

GENERALIZED ϕ -RECURRENT LORENTZIAN α -SASAKIAN MANIFOLD

D.G. PRAKASHA AND A. YILDIZ

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to study generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of generalized recurrent manifolds was introduced by U. C. De and N. Guha [5]. A Riemannian manifold (M^n, g) is called generalized recurrent if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition

$$(\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W = A(X)R(Y, Z)W + B(X)[g(Z, W)Y - g(Y, W)Z]$$

where, A and B are two 1-forms, B is non-zero and these are defined by

$$A(X) = g(X, \rho_1), \quad B(X) = g(X, \rho_2) \quad (1.1)$$

ρ_1 and ρ_2 are vector fields associated with 1-forms A and B , respectively.

The notion of ϕ -recurrent Sasakian manifolds was introduced by U. C. De, A. A. Shaikh and S. Biswas [4]. This notion generalizes the notion of locally ϕ -symmetric Sasakian manifolds. A Sasakian manifold is said to be a ϕ -recurrent manifold if there exists a non-zero 1-form A such that

$$\phi^2((\nabla_X R)(Y, Z)W) = A(X)R(Y, Z)W$$

for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z, W . If the 1-form A vanishes, then the manifold reduces to a ϕ -symmetric manifold.

Generalized ϕ -recurrent (k, μ) -contact metric manifolds were studied by J-B. Jun, A. Yıldız and U. C. De [10]. Also, generalized ϕ -recurrent Sasakian manifolds were studied by D. A. Patil, D. G. Prakasha and C. S. Bagewadi [15]. Motivated by the above studies, in this paper we study generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds and obtain some interesting results.

Received by the editors Feb. 18, 2010, Accepted: June. 28, 2010.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 53C05, 53C20, 53C25, 53D15.

Key words and phrases. Generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold, Einstein manifold, constant curvature.

The paper is organized as follows: After Preliminaries, we give a brief account of Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds. In section 4, we study Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds satisfying the condition $S(X, \xi) \cdot R = 0$, where S and R are the Ricci and Riemannian curvature tensors respectively. Here it is shown that the manifold under this condition is reduced to Einstein one. In Section 5, we show that a generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is an Einstein manifold. We also show that in a generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold the characteristic vector field ξ and the associated vector field $\rho_1\alpha^2 + \rho_2$ are in opposite direction. The same section also consists of locally generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds and obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for such a manifold to be of locally generalized ϕ -recurrent. In the last section, we show that a 3-dimensional generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is of constant curvature.

2. PRELIMINARIES

The product of an almost contact manifold M and the real line \mathbb{R} carries a natural almost complex structure. However if one takes M to be an almost contact metric manifold and supposes that the product metric G on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ is Kaehlerian, then the structure on M is cosymplectic [8] and not Sasakian. On the other hand Oubina [14] pointed out that if the conformally related metric $e^{2t}G$, t being the coordinate on \mathbb{R} , is Kaehlerian, then M is Sasakian and conversely.

In [19], S. Tanno classified connected almost contact metric manifolds whose automorphism groups possess the maximum dimension. For such a manifold, the sectional curvature of plane sections containing ξ is a constant, say c . He showed that they can be divided into three classes: (i) homogeneous normal contact Riemannian manifolds with $c > 0$, (ii) global Riemannian products of a line or a circle with a Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature if $c = 0$, (iii) a warped product space if $c < 0$. It is known that the manifolds of class (i) are characterized by admitting a Sasakian structure.

In the Gray-Hervella classification of almost Hermitian manifolds [7], there appears a class, W_4 , of Hermitian manifolds which are closely related to locally conformal Kaehler manifolds [6]. An almost contact metric structure on a manifold M is called a trans-Sasakian structure [14], [2] if the product manifold $M \times \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the class W_4 . The class $C_6 \oplus C_5$ [12] coincides with the class of the trans-Sasakian structures of type (α, β) . In fact, in [12], local nature of the two subclasses, namely, C_5 and C_6 structures, of trans-Sasakian structures are characterized completely.

