(1)

Where M₀, M_t, and M_e represent the initial, anytime, and equilibrium moisture contents (kg water/kg dry matter, (DM)), respectively. The drying data was fitted to seven well-known thin layer drying models (Page, Jena and Das, Two Term Exponential, Diffusion, Midilli, Logarithmic, and Wang and Singh models) (Java and Das, 2007; Erbay and Icier, 2009). The coefficient of determination (R2), root mean square error (RMSE), and the reduced chi-square (χ^2) values were determined according to Ergün et al. (2016). The higher values of the coefficient of determination (R²) and the lower values of the root mean square error (RMSE), and reduced chisquare (χ^2) were chosen for the goodness of fit (Celma et al., 2008).

For the determination of the effective moisture diffusivity (D_{eff}) values of the red beet puree Fick's diffusion model (Eq. 2) was used:

$$MR = \frac{8}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2i-1)^2} \exp\left[-(2i-1)^2 \pi^2 \frac{D_{eff}}{4L^2} t\right]$$
(2)

where t is the time (s), D_{eff} is the effective moisture diffusivity (m²s⁻¹) and L (m) is the thickness of the sample. For long drying times (MR<0.6) (Crank, 1975), a limiting case of Eq. (3) is obtained, and expressed in a logarithmic form:

$$lnMR = \ln\left(\frac{8}{\pi^2}\right) - \left(\frac{\pi^2 D_{eff}}{4L^2}\right)t \tag{3}$$

The effective moisture diffusivity is typically calculated by plotting the experimental moisture ratio versus the drying time. From Eq. (3), a plot of ln MR versus the drying time gives a straight line with the slope given in Eq. (4).

$$Slope = \frac{\pi^2 D_{eff}}{4L^2} \tag{4}$$

The modified Arrhenius type of exponential model as given in Eq. (5) is used for the calculation of effective moisture diffusivity.

$$D_{eef} = D_0 \exp\left(-E_a \,\frac{m}{p}\right) \tag{5}$$

Where D_{eff} is the effective moisture diffusivity (m². s⁻¹), D_0 is the pre-exponential factor (m².s⁻¹), E_a is the activation energy (W. g⁻¹), P is the microwave power (W) and m are the mass of the sample (g). The same form of the modified Arrhenius equation was also used for the determination of the reaction rate constant as given in Eq. (6):

$$k = k_0 \exp\left(-E_a \,\frac{m}{p}\right) \tag{6}$$

Where k is the drying rate constant obtained using the Page equation (min⁻¹), k_0 is the preexponential constant (min⁻¹), E_a is the activation energy (W. g⁻¹), P is the microwave power (W), and m is the mass of sample (g).

Determination of the energy efficiency of microwave drying

The energy consumption of the microwave drying processes was measured using a power measurement device (Makel M310.2218, Turkey). In order to determine the effectiveness of microwave drying the moisture extraction rate (MER) and specific energy consumption (SEC) values were calculated using the following equations (Eq. 7 and 8) (Chua et al., 2002; Jindarat et al., 2011)

MED	Amount of water removed during drying (kg)					
MER	Drying time (h)	(7)				
SEC .	Total energy supplied in drying process (kJ)					
SEC =	Amount of water removed during drying (kg)	(8)				

Physical analyses

The moisture content of the fresh red beet puree and the red beet powders were determined according to AOAC (2000). The water activity and color values of the samples were measured using a Testo-AG 400, Germany, water activity measurement device and a Minolta CR-400 Colorimeter, Japan. The total color changes (ΔE), Chroma, and Browning Index (BI) values were calculated according to Pathare et al. (2013).

Statistical analysis

The data was analyzed using the statistical software SPSS 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, U.S.A.). The data was also subjected to the analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Duncan's multiple range test (α =0.05) to determine the difference between means. The drying experiments were replicated twice, and all the analyses were triplicated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Drying curves

The drying characteristics of the red beet puree during microwave samples drying were determined from the mass loss in the samples of the known initial moisture content $(87.00\pm0.01\%)$, wet basis, wb). The drying experiments were conducted until the constant weight of the sample was obtained, and as expected, high amounts of moisture were removed in the early stages of drying and at the later stages, the removal of moisture decreased gradually. During the initial phase of the microwave drying, the absorption of microwave power is higher due to the higher moisture content of the red beet puree. Similar results were obtained by Demiray et al. (2017). The researchers reported that the moisture content of the onion slices was very high (89.83%) during the initial phase of the drying which resulted in higher absorption of microwave power

(328, 447, and 557 W) and higher drying rates due to the higher moisture diffusion. The moisture ratio with respect to the drying time was calculated using the moisture content data and fitted to the thin layer drying models (Page, Jena and Das, Two Term Exponential, Diffusion, Midilli, Logarithmic, and Wang and Singh models). The summary of the model parameters and statistical evaluations (R^2 , χ^2 , and RMSE) are given in Table 1.

The higher values of the coefficient of determination (R²) and the lower values of root mean square error (RMSE), and reduced chisquare (χ^2) should be chosen for the goodness of fit (Celma et al., 2008). The calculated R², RMSE, and χ^2 values ranged between 0.952 - 0.999, 0.0021 - 1.684, and 0.0001 - 1.3691, respectively. The lowest R² and highest RMSE, and χ^2 were obtained from the Midilli, Logarithmic, and Wang and Singh models. The Page model was chosen as the most suitable model for determining the microwave drying characteristics of the samples in different conditions. Although the average values of R² of the Page and Jena and Das model parameters were almost in the same range (0.980 - 0.999), the results indicated that the Page model has a higher R² and lower RMSE, and χ^2 values compared to the other models. The Page model was also found suitable for the determination of the drying characteristics of apple pomace (Wang et al., 2007a), celery leaves (Demirhan and Ozbek, 2011), onion (Demiray et al., 2017), carrot (Doymaz, 2004), and mint (Doymaz, 2006) in microwave oven drying. The experimental moisture ratio (EMR) and computed moisture ratio values of the Page model for five different microwave power settings and the amount of the samples are given in Fig. 1. (a-e with the order of increasing microwave power settings).

