

ON CR-SUBMANIFOLDS OF A S-MANIFOLD ENDOWED WITH A SEMI-SYMMETRIC NON-METRIC CONNECTION

MEHMET AKIF AKYOL AND RAMAZAN SARI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we study CR-submanifolds of an S-manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We give an example, investigating integrabilities of horizontal and vertical distributions of CR-submanifolds endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We also consider parallel horizontal distributions of CR-submanifolds.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1963, Yano [23] introduced the notion of f-structure on a \mathbb{C}^{∞} m-dimensional manifold M, as a non-vanishing tensor field f of type (1,1) on M which satisfies $f^3 + f = 0$ and has constant rank r. It is known that r is even, say r = 2n. Moreover, TM splits into two complementary subbundles $\mathrm{Im} f$ and $\ker f$ and the restriction of f to $\mathrm{Im} f$ determines a complex structure on such subbundle. It is also known that the existence of an f-structure on M is equivalent to a reduction of the structure group to $U(n) \times O(s)$ (see [9]), where s = m - 2n. In 1970, Goldberg and Yano [12] introduced globally frame f-manifolds (also called metric f- manifolds and f.pk-manifolds). A wide class of globally frame f-manifolds was introduced in [9] by Blair according to the following definition: a metric f-structure is said to be a K-structure if the fundamental 2-form Φ , defined usually as $\Phi(X,Y) = g(X, fY)$, for any vector fields X and Y on M, is closed and the normality condition holds, that is, $[f, f] + 2\sum_{i=1}^{s} d\eta^i \otimes \xi_i = 0$, where [f, f] denotes the Nijenhuis torsion of f. A K-manifold is called an S-manifold if $d\eta^k = \Phi$, for all $k = 1, \ldots, s$. The S-manifolds have been studied by several authors (see, for instance, [2],[3],[5],[10],[11]).

On the other hand, the notion of a CR-submanifold of Kaehlerian manifolds was introduced by A. Bejancu in [6]. Later, the concept of CR-submanifolds has been developed by [4], [8], [13], [14], [16], [18], [19], [20], [22] and others.

©2016 Ankara University Communications de la Faculté des Sciences de l'Université d'Ankara. Séries A1. Mathematics and Statistics.

Received by the editors: Feb 04, 2016, Accepted: March 22, 2016.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 53C15, 53C40.

Key words and phrases. CR-submanifold, S-manifold, Semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

Let ∇ be a linear connection in an *n*-dimensional differentiable manifold M. The torsion tensor T and the curvature tensor R of ∇ are given respectively by [7]

$$T(X,Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,Y],$$
$$R(X,Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z.$$

The connection ∇ is symmetric if the torsion tensor T vanishes, otherwise it is non-symmetric. The connection ∇ is a metric connection if there is a Riemannian metric g in M such that $\nabla g = 0$, otherwise it is non-metric. It is well known that a linear connection is symmetric and metric if it is the Levi-Civita connection. In [17], Friedmann and Schouten introduced the notion of semi-symmetric linear connections. More precisely, if ∇ is a linear connection in a differentiable manifold M, the torsion tensor T of ∇ is given by $T(X,Y) = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X - [X,Y]$, for any vector fields X and Y on M. The connection ∇ is said to be symmetric if the torsion tensor T vanishes, otherwise it is said to be non-symmetric. In this case, ∇ is said to be a semi-symmetric connection if its torsion tensor T is of the form $T(X,Y) = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y$, for any X, Y, where η is a 1-form on M. Moreover, if g is a (pseudo)-Riemannian metric on M, ∇ is called a metric connection if $\nabla g = 0$, otherwise it is called non-metric. It is well known that the Riemannian connection is the unique metric and symmetric linear connection on a Riemannian manifold. In 1932, Hayden [15] defined a metric connection with torsion on a Riemannian manifold. In [1] Agashe and Chaffe defined a semi-symmetric nonmetric connection on a Riemannian manifold and studied some of its properties. Later, the concept of semi-symmetric non-metric connection has been developed by (see, for instance, [3], [21]) and others. In this paper we study CR-submanifolds of an S-manifold endowed with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We consider integrabilities of horizontal and vertical distributions of CR-submanifolds with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. We also consider parallel horizontal distributions of CR-submanifolds.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we give a brief introduction to S-manifolds. In section 3, we study CR-submanifolds of S-manifolds. We find necessary conditions for the induced connection on a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection to be also a semisymmetric non-metric connection. In section 4, We study integrabilities of horizontal and vertical distributions of CR-submanifolds with a semi-symmetric nonmetric connection.

