ON LORENTZIAN TRANS-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

U.C. DE AND KRISHNENDU DE

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to study the Trans-Sasakian structure on a manifold with Lorentzian metric. Several interesting results are obtained on the manifold. Also conformally flat Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifolds have been studied. Next, in three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifolds, explicit formulae for Ricci operator, Ricci tensor and curvature tensor are obtained. Also it is proved that a three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) is locally ϕ - symmetric if and only if the scalar curvature r is constant provided α and β are constants. Finally, we give some examples of three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold.

1. Introduction

Let M be an odd dimensional manifold with Riemannian metric g. It is well known that an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η) (with respect to g) can be defined on M by a tensor field ϕ of type (1,1), a vector field ξ and a 1- form η . If M has a Sasakian structure (Kenmotsu structure), then M is called a Sasakian manifold (Kenmotsu manifold). Sasakian manifolds and Kenmotsu manifolds have been studied by several authors.

In the classification of Gray and Hervella [8] of almost Hermitian manifolds there appears a class, W_4 , of Hermitian manifolds which are closely related to locally conformally Kaehler manifolds. An almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) on M is Trans-Sasakian [17] if $(M \times R, J, G)$ belongs to the class W_4 , where J is the almost complex structure on $M \times R$ defined by

$$J(X, f\frac{d}{dt}) = (\phi X - f\xi, \eta(X)\frac{d}{dt}),$$

for all vector fields X on M, f is a smooth function on $M \times \mathbb{R}$ and G is the product metric on $M \times \mathbb{R}$. This may be expressed by the condition [2]

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X) \tag{1.1}$$

Received by the editors Jan 25, 2013, Accepted: Nov. 30, 2013.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. : 53C15, 53C25.

Key words and phrases. Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold , η -Einstein manifold, conformally flat manifold, locally ϕ -symmetric manifold.

©2013 Ankara University

for smooth functions α and β on M. Hence we say that the Trans-Sasakian structure is of type (α,β) . In particular, it is normal and it generalizes both α -Sasakian and β -Kenmotsu structures. From the formula (1.1) one easily obtains

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha(\phi X) + \beta(X - \eta(X)\xi). \tag{1.2}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta) Y = -\alpha g(\phi X, Y) + \beta g(\phi X, \phi Y). \tag{1.3}$$

In 1981, Janssens and Vanhecke introduced the notion of α - Sasakian and β -Kenmotsu manifolds where α and β are non zero real numbers. It is known that [6] Trans-Sasakian structures of type (0,0), $(0,\beta)$ and $(\alpha,0)$ are cosymplectic ([1], [2]), β - Kenmotsu ([6]) and α - Sasakian ([6]) respectively. The local structure of Trans-Sasakian manifolds of dimension $n \geq 5$ has been completely characterized by Marrero [10]. He proved that a Trans-Sasakian manifold of dimension $n \geq 5$ is either cosymplectic or α -Sasakian or β -Kenmotsu manifold. Trans-Sasakian manifolds have been studied by several authors ([3], [4], [5], [11], [18]).

Let (x, y, z) be cartesian co-ordinates in \mathbb{R}^3 , then (ϕ, ξ, η, g) given by

$$\xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \eta = dz - ydx,$$

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -y & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} e^z + y^2 & 0 & -y \\ 0 & e^z & 0 \\ -y & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a Trans-Sasakian structure of type $(\frac{-1}{2e^z}, \frac{1}{2})$ in \mathbb{R}^3 [2]. In general, in a three-dimensional K-contact manifold with structure tensors (ϕ, ξ, η, g) for a non-constant function f, if we define $\tilde{g} = fg + (1 - f)\eta \otimes \eta$; then $(\phi, \xi, \eta, \tilde{g})$ is a Trans-Sasakian structure of type $(\frac{1}{f}, \frac{1}{2}\xi(\ln f))$ [10].

Let M be a differentiable manifold. When M has a Lorentzian metric g, that is, a symmetric non degenerate (0,2) tensor field of index 1, then M is called a Lorentzian manifold. Since the Lorentzian metric is of index 1, Lorentzian manifold M has not only spacelike vector fields but also timelike and lightlike vector fields. This difference with the Riemannian case give interesting properties on the Lorentzian manifold. A differentiable manifold M has a Lorentzian metric if and only if M has a 1- dimensional distribution. Hence odd dimensional manifold is able to have a Lorentzian metric.