Sample Amount (g) <i>Miktarı (g)</i>	Microwave Power (W)	Page Model	Jena And Das Model	Two Term Exponential Model	Diffusion Model	Midilli Model	Logarithmic Model	Wang and Singh Model
	180	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}2.540 E{-}6\\ n{=}1.938\\ R^{2}{=}0.999\\ RMSE{=}0.0111\\ \chi 2{=}0.0001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}{-}0.005\\ b{=}0.273\\ c{=}1.021\\ R^{2}{=}0.999\\ RMSE{=}0.6943\\ \chi {2}{=}0.5185 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}2.200\\ R^{2}{=}0.986\\ RMSE{=}0.0735\\ \chi 2{=}0.0056 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}{-}89.405\\ b{=}0.986\\ R^{2}{=}0.990\\ RMSE{=}0.0465\\ \chi 2{=}0.0023 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.119\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.980\\ RMSE{=}0.1717\\ \chi 2{=}0.0311 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.635\\ b{=}{-}0.518\\ R^{2}{=}0.982\\ RMSE{=}0.1456\\ \chi 2{=}0.0224 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}{-}0.001 \\ b{=}{-}{1.648E}{-}7 \\ R^{2}{=}0.973 \\ RMSE{=}0.1064 \\ \chi 2{=}0.0117 \end{array}$
	360	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}8.861E{-}7\\ n{=}2.271\\ R^{2}{=}0.994\\ RMSE{=}0.0307\\ \chi 2{=}0.0010 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}1.640\\ b{=}0.019\\ c{=}{-}0.689\\ R^{2}{=}0.992\\ RMSE{=}0.1256\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0178\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.004\\ a{=}2.296\\ R^{2}{=}0.967\\ RMSE{=}0.0708\\ \chi 2{=}0.0053 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.006\\ a{=}{-}130.772\\ b{=}0.989\\ R^{2}{=}0.974\\ RMSE{=}0.0652\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0046 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.126\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.977\\ RMSE{=}0.3688\\ \chi 2{=}0.1488 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.935\\ b{=}{-}0.826\\ R^{2}{=}0.979\\ RMSE{=}0.057\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0036 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}{-}0.001 \\ b{=}{3.215}{E}{-7} \\ R^{2}{=}0.967 \\ RMSE{=}0.2841 \\ \chi 2{=}0.0856 \end{array}$
9	540	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}1.627E{-}6\\ n{=}2.301\\ R^{2}{=}0.998\\ RMSE{=}0.0147\\ \chi 2{=}0.0002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}1.132\\ b{=}0.045\\ c{=}{-}0.195\\ R^{2}{=}0.983\\ RMSE{=}0.0757\\ \chi 2{=}0.0068 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.006\\ a{=}2.287\\ R^{2}{=}0.974\\ RMSE{=}0.0683\\ \chi 2{=}0.0051 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.008\\ a{=}{-}126.567\\ b{=}0.989\\ R^2{=}0.980\\ RMSE{=}0.0535\\ \chi 2{=}0.0032 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}1.133\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^2{=}0.963\\ RMSE{=}0.2464\\ \chi 2{=}0.0689 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}1.676\\ b{=}{-}0.543\\ R^2{=}0.965\\ RMSE{=}0.0929\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0098 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}{-}0.002\\ b{=}9.337E{-}7\\ R^{2}{=}0.954\\ RMSE{=}0.1095\\ \chi 2{=}0.0130 \end{array}$
	720	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}8.612E{-}5\\ n{=}1.887\\ R^2{=}0.996\\ RMSE{=}0.0222\\ \chi 2{=}0.0006 \end{array}$		$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.013\\ a{=}2.236\\ R^{2}{=}0.993\\ RMSE{=}0.0309\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0011 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.018\\ a{=}{-}71.951\\ b{=}0.982\\ R^{2}{=}0.995\\ RMSE{=}0.0257\\ \chi 2{=}0.0008 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.008\\ a{=}1.129\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.964\\ RMSE{=}0.0760\\ \chi 2{=}0.0069 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}1.206\\ b{=}{-}0.081\\ R^{2}{=}0.967\\ RMSE{=}0.0646\\ \chi 2{=}0.0050 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}{-}0.005\\ b{=}{6.127}E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.969\\ RMSE{=}0.0657\\ \chi 2{=}0.0049 \end{array}$
	900	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}2.751E{-}5\\ n{=}1.990\\ R^{2}{=}0.999\\ RMSE{=}0.0077\\ \chi 2{=}0.0006\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k = & k = 0.007 \\ a = 1.196 \\ b = 0.044 \\ c = -0.213 \\ R^2 = 0.995 \\ RMSE = 0.0619 \\ \chi 2 = 0.0050 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.009\\ a{=}2.249\\ R^{2}{=}0.990\\ RMSE{=}0.0420\\ \chi 2{=}0.0020 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.013\\ a{=}{-}103.717\\ b{=}0.987\\ R^{2}{=}0.993\\ RMSE{=}0.0333\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0013 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}1.131\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.968\\ RMSE{=}0.1170\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0167\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.004\\ a{=}1.354\\ b{=}{-}0.226\\ R^{2}{=}0.971\\ RMSE{=}0.0667\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0054 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}-0.004\\ b{=}3.733E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.972\\ RMSE{=}0.0688\\ \chi 2{=}0.0054 \end{array}$
	180	k=8.188E-6 n=1.795 R ² =0.999 RMSE=0.0074 χ2=0.0001	$k=0.003 \\ a=-0.012 \\ b=0.235 \\ c=1.046 \\ R^{2}=0.999 \\ RMSE=0.1017 \\ \chi 2=0.0110 \\ \end{cases}$	k=0.003 a=2.165 R ² =0.992 RMSE=0.0044 χ2=0.0649	k=0.004 a=-80.913 b=0.985 R ² =0.995 RMSE=0.0485 χ2=0.0025	$\begin{array}{c} \mbox{k=0.001} \\ \mbox{a=1.128} \\ \mbox{b=0.000} \\ \mbox{R}^2 \mbox{=} 0.982 \\ \mbox{RMSE=0.2071} \\ \mbox{\chi} \mbox{2=} 0.0452 \end{array}$	k=0.001 a=1.398 b=-0.274 R^2 =0.983 RMSE=0.0599 χ 2=0.0038	a=-0.001 b=2.864E-7 R ² =0.979 RMSE=0.1122 χ2=0.0130
	360	k=7.132E-7 n=2.253 R ² =0.999 RMSE=0.0116 χ2=0.0001	k=0.002 a=1.251 b=0.031 c=-0.330 R ² =0.989 RMSE=0.1469 χ2=0.0243	k=0.003 a=2.291 R ² =0.976 RMSE=0.0838 χ2=0.0074	k=0.005 a=-111.752 b=0.988 R ² =0.982 RMSE=0.0545 χ2=0.0032	k=0.001 a=1.121 b=0.000 R ² =0.975 RMSE=0.2995 χ2=0.0978	k=0.001 a=2.293 b=-1.170 R ² =0.976 RMSE=0.2280 χ2=0.0567	a=-0.001 b=1.095E-7 R ² =0.963 RMSE=0.1220 χ2=0.0158
12	540	k=1.316E-5 n=1.903 R ² =0.998 RMSE=0.0162 χ2=0.0003	k=0.004 a=1.117 b=0.034 c=-0.163 R ² =0.991 RMSE=0.0471 χ2=0.0026	k=0.005 a=2.187 R ² =0.985 RMSE=0.0455 χ2=0.0022	k=0.007 a=-88.890 b=0.986 R ² =0.989 RMSE=0.0398 χ2=0.0018	k=0.002 a=1.113 b=0.000 R ² =0.978 RMSE=0.1882 χ2=0.0395	k=0.002 a=1.505 b=-0.394 R ² =0.980 RMSE=0.0724 χ2=0.0059	a=-0.002 b=8.936E-7 R ² =0.975 RMSE=0.0570 χ2=0.0035
	720	k=6.081E-5 n=1.750 R ² =0.996 RMSE=0.0221 χ2=0.0005	k=0.005 a=1.122 b=0.034 c=-0.142 R ² =0.994 RMSE=0.0332 χ2=0.0013	k=0.007 a=2.131 R ² =0.987 RMSE=0.0426 χ2=0.0020	k=0.009 a=-84.005 b=0.987 R ² =0.990 RMSE=0.0362 χ2=0.0015	k=0.003 a=1.098 b=0.000 R ² =0.983 RMSE=0.1649 χ2=0.0315	k=0.003 a=1.392 b=-0.298 R ² =0.985 RMSE=0.0533 χ2=0.0033	a=-0.003 b=2.035E-6 R ² =0.985 RMSE=0.0506 χ2=0.0028
	900	k=1.817E-5 n=2.143 R ² =0.998 RMSE=0.0154 χ2=0.0003	k=0.009 a=-0.026 b=0.371 c=1.076 R ² =0.981 RMSE=0.0697 χ2=0.0062	k=0.011 a=2.236 R ² =0.984 RMSE=0.0024 χ2=0.0465	k=0.015 a=-112.204 b=0.988 R ² =0.988 RMSE=0.0447 χ2=0.0024	k=0.003 a=1.135 b=0.000 R ² =0.952 RMSE=0.0909 χ2=0.0098	k=0.006 a=1.233 b=-0.103 R ² =0.955 RMSE=0.0766 χ2=0.0070	a=-0.005 b=5.256E-6 R ² =0.967 RMSE=0.1293 χ2=0.0187

	C 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1	1	· C.1	1 . 1
Lable I he coetticiente	of the model equi	tions obtained trom	the statistical and	vere of the a	terreno data
		10015 $001a1100$ 110111	THE STATISTICAL ATTA	vaia or the t	ח עוווצ טמומ
				J · ·	0