We note that trans-Sasakian structures of type $(0, 0)$, $(0, \beta)$ and $(\alpha, 0)$ are cosymplectic [2], β -Kenmotsu [9] and α -Sasakian [9], respectively. An almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) on M is called a *trans-Sasakian structure* [14] if $(M \times \mathbb{R}, J, G)$ belongs to the class W_4 [7], where J is the almost complex structure on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J(X, fd/dt) = (\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X)d/dt)$$

for all vector fields X on M and smooth functions f on $M \times \mathbb{R}$, and G is the product metric on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. This may be expressed by the condition [1]

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X) \quad (2.1)$$

for some smooth functions α and β on M , and we say that the trans-Sasakian structure is of type (α, β) . From the formula (2.1) it follows that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha\phi X + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi) \quad (2.2)$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = -\alpha g(\phi X, Y) + \beta g(\phi X, \phi Y) \quad (2.3)$$

More generally one has the notion of an α -Sasakian structure [9] which may be defined by

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) \quad (2.4)$$

where α is a non-zero constant. From the condition one may readily deduce that

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha\phi X \quad (2.5)$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)Y = -\alpha g(\phi X, Y) \quad (2.6)$$

Thus $\beta = 0$ and therefore a trans-Sasakian structure of type (α, β) with α a non-zero constant is always α -Sasakian [9]. If $\alpha = 1$, then α -Sasakian manifold is a Sasakian manifold.

The relation between trans-Sasakian, α -Sasakian and β -Kenmotsu structures was discussed by Marrero [13].

Proposition 1. [13] *A trans-Sasakian manifold of dimension ≥ 5 is either α -Sasakian, β -Kenmotsu or cosymplectic.*

3. LORENTZIAN α -SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

A differentiable manifold M of dimension n is called a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold if it admits a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field ϕ , a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and Lorentzian metric g which satisfy [16, 21]

$$\eta(\xi) = -1, \quad \phi\xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0 \quad (3.1)$$

$$\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad g(X, \xi) = \eta(X) \quad (3.2)$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (3.3)$$

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X) \quad (3.4)$$

for all $X, Y \in TM$.

Also a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M satisfies

$$\nabla_X \xi = \alpha\phi X \quad (3.5)$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta)(Y) = \alpha g(X, \phi Y) \quad (3.6)$$

where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g , then M is called Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold.

Further, on a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold M the following relations hold: [22, 16]

$$\eta(R(X, Y)Z) = \alpha^2[g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)] \quad (3.7)$$

$$R(X, Y)\xi = \alpha^2[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] \quad (3.8)$$

$$S(X, \xi) = (n-1)\alpha^2\eta(X) \quad (3.9)$$

$$Q\xi = (n-1)\alpha^2\xi \quad (3.10)$$

$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1)\alpha^2\eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (3.11)$$

Definition 3.1. A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold (M, g) is said to be Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y)$$

for any vector fields X and Y , where a is constant on (M, g) .

4. LORENTZIAN α -SASAKIAN MANIFOLD SATISFYING $S(X, \xi) \cdot R = 0$

Theorem 4.1. A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) , $(n > 3)$ satisfying the condition $S(X, \xi) \cdot R = 0$ is an Einstein manifold.

Proof. Consider a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) , $(n > 3)$ satisfying the condition

$$(S(X, \xi) \cdot R)(U, V)Z = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

By definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S(X, \xi) \cdot R)(U, V)Z &= ((X \wedge_S \xi) \cdot R)(U, V)Z \\ &= (X \wedge_S \xi)R(U, V)Z + R((X \wedge_S \xi)U, V)Z \\ &\quad + R(U, (X \wedge_S \xi)V)Z + R(U, V)(X \wedge_S \xi)Z \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

where the endomorphism $X \wedge_S Y$ is defined by

$$(X \wedge_S Y)Z = S(Y, Z)X - S(X, Z)Y \quad (4.3)$$

Using the definition of (4.3) in (4.2), we get by virtue of (3.9) that

$$\begin{aligned} &(S(X, \xi) \cdot R)(U, V)Z \\ &= (n-1)\alpha^2[\eta(R(U, V)Z)X + \eta(U)R(X, V)Z \\ &\quad + \eta(V)R(U, X)Z + \eta(Z)R(U, V)X] \\ &\quad - S(X, R(U, V)Z)\xi - S(X, U)R(\xi, V)Z \\ &\quad - S(X, V)R(U, \xi)Z - S(X, Z)R(U, V)\xi \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

