Hepatitis B seroprevalence in hematological oncology patients
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ABSTRACT

Objectives: Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is still a serious public health problem today. Many factors such as frequent blood transfusion, hemodialysis, sexual contact, sterilization in surgical procedures, etc. are involved in the transmission of hepatitis B virus. In our study, HBV seroprevalence was evaluated retrospectively in order to provide vaccination of anti-HBs negative patients and to determine HBV prophylaxis in patients with hematological malignancy.

Methods: A total of 499 patients were included in the study. HBsAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBc IgG, anti-HDV, HBV DNA values were measured by ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, Liaison, Diasorin, Italy) with Real-Time PCR (Cobas-Tagman, Roche Switzerland) and recorded. The obtained datas were evaluated by SPSS for Windows 15.00 statistical program. A value of $p<0.05$ was accepted as a statistical significance value.

Results: HBsAg positivity was found to be 3.4% (n = 17) in all patients. Appropriate treatment regimens were started to patients with HBsAg (+). There were 166 (33.3%) patients with anti-HBs (+). One hundred nineteen (23.8%) patients had anti-HBc IgG (+), 40 (33.6%) of them were started a prophylactic treatment regimen. Anti-HBs positivity were reported after vaccination in 48 (16.8%) patients. Occult hepatitis have not been detected in patients with anti-HBc IgG positivity.

Conclusions: As a result of this study, anti-HBs negative patients with hematological malignancies were vaccinated. Patients and physicians should be informed about vaccination and hepatitis serology controls of hematological malignancy and other immunosuppressed patients. Sensitivity in this context should be increased in terms of prophylactic treatments.
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Hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection is still a serious public health problem today. The prevalence of HBV in Turkey, an area where HBV infection is moderately endemic, is reported to be 2-7%, depending on the region [1]. In recent years there has been a decrease in carrier rates because of hepatitis B vaccination becoming more and more common [2]. Many factors such as frequent blood transfusion, hemodialysis, sexual contact, sterilization in surgical procedures, etc. are involved in the transmission of HBV [3]. Immunosuppressive therapies (such as steroids, cytotoxic chemotherapies) and frequent
blood transfusions causing hematologic oncology patients to be involved in the risk group [4]. Due to the presence of viral hepatitis-associated exacerbations during the given immunosuppressive treatments HBsAg, anti-HBs, anti-HBc IgG screening especially important in this patient groups. HBV DNA testing should be performed in the case of HBsAg and / or anti-HBc IgG positivity [5]. Entecavir or tenofovir should be chosen for prophylactic treatment. Prophylactic treatment should be initiated as soon as possible in the HbsAg-positive patient (one week before or concurrent with immunosuppressive treatment, if possible) without losing time. Antiviral treatment should be continued for at least 12 months after immunosuppressive treatment, In case of HBsAg negative and anti-HBc positivity, prophylactic treatment is recommended if there is HBV DNA positivity. Patients in the low-risk group due to risky reactivation should be monitored at 3-month intervals with HBV DNA control [6]. Therefore, screening for viral hepatitis in all hematologic oncology patients is important.

In our study, it was aimed to determine the sero-prevalence of HBV in patients who were diagnosed with hematologic malignancy in our hospital, to determine the patients who were anti-HBs negative but not yet vaccinated and to be vaccinated and to identify the patients who started treatment as prophylactic.

METHODS

We retrospectively reviewed our patients who were diagnosed with hematological cancer in the hematology clinic of İzmir Tepecik Training and Research Hospital between 2007-2016. Ethics committee approval was taken from the local ethics committee in İzmir Tepecik Training and Research Hospital. Patients were examined retrospectively from patient records and files for demographic characteristics, hematologic cancer diagnosis, which treatment was initiated if treatment or prophylaxis were given. Those who were younger than 18 years of age and were pregnant were not included in the study. A total of 499 patients were included in the study. Anti-HBc IgG, anti-HDV, HBV DNA values were measured by ELISA (enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay, Liaison, Diasorin, Italy) with Real-Time PCR (Cobas-Tagman, Roche Switzerland) and recorded.

Statistical Analysis

The obtained datas were evaluated by SPSS for Windows 15.00 statistical program. A value of \( p < 0.05 \) was accepted as a statistical significance value. The mean age of the case groups was shown as mean \( \pm SD \). The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to assess whether the datas were fit to normal distribution. Mann-Whitney U test was used to compare the continuous variables of independent groups that did not show normal distribution. Group comparisons of nonparametric continuous variables belonging to more than 2 groups were made by Kruskal Wallis test.

RESULTS

A total of 499 patients with hematologic malignancy were included in the study. One hundred ninety-two (38.4%) patients were female. The mean age of the patients was 61.02 ± 16.25 years (Table 1). The most common hematological malignancies were multiple myeloma (MM), chronic lymphoblastic leukemia (CLL), acute myeloid leukemia (AML), chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL), Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL), acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), Myelofibrosis, Hairy Cell Leukemia (HCL) respectively (Table 2).

