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ABSTRACT
This study was carried out to determine the relationship between the amount of Milk Urea Nitrogen (MUN) and nutritional level in dairy cows. The research material was milk samples which were collected from 100 different commercial dairy farms. Three groups were assigned according to the milk analysis in the experiment. Group A, those having normal MUN values between 12-16 mg / dl in milk samples, group B, those having less MUN value and group C, those having high MUN value. Apart from this acetone, Beta hydroxy butyric acid (BHBA), total solid matter, fat, fat-free dry matter, crude protein (CP), true protein (TP), lactose, saturated fatty acids (SFA), total unsaturated fatty acids (TUFA), Polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA), Monounsaturated fatty acid (MUFA) and aflatoxin M1 values measured in milk and these were compared with low, normal and high MUN levels. In the study the MUN values of the groups were 14.46, 10.05 and 20.15 mg / dl respectively. At the end of the study, although the differences between the groups' acetone values were statistically significant (P <0.05), the values of all three groups were among the normal acetone values determined for dairy cows. Moreover, there were differences in milk regarding BHBA, fat, CP, TP, SFA, MUFA and AFM1 values (P<0.01) between the groups. As a concluded that some further detailed studies are required to get to know a deeper understanding of MUN levels in milk cows between acetone, BHBA and other parameters and metabolic diseases.
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Sütçü İneklerde Beslenme Seviyesinin Belirlenmesinde, Sütte Üre Azotu (MUN) ile Bazı Parametreler Arasındaki Etkileşimin Araştırılması

ÖZ
Bu araştırma, süt ineklerinde süt üre azotu miktarı ile beslenme düzeyi arasındaki ilişkinin belirlenmesi amacıyla gerçekleştirilmiştir. Araştırmanın materyali 100 farklı süt ineki ile toplanan süt numuneleri olarak oluşturulmuştur. Araştırmadaki süt numunelerine göre 3 gruba ayrılmıştır. Alınan süt numunelerinde MUN değerleri 12-16 mg / dl arasında olmakta normal (grup A) bu değerin altında olmakta iki yüksek (grup B) olarak kabul edilmiştir. Ayrıca sütte ölçulen aseton ve beta hidroksi büttirik asit (BHBA) değerlerinin yani sıra toplam katı madde, yağ, yağsız kuru madde, ham protein, gerçek protein, laktoz, doymuş yağ asidi, toplam doymamış yağ asitleri (TUS), çoklu doymamış yağ asitleri (MUFA) ve aflatoxsin M1 seviyelerine göre düşük, normal ve yüksek üre azotu değerleri ile karşılaştırılmıştır. Araştırmadan, grupların MUN değerleri sırasıyla: 14.46, 10.05 ve 20.15 mg / dl/dır. Araştırma sonucunda grupların aseton değerleri arasındaki farklılıklar istatistik olarak anlamlı olmasına (P<0.05) rağmen her üç grubun değerleri de süt inekleri için belirlenen normal aseton değerleri arasındadır. Benzer şekilde süt BHBA, yağ, ham protein, gerçek protein, doymuş yağ asitleri, MUFA ve AFM1 değerleri açısından gruplar arasında anlamlı farklılıklar bulunmaktadır (P<0.01). Sonuç olarak süt ineklerinde MUN değeri, aseton, BHBA ve diğer parametreler ile metabolik hastalıklar arasındaki ilişkileri anlamak için daha ayrıntılı çalışmalarla ihtiyaç bulunmaktadır.

Anahtär Kelimeler: Süt ineki, MUN, Beslenme düzeyi
INTRODUCTION

Urea (Latin: Urea, Pura) is an organic compound having formula H₂N-CO-NH₂ (Barros et al. 2019). Urea contains carbon, nitrogen, oxygen and hydrogen, it is a common of blood and other body fluids (Ferguson 2000). Urea is a final product of protein metabolism and is synthesized from ammonia in the liver. Animals convert excessive ammonia to urea because ammonia is toxic while urea is non-toxic. The concentration of urea in milk is called Milk Urea Nitrogen (MUN) whereas the concentration of urea nitrogen in the blood is called Blood Urea Nitrogen (BUN) since the urea is readily distributed from the blood in the mammary gland passing to the milk, changes in BUN are also reflected in MUN (Powell et al. 2011).