In view of (4.1) and (4.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(n-1)\alpha^2[\eta(R(U, V)Z)X + \eta(U)R(X, V)Z \\ &\quad + \eta(V)R(U, X)Z + \eta(Z)R(U, V)X] \\ &\quad - S(X, R(U, V)Z)\xi - S(X, U)R(\xi, V)Z \\ &\quad - S(X, V)R(U, \xi)Z - S(X, Z)R(U, V)\xi = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

Taking the inner product on both sides of (4.5) with ξ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (n-1)\alpha^2[\eta(R(U, V)Z)\eta(X) + \eta(U)\eta(R(X, V)Z) \\ & + \eta(V)\eta(R(U, X)Z) + \eta(Z)\eta(R(U, V)X)] \\ & + S(X, R(U, V)Z) - S(X, U)\eta(R(\xi, V)Z) \\ & - S(X, V)\eta(R(U, \xi)Z) - S(X, Z)\eta(R(U, V)\xi) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Putting $U = Z = \xi$ in (4.6) and using (3.7)-(3.11), we get

$$S(X, V) = (n-1)\alpha^2g(X, V) \quad (4.7)$$

which means that the manifold is an Einstein manifold. This completes the proof of the theorem.

5. GENERALIZED ϕ -RECURRENT LORENTZIAN α -SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Definition 5.1. A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is said to be a generalized ϕ -recurrent if its curvature tensor R satisfies the condition ([5, 18])

$$\phi^2((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z) = A(W)R(X, Y)Z + B(W)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \quad (5.1)$$

where, A and B are two 1-forms, B is non-zero and these are defined as in (1.1). If for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W orthogonal to ξ , that is, for any horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z, W , then a generalized ϕ -recurrent manifold reduces to a locally generalized ϕ -recurrent manifold.

We begin with the following:

Theorem 5.2. A generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) ($n > 1$) is an Einstein manifold.

Proof. Let us consider a generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold. Then by virtue of (3.2) and (5.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z + \eta((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z)\xi \\ & = A(W)R(X, Y)Z + B(W)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z, U) + \eta((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z)\eta(U) \\ & = A(W)g(R(X, Y)Z, U) + B(W)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

Let $\{e_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold. Then putting $X = U = e_i$ in (4.2) and taking summation over i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & (\nabla_W S)(Y, Z) + \sum_{r=1}^n \eta((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)Z)\eta(e_i) \\ & = A(W)S(Y, Z) + (n-1)B(W)g(Y, Z) \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

The second term of (5.4) by putting $Z = \xi$ takes the form $g((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi)g(e_i, \xi)$ which is denoted by E . In this case E vanishes. Since the following equation is well known

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) &= g(\nabla_W R(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) - g(R(\nabla_W e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) \\ &\quad - g(R(e_i, \nabla_W Y)\xi, \xi) - g(R(e_i, Y)\nabla_W \xi, \xi) \end{aligned}$$

at $p \in M$. Using (3.8), we have

$$g(R(e_i, \nabla_W Y)\xi, \xi) = \alpha^2[g(\nabla_W Y, \xi)g(e_i, \xi) - g(\xi, e_i)g(\nabla_W Y, \xi)] = 0$$

Thus we obtain

$$g((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) = g(\nabla_W R(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) - g(R(e_i, Y)\nabla_W \xi, \xi)$$