Sample Amount (g) <i>Miktari (g)</i>	Microwave Power (W)	Page Model	Jena And Das Model	Two Term Exponential Model	Diffusion Model	Midilli Model	Logarithmic Model	Wang and Singh Model
	180	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}2.104E{-}6\\ n{=}1.915\\ R^{2}{=}0.992\\ RMSE{=}0.0111\\ \chi 2{=}0.0001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}{-}0.003\\ b{=}0.264\\ c{=}0.986\\ R^2{=}0.998\\ RMSE{=}0.0217\\ \chi 2{=}0.0005 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}0.002\\ R^{2}{=}0.973\\ RMSE{=}0.6948\\ \chi 2{=}0.5054 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}{-}94.281\\ b{=}0.988\\ R^{2}{=}0.978\\ RMSE{=}0.0799\\ \chi 2{=}0.0067 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.059\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.988\\ RMSE{=}0.1189\\ \chi 2{=}0.0148 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}3.238\\ b{=}{-}2.177\\ R^2{=}0.989\\ RMSE{=}0.4047\\ \chi 2{=}0.1714 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}-0.001\\ b{=}2.114E{-}8\\ R^{2}{=}0.985\\ RMSE{=}0.4414\\ \chi 2{=}0.2009 \end{array}$
	360	k=6.706E-5 n=1.778 R ² =0.997 RMSE=0.0249 χ2=0.0006	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.004\\ a{=}{-}0.900\\ b{=}0.205\\ c{=}1.182\\ R^2{=}0.983\\ RMSE{=}0.0441\\ \chi 2{=}0.0022 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.008\\ a{=}2.174\\ R^{2}{=}0.992\\ RMSE{=}1.1120\\ \chi^{2}{=}1.3691 \end{array}$	k=0.011 a=-92.927 b=0.987 R ² =0.994 RMSE=0.0266 χ2=0.0008	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}1.148\\ b{=}{-}0.00001\\ R^{2}{=}0.975\\ RMSE{=}0.0536\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0032 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}1.221\\ b{=}{-}008\\ R^{2}{=}0.978\\ RMSE{=}0.7627\\ \chi 2{=}0.6464 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}{-}0.003\\ b{=}2.326E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.975\\ RMSE{=}0.1653\\ \chi 2{=}0.0293 \end{array}$
15	540	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}1.339E{-}5\\ n{=}1.930\\ R^2{=}0.997\\ RMSE{=}0.0175\\ \chi 2{=}0.0003 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.006\\ a{=}{-}0.010\\ b{=}0.348\\ c{=}1.046\\ R^2{=}0.997\\ RMSE{=}0.3079\\ \chi 2{=}0.1044 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}2.221\\ R^{2}{=}0.987\\ RMSE{=}0.0512\\ \chi 2{=}0.0029 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}{-}93.789\\ b{=}0.987\\ R^2{=}0.990\\ RMSE{=}0.0409\\ \chi 2{=}0.0019 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}1.142\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.972\\ RMSE{=}0.1071\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0128 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}1.377\\ b{=}{-}0.239\\ R^2{=}0.974\\ RMSE{=}0.7315\\ \chi 2{=}0.5924 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}-0.002\\ b{=}1.257E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.972\\ RMSE{=}0.1057\\ \chi 2{=}0.0119 \end{array}$
	720	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}2.509E{-}5\\ n{=}2.101\\ R^{2}{=}0.997\\ RMSE{=}0.0181\\ \chi 2{=}0.0004 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.006\\ a{=}{-}0.091\\ b{=}0.243\\ c{=}1.192\\ R^2{=}0.966\\ RMSE{=}0.1857\\ \chi 2{=}0.0417 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.012\\ a{=}2.312\\ R^{2}{=}0.990\\ RMSE{=}0.0343\\ \chi 2{=}0.0014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.017\\ a{=}{-}98.959\\ b{=}0.986\\ R^2{=}0.993\\ RMSE{=}0.0288\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0010 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}1.166\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.958\\ RMSE{=}0.0876\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0088 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}1.234\\ b{=}{-}0.073\\ R^2{=}0.962\\ RMSE{=}0.7464\\ \chi 2{=}0.6407 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}-0.004\\ b{=}4.489E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.954\\ RMSE{=}0.1890\\ \chi 2{=}0.041 \end{array}$
	900	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.0001\\ n{=}1.707\\ R^{2}{=}0.998\\ RMSE{=}0.0493\\ \chi 2{=}0.0027\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}{-}0.030\\ b{=}0.327\\ c{=}1.073\\ R^2{=}0.995\\ RMSE{=}0.1814\\ \chi 2{=}0.0407 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.009\\ a{=}2.134\\ R^{2}{=}0.992\\ RMSE{=}1.0647\\ \chi 2{=}1.3226 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.012\\ a{=}{-}86.928\\ b{=}0.987\\ R^2{=}0.994\\ RMSE{=}0.0275\\ \chi 2{=}0.0009 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}1.115\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.977\\ RMSE{=}0.0939\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0103 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}1.238\\ b{=}{-}0.128\\ R^{2}{=}0.980\\ RMSE{=}0.7180\\ \chi 2{=}0.6014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}-0.004\\ b{=}3.730E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.988\\ RMSE{=}0.0498\\ \chi 2{=}0.0028 \end{array}$
	180	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}2.302E{-}6\\ n{=}1.957\\ R^2{=}0.996\\ RMSE{=}0.0021\\ \chi 2{=}0.0001\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.004\\ a{=}{-}0.004\\ b{=}0.285\\ c{=}1.012\\ R^2{=}0.998\\ RMSE{=}0.2636\\ \chi 2{=}0.0738\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}2.199\\ R^{2}{=}0.980\\ RMSE{=}0.0367\\ \chi 2{=}0.0014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}{-}95.018\\ b{=}0.987\\ R^2{=}0.985\\ RMSE{=}0.0224\\ \chi 2{=}0.0005 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.124\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.977\\ RMSE{=}0.1086\\ \chi 2{=}0.0123 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.588\\ b{=}{-}0.466\\ R^2{=}0.978\\ RMSE{=}0.4015\\ \chi 2{=}0.1614 \end{array}$	a=-0.001 b=1.858E-7 R ² =0.970 RMSE=0.3688 χ2=0.1488
	360	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}1.022E{-}5\\ n{=}1.835\\ R^{2}{=}0.996\\ RMSE{=}0.0179\\ \chi 2{=}0.0003\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.004\\ a{=}{-}0.009\\ b{=}0.286\\ c{=}1.035\\ R^{2}{=}0.999\\ RMSE{=}0.2392\\ \chi^{2}{=}0.0636 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}2.175\\ R^{2}{=}0.986\\ RMSE{=}0.0591\\ \chi 2{=}0.0038 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}{-}84.341\\ b{=}0.986\\ R^2{=}0.989\\ RMSE{=}0.0311\\ \chi 2{=}0.0011 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.110\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.985\\ RMSE{=}0.2757\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0821 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.582\\ b{=}{-}0.474\\ R^2{=}0.986\\ RMSE{=}0.1452\\ \chi 2{=}0.1234 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{l} a{=}{-}0.001\\ b{=}{3.898}{E}{-}7\\ R^{2}{=}0.980\\ RMSE{=}0.265\\ \chi 2{=}0.0561 \end{array}$
18	540	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}3.636E{-}5\\ n{=}1.735\\ R^{2}{=}0.997\\ RMSE{=}0.0181\\ \chi 2{=}0.0004 \end{array}$	k=0.005 a=-0.014 b=0.302 c=1.044 R ² =0.998 RMSE=0.1659 χ2=0.0316	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}2.137\\ R^{2}{=}0.989\\ RMSE{=}0.0372\\ \chi 2{=}0.0015 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}{-}84.520\\ b{=}0.987\\ R^2{=}0.992\\ RMSE{=}0.0408\\ \chi 2{=}0.0018 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}1.111\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.983\\ RMSE{=}0.1809\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0363 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}1.382\\ b{=}{-}0.275\\ R^2{=}0.985\\ RMSE{=}0.2516\\ \chi 2{=}0.1234 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}{-}0.002\\ b{=}{1.032}E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.984\\ RMSE{=}0.3524\\ \chi 2{=}0.2478 \end{array}$
	720	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}2.111E{\text{-}}5\\ n{=}1.930\\ R^2{=}0.990\\ \text{RMSE}{=}0.0322\\ \chi 2{=}0.0011 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.006\\ a{=}1.079\\ b{=}0.045\\ c{=}{-}0.115\\ R^2{=}0.985\\ RMSE{=}0.0439\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0023 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}2.189\\ R^{2}{=}0.974\\ RMSE{=}0.0577\\ \chi 2{=}0.0038\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.009\\ a{=}{-}119.350\\ b{=}0.990\\ R^2{=}0.979\\ RMSE{=}0.0517\\ \chi 2{=}0.0030 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.004\\ a{=}1.131\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.963\\ RMSE{=}0.1019\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0118 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}1.347\\ b{=}{-}0.220\\ R^{2}{=}0.971\\ RMSE{=}0.1129\\ \chi 2{=}0.0315 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}-0.003\\ b{=}2.042E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.976\\ RMSE{=}0.4561\\ \chi 2{=}0.2345 \end{array}$
	900	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.0011\\ n{=}1.830\\ R^{2}{=}0.991\\ RMSE{=}0.2431\\ \chi 2{=}0.0768 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.006\\ a{=}{-}0.084\\ b{=}0.252\\ c{=}1.157\\ R^2{=}0.980\\ RMSE{=}0.0606\\ \chi 2{=}0.0053 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.012\\ a{=}2.192\\ R^{2}{=}0.983\\ RMSE{=}0.0415\\ \chi 2{=}0.0024 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.016\\ a{=}{-}107.779\\ b{=}0.989\\ R^{2}{=}0.986\\ RMSE{=}0.0382\\ \chi 2{=}0.0020 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}1.133\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.968\\ RMSE{=}0.0774\\ \chi 2{=}0.0082 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}1.218\\ b{=}{-}0.097\\ R^{2}{=}0.972\\ RMSE{=}0.1266\\ \chi 2{=}0.0548 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}-0.005\\ b{=}4.866E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.971\\ RMSE{=}0.3888\\ \chi 2{=}0.2578 \end{array}$

