

Full Arch Immediate Implant Reconstruction Symposium 4 – 7 October 2018, Rixos Premium Bodrum Hotel, Mugla, TURKEY

ORAL PRESENTATION

Research Article

Questionnaires for Evaluating The Quality of Life of Conventional and Implant-Supported Prosthesis Wearers

Güler YILDIRIM AVCU¹

¹Asst. Prof. Dr., Department of Prosthodontics, Faculty of Dentistry, Inonu University, Malatya / Turkey e-mail: guler_yldrm@hotmail.com ORCID ID: 0000-0002-8461-7774

Abstract

Introduction: The aim of this review was to inform the dentists about the questionnaires used in evaluating the quality of life for wearers of conventional and implant-supported prosthesis.

Materials and Methods: A literature search was performed in the PubMed and Google Scholar databases. The search was restricted to articles published in Turkish and English. The Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) terms were as follows: Quality of life, prosthesis satisfaction, dental implant, and oral health impact profile. The search provided 1729 titles and abstracts, 36 studies were included.

Results: As a result of the literature search, questionnaires for evaluating the quality of life of fixed and removable, conventional and implant-supported prosthesis wearers; Oral Health Impact Profile (OHIP)-49, OHIP-20, OHIP-14, OHIP-EDENT, Dental Impact on Daily Living (DIDL), Oral Satisfaction Scale (OSS), Visual Analog Scale (VAS), Oral Health-related quality of life (OHRQoL), Quality of Life related to Function, Aesthetics, Socialization, and Thoughts (QoLFAST)-10, Quality of Life with Implant-Prostheses (QoLIP)-10, Oral aesthetic-related quality of life (OARQoL) ve Quality of Life associated with Dental Aesthetic Satisfaction (QoLDAS)-9 were found.

Discussion: Depending on the developing technology, treatment options continue to change in dentistry. However, the effects of these changing to the treatment options on the quality of life of the patients should be followed with the developed questionnaires.

Conclusion: The newly developed questionnaires may be recommended for evaluating the quality of life, because of more practical, less time is needed, and have specific questions.