2. S-Manifolds

A (2n+s)-dimensional differentiable manifold \widetilde{M} is called a *metric* f-manifold if there exist an (1,1) type tensor field f, s vector fields ξ_1, \ldots, ξ_s, s 1-forms η^1, \ldots, η^s and a Riemannian metric q on \widetilde{M} such that

$$f^{2} = -I + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^{i} \otimes \xi_{i}, \ \eta^{i}(\xi_{j}) = \delta_{ij}, \ f\xi_{i} = 0, \ \eta^{i} \circ f = 0,$$
(2.1)

$$g(fX, fY) = g(X, Y) - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \eta^{i}(X)\eta^{i}(Y), \qquad (2.2)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M}), i, j \in \{1, \ldots, s\}$. In addition we have:

$$\eta^{i}(X) = g(X, \xi_{i}), \ g(X, fY) = -g(fX, Y).$$
(2.3)

Moreover, a metric f-manifold is *normal* if

$$[f,f] + 2\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} d\eta^{\alpha} \otimes \xi_{\alpha} = 0$$

where [f, f] is Nijenhuis tensor of f.

Then a 2-form F is defined by F(X,Y) = g(X,fY), for any $X,Y \in \Gamma(\widetilde{TM})$, called the fundamental 2-form. Then M is said to be an S-manifold if the f structure is normal and

$$\eta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^s \wedge (d\eta^\alpha)^n \neq 0, \qquad F = d\eta^\alpha$$

for any $\alpha = 1, ..., s$. In the case s = 1, an *S*-manifold is a Sasakian manifold.

Now, if ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection associated with g, then [7]

$$\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_X f\right) Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \left\{ g\left(fX, fY\right) \xi_\alpha + \eta^\alpha\left(Y\right) f^2 X \right\},\tag{2.4}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M})$. From (2.4), it is deduced that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X \xi_\alpha = -fX,\tag{2.5}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T\widetilde{M}), \ \alpha \in \{1, ..., s\}.$

3. CR-Submanifold of S-Manifolds

Definition 3.1. An (2m+s)-dimensional Riemannian submanifold M of S-manifold \widetilde{M} is called a CR-submanifold if $\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_s$ is tangent to M and there exists on M two differentiable distributions D and D^{\perp} on M satisfying:

- (i) TM = D ⊕ D[⊥] ⊕ sp{ξ₁,...,ξ_s};
 (ii) The distribution D is invariant under f, that is fD_x = D_x for any x ∈ M;
- (iii) The distribution D^{\perp} is anti-invariant under f, that is, $fD_x^{\perp} \subseteq T_x^{\perp}M$ for any $x \in M$, where $T_x M$ and $T_x M^{\perp}$ are the tangent space of M at x.

We denote by 2p and q the real dimensions of D_x and D_x^{\perp} respectively, for any $x\in M.$ Then if p=0 we have an anti-invariant submanifold tangent to $\xi_1,\xi_2,...,\xi_s$ and if q = 0, we have an invariant submanifold.

Example 3.1. In what follows, $(R^{2n+s}, f, \eta, \xi, g)$ will denote the manifold R^{2n+s} with its usual S-structure given by

$$\eta^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{2} (dz_{\alpha} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} dx_{i}), \qquad \xi_{\alpha} = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}$$
$$f(\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} + Y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} Z_{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} - X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{\alpha}}$$
$$g = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta^{\alpha} \otimes \eta^{\alpha} + \frac{1}{4} (\sum_{i=1}^{n} dx_{i} \otimes dx_{i} + dy_{i} \otimes dy_{i}),$$