Table 1. continuing

Sample Amount (g) <i>Miktari (g)</i>	Microwave Power (W)	Page Model	Jena And Das Model	Two Term Exponential Model	Diffusion Model	Midilli Model	Logarithmic Model	Wang and Singh Model
	180	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}3.303E{-}6\\ n{=}1.819\\ R^{2}{=}0.989\\ RMSE{=}0.0112\\ \chi 2{=}0.0001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.004\\ a{=}{-}0.020\\ b{=}0.154\\ c{=}1.054\\ R^{2}{=}0.998\\ RMSE{=}1.0628\\ \chi {2}{=}1.1852 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}2.121\\ R^{2}{=}0.976\\ RMSE{=}0.0135\\ \chi 2{=}0.0002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}{-}70.502\\ b{=}0.984\\ R^{2}{=}0.980\\ RMSE{=}0.0393\\ \chi 2{=}0.0616 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.049\\ b{=}{-}0.001\\ R^{2}{=}0.997\\ RMSE{=}1.0851\\ \chi {2}{=}1.2206 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}24.006\\ b{=}{-}22.957\\ R^2{=}0.997\\ RMSE{=}1.684\\ \chi 2{=}1.259 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}{-}0.001\\ b{=}{4.509}E{-}8\\ R^{2}{=}0.994\\ RMSE{=}0.4288\\ \chi 2{=}0.1699 \end{array}$
21	360	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}4.011E{-}6\\ n{=}1.967\\ R^{2}{=}0.996\\ RMSE{=}0.0180\\ \chi 2{=}0.0003 \end{array}$	k=0.005 a=-0.006 b=0.307 c=1.034 R ² =0.998 RMSE=0.2446 χ2=0.0659	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}2.235\\ R^{2}{=}0.983\\ RMSE{=}0.0551\\ \chi 2{=}0.0033 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}{-}98.789\\ b{=}0.987\\ R^{2}{=}0.987\\ RMSE{=}0.0282\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0009 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.002\\ a{=}1.133\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.978\\ RMSE{=}0.2659\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0759 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.001\\ a{=}1.583\\ b{=}{-}0.452\\ R^{2}{=}0.979\\ RMSE{=}0.5423\\ \chi {2}{=}0.2568 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}-0.001\\ b{=}3.501E{-}7\\ R{^2{=}0.970}\\ RMSE{=}0.2458\\ \chi 2{=}0.1988 \end{array}$
	540	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}2.030E{-}5\\ n{=}1.868\\ R^2{=}0.998\\ RMSE{=}0.0152\\ \chi 2{=}0.0003 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.006\\ a{=}{-}0.018\\ b{=}0.302\\ c{=}1.070\\ R^2{=}0.996\\ RMSE{=}0.2238\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0573 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.006\\ a{=}2.205\\ R^{2}{=}0.989\\ RMSE{=}0.0322\\ \chi 2{=}0.0011 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.008\\ a{=}{-}92.375\\ b{=}0.987\\ R^2{=}0.992\\ RMSE{=}0.0284\\ \chi 2{=}0.0009 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}1.150\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^2{=}0.972\\ RMSE{=}0.1051\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0122 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.003\\ a{=}1.304\\ b{=}{-}0.159\\ R^2{=}0.974\\ RMSE{=}0.1049\\ \chi 2{=}0.0198 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}-0.002\\ b{=}1.413E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.977\\ RMSE{=}0.3548\\ \chi 2{=}0.1248 \end{array}$
	720	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}3.046E{-}6\\ n{=}2.329\\ R^{2}{=}0.999\\ RMSE{=}0.0104\\ \chi 2{=}0.0001 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}{-}0.025\\ b{=}0.322\\ c{=}1.105\\ R^{2}{=}0.981\\ RMSE{=}0.1349\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0214 \end{array}$	$\substack{k=0.008\\a=2.317}\\R^{2}=0.981\\RMSE=0.0445\\\chi 2=0.0022$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.011\\ a{=}{-}126.193\\ b{=}0.989\\ R^2{=}0.986\\ RMSE{=}{-}0.0399\\ \chi 2{=}0.0018 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}1.184\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.948\\ RMSE{=}0.0811\\ \chi 2{=}0.0074 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.004\\ a{=}1.298\\ b{=}{-}0.119\\ R^{2}{=}0.952\\ RMSE{=}0.0854\\ \chi 2{=}0.0064 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}{-}0.003\\ b{=}{2.586}{E{-}6}\\ R^{2}{=}0.959\\ RMSE{=}{-}0.3690\\ \chi 2{=}0.1587 \end{array}$
	900	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}4.253E{-}5\\ n{=}1.875\\ R^{2}{=}0.998\\ RMSE{=}0.0138\\ \chi 2{=}0.0002\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.007\\ a{=}{-}0.029\\ b{=}0.318\\ c{=}1.089\\ R^{2}{=}0.991\\ RMSE{=}0.0514\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0032 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.008\\ a{=}2.208\\ R^{2}{=}0.991\\ RMSE{=}0.0352\\ \chi 2{=}0.0014 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.012\\ a{=}{-}87.314\\ b{=}0.986\\ R^{2}{=}0.994\\ RMSE{=}0.0319\\ \chi 2{=}0.0012 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}1.146\\ b{=}0.000\\ R^{2}{=}0.968\\ RMSE{=}0.0786\\ \chi {2}{=}0.0071 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} k{=}0.005\\ a{=}1.250\\ b{=}{-}0.110\\ R^2{=}0.971\\ RMSE{=}0.0687\\ \chi {2}{=}0.1688 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} a{=}{-}0.004\\ b{=}{3.082}E{-}6\\ R^{2}{=}0.978\\ RMSE{=}{1.2588}\\ \chi 2{=}0.6845 \end{array}$

Table 1. continuing







Fig. 1. The experimental moisture ratio values of red beet puree at different microwave powers ((a) 180W, (b) 360W, (c) 540W, (d) 720W and (e) 900W)

