

CONTACT CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF $N(k)$ -CONTACT METRIC MANIFOLDS

AVIK DE AND BANDANA BARUA

(Communicated by Levent KULA)

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to study contact CR-submanifolds of $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. Contact CR-submanifold is a generalization of invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds. The integrability criterions of the distributions have been investigated. Finally it has been shown that a totally contact umbilical submanifold becomes totally contact geodesic under certain circumstances.

1. Introduction

As a generalization of invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds of contact manifolds, contact CR-submanifolds have been introduced by A. Bejancu, N. Papaghiuc[1] and simultaneously by Yano, Kon[12]. Since then many results have been obtained on geometry of CR-submanifolds. C. Calin extensively studied integrability and geodesic properties of the distributions of contact CR-submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifolds([4][5][6]), quasi K-Sasakian manifolds[8], cosymplectic manifolds[3], trans-Sasakian manifolds[7] and various other manifolds.

Invariant submanifolds always play an important role in studying various other subjects, like dynamical systems, linear and nonlinear autonomous systems etc. and so is anti-invariant submanifolds of higher codimension. CR-submanifolds being a generalization of these two, make the study more interesting.

On the other hand, through the works of Ch. Baikoussis, D. E. Blair and Th. Koufogiorgos [9] a new class of non-Sasakian contact manifolds has evolved which is termed as $N(k)$ -contact metric manifolds. In the present paper we have studied contact CR-submanifolds of $N(k)$ -contact manifolds. The paper is organized as follows: After Preliminaries in Section 3 we have discussed integrability criterions of the distributions. It has been proved that if TM is invariant under h , then the normal space and also all the distributions remains invariant under h . A Lemma has been proved which is often used in later results. It has been shown that D is not integrable, but D^\perp and $D^\perp \oplus \xi$ are integrable under certain conditions. In Section 4, totally contact umbilical contact CR-submanifolds have been considered, and it has been proved that they reduce to totally contact geodesic submanifolds.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 53C40; Secondary 53C25.

Key words and phrases. contact CR-submanifold, semi-invariant submanifold, $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold, totally contact umbilical, totally contact geodesic.

2. Preliminaries

An $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional manifold M^{2n+1} is said to admit an almost contact structure if it admits a tensor field ϕ of type $(1, 1)$, a vector field ξ and a 1-form η satisfying

$$(2.1) \quad (a) \phi^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi, \quad (b) \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad (c) \phi\xi = 0, \quad (d) \eta \circ \phi = 0.$$

An almost contact structure is said to be normal if the corresponding almost complex structure J on the product manifold $M^{2n+1} \times \mathbf{R}$ defined by $J(X, f \frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{d}{dt})$ is integrable, where X is tangent to M , t is the coordinate of \mathbf{R} and f is a smooth function on $M \times \mathbf{R}$. Let g be a compatible Riemannian metric with almost contact structure (ϕ, ξ, η) , that is,

$$(2.2) \quad g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Then M becomes an almost contact metric manifold equipped with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) . From (2.2) it can be easily seen that

$$(2.3) \quad (a)g(X, \xi) = \eta(X), \quad (b)g(X, \phi Y) = -g(\phi X, Y),$$

for all vector fields X, Y . An almost contact metric structure becomes a contact metric structure if

$$(2.4) \quad g(X, \phi Y) = d\eta(X, Y),$$

for all vector fields X, Y . The 1-form η is then a contact form and ξ is its characteristic vector field. We define a $(1, 1)$ tensor field h by $h = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\xi\phi$, where \mathcal{L} denotes the Lie-differentiation. Then h is symmetric and satisfies $h\phi = -\phi h$. We have $Tr.h = Tr.\phi h = 0$ and $h\xi = 0$. A normal contact metric manifold is a Sasakian manifold. It is well known that the tangent sphere bundle of a flat Riemannian manifold admits a contact metric structure satisfying $R(X, Y)\xi = 0$ [2]. On the other hand, on a Sasakian manifold the following holds:

$$(2.5) \quad R(X, Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y.$$

The k -nullity distribution $N(k)$ of a Riemannian manifold M^{2n+1} [2] is defined by

$$N(k) : p \longrightarrow N_p(k) = \{Z \in T_p M : R(X, Y)Z = k[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]\},$$

k being a constant. If the characteristic vector field $\xi \in N(k)$, then we call a contact metric manifold an $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold. If $k = 1$, then $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is Sasakian and if $k = 0$, then $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold is locally isometric to the product $E^{n+1} \times S^n(4)$ for $n > 1$ and flat for $n = 1$. If $k < 1$, the scalar curvature is $r = 2n(2n - 2 + k)$.