 $(x_1, ..., x_n, y_1, ..., y_n, z_1, ..., z_s)$ denoting the Cartesian coordinates on \mathbb{R}^{2n+s} . The consider a submanifold of \mathbb{R}^8 defined by

$$M = X(u, v, k, l, t_1, t_2) = 2(u, 0, k, v, l, 0, t_1, t_2).$$

Then local frame of TM

$$e_{1} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}, \qquad e_{2} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}},$$

$$e_{3} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}, \qquad e_{4} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}},$$

$$e_{5} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{1}} = \xi_{1}, \qquad e_{6} = 2\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{2}} = \xi_{2}$$

and

$$e_1^* = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}, \qquad e_2^* = 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_3}$$

from a basis of $T^{\perp}M$. We determine $D_1 = sp\{e_1, e_2\}$ and $D_2 = sp\{e_3, e_4\}$, then D_1, D_2 are invariant and anti-invariant distribution. Thus $TM = D_1 \oplus D_2 \oplus sp\{\xi_1, \xi_2\}$ is a CR-submanifold of R^8 .

Let $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connection of \widetilde{M} with respect to the induced metric g. Then Gauss and Weingarten formulas are given by

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla^*_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\widetilde{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^{*\perp} N \tag{3.2}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $N \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$. $\nabla^{*\perp}$ is the connection in the normal bundle, h is the second fundamental from of \widetilde{M} and A_N is the Weingarten endomorphism associated with N. The second fundamental form h and the shape operator A related by

$$g(h(X,Y),N) = g(A_N X,Y)$$
(3.3)

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $N \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$.

A *CR*-submanifold is said to be *D*-totally geodesic if h(X,Y) = 0 for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and it is said to be D^{\perp} -totall geodesic if h(Z,W) = 0 for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$.

The projection morphisms of TM to D and D^{\perp} are denoted by P and Q respectively. For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $N \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$ we have

$$X = PX + QX + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta^{\alpha}(X)\xi_{\alpha}, \quad 1 \le \alpha \le s$$
(3.4)

$$fN = BN + CN \tag{3.5}$$

where BN (resp. CN) denotes the tangential (resp. normal) component of φN . Now, we define a connection $\overline{\nabla}$ as

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^{\alpha} (Y) X.$$

Theorem 3.1. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Riemannian connection on a *S*-manifold \widetilde{M} . Then the linear connection which is defined as

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \widetilde{\nabla}_X Y + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^{\alpha}(Y) X, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$$
(3.6)

is a semi-symmetric non metric connection on \widetilde{M} .

Proof. Using new connection and the fact that the Riemannian connection is torsion free, the torsion tensor \overline{T} of the connection $\overline{\nabla}$ is given by

$$\overline{T}(X,Y) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \{\eta^{\alpha}(Y)X - \eta^{\alpha}(X)Y\}$$
(3.7)

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Moreover, by using (3.6) again, for all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(TM)$ and since $\widetilde{\nabla}$ is a metric connection, we have

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^s \{g(X, Y)\eta^{\alpha}(Z) - g(X, Z)\eta^{\alpha}(Y)\}.$$
 (3.8)

From (3.7) and (3.8) we conclude that the linear connection $\overline{\nabla}$ is a semi-symmetric non-metric connection on \widetilde{M} .

Theorem 3.2. Let M be a CR submanifold of S-manifold \widetilde{M} . Then

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X f)Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \{g(X,Y)\xi_\alpha - \eta^\alpha(Y)(X+fX)\}$$
(3.9)

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proof. From (3.6), we get

$$(\overline{\nabla}_X f)Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \{g(X,Y)\xi_{\alpha} - \eta^{\alpha}(Y)X - \eta^{\alpha}(Y)fX\}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. Therefore we obtain the result from (2.4).

Corollary 3.1. Let M be a CR submanifold of S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non-metric connection $\overline{\nabla}$. Then

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi_\alpha = -fX + X \tag{3.10}$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(TM)$.