Therefore, it is very natural and interesting idea to define both a Trans-Sasakian structure and a Lorentzian metric on an odd dimensional manifold.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we give a brief account of Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifolds. After preliminaries, some basic results are given. In Section 4, we study conformally flat Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifolds.

In the next section, explicit formulae for Ricci operator, Ricci tensor and curvature tensor are obtained for three-dimensional Trans-Sasakian manifolds. Also it is proved that a three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) is locally ϕ - symmetric if and only if the scalar curvature r is constant provided α and β are constants. Finally we construct some examples of three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifolds.

2. Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifolds

A differentiable manifold M of dimension (2n+1) is called a Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold if it admits a (1,1) tensor field ϕ , a contravariant vector field ξ , a covariant vector field η and the Lorentzian metric g which satisfy

$$\eta(\xi) = -1,\tag{2.1}$$

$$\phi^2 = I + \eta \otimes \xi, \tag{2.2}$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \tag{2.3}$$

$$g(X,\xi) = \eta(X), \phi\xi = 0, \eta(\phi X) = 0,$$
 (2.4)

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = \alpha(q(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X) + \beta(q(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X), \tag{2.5}$$

for all $X, Y \in T(M)$.

Also a Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold M satisfies

$$\nabla_X \xi = -\alpha(\phi X) - \beta(X + \eta(X)\xi), \tag{2.6}$$

$$(\nabla_X \eta) Y = \alpha g(\phi X, Y) + \beta g(\phi X, \phi Y), \tag{2.7}$$

where ∇ denotes the operator of covariant differentation with respect to the Lorentzian metric g .

If $\alpha=0$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$, the set of real numbers, then the manifold reduces to a Lorentzian β -Kenmotsu manifold studied by Funda Yaliniz, Yildiz, and Turan [20]. If $\beta=0$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, then the manifold reduces to a Lorentzian α - Sasakian manifold studied by Yildiz, Turan and Murathan [21]. If $\alpha=0$ and $\beta=1$, then the manifold reduces to a Lorentzian Kenmotsu manifold introduced by Mihai, Oiaga and Rosca [15]. Furthermore, if $\beta=0$ and $\alpha=1$, then the manifold reduces to a Lorentzian Sasakian manifold studied by Ikawa and Erdogan [15]. Also Lorentzian para contact manifolds were introduced by Matsumoto [12] and further studied by the authors ([13],[14],[16]). Trans Lorentzian para Sasakian manifolds have been used by Gill and Dube [7].

3. SOME BASIC RESULTS

In this section, we prove some Lemmas which are needed in the rest of the sections.

Lemma 3.1. In a Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold, we have

$$R(X,Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y)$$

$$+2\alpha\beta(\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) + (Y\alpha)\phi X$$

$$-(X\alpha)\phi Y + (Y\beta)\phi^2 X - (X\beta)\phi^2 Y,$$
(3.1)

where R is the curvature tensor.

Proof. We have

$$\nabla_{X}\nabla_{Y}\xi = \nabla_{X}(-\alpha(\phi Y) - \beta(Y + \eta(Y)\xi))$$

$$= -(X\alpha)\phi Y - \alpha\nabla_{X}(\phi Y) - (X\beta)\phi^{2}Y$$

$$-\beta\nabla_{X}Y - \beta(X\eta(Y))\xi + \alpha\beta\eta(Y)\phi X$$

$$+\beta^{2}\eta(Y)X + \beta^{2}\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi,$$

where (2.2) and (2.6) have been used. Hence, in view of the above equation and (2.6), we get

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \nabla_X \nabla_Y \xi - \nabla_Y \nabla_X \xi - \nabla_{[X,Y]} \xi$$

= $-(X\alpha)\phi Y + (Y\alpha)\phi X - \alpha((\nabla_X \phi Y) - (\nabla_Y \phi X))$
 $-(X\beta)\phi^2 Y + (Y\beta)\phi^2 X - \beta((\nabla_X \eta)Y - (\nabla_Y \eta)X)\xi$
 $+\alpha\beta(\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) + \beta^2(\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y),$

which in view of (2.5) and (2.7) gives (3.1).