According to Fig. 1., it can be seen that the drying time decreased depending on the increase of microwave power and the drying time of the samples dried at 900W was found to be less than half of the drying time of the samples that are dried at 180W. It may be due to the rapid mass transfer in the sample at the high microwave power due to higher generation in the sample. For this reason, the higher generation rate may result in a higher evaporation rate and lower drying time. Similar results were obtained by Süfer et al. (2017), who studied the microwave drying of onion slices of two different thicknesses (3 and 7mm) and three different microwave power settings (80, 240, and 400W). The researchers reported that the internal water heating and evaporation rate were influenced by the microwave power and thus, a higher vapor

pressure difference occurs in the center and surface of the samples. The drying time, when the moisture ratio reached 0.5, was measured as between 11.0 - 14.5, 6.5 - 7.5, 5.0 - 5.5, 1.8 - 3.2, and 2.8 - 2.9 min for red beet puree at the output power settings of 180, 360, 540, 720, and 900W, respectively (Fig. 1), accounting for 35.4 - 40.7%, 37.5 - 50.0%, 35.5 - 40.0%, 26.2 - 45.3%, and 25.4 - 41.1% of the corresponding total drying time. Increasing the mass of the sample resulted in a longer drying time. Similar results were obtained by Süfer et al., 2017, and Dadali et al., 2007a. The drying rates were calculated (kg water/kg dry matter.h.m²) and plotted against the free moisture content (kg water/kg dry matter) as shown in Fig. 2. (a - e with the order of increasing microwave power settings).







(e)

Fig. 2. The drying rate of red beet puree versus free moisture content at different microwave powers ((a) 180W, (b) 360W, (c) 540W, (d) 720W and (e) 900W)

As can be seen from Fig. 2, the drying rate behavior can be defined to take place in a combined form of constant and falling rate regions. The drying rates significantly increased with the increasing microwave power (P < 0.05), however, the dependence on the amount of sample is not clearly defined. At the same time, for all the drying experiments it can be stated that drying takes places mostly in the falling rate period. The higher drying rate of red beet puree was observed at the initial phase of the drying due to the higher moisture diffusion. As the drying progressed, the moisture loss caused a decrease in the absorption of microwave power and resulted in a lower drying rate. This observation is in agreement with previous reports on thin-layer drying of biological products such as apple pomace (Wang et al., 2007a), onion (Demiray et al., 2017), pumpkin (Wang et al., 2007b), and olive pomace (Sadi and Meziane, 2015). The drying rate increased with the increase in microwave output power and the highest values of drying rate were obtained during the experiment at 900W. The higher microwave power resulted in higher rates of evaporation and moisture loss which is related to the drying rate. Therefore, the microwave power level has an important effect on drying rates. It may be due to the high evaporation rate at the higher microwave power settings. Our results are in agreement with Maskan (2000), Sharma and Prasad, (2006), Alibas -Özkan et al. (2007), Sadi and Meziane (2015), and Demiray et al. (2017). In addition, Maskan, (2000) reported that the higher drying rates observed for products processed by microwaves due to the internal heat generation caused by microwaves establishing a greater vapor pressure difference between the surface and center of the product, thus increasing the product's moisture diffusivity.

It has been accepted that the drying characteristics of biological products in the falling rate period can be described using Fick's diffusion equation (Wang et al., 2007a). The D_{eff} values of microwave dried red beet puree samples placed as a thin layer were calculated from the Fick's diffusion model and the results were given in Table 2.

As can be seen in Table 2, the D_{eff} values generally increased with increasing microwave power settings as expected and only for the samples with the thickness of 6 mm (18g), a decrease of D_{eff} was observed which finally increased to the microwave power of 900 W. Thus, the drying rate can be increased, and the drying time can be shortened by using higher microwave power settings, which increase the D_{eff} values (Sadi and Meziane, 2015). The results are also consistent with Demiray et al. (2017). Where the researchers

reported that this increase may be due to higher heating energy, which would increase the activity of the water molecules leading to higher moisture diffusivity when the samples were dried at higher microwave power settings (Chua et al., 2002). The D_{eff} values of the red beet powder ranged between 1.095E-8 and 3.438E-6 m² s⁻¹ which is in the same range as (10E-12 to 10E-6 m². s⁻¹) most foods (Erbay and İcier, 2009). The Deff values of the microwave dried apple pomace (150 - 600 W), okra (180 - 900 W), spinach (180 - 900 W), and onion slices (328, 447, and 557 W) ranged between 1.05E-8 - 3.69E-8 m². s⁻¹ (Wang et al., 2007a), 2.05E-9 - 11.91E-9 m². s⁻¹ (Dadalı et al., 2007a), 7.6E-11 - 52.4E-11 m². s⁻¹ (Dadali et al., 2007b), and 2.59E-7 - 5.08E-7 m².s⁻¹ (Demiray et al., 2017). The Deff values generally increased

depending on the increasing microwave power settings and sample thickness. But, Süfer et al. (2017) reported that smaller slice thicknesses provided higher Deff values during microwave dehydration. The differences between these two studies are mainly the differences in the food structure. For the samples in the form of puree, the water to be dehydrated is free for movement and in the mechanism of microwave drying more energy is absorbed due to the existence of more water in the increased sample thicknesses. On the other hand, the structure of the onion is compact, and the onion skins behave as a protective layer for moisture removal and for this reason a decrease in thickness of the slices increase the Deff value.

Table 2. The estimated effective moisture diffusivity (D_{eff}), pre-exponential factor (D_0), and activation energy (E_0) values.

Sample	Microwave	611018.	$\int (\Box_a) + u u u co.$			
Amount	Power	D cc	R ²	Do	Fa	\mathbb{R}^2
(o)	(W)	$(m^2 s^{-1})$	K	$(m^2 s^{-1})$	$(W o^{-1})$	R
(8/	(\mathbf{v})	(111.0)		(111.15)	(
	180	1.095E-08	0.991			
	360	1.461E-08	0.980			
9	540	2.191E-08	0.949	9.40E-08	77.35	0.942
	720	4.382E-08	0.984			
	900	4.747E-08	0.975			
	180	1.947E-08	0.983			
	360	2.596E-08	0.780			
12	540	4.544E-08	0.977	2.20E-07	65.44	0.977
	720	3.246E-08	0.977			
	900	9.737E-08	0.988			
	180	3.043E-08	0.977			
	360	3.438E-06	0.980			
15	540	1.217E-07	0.981	2.36E-07	24.46	0.999
	720	1.420E-07	0.982			
	900	1.521E-07	0.971			
	180	4.382E-08	0.972			
	360	2.921E-08	0.976			
18	540	8.763E-08	0.971	1.78E-07	14.35	0.895
	720	5.842E-08	0.990			
	900	1.607E-07	0.972			
	180	1.988E-08	0.976			
	360	1.988E-07	0.975			
21	540	2.187E-07	0.976	6.61E-07	28.50	0.935
	720	2.783E-07	0.976			
	900	2.584E-07	0.985			

The activation energy is related to the temperature dependence of any rate operation, however, in microwave heating systems it is not possible to measure exact temperature values (Demiray et al., 2017). For this reason, the modified form of the Arrhenius equation (Eq. 5) was used for calculation of activation energy values which were changing between 14.35 - 77.35 W.g-1 and generally decreased depending on the increase in the amount of the sample. Süfer et al. (2017) reported that the activation energy values of onion slices in two different thicknesses (3 and 7 mm) range between 2.25 - 6.08 W.g-1 for microwave drying. The activation energy of spinach, onion, olive pomace, and corn husk were found to be 9.62 W. g-1 (Dadali et al., 2007b), 7.90 W. g⁻¹ (Demiray et al., 2017), 20.98 W. g⁻¹ (Sadi and Meziane, 2015), and 27.149 W. g⁻¹ (Akdoğan et al., 2017).

In processes where energy is involved, the temperature dependence of the reaction rates (k) is expressed in terms of the Arrhenius equation. In this study, the modified form of the Arrhenius equation (Eq. 6) to relate to the dependence of k on m/P (g.W-1) was used to calculate the activation energy (E_a) and it decreased with the increasing amount of the sample. According to Table 3., The activation energy values ranged between 26.13 and 222.60 W.g-1. When the amount of the sample was kept constant while the microwave power used for the drying operation was changed the k₀ values changed between 4.45E-05 and 1.578E-04 min-1. Where the k₀ values generally increased according to a decreasing amount of sample.