In [9], $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold were studied in some detail. In $N(k)$ -contact metric manifold the following relations hold:

$$(2.6) \quad h^2 = (k - 1)\phi^2, \quad k \leq 1,$$

$$(2.7) \quad (\nabla_X \phi)(Y) = g(X + hX, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)(X + hX),$$

$$(2.8) \quad \nabla_X \xi = -X - \phi hX.$$

Let \bar{M} be an almost contact metric manifold and M be a submanifold of \bar{M} such that $\xi \in TM$. We say that M is a CR-submanifold of \bar{M} if there exists two distributions D and D^\perp such that

$$TM = D \oplus D^\perp \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$$

and $\phi X \in TM, \phi Y \in T^\perp M$, for all $X \in D, Y \in D^\perp$, where TM and $T^\perp M$ denote the tangent and normal space of M respectively.

If $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ denote the Levi-Civita connections in \bar{M} and M respectively, then for $X, Y \in TM, N \in T^\perp M$ we have the Gauss and Weingarten formulae as

$$(2.9) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + B(X, Y)$$

$$(2.10) \quad \bar{\nabla}_X N = \nabla_X N - A_N X,$$

where $B(X, Y), A_N X$ are second fundamental forms connected by the relation

$$(2.11) \quad g(B(X, Y), N) = g(A_N X, Y).$$

Definition 2.1. We say that a CR-submanifold M is totally contact umbilical if there exists a normal vector field H such that

$$(2.12) \quad B(X, Y) = g(\phi X, \phi Y)H + \eta(X)B(Y, \xi) + \eta(Y)B(X, \xi), \forall X, Y \in TM.$$

We say that M is totally contact geodesic if $H = 0$.

3. Integrability of the Distributions

It is obvious that ϕD^\perp is anti-invariant part in $T^\perp M$. We can easily verify that

$$T^\perp M = \phi D^\perp \oplus \mu,$$

where by μ we denote the invariant part of $T^\perp M$.

Proposition 3.1. If TM is invariant under h , then $D, D^\perp, T^\perp M, \mu$ and ϕD^\perp all are invariant under h .

Proof. Let $X \in D, Y \in D^\perp, Z \in T^\perp M, W \in \mu, N \in \phi D^\perp$.

Then, $\phi hX = -h\phi X \in TM$. And $g(hX, \xi) = g(X, h\xi) = 0$, for all $X \in D$. Hence, D is invariant under h .

Again, $g(hY, X) = g(Y, hX) = 0$ and $g(hY, \xi) = g(Y, h\xi) = 0$ together imply D^\perp is invariant under h .

Since, TM is invariant under h , we obtain, $g(hZ, T) = g(Z, hT) = 0$, for all $T \in TM$. So, $T^\perp M$ is invariant under h .

Now, $\phi hW = -h\phi W \in T^\perp M$. Hence, μ is invariant under h .

Finally, $g(W, hN) = g(N, hW) = 0$, since μ is invariant under h .

Hence we have the result. \square

Lemma 3.1. Let $X \in D, Z \in D^\perp$. If TM is invariant under h , then the followings hold:

- (i) $\nabla_X \xi = -\phi X - \phi hX, B(X, \xi) = 0$,
- (ii) $\nabla_Z \xi = 0, B(Z, \xi) = -\phi Z - \phi hZ$,
- (iii) $\nabla_\xi \xi = 0, B(\xi, \xi) = 0$,
- (iv) $\nabla_\xi X \in D$,
- (v) $\nabla_\xi Z \in D^\perp$.

Proof. We have, $-\phi T - \phi hT = \bar{\nabla}_T \xi = \nabla_T \xi + B(T, \xi)$, for all $T \in TM$.

Hence, (i), (ii) and (iii) are obvious from Proposition 3.1.

Now,

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi \nabla_\xi X &= \phi(\bar{\nabla}_\xi X - B(X, \xi)) \\ &= \bar{\nabla}_\xi \phi X, [since, (\bar{\nabla}_\xi \phi)X = 0] \\ &= \nabla_\xi \phi X + B(\xi, \phi X) \\ &= \nabla_\xi \phi X. \end{aligned}$$

From 3.1 we obtain, $Q\nabla_\xi X = 0$.