Lemma 3.2. For a Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold, we have

$$\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(q(X,Z)\eta(Y) - q(Y,Z)\eta(X)). \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We have from (3.1),

$$g(R(X,Y)\xi,Z) = (\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})(\eta(Y)g(X,Z) - \eta(X)g(Y,Z)) +2\alpha\beta(\eta(Y)g(\phi X,Z) - \eta(X)g(\phi Y,Z)) + (Y\alpha)g(\phi X,Z) -(X\alpha)g(\phi Y,Z) + (Y\beta)g(\phi^{2}X,Z) - (X\beta)g(\phi^{2}Y,Z),$$

Now interchanging ξ and Z in the above equation, we get

$$-g(R(X,Y)Z,\xi) = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y))$$

$$+2\alpha\beta(\eta(Y)g(\phi X,\xi) - \eta(X)g(\phi Y,\xi)) + (Y\alpha)g(\phi X,\xi)$$

$$-(X\alpha)g(\phi Y,\xi) + (Y\beta)g(\phi^2 X,\xi) - (X\beta)g(\phi^2 Y,\xi).$$

After simplification, we find,

$$g(R(X,Y)Z,\xi) = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y,Z)\eta(X)),$$

which gives (3.2).

Lemma 3.3. For a Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold, we have

$$R(\xi, Y)\xi = (\alpha^2 + \beta^2 - \xi\beta)\phi^2 Y + (2\alpha\beta - \xi\alpha)\phi Y. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Replacing X by ξ in (3.1), we get (3.3).

Lemma 3.4. In a (2n+1)- dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold, we have

$$S(X,\xi) = (2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \xi\beta)\eta(X) + (2n-1)(X\beta)$$
$$-(\phi X)\alpha + \psi(2\alpha\beta\eta(X) + X\alpha), \tag{3.4}$$

$$Q\xi = (2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \xi\beta)\xi + (2n - 1)grad\beta$$
$$-\phi(grad\alpha) + \psi(2\alpha\beta\xi + grad\alpha), \tag{3.5}$$

where S is the Ricci curvature and Q is the Ricci operator given by

$$S(X,Y) = g(QX,Y)$$
 and $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \epsilon_i g(\phi e_i, e_i).$

Proof. Let M be an (2n+1)- dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold. Then the Ricci tensor S of the manifold M is defined by

$$S(X,Y) = \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \epsilon_i g(R(e_i, X)Y, e_i),$$

where $\epsilon_i = g(e_i, e_i), \epsilon_i = \pm 1$. From (3.1), we have

$$S(X,\xi) = (\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})[\eta(X) \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g(e_{i}, e_{i})g(e_{i}, e_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \eta(e_{i})g(e_{i}, e_{i})g(X, e_{i})]$$

$$+2\alpha\beta[\eta(X) \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} g(e_{i}, e_{i})g(\phi e_{i}, e_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} \eta(e_{i})g(e_{i}, e_{i})g(\phi X, e_{i})]$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (e_{i}\alpha)g(e_{i}, e_{i})g(\phi X, e_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (X\alpha)g(e_{i}, e_{i})g(\phi e_{i}, e_{i})$$

$$-\sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (e_{i}\beta)g(e_{i}, e_{i})g(\phi^{2}X, e_{i}) + \sum_{i=1}^{2n+1} (X\beta)g(e_{i}, e_{i})g(\phi^{2}e_{i}, e_{i})$$

$$= (2n(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2}) - \xi\beta)\eta(X) + (2n-1)(X\beta)$$

$$-(\phi X)\alpha + \psi(2\alpha\beta\eta(X) + X\alpha)$$

and hence from (3.4), we get (3.5).

Remark 3.5. If in a (2n+1)- dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) we consider $\phi(grad\alpha) = (2n-1)grad\beta$, then

$$\xi\beta = g(\xi, grad\beta) = \frac{1}{2n-1}g(\xi, \phi(grad\alpha))$$
$$= \frac{1}{2n-1}\eta(\phi(grad\alpha)) = 0$$

and

$$X\beta = g(X, grad\beta) = \frac{1}{2n-1}g(X, \phi(grad\alpha))$$

$$= \frac{1}{2n-1}g(\phi X, (grad\alpha)) = \frac{1}{2n-1}(\phi X)\alpha$$

and hence (3.4) and (3.5) are reduced to

$$S(X,\xi) = 2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\eta(X) + \psi(2\alpha\beta\eta(X) + X\alpha)$$
(3.6)

and

$$Q\xi = (2n(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \xi\beta)\xi + \psi(2\alpha\beta\xi + grad\alpha), \tag{3.7}$$

respectively.

4. Conformally Flat Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifolds

In this section we consider conformally flat Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold M^{2n+1} (ϕ, ξ, η, g) (n > 1). The conformal curvature tensor C is given by

$$C(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{1}{2n-1}[S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY] + \frac{r}{(2n)(2n-1)}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y], \quad (4.1)$$

where r is the scalar curvature of M.