We denote by same symbol g both metrics on \widetilde{M} and M. Let $\overline{\nabla}$ be the semisymmetric non-metric connection on \widetilde{M} and ∇ be the induced connection on M. Then,

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + m(X, Y) \tag{3.11}$$

where m is a $\Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$ -valued symmetric tensor field on CR- submanifold M. If ∇^* denotes the induced connection from the Riemannian connection ∇ , then

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^* Y + h(X, Y), \qquad (3.12)$$

where h is the second fundamental form. Using (3.1) and (3.4), we have

$$\nabla_X Y + m(X, Y) = \nabla_X^* Y + h(X, Y) + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^{\alpha}(Y) X.$$

Equating tangential and normal components from both the sides, we get

$$m(X,Y) = h(X,Y)$$

and

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^* Y + \sum_{i=1}^s \eta^\alpha(Y) X. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus ∇ is also a semi-symmetric non-metric connection. From (3.2) and (3.13), we have

$$\nabla_X N = \nabla_X^* N + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^{\alpha}(N) X$$
$$= -A_N X + \nabla_X^{\perp} N + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^{\alpha}(N) X$$

where $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $N \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$.

Now, Gauss and Weingarten formulas for a CR-submanifolds of a S-manifold with a semi-symmetric non-metric connection is

$$\overline{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \tag{3.14}$$

$$\overline{\nabla}_X N = -A_N X + \nabla_X^{\perp} N + \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^{\alpha}(N) X$$
(3.15)

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$ and $N \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$, h second fundamental form of M and A_N is the Weingarten endomorphism associated with N.

Theorem 3.3. The connection induced on CR-submanifold of a S-manifold with semi-symmetric non-metric connection is also a semi-symmetric non-metric connection.

Proof. From (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$\overline{T}(X,Y) = T(X,Y)$$
 and $(\overline{\nabla}_X g)(Y,Z) = (\nabla_X g)(Y,Z)$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$, where T is the torsion tensor of ∇ .

4. INTEGRABILITY AND PARALLEL OF DISTRIBUTIONS

Lemma 4.1. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then,

$$P\nabla_X fPY - PA_{fQY}X - fP\nabla_X Y = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^{\alpha} \left(Y\right) \left(PX + fPX\right), \qquad (4.1)$$

$$Q\nabla_X fPY - QA_{fQY}X - th\left(X,Y\right) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^s \eta^{\alpha}\left(Y\right)QX,\tag{4.2}$$

$$h(X, fPY) - fQ\nabla_X Y + \nabla_X^{\perp} fQY = nh(X, Y) - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta^{\alpha}(Y) fQX, \qquad (4.3)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$.

Proof. By direct covariant differentiation, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X fY = \left(\overline{\nabla}_X f\right)Y + f\left(\overline{\nabla}_X Y\right).$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(TM)$. By virtue of (3.4), (3.9), (3.14) and (3.15) we get

$$\nabla_X fPY + h\left(X, fPY\right) + \left(-A_{fQY}X + \nabla_X^{\perp} fQY\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \left\{g\left(X, Y\right)\xi_{\alpha} - \eta^{\alpha}\left(Y\right)\left(fX + X\right)\right\} + f\nabla_X Y + fh\left(X, Y\right).$$

Using (3.4) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P\nabla_X fPY + Q\nabla_X fPY + h\left(X, fPY\right) - PA_{fQY}X - QA_{fQY}X + \nabla_X^{\perp} fQY \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \left\{ g\left(X,Y\right) P\xi_{\alpha} + g\left(X,Y\right) Q\xi_{\alpha} - \eta^{\alpha}\left(Y\right) PX \right. \\ &- \eta^{\alpha}\left(Y\right) QX - \eta^{\alpha}\left(Y\right) fPX - \eta^{\alpha}\left(Y\right) fQX \right\} \\ &+ fP\nabla_X Y + fQ\nabla_X Y + th\left(X,Y\right) + nh\left(X,Y\right). \end{aligned}$$

Equations (4.1)-(4.3) follow by comparing the horizontal, vertical and normal components. $\hfill \Box$

Lemma 4.2. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then,

$$-A_{fW}Z - fP\nabla_Z W - th\left(Z,W\right) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} g\left(Z,W\right)\xi_{\alpha},\tag{4.4}$$

$$\nabla_{Z}^{\perp} f W = f Q \nabla_{Z} W + nh\left(Z, W\right) \tag{4.5}$$

for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$.