Table 3. The drying rate constant values (k), the pre-exponential constant (k_0), and activation energy

Sample	Microwave	k	D2	k ₀	Ea	D2
Amount (g)	Power (W)	(min ⁻¹)	K2	(min ⁻¹)	(W.g ⁻¹)	K^2
	180	2.540E-06	0.999			
	360	8.861E-07	0.994			
9	540	1.627E-06	0.998	1.578E-04	222.60	0.832
	720	8.612E-05	0.996			
	900	2.751E-05	0.999			
	180	8.188E-06	0.999			
	360	7.132E-07	0.999			
12	540	1.316E-05	0.998	1.407E-04	166.00	0.869
	720	6.081E-05	0.996			
	900	1.817E-05	0.998			
	180	2.104E-06	0.992			
	360	6.706E-05	0.997			
15	540	1.339E-05	0.997	9.383E-05	47.10	0.836
	720	2.509E-05	0.997			
	900	1.000E-04	0.998			
	180	2.302E-06	0.996			
	360	1.022E-05	0.996			
18	540	3.636E-05	0.997	4.450E-05	29.58	0.999
	720	2.111E-05	0.990			
	900	1.100E-03	0.991			
	180	3.303E-06	0.989			
	360	4.011E-06	0.996			
21	540	2.060E-05	0.998	6.773E-05	26.13	0.985
	720	3.046E-06	0.999			
	900	4.253E-05	0.998			

The results of the energy efficiency of microwave drying

Since drying is a highly energy-intensive process (due to the latent heat of evaporation required), it is important that the drying should be energy efficient (Varith et al., 2007). For this reason, energy efficiency and product quality have been identified as the key factors of research in drying studies. The energy consumption of the microwave oven at five different microwave power settings (expressed per unit amount of samples) ranged between 0.0094 and 0.0125 kWh.g⁻¹. The energy consumption increased according to the increasing microwave power settings and amount of sample due to longer drying times at the higher amount of sample and high energy consumption at high microwave power settings. Similar results were obtained by Alibas-Özkan et al. (2007). The researchers reported that the energy consumption of the microwave oven during the drying of spinach leaves at eight different microwave power settings (90 - 1000W) ranged between 0.16 and 0.26 kWh and the energy consumption at low microwave power levels yielded longer drying periods.

The MER and SEC values of the different amounts of red beet puree under various conditions of the microwave drying process are presented in Fig. 3.



(b)

Fig. 3. MER (a), and SEC (b) values (a - e; shows significant difference between the microwave power settings and A - E shows significant difference between the sample amount)

According to Fig 3, when the amount of sample is kept constant, the MER values of the samples generally increased depending on the increasing microwave power settings (P < 0.05). It may be due to the quick absorption of energy of the water molecules at higher microwave power settings which caused the rapid evaporation of water. In this way, the total drying time decreased, and the MER values increased. At the same microwave power setting, the MER value generally increased depending on the increasing amount of sample (P < 0.05). It may be due to a higher amount of evaporated water at the higher sample amounts. The thermal efficiency of a dryer is commonly defined in terms of its specific energy consumption (SEC) which indicates the quantity of heat required to evaporate a unit mass of water. The analysis of specific energy consumption during the applied microwave energy on the heating and drying processes has been investigated in many studies such as garlic cloves (Sharma and Prasad, 2006), peeled longan (Varith et al., 2007), and olive pomace (Sadi and Meziane, 2015). An increase in the amount of sample generally resulted in a decrease in the SEC values except for the 15g sample amount (p < 0.05). When the amount of sample increased, the amount of water to be removed increased and as a result, the SEC value decreased. In addition, generally the lower moisture contents of a higher amount of dried samples which indicated the higher amount of evaporated water may also be the reason for the lower SEC values. In this study, the SEC values ranged between 37.98 and 78.43 MJ.kg-1water. These values are in a comparable range with the values obtained during the drying of olive pomace (25.32 - 52.56 MJ.kg-1water) (Sadi and Meziane, 2015). Sharma and Prasad, (2006), studied the microwave-convective drying (10 -40W microwave power, 40 - 70°C drying air temperature and 1 and 2 m. s⁻¹ air velocity) of garlic cloves and reported that the microwave drying reduced the energy consumption due to the volumetric heating effect which causes a lower drying time. Baker and McKenzie, (2005), reported that the highly efficient dryers are characterized by lower SEC values. In this study, the lower SEC values were obtained at a 180 and 360W microwave power setting compared to other microwave power settings due to lower energy consumption, but in any case, when these values are to be used in efficiency evaluations the results should be considered together with drying data.

The results of the physical analyses

The moisture content and water activity values of the powders ranged between 2.48 and 7.38% (wb), and 0.172 and 0.447, respectively (Table 4). Results showed that the moisture content and water activity values of the red beet powders generally decreased with increasing microwave power settings and increased with an increasing amount of sample (P<0.05). The high microwave power increases the driving force of mass transfer and accelerates the rate of water vapor diffusion and may result in a lower moisture content and water activity of the red beet powders. The values of water activity under 0.6 is generally considered as microbiologically stable (Quek et al., 2007) and at 0.20 and 0.40 ensure the stability of the product against browning and hydrolytic reactions, lipid oxidation, auto-oxidation, and enzymatic activity (Marques et al., 2007). The measured water activity values of red beet powders are within acceptable limits for the safe storage of the products. The lowest moisture content values and the lowest water activity values were obtained from the samples which were dried at 900W. The color values of the fresh red beet and microwave dried red beet powders are given in Table 4. According to the results, significant differences were observed between the red beet puree and the red beet powders (P<0.05). Results showed that the increase of microwave power settings caused a generally significant increase in the L*, a^* , ΔE , Chroma, and BI values of the red beet powders (P<0.05). At the higher microwave power settings, the degradation of the pigments or browning reactions are higher, and these may be related to the pigment destruction and may cause higher ΔE and BI values.

CONCLUSION

In this study, red beet powders were obtained using a microwave drying technique. The obtained powders will provide different application areas in addition to possible use of red beets outside of the production season and easy storage and transportation properties. Generally, the moisture content of the powders decreased with the increase of microwave power (2.60% at 900W and 5.40% at 180W on the average). On the evaluation of the seven thin layer drying models by comparing the R², χ^2 , and RMSE, the Page model gave an excellent fitting to the drying data of the red beet puree. The drying time of the sample which has the highest amount of mass (21 gram) significantly decreases from the 2100s (180W) to 600s (900W) with an increase in the microwave power (P<0.05). The drying rate generally increased with the increase in microwave output power and the average drying rate values significantly increased from 2.08 kg water/kg dry matter.m².h (180W) to 14.50 kg water/kg dry matter.m².h (900W) with an increase in the microwave power setting (P<0.05). The k₀ values increased and D₀ values decreased generally according to the decreasing amount of the sample. The calculated MER values increased with the amount of sample and microwave power setting and the highest values ranged between 0.017 to 0.094 kg water.h-¹. Our results showed that increasing the microwave power setting caused a significant increase in the L*, a^* , ΔE , Chroma, and BI values of the red beet powders (P < 0.05).