For conformally flat manifold, we have C(X,Y)Z=0 for n>1 and hence from (4.1) we have

$$\widetilde{R}(X,Y,Z,W) = \frac{1}{2n-1} [S(Y,Z)g(X,W) - S(X,Z)g(Y,W) + g(Y,Z)S(X,W) - g(X,Z)S(Y,W)] - \frac{r}{(2n)(2n-1)} [g(Y,Z)g(X,W) - g(X,Z)g(Y,W)], (4.2)$$

where $g(R(X,Y)Z,U) = \widetilde{R}(X,Y,Z,U)$. Setting $W = \xi$ in (4.2) we get

$$\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = \frac{1}{2n-1} [S(Y,Z)\eta(X) - S(X,Z)\eta(Y)
+g(Y,Z)S(X,\xi) - g(X,Z)S(Y,\xi)]
-\frac{r}{(2n)(2n-1)} [g(Y,Z)\eta(X) - g(X,Z)\eta(Y)].$$
(4.3)

Replacing Y by ξ in (4.3) and using (3.2) and (3.6), we get

$$S(X,Z) = [(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})(1 - 4n) + \frac{r}{2n} + (\xi \alpha - 2\alpha \beta)\psi]g(X,Z)$$

$$+[(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2})(1 - 6n) + \frac{r}{2n} - 4\alpha \beta \psi]\eta(X)\eta(Z)$$

$$-[\eta(Z)(X\alpha) + \eta(X)(Z\alpha)]\psi.$$
(4.4)

This leads to the following:

Theorem 4.1. A conformally flat Lorentzian Trans Sasakian manifold M^{2n+1} (ϕ, ξ, η, g) (n > 1) is an η - Einstein manifold provided $\psi = trace\phi = o$ and $\phi(grad\alpha) = (2n-1)grad\beta$.

Corollary 1. A conformally flat Lorentzian β - Kenmotsu manifold M^{2n+1} (ϕ, ξ, η, g) (n > 1) is an η - Einstein manifold.

5. THREE- DIMENSIONAL LORENTZIAN TRANS- SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

Since the conformal curvature tensor vanishes in a three-dimensional Riemannian manifold, therefore we get

$$R(X,Y)Z = g(Y,Z)QX - g(X,Z)QY + S(Y,Z)X - S(X,Z)Y - \frac{r}{2}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y],$$
(5.1)

where Q is the Ricci operator, that is, g(QX,Y) = S(X,Y) and r is the scalar curvature of the manifold.

From Lemma 2.4, in a three- dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold we have

$$S(X,\xi) = (2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \xi\beta)\eta(X) + (X\beta)$$
$$-(\phi X)\alpha + \psi(2\alpha\beta\eta(X) + X\alpha), \tag{5.2}$$

$$Q\xi = (2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - \xi\beta)\xi + grad\beta - \phi(grad\alpha) + \psi(2\alpha\beta\xi + grad\alpha).$$
 (5.3)

Now, in the following theorem, we obtain an expression for Ricci operator in a three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold.

Theorem 5.1. In a three- dimensional Lorentzian Trans Sasakian manifold, the Ricci operator is given by

$$QX = \left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \psi(\xi\alpha - 2\alpha\beta)\right)X$$

$$+ \left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 4\alpha\beta\psi\right)\eta(X)\xi$$

$$-\eta(X)(grad\beta - \phi(grad\alpha) + \psi(grad\alpha)) - (X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha + \psi(X\alpha))\xi$$

$$+ (2\alpha\beta - \xi\alpha)\phi X. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. For a three- dimensional Lorentzian Trans Sasakian manifold, from (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY$$
$$-(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 2\alpha\beta\psi)[X\eta(Y) - Y\eta(X)]$$
$$+(Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha + (Y\alpha)\psi)X - (X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha + (X\alpha)\psi)Y.(5.5)$$

In view of (3.1) and (5.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 2\alpha\beta(\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y) &+ (Y\alpha)\phi X - (X\alpha)\phi Y + (Y\beta)\phi^2 X - (X\beta)\phi^2 Y \\ &= \eta(Y)QX - \eta(X)QY - (\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 2\alpha\beta\psi) \\ & [\eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y] + (Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha + (Y\alpha)\psi)X \\ & - (X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha + (X\alpha)\psi)Y. \end{aligned}$$

Putting $Y = \xi$ in the above equation, we get (5.4).