Proof. From (3.9), we have

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}f\right)W = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \left\{ g\left(fZ, fW\right)\xi_{\alpha} + \eta_{\alpha}\left(W\right)\left(f^{2}Z - fZ\right) \right\}$$

for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$. Since $\eta^{\alpha}(W) = 0$ for $W \in \Gamma(D)$, using (2.2) we get

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}f\right)W = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} g\left(fZ, fW\right)\xi_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} g\left(Z, W\right)\xi_{\alpha}.$$

Therefore

$$\overline{\nabla}_Z f W - f \overline{\nabla}_Z W = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g(Z, W) \xi_{\alpha}.$$

In above equation, using (3.14) and (3.15), we have

$$-A_{fW}Z + \nabla_Z^{\perp}fW - f\nabla_Z W - fh(Z,W) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g(Z,W)\xi_{\alpha}$$
$$-A_{fW}Z + \nabla_Z^{\perp}fW - fP\nabla_Z W - fQ\nabla_Z W - th(Z,W) - nh(Z,W)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g(Z,W)\xi_{\alpha}.$$

Now comparing tangent and normal parts in above equation, we obtain (4.4) and (4.5).

Lemma 4.3. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then,

$$\nabla_X fY - fP \nabla_X Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g\left(X, Y\right) \xi_\alpha + th\left(X, Y\right), \tag{4.6}$$

$$h(X, fY) = fQ\nabla_X Y + nh(X, Y)$$
(4.7)

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.

Proof. From (3.9), we have

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{X}f\right)Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \left\{g\left(fX, fY\right)\xi_{\alpha} + \eta^{\alpha}\left(Y\right)\left(f^{2}X - fX\right)\right\}$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$. Using $\eta^{\alpha}(Y) = 0$ for each $Y \in \Gamma(D)$ and (2.2) we obtain

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_X f\right) Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g\left(fX, fY\right) \xi_\alpha$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g\left(X, Y\right) \xi_\alpha.$$

Moreover, we have

$$\overline{\nabla}_X f Y - f \overline{\nabla}_X Y = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g(X, Y) \xi_{\alpha}.$$

Now using (3.14), we have

$$\nabla_X fY + h\left(X, fY\right) - f\nabla_X Y - fh\left(X, Y\right) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g\left(X, Y\right) \xi_\alpha$$
$$\nabla_X fY + h\left(X, fY\right) - fP\nabla_X Y - fQ\nabla_X Y - th\left(X, Y\right) - nh\left(X, Y\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g\left(X, Y\right) \xi_\alpha.$$

Now comparing tangent and normal parts, we obtain (4.6) and (4.7).

Lemma 4.4. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then,

$$\nabla_X \xi_\alpha = -fPX + X, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$$
(4.8)

$$h(X,\xi_{\alpha}) = -fQX, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(TM)$$

$$(4.9)$$

$$A_V \xi_\alpha \in D^\perp, \quad \forall V \in \Gamma(T^\perp M)$$
 (4.10)

Proof. Using (3.14) in (3.10), we easily obtain

$$\overline{\nabla}_X \xi_\alpha = -fX + X \Rightarrow \nabla_X \xi_\alpha + h\left(X, \xi_\alpha\right) = -fX + X$$

which gives

$$\nabla_X \xi_\alpha + h\left(X, \xi_\alpha\right) = -fPX - fQX + X$$

Now comparing tangent and normal parts, we get

$$\nabla_X \xi_\alpha = -fPX + X \text{ and } h(X, \xi_\alpha) = -fQX.$$

On the other hand, using (3.3) we have

$$g\left(A_{V}\xi_{\alpha},X\right) = g\left(h\left(X,\xi_{\alpha}\right),V\right) = g\left(0,V\right) = 0$$

for $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$. Using (4.9) in the above equation, we get

$$(A_V \xi_{\alpha}, X) = 0, \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(D) \text{ which leads to } A_V \xi_{\alpha} \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$$

also

$$g(A_V\xi_{\alpha}, X) = 0, \qquad \forall X \in \Gamma(D) \Rightarrow g(A_V\xi_{\alpha}, X) = \eta_{\alpha}(A_VX) = 0$$

which gives (4.10).

g

Theorem 4.1. Let M be a CR-submanifold of a S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then the distribution D is not integrable.