In virtue of $g(R(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) = g(R(\xi, \xi)Y, e_i) = 0$, we have

$$g(\nabla_W R(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) + g(R(e_i, Y)\xi, \nabla_W \xi) = 0$$

which implies

$$g((\nabla_W R)(e_i, Y)\xi, \xi) = -g(R(e_i, Y)\xi, \nabla_W \xi) - g(R(e_i, Y)\nabla_W \xi, \xi)$$

Hence we reach

$$\begin{aligned} E &= -\alpha \sum_{r=1}^n \{g(R(\phi W, \xi)Y, e_i)g(\xi, e_i) + g(R(\xi, \phi W)Y, e_i)g(\xi, e_i)\} \\ &= -\alpha \{g(R(\phi W, \xi)Y, \xi) + g(R(\xi, \phi W)Y, \xi)\} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing Z by ξ in (5.4) and using (3.9) we have

$$(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) = (n-1)\{A(W)\alpha^2 + B(W)\}\eta(Y) \quad (5.5)$$

Now we have $(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) = \nabla_W S(Y, \xi) - S(\nabla_W Y, \xi) - S(Y, \nabla_W \xi)$.

Using (3.5) and (3.6) in the above relation, it follows that

$$(\nabla_W S)(Y, \xi) = \alpha\{(n-1)\alpha^2 g(W, \phi Y) - S(\phi W, Y)\} \quad (5.6)$$

In view of (5.5) and (5.6), we have

$$\alpha\{(n-1)\alpha^2 g(W, \phi Y) - S(\phi W, Y)\} = (n-1)\{A(W)\alpha^2 + B(W)\}\eta(Y) \quad (5.7)$$

Replacing Y by ξ in (5.7) and then using (3.1), we get

$$\alpha^2 A(W) = -B(W) \quad (5.8)$$

So using (5.8) in (5.7) we have

$$(n-1)\alpha^2 g(W, \phi Y) - S(\phi W, Y) = 0$$

Replacing Y by ϕY in above and using (3.2) and (3.11) we get

$$S(Y, W) = (n-1)\alpha^2 g(Y, W)$$

for all Y, W . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 5.3. *In a generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) the characteristic vector field ξ and the vector field $\rho_1\alpha^2 + \rho_2$ associated to the 1-form $A\alpha^2 + B$ are in opposite direction.*

Proof. Two vector fields P and Q are said to be *codirectional* if $P = fQ$, where f is a non-zero scalar, that is $g(P, X) = fg(Q, X)$ for all X .

Now, from (5.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z &= -\eta((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z)\xi + A(W)R(X, Y)Z \\ &\quad + B(W)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Then by the use of second Bianchi identity and (5.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} A(W)\eta(R(X, Y)Z) &+ A(X)\eta(R(Y, W)Z) + A(Y)\eta(R(W, X)Z) \\ &+ B(W)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ &+ B(X)[g(W, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)W] \\ &+ B(Y)[g(X, Z)W - g(W, Z)X] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

By virtue of (3.7), we obtain from (5.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} &\{A(W)\alpha^2 + B(W)\}[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ &+ \{A(X)\alpha^2 + B(X)\}[g(W, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)W] \\ &+ \{A(Y)\alpha^2 + B(Y)\}[g(X, Z)W - g(W, Z)X] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Putting $Y = Z = e_i$ in (5.11) and taking summation over i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, we get

$$\{A(W)\alpha^2 + B(W)\}\eta(X) = \{A(X)\alpha^2 + B(X)\}\eta(W) \quad (5.12)$$

for all vector fields X, W .

Replacing X by ξ in (5.12), it follows that

$$\{A(W)\alpha^2 + B(W)\} = -\eta(W)\{\eta(\rho_1)\alpha^2 + \eta(\rho_2)\} \quad (5.13)$$

for any vector field W , where $A(\xi) = g(\xi, \rho_1) = \eta(\rho_1)$ and $B(\xi) = g(\xi, \rho_2) = \eta(\rho_2)$.

Relation (5.12) and (5.13) completes proof of the theorem.