There is limited information about the factors affecting the MUN level in dairy cows. Some researchers have found that the difference between breed, fat and protein levels of milk (Bruhn and Franke 1977), milk NPN level (Cerbulis and Farrell 1975), plasma urea nitrogen (Barton et al. 1996), but some researchers (Carlsson and Pehrson 1993) reported that breed has no effect on mentioned properties. The cows in their first lactation have relatively lower MUN values than the second or subsequent lactations (Carroll et al. 1988, Barton et al. 1996). However MUN was reported to be lower in heifers as compared to that in older cows (Canfield et al. 1990, Grexton 1999), some investigators reported that age had no effects on MUN level (Hof et al. 1997, Eicher et al. 1999). A negative correlation was found between MUN level and high somatic cell count (SCC) and NPN (Ng-Kwai-Hang et al. 1985). The MUN level can be affected by rumen degradable protein (RDP) or rumen undegradable protein (RUP) intake, water consumption, non-structural carbohydrate content of diet, dry matter intake, feeding time, feeding method (Total mixture ration, TMR or free choice feeding), liver and kidney function of animal (Amaral-Phillips 2009). Season also affects the MUN level. Abdouli et al. (2008) reported that highest MUN levels were determined in spring (between April and June) (17.13 mg / dl) whereas the lowest MUN value was reported in winter (January-March) (12.82 mg / dl). Analyze method may affect the level of MUN (Arunvipas et al. 2003).Number of Daily milking (Hutjens and Chase 2004) and milking time also affects MUN levels (Gustafsson and Palmquist 1993). Some researchers observed that supplemental glycerol decreased MUN (Sederevicius et al. 2008).

There is a negative relationship between energy level of diet and MUN as it was found by Kirchgessner et al. (1986) that a decreasing on diet energy was a limitation in the energy level of the ration increases the MUN level. Energy / protein level of diet is another factor that affects MUN as it was reported by Depatie (2000), that the energy / protein ratio affected the MUN value more than the total dry matter, crude protein, RDP and RUP or even energy in the rumen. The normal MUN value varies between 12-16 mg/dl, depending on plenty of factors. Abdouli et al. (2008) reported that the cows kept in the Mediterranean conditions, their MUN level was found to be 30.39 mg / dl. On the other hand, different amounts of MUN have been reported eg: 15-17 mg/dl (Wambugu et al. 1998), 20.43-32.49 mg/dl (Frank and Swensson 2002), 11.15 mg/dl (Arunvipas et al. 2008) and 12.7-13.9 mg/dl (Meeske et al. 2009).

A study was conducted to determine the effect of different factors on milk components in the dairy cows of 3219 Holstein in Korea. MUN concentration in dairy cows in Korea was found to be between 16.68 ± 5.87 mg/dl. In addition, milk yield, milk fat content, milk protein content and SCC were found negatively correlated (Yoon et al. 2004).

In the light of this information, the aim of this study was to investigate the interaction between milk urea nitrogen and some other milk parameters such as BHBA, acetone, fat, protein, lactose SFA,MUFA,PUFA and AFM1 in dairy cows.

MATERIAL and METHODS

A total of 400 milk samples were collected for four times from 100 different dairy farms in Afyonkarahisar, Turkey. The collected milk was placed in 50 ml sterile tubes and milk sample protection tablets (Broad Spectrum Microtabs, Bentley Mercer) were added to each sample to prevent spoilage. These tablets allowed the column to remain intact at +4 °C until analysis was performed.