Corollary 2. In a three- dimensional Lorentzian Trans Sasakian manifold, Ricci tensor and curvature tensor are given respectively by

$$S(X,Y) = \left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + \psi(\xi\alpha - 2\alpha\beta)\right)g(X,Y)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 4\alpha\beta\psi\right)\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

$$+ \eta(X)[-Y\beta + (\phi Y)\alpha - \psi(Y\alpha)] - \eta(Y)(X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha + \psi(X\alpha))$$

$$+ (2\alpha\beta - \xi\alpha)g(\phi X, Y). \tag{5.6}$$

and

$$R(X,Y)Z = \left(\frac{r}{2} + 2\xi\beta - 2(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) + 2\psi(\xi\alpha - 2\alpha\beta)\right)[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]$$

$$+g(Y,Z)[\left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 4\alpha\beta\psi\right)\eta(X)\xi$$

$$+\eta(X)(\phi(grad\alpha) - \psi(grad\alpha) - grad\beta) - (X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha + \psi(X\alpha))\xi]$$

$$+g(X,Z)[\left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 4\alpha\beta\psi\right)\eta(Y)\xi$$

$$+\eta(Y)(\phi(grad\alpha) - \psi(grad\alpha) - grad\beta) - (Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha + \psi(Y\alpha))\xi]$$

$$+[\left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 4\alpha\beta\psi\right)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$$

$$+\eta(Y)(-Z\beta + (\phi Z)\alpha - \psi(Z\alpha)) - \eta(Z)(Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha + \psi(Y\alpha))]X$$

$$-[\left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2) - 4\alpha\beta\psi\right)\eta(X)\eta(Z)$$

$$+\eta(X)(-Z\beta + (\phi Z)\alpha - \psi(Z\alpha)) - \eta(Z)(X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha + \psi(X\alpha))]Y$$

$$+(2\alpha\beta - \xi\alpha)[g(\phi Y, Z)X - g(\phi X, Z)Y]. \tag{5.7}$$

Equation (5.6) follows from (5.4). Using (5.4) and (5.6) in (5.1), the curvature tensor in a three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold is given by (5.7).

6. Locally ϕ - symmetric three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifolds with $trace \ \phi = \psi = 0$

The notion of locally ϕ -symmetry was first introduced by T.Takahashi [19] on a Sasakian manifold. In this paper we study locally ϕ - symmetric three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifolds.

Definition 6.1. A three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold is said to be locally ϕ - symmetric if

$$\phi^2(\nabla_W R)(X, Y)Z = 0, (6.1)$$

where W,X,Y,Z are horizontal vector fields, that is W,X,Y,Z are orthogonal to ξ .

Let M be a three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold with $trace\phi = \psi = 0$. Then its curvature tensor is given by

$$R(X,Y)Z = (\frac{r}{2} + 2\xi\beta - 2(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2}))[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]$$

$$+g(Y,Z)[(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2}))\eta(X)\xi$$

$$+\eta(X)(\phi(grad\alpha) - grad\beta) - (X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha)\xi]$$

$$+g(X,Z)[(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2}))\eta(Y)\xi$$

$$+\eta(Y)(\phi(grad\alpha) - grad\beta) - (Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha)\xi]$$

$$+[(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2}))\eta(Y)\eta(Z)$$

$$+\eta(Y)(-Z\beta + (\phi Z\alpha)) - \eta(Z)(Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha)]X$$

$$-[(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^{2} + \beta^{2}))\eta(X)\eta(Z)$$

$$+\eta(X)(-Z\beta + (\phi Z\alpha)) - \eta(Z)(X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha)]Y$$

$$+(2\alpha\beta - \xi\alpha)[g(\phi Y, Z)X - g(\phi X, Z)Y].$$
 (6.2)