Proof. For any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$, we have

$$g([X,Y],\xi_i) = -g(Y,\widetilde{\nabla}_X\xi_i) + g(X,\widetilde{\nabla}_Y\xi_i).$$

Using (3.10) and (3.14), we have

$$g([X,Y],\xi_i) = -g(Y,\overline{\nabla}_X\xi_i - X) + g(X,\overline{\nabla}_Y\xi_i - Y)$$

= -g(Y,fX) + g(X,fY).

Thus D is integrable if and only if g(X, fY) = g(Y, fX). From (2.3), the proof is complete.

Theorem 4.2. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semisymmetric non-metric connection. The distribution $D \oplus Sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}$ is integrable if and only if

$$h\left(X, fY\right) = h\left(Y, fX\right)$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus Sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\})$.

Proof. From (4.7), we have

$$h(X, fPY) = fQ\nabla_X Y + nh(X, Y), \qquad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}).$$
(4.11)

Interchanging X and Y, we have

 $h(Y, fPX) = fQ\nabla_Y X + nh(Y, X), \qquad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D \oplus sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}).$ (4.12) Adding (4.11) and (4.12), we obtain

$$h(X, fY) - h(Y, fX) = fQ[X, Y]$$

Then we have $[X, Y] \in \Gamma(D \oplus sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\})$ if and only if h(X, fY) = h(Y, fX).

Corollary 4.1. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold M with semisymmetric non-metric connection. The distribution $D \oplus Sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}$ is integrable if and only if

$$A_N f X = -f A_N X$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(D \oplus sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\})$.

Definition 4.1. A CR-submanifold is said to be mixed totally geodesic if h(X, Z) = 0, for any $X \in \Gamma(D)$ and $Z \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$.

Lemma 4.5. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold M with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then M is mixed totaly geodesic if and only if one of the following satisfied;

$$A_V X \in D \qquad (\forall X \in \Gamma(D), \ V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)), \qquad (4.13)$$

$$A_V X \in D^{\perp} \qquad (\forall X \in \Gamma(D^{\perp}), \ V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)).$$
(4.14)

Proof. For $X \in \Gamma(D)$, $V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M)$ and $Y \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$, consider $A_V X$, then from (3.3) we get

$$g(A_V X, Y) = g(h(X, Y), V)$$
$$= 0 \Leftrightarrow A_V X \in \Gamma(D).$$

Hence, we have

$$g(h(X,Y),V) = 0 \Leftrightarrow h(X,Y) = 0$$
$$\Leftrightarrow A_V X \in \Gamma(D) \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(D), \ V \in \Gamma(T^{\perp}M),$$

which gives (4.13). In a similar way is deduced relation (4.14).

Definition 4.2. The horizontal (resp. vertical) distribution on D (resp. D^{\perp}) is said to be parallel with respect to the connection ∇ on M if

$$\nabla_X Y \in \Gamma(D) \ (resp. \, \nabla_Z W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})) \ for \ any \ X, Y \in \Gamma(D) \ (resp. \, Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})).$$

Theorem 4.3. Let M be a ξ_{α} -horizontal CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then, the horizontal distribution D is parallel if and only if

$$h(X, fY) = h(Y, fX) = fh(X, Y)$$

$$(4.15)$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D)$.

Proof. Since every parallel is involutive then the first equality follows immediately. Now since D is parallel, we have

$$\nabla_X f Y \in \Gamma(D), \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D).$$

Then from (4.2), we have

$$th(X,Y) = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(D).$$
(4.16)

From (4.3), D is parallel if and only if

$$h\left(X, fY\right) = nh\left(X, Y\right).$$

But we have

$$fh(X,Y) = th(X,Y) + nh(X,Y)$$

and from (4.9), fh(X, Y) = nh(X, Y), which completes the proof.