Milk Urea Nitrogen (MUN), Beta Hydroxy Butyric Acid (BHBA), acetone, total solids, fat, fat-free dry matter, crude protein, true protein, lactose, saturated fatty acid (SFA), Total unsaturated fatty acids (TUS), MUFA, PUFA and Aflatoxin M1 (AFM1) values were analyzed by using infrared spectrophotometry device (MilkoScan FT 120 FOSS lab., Denmark). Three groups were assigned according to the milk analysis in the experiment. Group A, those having normal MUN values between 12-16 mg / dl in milk samples, group B, those having less MUN value and group C, those having high MUN value. The measured parameters were compared with low, normal and high MUN values. Ingredients and composition of diets used according to the experimental groups are shown in Table 1.

Shapiro-Wilk test was used to determine whether the model data were distributed normally. The homogeneity of variance was determined by the Levene test. Logarithmic transformation was applied
to data not showing normal distribution. Data analysis was performed in SPSS package program. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare the differences between the groups. Tukey-Kramer test was used as a post-hoc test to determine the difference between the groups. Research data were evaluated with SPSS version 6 (SPSS 1993). The significance level was assumed as (P<0.05). It is expressed as mean±SEM in the tables.

RESULTS

In this study, total solids matter levels of the groups were found as 11.76%, 11.53% and 11.49% respectively. These results were statistically significant (P<0.001). Total solids matter level of group A were found to be higher than the other groups. Group A (4.07%) had higher fat levels than the other groups (3.88% and 3.75%). This result was statistically significant (P <0.001). The fat-free dry matter levels in groups were 7.58%, 7.50% and 7.60% respectively. These results were not statistically significant. Crude protein and true protein levels of groups were 3.09%, 3.01%,3.11% and 2.92%, 2.84%, 2.93%, respectively. These results are statistically significant (P<0.001) and (P<0.001). There is no differences on milk lactose levels between the groups. Lactose values of the groups were determined as 4.38%, 4.39% and 4.41% respectively. The acetone values of the experiment groups were 0.282, 0.276 and 0.256 mmol/L respectively. These results were statistically different (P<0.05) and also are within normal range for healthy dairy cows. The other parameters in milk were statistically significant (P<0.001) such as BHBA, SFA, TUS, MUFA and AFM1. No difference was found between the groups for the PUFA value. The parameters of the experimental groups in milk are shown in Table 2 and Table 3.

Table 1. Ingredients compositions of the experimental diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experimental Groups</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Feedstuffs (DM%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn silage</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33,5</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alfalfa hay</td>
<td>13,5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley straw</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4,5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beet pulp</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
<td>2,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley grain</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concentrate feed (21% CP)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>31,5</td>
<td>37,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated values (DM %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude Protein</td>
<td>14,45</td>
<td>14,36</td>
<td>14,91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy, ME (Mcal/kg)*</td>
<td>2,75</td>
<td>2,82</td>
<td>2,69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ether extract</td>
<td>3,18</td>
<td>3,20</td>
<td>3,17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Starch</td>
<td>27,18</td>
<td>29,58</td>
<td>21,44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFC</td>
<td>38,49</td>
<td>42,64</td>
<td>33,65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDF</td>
<td>36,35</td>
<td>34,56</td>
<td>38,35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADF</td>
<td>22,45</td>
<td>21,05</td>
<td>23,63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADL</td>
<td>2,92</td>
<td>2,72</td>
<td>2,84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NFC: Non Fiber Carbohydrates, NDF: Nott detergent fiber, ADF: Acid detergent fiber, ADL: Acid detergent lignin,
* Metabolisable energy (ME) are calculated from NRC (2001).