Differentiating (6.2) we get

$$\begin{split} (\nabla_W R)(X,Y)Z &= \left[\frac{dr(W)}{2} + 2(\nabla_W(\xi\beta)) - 4(d\alpha(W) + d\beta(W))\right] \\ &= \left[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\right] + g(Y,Z)\left[\left(\frac{dr(W)}{2} + (\nabla_W(\xi\beta))\right) \\ &- 6(d\alpha(W) + d\beta(W))\right)\eta(X)\xi + \left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\right)\left((\nabla_W \eta)(X)\xi + \eta(X)(\nabla_W \xi)\right) \\ &+ (\nabla_W \eta)(X)(\phi(grad\alpha) - grad\beta) + \eta(X)(\nabla_W(\phi(grad\alpha) - grad\beta)) \\ &+ (\nabla_W(X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha))\xi + (X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha)\nabla_W \xi\right] \\ &- g(X,Z)\left[\left(\frac{dr(W)}{2} + (\nabla_W(\xi\beta)) - 6(d\alpha(W) + d\beta(W))\right)\eta(Y)\xi\right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\right)\left((\nabla_W \eta)(Y)\xi + \eta(Y)(\nabla_W \xi)\right) \\ &+ (\nabla_W \eta)(Y)(\phi(grad\alpha) - grad\beta) + \eta(Y)(\nabla_W (\phi(grad\alpha) - grad\beta)) \\ &+ (\nabla_W(Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha))\xi + (Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha)\nabla_W \xi\right] \\ &- Y\left[(\nabla_W(Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha)\eta(Z) + (Y\beta - (\phi Y)\alpha)(\nabla_W \eta)Z\right. \\ &+ \nabla_W(Z\beta - (\phi Z)\alpha)\eta(Y) + (Z\beta - (\phi Z)\alpha)(\nabla_W \eta)Y \\ &- \left(\frac{dr(W)}{2} + (\nabla_W(\xi\beta)) - 6(d\alpha(W) + d\beta(W))\right)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \end{split}$$

$$-\left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\right)$$

$$((\nabla_W \eta)Y\eta(Z) + \eta(Y)(\nabla_W \eta)Z)]$$

$$+X[(\nabla_W (X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha))\eta(Z) + (X\beta - (\phi X)\alpha)(\nabla_W \eta)Z$$

$$+\nabla_W (Z\beta - (\phi Z)\alpha))\eta(X) + (Z\beta - (\phi Z)\alpha)(\nabla_W \eta)X$$

$$-\left(\frac{dr(W)}{2} + (\nabla_W (\xi\beta)) - 6(d\alpha(W) + d\beta(W))\right)\eta(X)\eta(Z)$$

$$-\left(\frac{r}{2} + \xi\beta - 3(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\right)$$

$$((\nabla_W \eta)X\eta(Z) + \eta(X)(\nabla_W \eta)Z)]$$

$$+(2(\nabla_W (\alpha\beta)) - (\nabla_W (\xi\alpha)))[g(\phi Y, Z)X - g(\phi X, Z)Y]. \tag{6.3}$$

Suppose that α and β are constants and X,Y,Z,W are orthogonal to ξ . Then using $\phi \xi = 0$ and (6.1), we get

$$\phi^{2}(\nabla_{W}R)(X,Y)Z = (\frac{dr(W)}{2})[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y]. \tag{6.4}$$

Thus we can state the following:

Theorem 6.2. A three-dimensional Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold of type (α, β) is locally ϕ - symmetric if and only if the scalar curvature r is constant provided α and β are constants.

7. Examples

Example 7.1: We consider the three-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, z \neq 0\}$, where (x, y, z) are standard co-ordinate of \mathbb{R}^3 .

The vector fields

$$e_1 = z(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + y\frac{\partial}{\partial z}), \quad e_2 = z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

are linearly independent at each point of M.

Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = 0,$$

$$g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = 1, g(e_3, e_3) = -1.$$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$ for any $Z \in \chi(M)$. Let ϕ be the (1, 1) tensor field defined by

$$\phi(e_1) = -e_2, \quad \phi(e_2) = -e_1, \quad \phi(e_3) = 0.$$

Then using the linearity of ϕ and g, we have

$$\eta(e_3) = -1,$$

$$\phi^2 Z = Z + \eta(Z)e_3,$$

$$g(\phi Z, \phi W) = g(Z, W) + \eta(Z)\eta(W),$$

for any $Z, W \in \chi(M)$.

Then for $e_3 = \xi$, the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) defines an Lorentzian structure on M. Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to metric g and R be the curvature tensor of g. Then we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = ye_2 - z^2e_3$$
 , $[e_1, e_3] = -\frac{1}{z}e_1$ and $[e_2, e_3] = -\frac{1}{z}e_2$.