Theorem 4.4. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semisymmetric non-metric connection. The distribution $D^{\perp} \oplus Sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}$ is integrable if and only if

$$A_{fX}Y - A_{fY}X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \{\eta^{\alpha}(X)Y - \eta^{\alpha}(Y)X\}$$
(4.17)

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\perp} \oplus sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}).$

Proof. If $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\perp} \oplus sp\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\})$, then from (4.1) and (4.2) we have

$$-PA_{fQY}X - fP\nabla_X Y = 0, (4.18)$$

$$-QA_{fQY}X - th(X,Y) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta^{\alpha}(Y)X.$$
 (4.19)

Adding (4.18) and (4.19), we have

$$-A_{fY}X - fP\nabla_X Y - th(X,Y) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta^{\alpha}(Y)X.$$
 (4.20)

Now interchanging X and Y, we have

$$-A_{fX}Y - fP\nabla_Y X - th(X,Y) = -\sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \eta^{\alpha}(X)Y.$$
 (4.21)

Subtracting (4.20) and (4.21), we obtain

$$-A_{fY}X + A_{fX}Y - fP[X,Y] = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \{-\eta^{\alpha}(Y)X + \eta^{\alpha}(X)Y\}.$$

Hence P[X, Y] = 0, we obtain

$$\Leftrightarrow A_{fX}Y - A_{fY}X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \left\{ \eta^{\alpha} \left(X \right) Y - \eta^{\alpha} \left(Y \right) X \right\}.$$

Therefore D^{\perp} is integrable \Leftrightarrow (4.17) holds.

182

Corollary 4.2. Let M be CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non metric connection. Then, the distribution D^{\perp} is integrable if and only if

$$A_{fY}X = A_{fX}Y \tag{4.22}$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$.

Proof. The proof can be obtained directly from (4.17).

Lemma 4.6. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then, the distribution D^{\perp} is parallel if and only if

$$-A_{fW}Z = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} g\left(Z,W\right)\xi_{\alpha} + th\left(Z,W\right)$$
(4.23)

for all $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$.

Proof. From (4.4), we have

$$-A_{fW}Z - fP\nabla_Z W = \sum_{\alpha=1}^s g\left(X,Y\right)\xi_{\alpha} + th\left(Z,W\right) \ \forall Z,W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp}).$$

If D^{\perp} is parallel then we get

$$\nabla_Z W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp}) \Leftrightarrow P \nabla_Z W = 0,$$

which gives (4.23).

Lemma 4.7. Let M be a CR-submanifold of an S-manifold \widetilde{M} with semi-symmetric non-metric connection. Then the distribution D^{\perp} is parallel if and only if

$$A_{fW}Z \in \Gamma(D^{\perp}) \tag{4.24}$$

for any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$.

Proof. For any $Z, W \in \Gamma(D^{\perp})$, from (3.9) we have

$$\left(\overline{\nabla}_{Z}f\right)W = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \left\{ g\left(fZ, fW\right)\xi_{\alpha} + \eta^{\alpha}\left(W\right)\left(f^{2}Z - fZ\right) \right\}.$$

Using (3.14) and (3.15) we obtain

$$\begin{split} \overline{\nabla}_Z fW &- f \overline{\nabla}_Z W \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \left\{ g \left(fZ, fW \right) \xi_\alpha + \eta^\alpha \left(W \right) \left(f^2 Z - fZ \right) \right\} \\ &- A_{fW} Z + \nabla_Z^\perp fW - f \nabla_Z W - fh \left(Z, W \right) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^s \left\{ g \left(fZ, fW \right) \xi_\alpha + \eta^\alpha \left(W \right) \left(f^2 Z - fZ \right) \right\}. \end{split}$$

Taking inner product with $Y \in \Gamma(D)$ in the above equation, we have

$$g\left(-A_{fW}Z,Y\right) + g\left(\nabla_{Z}^{\perp}fW,Y\right) - g\left(f\nabla_{Z}W,Y\right) - g\left(fh\left(Z,W\right),Y\right)$$
$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{s} \left\{g\left(fZ,fW\right)g\left(\xi_{\alpha},Y\right) + \eta^{\alpha}\left(W\right)g\left(f^{2}Z,Y\right) - \eta^{\alpha}\left(W\right)g\left(fZ,Y\right)\right\}$$

Then we have

$$g(A_{fW}Z,Y) = g(f\nabla_Z W,Y) = -g(\nabla_Z W,fY).$$

This imply that

$$g(A_{fW}Z,Y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow A_{fW}Z \in \Gamma(D^{\perp}).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\nabla_Z W \in D^\perp \Leftrightarrow A_{fW} Z \in \Gamma(D^\perp), \quad \forall Z, W \in \Gamma(D^\perp).$$