Table 2. Interaction between MUN and Total Solid Matter, Fat, - Free Matter, Crude Protein, True Protein and Lactose of milk in dairy cows (Mean±SEM; n = 400)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Total Solid Matter,%</th>
<th>Fat,%</th>
<th>Fat Free Dry Matter,%</th>
<th>Crude Protein,%</th>
<th>True Protein,%</th>
<th>Lactose,%</th>
<th>Urea, mg/dl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>x ± Sx</td>
<td>x ± Sx</td>
<td>x ± Sx</td>
<td>x ± Sx</td>
<td>x ± Sx</td>
<td>x ± Sx</td>
<td>x ± Sx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>11,76±0,05ª</td>
<td>4,07±0,04ª</td>
<td>7,58±0,02</td>
<td>3,09±0,01ª</td>
<td>2,92±0,01ª</td>
<td>4,38±0,02</td>
<td>14,46±0,19ª</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>11,53±0,07ª</td>
<td>3,88±0,06ª</td>
<td>7,50±0,03</td>
<td>3,01±0,02ª</td>
<td>2,84±0,02ª</td>
<td>4,39±0,03</td>
<td>10,05±0,23ª</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>11,49±0,06ª</td>
<td>3,75±0,05ª</td>
<td>7,60±0,03</td>
<td>3,11±0,01ª</td>
<td>2,93±0,01ª</td>
<td>4,41±0,02</td>
<td>20,15±0,46ª</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,087</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,001</td>
<td>0,646</td>
<td>0,001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MUN, being of very much importance in metabolism, is an important parameter especially for protein metabolism and it gives very accurate information about the protein contents in nutrition of an animal. (Jonker et al. 1998). If the energy level of the ration is sufficient, the MUN level would be a reliable indicator of whether the dairy cattle are balanced in terms of protein or not, and they are a reliable indicator in revealing the excess or deficit which negatively affects the health and productivity of dairy cattle and has severe negative energy balance in dairy cattle and has an important disorder that appears as a reflection of energy metabolism and ketosis susceptibility levels of animals in herd (Enjalbert et al. 2001). Ketosis is an important disorder that appears as a reflection of severe negative energy balance in dairy cattle and has a strong relationship with other metabolic diseases which negatively affects the health and productivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Aceton mmol/L</th>
<th>BHBA</th>
<th>Saturated Fatty Acids</th>
<th>TUS</th>
<th>MUFA</th>
<th>PUFA</th>
<th>AFM1, ppt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\bar{x}$ ± Sx</td>
<td>$\bar{x}$ ± Sx</td>
<td>$\bar{x}$ ± Sx</td>
<td>$\bar{x}$ ± Sx</td>
<td>$\bar{x}$ ± Sx</td>
<td>$\bar{x}$ ± Sx</td>
<td>$\bar{x}$ ± Sx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.282±0.005bc</td>
<td>0.120±0.004bc</td>
<td>3.048±0.004bc</td>
<td>1.336±0.013bc</td>
<td>1.26±0.01lb</td>
<td>0.25±0.003</td>
<td>31.68±1.35c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.276±0.010abc</td>
<td>0.086±0.005abc</td>
<td>2.890±0.013abc</td>
<td>1.348±0.018abc</td>
<td>1.24±0.02abc</td>
<td>0.250±0.005</td>
<td>19.43±0.96bc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.256±0.006bc</td>
<td>0.080±0.004bc</td>
<td>2.790±0.011bc</td>
<td>1.179±0.009bc</td>
<td>1.09±0.01bc</td>
<td>0.24±0.004</td>
<td>42.16±0.91c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**P** 0.021 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.001 0.368 0.001

SFA: Saturated fatty acids, BHBA: Betahydroxy butyric acid, TUS: Total unsaturated fatty acids, MUFA: Mono unsaturated fatty acids: PUFA: Poly unsaturated fatty acids, AFM1: Aflatoxin M1

DISCUSSION

The interaction between the nutrient levels in milk (fat, protein, lactose) and metabolic parameters (urea nitrogen, BHBA, acetone) were investigated in this study. In fact, these two concepts are very irrational and metabolic parameters are mostly secondary. As is the case in many regions of the world, milk is the only nutrient parameter to be determined. However, metabolic parameters give important information about the overall health status of the herd as well as reflects the herd health.

**Table 3. Interaction between MUN and Aceton, BHBA, TUS,MUFA,PUFA and AFM1 of milk in dairy cows**

(\text{Mean±SEM}; n = 400)

mg/dl for medium and low-yield dairy cattle. In addition, Kohn (2007) ’s pilot study with a mean of 12.7 mg/dl MUN average in flocks, otherwise it is not economic reports that the feeding of the opinion confirms.