Theorem 5.4. *A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold (M^n, g) is locally generalized ϕ -recurrent if and only if the relation*

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z &= \alpha\{\alpha^2[g(\phi Y, W)g(X, Z) - g(\phi X, W)g(Y, Z)]\xi \\ &\quad - g(R(X, Y)\phi W, Z)\xi\} + A(W)R(X, Y)Z \\ &\quad + B(W)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

holds for all horizontal vector fields X, Y, Z, W on M .

Proof. By the definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z, U) &= g(\nabla_W R(X, Y)Z, U) + R(\nabla_W X, Y, U, Z) \\ &\quad + R(X, \nabla_W Y, U, Z) + R(X, Y, U, \nabla_W Z) \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where $R(X, Y, Z, U) = g(R(X, Y)Z, U)$ and the property of curvature tensor have been used. Since ∇ is a metric connection, it follows that

$$g(\nabla_W R(X, Y)Z, U) = g(R(X, Y)\nabla_W U, Z) - \nabla_W g(R(X, Y)U, Z) \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$\nabla_W g(R(X, Y)U, Z) = g(\nabla_W R(X, Y)U, Z) + g(R(X, Y)U, \nabla_W Z) \quad (5.17)$$

From (5.16) and (5.17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(\nabla_W R(X, Y)Z, U) &= -g(\nabla_W R(X, Y)U, Z) \\ &\quad -g(R(X, Y)U, \nabla_W Z) + g(R(X, Y)\nabla_W U, Z) \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

Using (5.18) in (5.15), we get

$$g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z, U) = -g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)U, Z) \quad (5.19)$$

In view of (5.19), it follows from (3.2) and (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z &= g((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi, Z)\xi \\ &\quad + A(W)R(X, Y)Z + B(W)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

By virtue of (3.1), (3.6) and (3.8) we can easily get

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi = \alpha[\alpha^2\{g(\phi Y, W)X - g(\phi X, W)Y\} - R(X, Y, \phi W)] \quad (5.21)$$

Using (5.21) in (5.19) we obtain the relation (5.14). Conversely, if in a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold the relation (5.14) holds, then applying ϕ on both sides of (5.14) and keeping mind that X, Y, Z and W are orthogonal to ξ , we obtain (5.1). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 5.5. *A Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is of constant curvature if and only if the relation*

$$\phi^2((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi) = A(W)R(X, Y)\xi + B(W)[g(Y, \xi)X - g(X, \xi)Y] \quad (5.22)$$

holds for all horizontal vector fields X, Y, W .

Proof. With the help of (3.1), the relation (5.22) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} &(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi + \eta((\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi)\xi \\ &= A(W)R(X, Y)\xi + B(W)[g(Y, \xi)X - g(X, \xi)Y] \end{aligned} \quad (5.23)$$

By taking account of (3.8) and (5.14) in (5.23), one can get

$$(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)\xi = 0 \quad (5.24)$$

for any horizontal vector fields X, Y, W . By taking account of (5.21) in (5.24) we have

$$R(X, Y, \phi W) = \alpha^2\{g(\phi Y, W)X - g(\phi X, W)Y\} \quad (5.25)$$

for any orthogonal vector fields X, Y, W .

Now assume that X , Y and Z are vector fields such that $(\nabla X)_p = (\nabla Y)_p = (\nabla Z)_p = 0$ for a fixed point p of M^n . By the Ricci identity for ϕ [20]

$$-(R(X, Y)\phi W) = (\nabla_X \nabla_Y \phi)W - (\nabla_Y \nabla_X \phi)W$$

We have at the point p ,

$$-R(X, Y, \phi W) + \phi R(X, Y, W) = \nabla_X((\nabla_Y \phi)W) - \nabla_Y((\nabla_X \phi)W)$$

Using (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} -R(X, Y, \phi W) + \phi R(X, Y, W) &= \alpha \nabla_X \{g(Y, W)\xi + \eta(W)Y\} \\ &\quad - \alpha \nabla_Y \{g(X, W)\xi + \eta(W)X\} \\ &= \alpha \{g(Y, W)\nabla_X \xi + (\nabla_X \eta)(W)Y\} \\ &\quad - \alpha \{g(X, W)\nabla_Y \xi + (\nabla_Y \eta)(W)X\} \end{aligned}$$