Also, $g(\nabla_\xi X, \xi) = -g(X, \nabla_\xi \xi) = 0$.

Hence, $\nabla_\xi X \in D$.

Now, $g(\nabla_\xi Z, X) = -g(Z, \nabla_\xi X) = 0$, since $\nabla_\xi X \in D$.

Also, $g(\nabla_\xi Z, \xi) = -g(Z, \nabla_\xi \xi) = 0$.

Hence, $\nabla_\xi Z \in D^\perp$. \square

Lemma 3.2. *In a contact CR-submanifold of a $N(k)$ -contact manifold, for $Z, W \in D^\perp$,*

$$A_{\phi W} Z = A_{\phi Z} W.$$

Proof. Let $X \in TM$. Then, by (2.11)

$$\begin{aligned} g(A_{\phi Z} W, X) &= g(B(X, W), \phi Z) \\ &= g(\bar{\nabla}_X W, \phi Z) \\ &= -g(\phi \bar{\nabla}_X W, Z) \\ &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_X \phi W, Z) \\ &= g(A_{\phi W} X, Z) \\ &= g(B(X, Z), \phi W) \\ (3.2) \qquad &= g(A_{\phi W} Z, X), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the Lemma. \square

Theorem 3.1. *D is not integrable in a proper contact CR-submanifold M of $N(k)$ -contact manifolds provided TM remains invariant under h .*

Proof. Let $X, Y \in D$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} g([X, Y], \xi) &= g(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X, \xi) \\ &= -g(Y, \nabla_X \xi) + g(X, \nabla_Y \xi) \\ &= -g(Y, -\phi X - \phi hX) + g(X, -\phi Y - \phi hY), \text{ by Lemma 3.1} \\ &= g(Y, \phi X) + g(Y, \phi hX) + g(X, \phi hY) - g(X, \phi hY) \\ &= 2g(Y, \phi X) + g(Y, \phi hX) - g(Y, \phi hX) \\ (3.3) \qquad &= 2g(Y, \phi X) \end{aligned}$$

Now, if D is to be integrable, then $[X, Y] \in D$. So, from (3.3) we obtain, $g(Y, \phi X) = 0$, which implies $D = \{0\}$. Hence the theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 3.2. *In a contact CR-submanifold M of a $N(k)$ -contact manifold D^\perp is integrable provided TM is invariant under h .*

Proof. Let $Z, W \in D^\perp, X \in D$.

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], \xi) &= g(\nabla_Z W - \nabla_W Z, \xi) \\ &= -g(W, \nabla_Z \xi) + g(Z, \nabla_W \xi) \\ (3.4) \qquad &= 0, \text{ by Lemma 3.1} \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} g([Z, W], \phi X) &= g(\bar{\nabla}_Z W, \phi X) - g(\bar{\nabla}_W Z, \phi X) \\ &= -g(W, \bar{\nabla}_Z \phi X) + g(Z, \bar{\nabla}_W \phi X) \\ &= -g(W, \phi \bar{\nabla}_Z X) + g(Z, \phi \bar{\nabla}_W X) \\ &= g(\phi W, \bar{\nabla}_Z X) - g(\phi Z, \bar{\nabla}_W X) \\ &= g(\phi W, B(X, Z)) - g(\phi Z, B(X, W)) \\ &= g(A_\phi W Z, X) - g(A_\phi Z W, X) \\ (3.5) \qquad &= 0, \text{ by Lemma 3.2} \end{aligned}$$

Hence the theorem is proved. \square

Theorem 3.3. *In a contact CR-submanifold M of a $N(k)$ -contact manifold, if TM remains invariant under h , then $D^\perp \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$ is integrable.*

Proof. Let $Z \in D^\perp, X \in D$. Then,

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} g([Z, \xi], X) &= g(\nabla_Z \xi, X) - g(\nabla_\xi Z, X) \\ &= 0, \text{ by Lemma 3.1.} \end{aligned}$$

Thus with the help of Theorem 3.2, we conclude that $D^\perp \oplus \langle \xi \rangle$ is integrable. \square

4. Totally contact Umbilical and Totally Contact Geodesic Submanifolds

Lemma 4.1. *If M is a totally contact umbilical proper contact CR-submanifold of a $N(k)$ -contact manifold, then either $\dim D^\perp = 1$ or the normal vector $H \in \mu$.*

Proof. Let $X \in TM, Y \in D^\perp$.