The value of MUN is not only affected by the protein level of the ration. The level and type of energy in the ration are also effective on MUN. Because it is necessary to have sufficient fermentable carbohydrates in the environment for the degradation and recombinance of the protein in the rumen (Oltner et al. 1985, Moore and Varga 1996, Rajala-Shultz 2001). One of the important and reliable studies in this situation was of Beckman and Weiss (2005). Researchers reported that when the ratio of NDF-starch increased the level of MUN also increased despite the same protein level and sources. Valueades et al. (2000) reported that in the ration of dairy cattle, instead of high-moist corn, use of alfalfa silage increased the value of MUN. However, NDF digestibility has a large share in this effect. As Kauffman and St-Pierre (2001) reported, the MUN value is not affected by the replacement of the starch sources in the ration with very high digestibility (such as soy shell) raw materials. Furthermore, Jonker et al. (2002) reported that low levels of milk in dairy cattle fed with protein in accordance with the amounts reported in NRC (2001) led to a decrease in milk yield and an increase in MUN value. The researchers correlated the detection of a high level of MUN value in dairy cows with low milk yield to energy insufficiency. Similarly decreasing starch and energy value without increasing protein level and sources of ration and increasing of NDF resources which are difficult to digest increased MUN value.

Another metabolic parameter that indicates health and productivity of dairy cattle is the level of BHBA level in milk because it gives information about the energy metabolism and ketosis susceptibility levels of animals in herd (Enjalbert et al. 2001). Ketosis is an important disorder that appears as a reflection of severe negative energy balance in dairy cattle and has a strong relationship with other metabolic diseases which negatively affects the health and productivity.
of animals (Grummer et al. 1993, Duffield 2000, Oetzel 2007). The ketosis is manifested by two types clinical and subclinical. The dairy cows with clinical ketosis have a depressive, anorexia, dull and lethargic appearance and a significant decrease in their milk yield (Duffield 2000). However, the subclinical form is very sneaky with having no visible signs except decrease in milk yield which poses significant economic losses to the farmer (Anderson 1988, Oetzel 2007). Duffield (1997) reported that cows with blood BHBA level 1.6 mmol/1.1.8 liters per day; Cows with 1.8 mmol / 1.3 liters; Cows with more than 2 mmol / 1 produce 4 liters of milk. As it can be prevented in time, losses due to subclinical ketosis may cause serious economic losses. Because it depends on nutrition, various tests are carried out to reveal this insidious disease, which is generally herd-based, without serious losses. BHBA analysis, which is routinely performed on tank milk, is one of these tests. According to Shultz and Myers (1959) and Andersson (1984), there is a very high correlation between the ketone bodies in the milk and the ketone bodies in the blood. Therefore, analysis in milk instead of blood can be more easily and reliably revealing the incidence of ketosis in herd. Especially, in recent years, studies have been carried out to calculate the correlation between an increasing number of blood and milk BHBA levels (Enjalbert 2001, Van Knegsel 2010, Denis-Robichaud et al. 2014). One of the most recent studies on the determination of milk BHBA level by NIR method is Denis-Robichaud et al. (2014). According to this study, the level of BHBA detected in milk above 0.2 mmol / l indicates hyperacetonemia, in other words, subclinical ketosis. In the present study, although there was a difference between the groups in terms of milk BHBA levels (P<0.05, Table 2), the level of any group did not exceed 0.20 mmol / l. In other words, if we look at the averages of the groups, we can easily say that there is no danger of subclinical ketosis. There may be several reasons for this. First of all, as reported by Overton and Waldron (2004), subclinical ketosis is a problem of dairy cows that develop immediately after birth and have high milk yield. In the present study, the average daily milk per animal of the samples from which the samples are obtained varies between 21 and 23 l / day and a high level of efficiency cannot be mentioned. In addition, the number of new birth animals is less than the herd overall. Therefore, it is understandable that the incidence of subclinical ketosis is low for the farms where the samples are taken. But at the ideal MUN level (12-16 mg / dl, Group A), it is very thought-provoking that the level of milk BHBA is lower than that of low (<12 mg / dl, Group B) and high (>16 mg / dl, Group C) MUN groups. However, in order to be able to express this situation more clearly and to make a healthy interpretation, there is a need for new studies to be carried out in new dairy cows with higher milk yield. However, there is a positive correlation between ketone bodies in blood and milk fat levels. Duffield et al. (1997) reported that milk fat is increased in cows with subclinical ketosis and the ratio of milk fat to protein increases. Milk fat was also found to be high in group A in which milk BHBA level was high in line with this finding (P <0.05). Therefore, it can be said that there is a connection between MUN level and milk fat.