Taking $e_3 = \xi$ and using Koszul formula for the Riemannian metric g, we can easily calculate

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{e_1}e_3 &= -\frac{1}{z}e_1 + \frac{1}{z^2}e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_1}e_2 = -\frac{1}{2}z^2e_3, \\ \nabla_{e_1}e_1 &= -\frac{1}{z}e_3, \nabla_{e_2}e_3 = -\frac{1}{z}e_2 + \frac{1}{2}z^2e_1, \\ \nabla_{e_2}e_2 &= ye_1 - \frac{1}{z}e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2}e_1 = \frac{1}{2}z^2e_3 - ye_2, \\ \nabla_{e_3}e_3 &= 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3}e_2 = \frac{1}{2}z^2e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_3}e_1 = -\frac{1}{2}z^2e_2. \end{split}$$

From the above it can be easily seen that (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is an Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian structure on M. Consequently $M^3(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is an Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}z^2 \neq 0$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{z} \neq 0$.

Example 7.2: We consider the three-dimensional manifold $M = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3, z \neq 0\}$, where (x, y, z) are standard co-ordinate of \mathbb{R}^3 .

The vector fields

$$e_1=z\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \ e_2=z\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \ e_3=z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$$

are linearly independent at each point of M.

Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_2, e_3) = 0,$$

 $g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = 1, g(e_3, e_3) = -1$

that is, the form of the metric becomes

$$g = \frac{dx^2 + dy^2 - dz^2}{z^2}.$$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_3)$ for any $Z \in \chi(M)$. Let ϕ be the (1, 1) tensor field defined by

$$\phi(e_1) = -e_2, \quad \phi(e_2) = -e_1, \quad \phi(e_3) = 0.$$

Then using the linearity of ϕ and g, we have

$$\eta(e_3) = -1,$$

$$\phi^2 Z = Z + \eta(Z)e_3,$$

$$g(\phi Z, \phi W) = g(Z, W) + \eta(Z)\eta(W),$$

for any $Z, W \in \chi(M)$.

Then for $e_3 = \xi$, the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) defines an Lorentzian structure on M. Let ∇ be the Levi-Civita connection with respect to metric g. Then we have

$$[e_1, e_3] = e_1 e_3 - e_3 e_1$$

$$= z \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}) - z \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (z \frac{\partial}{\partial x})$$

$$= z^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial z} - z^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z \partial x} - z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$$

$$= -e_1.$$

Similarly

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0$$
 and $[e_2, e_3] = -e_2$.

The Riemannian connection ∇ of the metric g is given by

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) - g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]),$$
(7.1)

which known as Koszul's formula.

Using (7.1) we have

$$2g(\nabla_{e_1}e_3, e_1) = -2g(e_1, e_1)$$

= 2g(-e_1, e_1). (7.2)

Again by (7.1)

$$2g(\nabla_{e_1}e_3, e_2) = 0 = 2g(-e_1, e_2) \tag{7.3}$$

and

$$2g(\nabla_{e_1}e_3, e_3) = 0 = 2g(-e_1, e_3). \tag{7.4}$$

From (7.2), (7.3) and (7.4) we obtain

$$2g(\nabla_{e_1}e_3, X) = 2g(-e_1, X),$$

for all $X \in \chi(M)$.

Thus

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = -e_1.$$

Therefore, (7.1) further yields

$$\nabla_{e_1} e_3 = -e_1, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_1} e_1 = -e_3,$$

$$\nabla_{e_2} e_3 = -e_2, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_2 = -e_3, \quad \nabla_{e_2} e_1 = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{e_3} e_3 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_2 = 0, \quad \nabla_{e_3} e_1 = 0.$$
(7.5)

(7.5) tells us that the manifold satisfies (1.3) for $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$ and $\xi = e_3$. Hence the manifold is a Lorentzian Trans-Sasakian manifold of type (0,1). It is known that

$$R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X|Y]} Z. \tag{7.6}$$

With the help of the above results and using (7.6) it can be easily verified that

$$R(e_1, e_2)e_3 = 0$$
, $R(e_2, e_3)e_3 = -e_2$, $R(e_1, e_3)e_3 = -e_1$,
 $R(e_1, e_2)e_2 = -e_1$, $R(e_2, e_3)e_2 = -e_3$, $R(e_1, e_3)e_2 = 0$,
 $R(e_1, e_2)e_1 = e_2$, $R(e_2, e_3)e_1 = 0$, $R(e_1, e_3)e_1 = -e_3$.

From the expression of the curvature tensor it follows that the manifold is of constant curvature -1. Hence the manifold is locally ϕ -symmetric. Also from the above expressions of the curvature tensor, we obtain

$$S(e_1, e_1) = g(R(e_1, e_2)e_2, e_1) + g(R(e_1, e_3)e_3, e_1)$$

= -2

Similarly, we have

$$S(e_2, e_2) = -2, S(e_3, e_3) = 2.$$

Therefore,

$$r = S(e_1, e_1) + S(e_2, e_2) - S(e_3, e_3) = -6.$$

Thus the scalar curvature r is constant. Hence Theorem 6.1 is verified.