In view of (2.5) and (2.6), the above equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\phi W &= \alpha^2 \{g(\phi Y, W)X + g(X, W)\phi Y - g(\phi X, W)Y - g(Y, W)\phi X\} \\ &\quad + \phi R(X, Y)W \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

From (5.25) and (5.26), it follows that

$$\phi R(X, Y)W = \alpha^2 \{g(Y, W)\phi X - g(X, W)\phi Y\}.$$

Operating ϕ on both sides and using (3.2) we get

$$R(X, Y)W = \alpha^2 \{g(Y, W)X - g(X, W)Y\} \quad (5.27)$$

for any vector fields X, Y, W are orthogonal to ξ .

Conversely, if a Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is of constant curvature, then from (5.27) it follows that the relation (5.22) holds. This completes the proof of the theorem.

6. 3-DIMENSIONAL LOCALLY GENERALIZED ϕ -RECURRENT LORENTZIAN α -SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Theorem 6.1. *A 3-dimensional locally generalized ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold is of constant curvature.*

Proof. In a 3-dimensional Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifold (M^3, g) , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= g(Y, Z)QX - g(X, Z)QY + S(Y, Z)X \\ &\quad - S(X, Z)Y + \frac{r}{2}[g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X] \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

Now putting $Z = \xi$ and using (3.2) and (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)\xi &= \eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY \\ &\quad + 2\alpha^2[\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + \frac{r}{2}[\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X] \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

Using (3.6) in (6.2), we have

$$\left(\frac{r}{2} - \alpha^2\right) [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] = \eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY \quad (6.3)$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in (6.3), we obtain

$$QX = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \alpha^2\right) X + \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3\alpha^2\right) \eta(X)\xi \quad (6.4)$$

Therefore, it follows from (6.4) that

$$S(X, Y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} - \alpha^2\right) g(X, Y) + \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3\alpha^2\right) \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (6.5)$$

Thus from (6.1), (6.4) and (6.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y)Z &= \left(\frac{r}{2} - 2\alpha^2\right) [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ &+ \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3\alpha^2\right) [g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi \\ &+ \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y] \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

Taking the covariant differentiation to the both sides of the equation (6.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z &= \frac{dr(W)}{2} [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)\eta(X)\xi \\ &- g(X, Z)\eta(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)\eta(Z)X + \eta(X)\eta(Z)Y] \\ &+ \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3\alpha^2\right) [g(Y, Z)\eta(X) - g(X, Z)\eta(Y)]\nabla_W \xi \\ &+ \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3\alpha^2\right) [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y](\nabla_W \eta)(Z) \\ &+ \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3\alpha^2\right) [g(Y, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)Y](\nabla_W \eta)(X) \\ &- \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3\alpha^2\right) [g(X, Z)\xi - \eta(Z)X](\nabla_W \eta)(Y) \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

Noting that we may assume that all vector fields X, Y, Z, W are orthogonal to ξ in the above relation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z &= \frac{dr(W)}{2} [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] \\ &+ \left(\frac{r}{2} - 3\alpha^2\right) [g(Y, Z)(\nabla_W \eta)(X) - g(X, Z)(\nabla_W \eta)(Y)]\xi \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

Applying ϕ^2 to the both sides of (6.8) and using (3.1) and (3.2), we get

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = \frac{dr(W)}{2} [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)X] \quad (6.9)$$

By (5.1) the equation (6.9) reduces to

$$A(W)R(X, Y)Z = \left[\frac{dr(W)}{2} - B(W) \right] [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)X]$$

Putting $W = e_i$, where $\{e_i\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, is an orthonormal basis of the tangent space at any point of the manifold and taking summation over i , $1 \leq i \leq 3$, we obtain

$$R(X, Y)Z = \lambda[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)X]$$

where $\lambda = \left[\frac{dr(e_i)}{2A(e_i)} + \alpha^2 \right]$ is a scalar, since A is a non-zero 1-form. Then by Schur's theorem λ will be a constant on the manifold. Therefore, (M^3, g) is of constant curvature λ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

ÖZET: Bu makalenin amacı genelleştirilmiş ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifoldları çalışmaktır.