Table 4. The moisture content, water activity, and color values of red beet puree and the powders

Sample		Microwave	content	Water activity	Color Values						
		Power (W)	(wb,%)		L*	a*	b*	ΔE	Chroma	BI	
Fresh	Puree	-	80.01 ± 0.01	0.999 ± 0.00	14.89±0.30	16.43 ± 0.14	3.94 ± 0.17	-	14.96±0.14	-	
		180	7.38 ± 0.02^{ew}	0.447 ± 0.005^{et}	$29.96 {\pm} 0.05^{aw}$	$16.63 {\pm} 0.05^{aw}$	$2.10 {\pm} 0.13^{at}$	15.18 ± 0.25^{aw}	$16.76 {\pm} 0.32^{aw}$	$44.05 {\pm} 0.45^{aw}$	
		360	$4.95 {\pm} 0.05$ cx	0.265 ± 0.010^{ct}	$30.74{\pm}0.10^{\mathrm{bw}}$	$17.63 \pm 0.53^{\text{bw}}$	$2.02{\pm}0.45^{aw}$	$16.01{\pm}0.18^{bx}$	$17.74 \pm 0.40^{\text{bw}}$	44.64±0.12ax	
	9	540	$3.33{\pm}0.02^{bx}$	$0.230 {\pm} 0.006^{\rm bt}$	$35.94 {\pm} 0.19^{dx}$	18.53 ± 0.12^{cw}	4.14 ± 0.05 cw	21.16±0.21cx	$19.08{\pm}0.50^{\mathrm{bw}}$	$47.07{\pm}0.20^{\mathrm{bw}}$	
		720	$4.84{\pm}0.09^{dw}$	$0.292 {\pm} 0.006^{dt}$	34.17±0.14 ^{cx}	19.53 ± 0.10^{dw}	$3.58{\pm}0.05{}^{\rm bx}$	19.54±0.45 ^{cx}	19.95±0.23 ^{cw}	$49.24 {\pm} 0.12^{dx}$	
		900	$2.56 {\pm} 0.01^{\mathrm{at}}$	$0.203 {\pm} 0.013^{at}$	$40.12 {\pm} 0.05^{ey}$	21.63 ± 0.18^{ew}	$5.07{\pm}0.26^{dw}$	$25.60{\pm}0.31^{dy}$	21.24 ± 0.24 cw	48.12 ± 0.22^{ct}	
		180	5.39±0.44 ^{dt}	0.356 ± 0.035^{bt}	32.79±0. 27 ^{aw}	16.63±0.63 ^{aw}	3.56 ± 0.24 ct	17.90 ± 0.13^{aw}	17.00 ± 0.25^{aw}	45.59±0.21cw	
		360	3.73±0.17 ^{cw}	0.268 ± 0.106^{at}	32.57±0. 23 ^{ax}	17.63 ± 0.13^{bw}	1.81 ± 0.20^{aw}	$17.84 {\pm} 0.20^{ay}$	17.72 ± 0.19^{bw}	$41.58{\pm}0.28^{aw}$	
	12	540	3.99±0. 36 ^{cy}	0.338 ± 0.037^{bx}	35.47±0. 42 ^{bx}	18.79 ± 0.10^{cw}	6.09 ± 0.15^{dt}	$20.81{\pm}0.16^{bx}$	19.60 ± 0.45^{cw}	54.40±0.27 ^{cy}	
u		720	2.96 ± 0.13^{bt}	$0.312 {\pm} 0.061^{bt}$	37.62±0. 16 ^{cy}	19.68 ± 0.09^{dw}	$2.82 \pm 0.11^{\text{bw}}$	22.98 ± 0.24 cz	19.83±0.19cw	42.57 ± 0.13^{bt}	
owd		900	2.59±0. 19at	0.250 ± 0.010^{at}	35.09 ± 0.09 bw	20.63 ± 0.17 ew	3.12 ± 0.12^{ct}	$20.64{\pm}0.22^{bt}$	$20.86{\pm}0.35^{dt}$	$48.20 {\pm} 0.24^{dt}$	
eet P		180	5.36 ± 0.08^{bt}	0.350±0.022ct	26.53±0.07at	16.63±1.13 ^{aw}	2.43 ± 0.05^{cw}	$11.73 {\pm} 0.15^{at}$	16.81 ± 0.24^{aw}	50.82 ± 0.22^{bz}	
ed Be ss (g		360	2.96 ± 0.10^{at}	0.343±0.081ct	27.65 ± 0.12^{bt}	17.63 ± 0.20 bw	2.31 ± 0.07 bx	$12.92 \pm 0.24^{\rm bt}$	17.78 ± 0.32^{bw}	$50.51 {\pm} 0.27^{by}$	
ed Ro e Ma	15	540	$3.03{\pm}0.06^{aw}$	0.340 ± 0.082^{cx}	29.02±0. 13 ^{ct}	18.93±0. 16 ^{cw}	5.48 ± 0.25^{dy}	14.37±0.23 ^{ct}	19.42 ± 0.24 cw	$63.74 {\pm} 0.28^{dz}$	
Drić umpl		720	2.97±0. 34at	0.253 ± 0.092^{bt}	31.90±0.07 ^{dt}	19.63±0. 16 ^{dw}	$1.05 {\pm} 0.06^{at}$	$17.54{\pm}0.32^{dt}$	19.65±0.29cw	43.46±0.37aw	
'ave Si		900	2.74±0.06 ^{aw}	0.188±0.090at	33.76 ± 0.32^{et}	20.73 ± 0.25^{cw}	5.46 ± 0.15^{dw}	$19.39 {\pm} 0.27$ et	$21.34{\pm}0.36^{dw}$	58.37 ± 0.25^{cx}	
CLOW		180	5.37 ± 0.21^{dt}	0.348 ± 0.061^{bt}	33.86±0. 18ay	11.43±0. 24at	3.12 ± 0.12^{bx}	$19.63{\pm}0.29^{\rm by}$	11.85 ± 0.40^{at}	32.89 ± 0.38^{bt}	
Mi		360	3.90±0.08 ^{ct}	0.345 ± 0.090^{bt}	$33.54{\pm}0.06^{\rm by}$	16.60±0. 12 ^{ct}	1.15±0.05 ^{at}	$18.85{\pm}0.24^{az}$	$16.64 {\pm} 0.27 {}^{dt}$	36.41±0.34 ^{ct}	
	18	540	3.22 ± 0.12^{bw}	0.340 ± 0.094 bx	34.63±0.06 ^{cw}	14.67 ± 0.19^{bt}	1.20 ± 0.23^{at}	$20.00{\pm}0.28^{\mathrm{bw}}$	14.72 ± 0.32^{bt}	32.04 ± 0.12^{at}	
		720	3.01 ± 0.13^{bt}	0.327 ± 0.101^{bt}	35.31±0. 13 ^{dx}	14.69 ± 0.16^{bt}	5.20 ± 0.21 czz	20.53±0.28cy	15.58±0.30 ^{ct}	$44.44 {\pm} 0.35^{dw}$	
		900	2.48 ± 0.12^{at}	0.187 ± 0.032^{at}	39.50±0.46ey	18.23±0. 13 ^{dt}	8.47 ± 0.16^{dy}	$25.08{\pm}0.34^{dy}$	20.10 ± 0.47 et	$55.89 {\pm} 0.43^{\rm ew}$	
		180	5.20±0.13 ^{ct}	0.387±0.036 ^{ct}	29.96 ± 0.06^{aw}	16.63±0.16 ^{aw}	2.94±0. 34ax	$15.10 {\pm} 0.24^{az}$	$16.80 {\pm} 0.35^{aw}$	47.31±0.27ay	
		360	$3.06 \pm 0.09^{\text{bt}}$	0.268 ± 0.021^{bt}	30.79 ± 0.24^{bw}	$17.63 \pm 0.03^{\text{bw}}$	4.12±0. 37by	$15.40 {\pm} 0.26^{aw}$	$18.11{\pm}0.36^{\mathrm{bw}}$	$52.61 {\pm} 0.42^{dz}$	
	21	540	2.62 ± 0.03^{at}	$0.258 \pm 0.095^{\text{bw}}$	34.42 ± 0.26^{dw}	18.63 ± 0.22^{cw}	4.50 ± 0.18^{bx}	19.66 ± 0.26 cw	19.17 ± 0.24 cw	$50.33 {\pm} 0.30^{bx}$	
		720	2.73±0. 36at	0.266 ± 0.052^{bt}	32.97±0. 13 ^{cw}	19.50 ± 0.23^{dw}	3.80 ± 0.09 ^{by}	$18.36{\pm}0.35^{\mathrm{bw}}$	19.99 ± 0.26^{dw}	51.73±0.28 ^{cy}	
		900	$2.63{\pm}0.06^{aw}$	0.172±0.020at	37.01±0. 18ex	20.89 ± 0.28^{ew}	7.36±0. 33°	22.77 ± 0.30^{dw}	21.90±0.34ew	59.86±0.40ey	

Different letters (a–e) in the same column indicate significant difference between the microwave powers P < 0.05. Different letters (t-z) in the same column indicate significant difference between the amounts of samples at P < 0.05.