However, in some recent studies, the number of studies reporting a close correlation between the fatty acid composition of milk and the negative energy balance and ketone bodies is increasing (Melendez et al. 2016). The origin of the short and medium chain fatty acids in milk fat is acetate, whereas the long-chain fatty acids are synthesized from the non-esterified fatty acids circulating in the blood (Bauman and Grinnari 2003). Thus, parallel to the rising negative energy balance, the rate of PUFA that make up milk oil increases due to increased blood levels of NEFA and BHBA (Melendez et al. 2016). In our study, while the PUFA values were not different, the MUFA and TUS values were different. MUFA and TUS values were significantly lower in the C group with higher MUN levels than in the other groups. In addition, milk fat and BHBA levels of group C were found to be statistically lower in group B and statistically lower in group A than in group A. This decrease in milk fat and BHBA level resulted in a decrease in MUFA and TUS levels. In addition, there was a statistically significant difference between all groups in terms of saturated fatty acids, it was found to be the highest in group A with MUN level in accordance with other parameters and the lowest in group C with high MUN level. The low level of energy, especially the starch level in the ration of group C, may have led to these results. However, the fact that ketosis is not developed due to the low milk yield in the dairy samples is difficult to make a clear interpretation.

The level of acetone in milk is a very useful parameter which can be used in herd health programs to diagnose subclinical ketosis cases and can provide reliable results about energy balance (Mottram et al. 2002, Reist et al. 2000). In milk, acetone level shows a negative correlation with energy balance (r = -0.64) (Clark et al. 2005). The acetone concentration in milk ranges from 0 to 2 mmol / L and is generally classified as <0.4 mmol / L, 0.41-1.0 mmol / L, 1.01-2.0 mmol / L and> 2.0 mmol / L (Mottram et al. 2002). The acetone concentration in milk is <0.7 mmol / L, indicating that the dairy cows are extremely healthy, that 0.7-1.4 mmol / L can be ketosis, and> 1.4 mmol / L ketosis. When the acetone levels of the experimental groups were reviewed, although there were statistically significant differences between the groups, the acetone values of all three groups were among the values reported in dairy cows (Mottram et al. 2002). In the research,
AFM1 values obtained as a result of analysis in milk were statistically different (P<0.01). However, the values of all groups are within normal limits. There are legally tolerable limit values due to the very significant risks that aflatoxins can cause on human health. This limits the Codex Alimentations for AFM 1 levels of 500 ng / kg (PPT), the European Union and in Turkey and 50 ng / kg (PPT) d (Şanlı 1995, Anonymous 2002, Anonymous 2006).

CONCLUSION

In this study, it was investigated how the other parameters were affected in the milk with different (high, normal, low) MUN levels. Accordingly, it was found that the MUN level was directly affected by the energy level of the ration, and even if the protein was very well calculated in the dairy cattle fed with insufficient energy, the bioavailability would decrease and the MUN level would increase and the milk fat would decrease. This can lead to serious economic damage, resulting in both loss of yield (milk yield, milk fat, reproductive fertility) and waste of the ration protein. However, there was also a correlation between the level of MUN and the level of BHBA in milk. Although high levels of BHBA in MUN normal levels are thought- provoking, no group has reached the level of clinical or subclinical ketosis. This may be due to the low milk yield of dairy farms. Therefore, according to the data obtained in this study, more extensive studies are needed with the milk samples to be obtained from high milk producers in order to reveal the interaction between MUN and the levels of BHBA, fat, saturated and unsaturated fats in milk.
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