References

- [1] Blair, D. E., Contact manifolds in Riemannian geometry, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer Verlag, (509)1976.
- Blair, D. E.and Oubina, J.A., Conformal and related changes of metric on the product of two almost contact metric manifolds, Publ. Mat. 34(1)(1990), 199 – 207.
- [3] Chinea, D. and Gonzales, C., Curvature relations in trans-saskian manifolds, (Spanish), in "Proceedings of the XIIth Portuguese-Spanish Conference on Mathematics, Vol.II, (Portuguese), Braga, 1987," Univ. Minho, Braga, (1987), 564 – 571.
- [4] De, U.C. and Sarkar, A., On three-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds, Extracta Mathematicae, (2008), 265 277.
- [5] De, U.C.and Tripathi, M.M., Ricci tensor in 3-dimensional trans-Sasakian manifolds, Kyungpook Math. J. 43(2)(2003), 247 - 255.
- [6] Janssen, D. and Vanhecke, L., Almost contact structures and curvature tensors, Kodai Math. J., 4(1981), 1-27.
- [7] Gill, H. and Dube, K.K., Generalized CR- Submanifolds of a trans Lorentzian para Sasakian manifold, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sec. A Phys. 2(2006), 119 – 124.
- [8] Gray, A. and Hervella, L.M., The sixteen classes of almost Hermitian manifolds and their linear invariants, Ann. Math. Pura Appl., (4) 123 (1980), 35 – 58.

- [9] Ikawa, T. and Erdogan, M., Sasakian manifolds with Lorentzian metric, Kyungpook Math. J. 35(1996), 517 – 526.
- [10] Marrero, J.C., The local structure of trans-sasakian manifolds, Ann.Mat.Pura Appl.(4)162 (1992),77-86.
- [11] Marrero, J.C. and Chinea D., On trans-sasakian manifolds, (Spanish), in "Proceedings of the XIVth Spanish-Portuguese Conference on Mathematics, Vol.I-III, (Spanish), Puerto de la Cruz, 1989", Univ. La Laguna, La Laguna, 1990, 655-659.
- [12] Matsumoto, K., On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds, Bull. Yamagata Univ. Nat. Science, 2(1989), 151 – 156.
- [13] Matsumoto, K. and Mihai, I., On a certain transformation in a Lorentzian Para-Sasakian Manifold, Tensor (N.S.), 47(1988), 189 – 197.
- [14] Matsumoto, K., Mihai, I. and Rosca, R., ξ -null geodesic gradient vector fields on a Lorentzian para-Sasakian manifold. J. Korean Math. Soc. 32(1995), 17 31.
- [15] Mihai, I., Oiaga, A. and Rosca, R., Lorentzian Kenmotsu manifolds having two skew-symmetric conformal vector fields, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumania, 42(1999), 237 251.
- [16] Mihai,
I. and Rosca, R., On Lorentzian P-Sasakian Manifolds, Classical Analysis. World Scientific, Singapore, 1992
 155 169.
- [17] Oubina, J.A., New classes of almost contact metric structures, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen 32 (1985), 21 – 38.
- [18] Shaikh, A. A., Baishya, K. K. and Eyasmin, S., On D-homothetic deformation of transsasakian structure, Demonstratio Mathematica, 1 2008, 171 – 188.
- [19] Takahashi, T., Sasakian φ-symmetric spaces, Tohoku Math.J.(2)29, (1)(1977),91-113.
- [20] Yaliniz, A.F., Yildiz, A. and Turan, M., On three-dimensional Lorentzian β- Kenmotsu manifolds, Kuwait J. Sci. Eng. 36 (2009), 51 – 62.
- [21] Yildiz, A., Turan, M. and Murathan, C., A class of Lorentzian α- Sasakian manifolds, Kyungpook Math. J. 49(2009), 789 – 799.

Current address: Uday Chand De,, Department of Pure Mathematics,, Calcutta University ,, 35 Ballygunge Circular Road, Kol 700019, West Bengal, INDIA., Krishnendu De, Konnagar High School(H.S.),, 68 G.T. Road (West), Konnagar, Hooghly, Pin.712235, West Bengal, INDIA.

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: uc_de@yahoo.com, krishnendu_de@yahoo.com}$ $URL: \ http://communications.science.ankara.edu.tr/index.php?series=A1$