REFERENCES

Akdoğan, A., Çalışkan Koç, G., Dirim, S.N. (2017). Mathematical modeling of thin-layer microwave drying of corn husk and investigation of powder properties. *Bulg Chem Commun*, 49 (4) 986 – 993.

Alibas, I. (2007). Energy consumption and colour characteristics of nettle leaves during microwave. vacuum and convective drying. *Biosystems Eng*, 96(4):495-502.

Alibas, I. (2006). Characteristics of chard leaves during microwave. convective. and combined microwave convective drying. *Drying Tech*, 24(1):1425-1435.

Alibas–Özkan, I., Akbudak, B., Akbudak, N. (2007). Microwave drying characteristics of spinach. *J Food Eng*, 78:577-583.

AOAC. (2000). Official methods of analysis. 17th ed. Gaithersburg. MD. USA: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.

Baker, C.G.J., McKenzie, K.A. (2005). Energy Consumption of Industrial Spray Dryers. *Drying Technol*, 23:365-386.

Bazaria, B., Kumar, P. (2018). Optimization of spray drying parameters for beetroot juice powder using response surface methodology (RSM). *J Saudi Soc Agric Sci*, 14(4); 408-415.

Celma, A., Rojas, S., Lopez-Rodríguez, F. (2008). Mathematical modelling of thin-layer infrared drying of wet olive husk. *Ch. Eng. and Processing: Process Intensification*, 47: 1810-1818.

Chua, K.J., Chou, S.K., Ho, J.C., Hawlader, M.N.A. (2002). Heat pump drying recent developments and future trends. *Drying Technol*, 20 (8): 1580-1600.

Crank, J. (1975). The Mathematics of Diffusion. 2nd ed. Oxford (UK): Clarendon Press.

Çalışkan, G., Dirim, S.N. (2013). The effects of the different drying conditions and the amounts of maltodextrin added during the spray drying of sumac extract. *Food Bioprod Process*, 91 539–548.

Dadalı, G., Kılıc-Apar, D., Ozbek, B. (2007a). Estimation of effective moisture diffusivity of

okra for microwave drying. *Drying Technol*, 25:1445–1450.

Dadali, G., Demirhan, E., Ozbek, B. (2007b). Microwave Heat Treatment of Spinach: Drying Kinetics and Effective Moisture Diffusivity. *Drying Technol*, 25: 1703–1712.

Demiray, E., Seker, A., Tulek, Y. (2017). Drying kinetics of onion (*Allium cepa* L.) slices with convective and microwave drying. *Heat Mass Transfer*, 53(5):1817-1827.

Demirhan, E., Ozbek, B. (2011). Colour change kinetics of celery leaves undergoing microwave drying. *Chem Eng Commun*, 198(10):1189-1205.

Doymaz, İ. (2004). Convective air-drying characteristics of thin layer carrots. *J Food Eng*, 61: 359–364.

Doymaz, İ. (2006). Thin-layer drying behaviour of mint leaves. J Food Eng, 74: 370–375.

Erbay, Z., Icier, F. (2009). A review of thin layer drying of foods: theory, modeling, and experimental results. *Crit Rev Food Sci*, 50: 441–464.

Ergün, K., Çalışkan, G., Dirim, S.N. (2016). Determination of the drying and rehydration kinetics of freeze-dried kiwi (*Actinidia deliciosa*) slices. *Heat Mass Transfer*, 52:2697-2705.

Ertekin, C., Yaldiz, O. (2004). Drying of eggplant and selection of a suitable thin layer drying model. *J Food Eng*, 63:349-359.

Gökhlae, S., V., Lele, S.S. (2011). Dehydration of Red Beet Root (*Beta vulgaris*) by Hot Air Drying: Process Optimization and Mathematical Modeling. *Food Sci Biotechnol*, 20(4): 955-964.

Jaya, S., Das, H. (2007). Modelling for vacuum drying characteristics of coconut presscake. *J Food Eng*, 79:92-99.

Jindarat, W., Rattanadecho, P., Vongpradubchai, S. (2011). Analysis of energy consumption in microwave and convective drying process of multi-layered porous material inside a rectangular wave guide, *Exp Thermal Fluid Sci*, 35: 728-737. Kaleta, A., Gornicki, K. (2010). Some remarks on evaluation of drying models of red beet particles. *Energy Convers Manag*, 51: 2967-2978.

Koul, V. K., Jain, M.P., Koul, S.,Sharma, V.K., Tikoo, C.L., Jain, S.M. (2002). Spray drying of red beet root juice using different carries. *Indian J Ch Tech*, 9: 442-445.

Lejeune, B., Arvouet- Grand, A., Pourat, A. (1991). Dietary use of red beet crushable? tablets of freeze-dried red beet pulp. *Drug Dev Ind Pharm*, 17(7): 931-934.

López, N., Puértolas, E.P., Condón, S., Raso, J., Alvarez, I. (2009). Enhancement of the extraction of betanine from red beet root by pulsed electric fields. *J Food Eng*, 90:60–66.

Marques, L. G., Ferreira, M. C., Freire, J. T. (2007) Freeze-drying of acerola (*Malpighia glabra L.*). *Chem Eng Prog*, 46: 451–457.

Maskan, M. (2001), Kinetics of colour change of kiwifruits during hot air and microwave drying. *J Food Eng*, 48:169–175.

Maskan, M. (2000). Microwave/air and microwave finish drying of banana. *J Food Eng*, 44:71–78.

Obón, J.M, Castellar, M.R., Alacid, M., Fernández-López, J.A. (2009). Production of a red purple food colorant from Opuntia stricta fruits by spray drying and its application in food model systems. *J Food Eng*, 90:471–479.

Özbek, B., Dadali G. (2007). Thin-layer drying characteristics and modelling of mint leaves undergoing microwave treatment. *J Food Eng*, 83:541–549.

Pathare, P.B., Opara, L.U., Al-Said, F.A. (2013). Colour Measurement and Analysis in Fresh and Processed Foods: A Review. *Food Bioprocess Tech*, 6(1):36-60.

Quek, Y.S., Chok, N.K. and Swedlund, P. (2007). The physicochemical properties of spray-dried watermelon powders. *Chem Eng Prog*, 46: 386-392.

Sadi, T., Meziane, S. (2015). Mathematical modelling, moisture diffusion and specific energy consumption of thin layer microwave drying of olive pomace. *Int Food Res J*, 22(2): 494-501. Sharma, G.P., Prasad, S. (2006). Specific energy consumption in microwave drying of garlic cloves. *Energy*, 31:1921-1926.

Singh, S., Gaikwad, G., Omre, P.K., Kumbhar, B.K. (2013). Microwave Convection Drying Characteristics of Beet Root (*Beta Vulgaris* L.) Using Modeling Equations for Drying. *J. Food Process Tech.*4-9.

Süfer, Ö., Sezer, S., Demir, H. (2017). Thin layer mathematical modeling of convective, vacuum and microwave drying of intact and brined onion slices, *J Food Process Preserv*, 41(6):1-13.

Toğrul, H. (2006). Suitable drying model for infrared drying of carrot. *J Food Eng*, 77: 610-619.

Varith, J., Dijkanarukkul, P., Achariyaviriya, A., Achariyaviriya, S. (2007). Combined microwavehot air drying of peeled longan. *J Food Eng*, 31:45-46.

Wang, Z., Sun, J., Chan, F., Liao, X., Hu, X. (2007a). Mathematical modelling on thin layer microwave drying of apple pomace with and without hot air pre-drying. *J Food Eng*, 80:536–544.

Wang, J., Wang, J. S., Yu, Y. (2007b). Microwave drying characteristics and dried quality of pumpkin. *Int J Food Sci Tech*, 42: 148-156.