REFERENCES

- [1] Blair D. E. and Oubina J. A., *Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds*, Publications Mathematiques, **34**(1990), 199-207.
- [2] Blair D. E., *Riemannian geometry contact and symplectic manifolds*, Progress in Mathematics in Mathematics, 203(2002). Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston.
- [3] Chinea D. and Gonzales C., *Curvature relations in trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Proceedings of the XIIth Portuguese-Spanish Conference on Mathematics, Vol. II(Portuguese) (Braga, 1987), 564-571, Univ. Minho, Braga, 1987.
- [4] De U. C., Shaikh A. A. and Biswas S., *On ϕ -recurrent Sasakian manifolds*, Novi Sad J. Math., V.33(2)(2003), 43-48.
- [5] De U. C. and Guha N., *On generalized recurrent manifolds*, Proceedings of Math. Soc., 7(1991), 7-11.
- [6] Dragomir S. and Ornea L., *Locally conformal Kaehler geometry*, Progress in Mathematics 155, Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998.
- [7] Gray A. and Hervella L. M., *The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 123(4)(1980), 35-58.
- [8] Ianus S. and Smaranda D., *Some remarkable structures on the product of an almost contact metric manifold with the real line*, Papers from the National Coll. on Geometry and Topology, Univ. Timisoara (1977), 107-110.
- [9] Janssens D. and Vanhacck L., *Almost contact structures and curvature tensors*, Kodai Math. J., 4(1981), 1-27.
- [10] Jun J-B., Yıldız A. and De U. C., *On ϕ -recurrent (k, μ) -contact metric manifolds*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 45(2008), No.4, 689-700.
- [11] Kon M., *Invariance submanifolds in Sasakian manifolds*, Math. Ann. 219(1976), 227-290.
- [12] Marrero J. C. and Chinea D., *On trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Proceedings of the XIVth Spanish-Portuguese Conference on Mathematics, Vol. I-III (Spanish) (Puerto de la Cruz, 1989), 655-659, Univ. La Laguna, La Laguna, 1990.
- [13] Marrero J. C., *The local structure of trans-Sasakian manifolds*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl., 162(4)(1992), 77-86. J.
- [14] Oubina A., *New classes of contact metric structures*, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 32(3-4)(1985), 187-193.
- [15] Patil D. A., Prakasha D. G. and Bagewadi C. S., *On ϕ -generalized recurrent Sasakian manifolds*, Bull. Math. Anal.&Appl.,1(3)(2009), 40-46.
- [16] Prakasha D. G., Bagewadi C. S. and Basavarajappa N. S., *On Pseudosymmetric Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math., 48(1)(2008), 57-65.
- [17] Shaikh A.A. and De U. C., *On 3-dimensional Lorentzian Para-Sasakian Manifolds*, Soochow J. Math. 26(2000), 4, 359-368.
- [18] Takahashi T., *Sasakian ϕ -symmetric spaces*, Tohoku Math. J., 29(1977), 91-113.

- [19] Tanno S., *The automorphism groups of almost contact Riemannian manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J., 21(1969), 21-38.
- [20] Tanno S., *Isometric immersions of Sasakian manifolds*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 21(1969), 448-458.
- [21] Yıldız A. and Murathan C., *On Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds*, Kyungpook Math. J., 45 (2005), 95-103.
- [22] Yıldız A., Turan M. and Murathan C., *A class of Lorentzian α -Sasakian manifolds*, Kyungpook Math. J., 49 (2009), 789-799.

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology,, Karnatak University, Dharwad - 580 003, INDIA., ² Department of Mathematics, Art and Science Faculty,, Dumlupınar University, Kutahya, TURKEY.

E-mail address: prakashadg@gmail.com, ahmetyildiz@dumlupinar.edu.tr

URL: <http://math.science.ankara.edu.tr>