References

- Agashe, N. S. and Chafle, M. R., A semi-symmetric non-metric connection on a Riemannian manifold, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 23 (1992), 399–409.
- [2] Aktan, N., On non-existence of lightlike hypersurfaces of indefinite S-space form, International Journal of Mathematics and Analysis, 5-8 (1-12), (2007), 187-202.
- [3] Akyol, M. A., Vanli, A. T., Fernández, L. M., Semi-symmetry properties of S-manifolds endowed with a semi-symmetric non metric connection, to appear in An. Sti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" (Iasi) (2015).
- [4] Alghanemi, A., CR-submanifolds of a S-manifold, Turkish J. Math. 32 (2008), 141-154.
- [5] Balkan, Y. S., Aktan, N., Özüsaglam, E., Cylcic-Parallel Ricci Tensor of Almost Smanifolds,, Konuralp Journal of Mathematics, 1(1), (2013), 1-7.
- [6] Bejancu, A., CR-submanifolds of a Kachler manifold I, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 69 (1978), 135-142.
- [7] Bejancu, A., Geometry of CR-submanifolds, D. Reidel Pub. Co., (1986).
- Bejancu, A., Papaghiuc, N., Semi-invariant submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, Ann. Stiin. Univ. Al. I. Cuza. Iasi (serie nova), T. XXVII, Fasc. 1 (1981), 163-170.
- [9] Blair, D. E. Geometry of manifolds with structural group $U(n) \times O(s)$, J. Differ. Geom. 4 (1970), 155-167.
- [10] Cabrerizo, J. L., Fernandez, L. M., and Fernandez, M., A classification of certain submanifolds of an S-manifold, Ann Polinici Mathematici 54 (2), (1991), 117-123.
- [11] Cabrerizo, J. L., Fernandez, L. M., and Fernandez, M., A classification Totally f-umblical submanifolds of an S-manifold, Soochow J. Math. 18(2), (1992), 211-221.
- [12] Goldberg, S. I., Yano, K., On normal globally framed manifolds, Tôhoku Math. J., 22 (1970), 362-370.
- [13] Gündüzalp, Y., Framed complex submersions, Konuralp Journal of Mathematics, 2(2), (2014), 9-21.
- [14] Gündüzalp, Y., Riemannian submersions from framed metric manifolds, International Electronic Journal of Geometry, 6(1), (2013), 89-99.
- [15] Hayden, H. A., Subspaces of a space with torsion, Proc. London Math. Soc., 34 (1932), 27-50.
- [16] Fernandez, L. M., CR-products of S-manifold, Portugal Math. 47(2), (1990), 167-181.
- [17] Friedmann, A., Schouten, J. A., Uber die Geometric der halbsymmetrischen Ubertragung, Math. Z. 21 (1924), 211-223.

- [18] Kobayashi, M., CR-submanifolds of a Sasakian manifold, Tensor N.S. 35 (1981), 297-307.
- [19] Mihai, I., CR-subvarietati ale unei f-varietati cu repere complementare, Stud. Cerc. Math., 35, NR 2 (1983), 127-136.
- [20] Ornea, L., subvarietati Cauchy-Riemann generice in S-varietati, Stud. Cerc. Math., 36, NR 5 (1984), 435-443.
- [21] Vanli, A., Sari, R., On semi invariant submanifolds of a generalized Kenmotsu manifold admitting a semi-symmetric non metric connection, Pure and Applied Mathematics Journal. 4 (1-2), (2015), 14-18.
- [22] Şahin, B., Rıfat, G., Geodesic CR-Lightlike Submanifolds, Beiträge zur Algebra and Geometrie Contributions to Algebra and Geometry, 42(2), (2001), 583-594.
- [23] Yano K. On a structure defined by a tensor field f of type (1, 1) satisfying $f^3 + f = 0$. Tensor N S 14, (1963), 99-109.

Current address: Mehmet Akif AKYOL. Bingöl University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Mathematics, 12000, Bingöl, Turkey

E-mail address: makyol@bingol.edu.tr

Current address: Ramazan SARI. Merzifon Vocational Schools, Amasya University, Amasya, Turkey

 $E\text{-}mail\ address: \texttt{ramazan.sari@amasya.edu.tr}$