Since M is totally contact umbilical, we obtain,

$$(4.1) \quad g(B(X, X), \phi Y) = g(\phi X, \phi X)g(H, \phi Y) + 2\eta(X)g(B(X, \xi), \phi Y).$$

Now, if $X \in \langle \xi \rangle$, then by Lemma 3.1 $B(X, \xi) = 0$, and if $X \notin \langle \xi \rangle$, then $\eta(X) = 0$. So, from (4.1), we obtain,

$$(4.2) \quad g(B(X, X), \phi Y) = [g(X, X) - \eta(X)^2]g(H, \phi Y).$$

If $\dim D^\perp > 1$, there exists unit vector $Z \in D^\perp$ orthogonal to Y .

Then, from (4.1) we get

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} g(H, \phi Y) &= g(B(Z, Z), \phi Y) \\ &= g(A_{\phi Y} Z, Z) \\ &= -g(\bar{\nabla}_Z \phi Y, Z) \\ &= -g(Y, \phi \bar{\nabla}_Z Z) \\ &= -g(Y, \bar{\nabla}_Z \phi Z) \\ &= g(Y, A_{\phi Z} Z) \\ &= g(B(Y, Z), \phi Z) \\ &= g(\phi Y, \phi Z)g(H, \phi Z), \text{ by (4.1)} \\ &= 0, \text{ since } Z \perp Y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence the result is proved. \square

Theorem 4.1. *Let M be a totally contact umbilical proper contact CR-submanifold of a $N(k)$ -contact manifold with $\dim D^\perp > 1$. Then M is totally contact geodesic.*

Proof. Since $\dim D^\perp > 1$, from Lemma 4.1, we have $H \perp \phi D^\perp$, for all $X \in TM$.

Now,

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &= g((\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)\phi X, H) \\ &= -g(\phi X, (\bar{\nabla}_X \phi)H), [\text{since, } H \perp \phi D^\perp] \\ &= -g(\phi X, \bar{\nabla}_X \phi H - \phi \bar{\nabla}_X H) \\ &= g(\phi X, A_{\phi H} X) - g(X, A_H X) \\ &= g(\phi H, B(X, \phi X)) - g(H, B(X, X)) \\ &= -g(H, B(X, X)) \\ &= -g(X, X)g(H, H), \forall X \in D \oplus D^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $H = 0$. Therefore, M is totally contact geodesic. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bejancu A. and Papaghiuc N., Semi-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, Ann. St. Univ. "Al.I.Cuza" Iasi 27(1981), 163-170.
- [2] Blair D.E., Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 509 Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [3] Calin C., CR-submanifolds of a nearly cosymplectic manifold, Publ. Math. Debrecen 45 (1994), 225-237.
- [4] Calin C., Contact CR-submanifold of quasi-Sasakian manifolds, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie 36(84)(1992),217-226.
- [5] Calin C., Geometry of leaves on a CR-submanifold of a quasi-Sasakian manifold, Bul. I. P. Iasi Tom XL(XLIV)Fasc.1-4(1994),37-44.
- [6] Calin C., Normal contact CR-submanifolds of a quasi-Sasakian manifold, Publ. Math. Debrecen 53/3-4 (1998),257-270.
- [7] Calin C., Normal contact CR-submanifolds of a trans-Sasakian manifold,Bul. Inst. Poli. Din Iasi Tom XLII(XLVI) Fasc. 1-2,1996,9-15.
- [8] Calin C., Semi-invariant submanifolds of a quasi K-Sasakian manifolds,Ann. St. Univ. "Al.I.Cuza" Iasi Tom XLII,(1996),95-103.
- [9] Ch. Baikoussis, D. E. Blair and Th. Koufogiorgos, A decomposition of the curvature tensor of a contact manifold satisfying $R(X, Y)\xi = k(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$, Mathematics Technical Report, University of Ioanniana, No.204, June 1992.
- [10] Chen B.Y., Geometry of submanifolds, Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 22. Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1973.
- [11] Tanno S., Ricci curvatures of contact Riemannian manifolds, Tohoku Mathematical Journal 40(1988), 441-448.
- [12] Yano K. and Kon M., CR-submanifolds of Kaehler and Sasakian manifolds, Birkhauser,Boston,1983.

DEPARTMENT OF PURE MATHEMATICS
 UNIVERSITY OF CALCUTTA
 35, B.C.ROAD
 KOLKATA-700019
 WEST BENGAL, INDIA.
E-mail address: de.math@gmail.com