HBsAg positivity was found to be 3.4% (n = 17) in all patients (Table 2). Appropriate treatment regimens were started to patients with HBsAg (+). There were 166 (33.3%) patients with anti-HBs (+). One hundred nineteen (23.8%) patients had anti-HBc

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Group median value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>63.04</td>
<td>15.15</td>
<td>64.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>59.76</td>
<td>16.80</td>
<td>62.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>61.02</td>
<td>16.25</td>
<td>63.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Distribution of patients by gender and age
IgG (+), 40 (33.6%) of them were started a prophylactic treatment regimen. Anti-HBsAg positivity were reported after vaccination in 48 (16.8%) patients. Occult hepatitis has not been detected in anti-HBc IgG positive patients.

**DISCUSSION**

Natural course of hepatitis B infection is determined by the interaction between virus replication and the immune response of the host. HBV continues its existence in the HBV infected patients even if the serologic markers become negative. Therefore, there is a risk of HBV reactivation with immunosuppressive treatment in individuals who are infected with HBV. HBV reactivation may lead to the delay of treatment of primary disease and even to the ceasing of chemotherapy in these patients [7].

Turkey is among the moderately endemic (2-7%) regions due to hepatitis B infection [1]. While these rates vary according to the regions, the frequency of the HbsAg in the studies conducted in our country is found between 0.8-5.7%. According to the data obtained from the Turkish Red Crescent Blood Center, HbsAg positivity was found to be 0.6% in 2012 [8]. When HbsAg positivity is evaluated in our country, Eastern and Southeastern Anatolia Region is found to be have higher rates than other regions [9].

In a study performed by Sardaş et al. [10], HbsAg of 16% and anti-HBs of 54% positivity were detected in hematology-oncology patients who received frequent transfusions. In control groups who never received transfusions HbsAg positivity of 4% and anti-HBs positivity of 22% were detected. It was concluded that patients who received frequent blood transfusions were under a higher risk of hepatitis B infection [10].

In a study by Sari et al. [11], two groups were separated as transfusion and non-transfusion patients with hematological malignancy, and a third control group with no additional disease was established.

---

**Table 2. Hepatitis B serological rate**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>HBsAg positive</th>
<th>HBsAg negative</th>
<th>Anti-HBsAg positive</th>
<th>Anti-HBsAg negative</th>
<th>Anti-HBc IgG positive</th>
<th>Anti-HBc IgG negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MM, n (%)</td>
<td>5 (4.3)</td>
<td>112 (95.7)</td>
<td>40 (32.4)</td>
<td>77 (65.8)</td>
<td>33 (28.2)</td>
<td>84 (71.8)</td>
<td>117 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CML, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>73 (100)</td>
<td>21 (28.8)</td>
<td>52 (71.2)</td>
<td>17 (23.3)</td>
<td>56 (76.7)</td>
<td>73 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLL, n (%)</td>
<td>3 (3.1)</td>
<td>94 (96.9)</td>
<td>28 (28.9)</td>
<td>69 (71.1)</td>
<td>24 (24.7)</td>
<td>73 (75.3)</td>
<td>97 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML, n (%)</td>
<td>4 (4.7)</td>
<td>82 (95.3)</td>
<td>43 (50)</td>
<td>43 (50)</td>
<td>28 (32.6)</td>
<td>58 (67.4)</td>
<td>86 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALL, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>11 (91.7)</td>
<td>4 (33.3)</td>
<td>8 (66.7)</td>
<td>1 (8.3)</td>
<td>11 (91.7)</td>
<td>12 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NHL, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (1.5)</td>
<td>65 (98.5)</td>
<td>18 (27.3)</td>
<td>48 (72.4)</td>
<td>8 (12.1)</td>
<td>58 (89.9)</td>
<td>66 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HL, n (%)</td>
<td>2 (5.9)</td>
<td>32 (94.1)</td>
<td>6 (17.6)</td>
<td>28 (82.4)</td>
<td>3 (8.9)</td>
<td>31 (91.1)</td>
<td>34 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelofibrosis, n (%)</td>
<td>1 (12.5)</td>
<td>7 (87.5)</td>
<td>3 (37.5)</td>
<td>5 (62.5)</td>
<td>4 (50)</td>
<td>4 (50)</td>
<td>8 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCL, n (%)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>3 (50)</td>
<td>1 (16.7)</td>
<td>5 (83.3)</td>
<td>6 (100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total, n (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>17 (3.4)</strong></td>
<td><strong>482 (96.6)</strong></td>
<td><strong>166 (33.3)</strong></td>
<td><strong>333 (66.7)</strong></td>
<td><strong>119 (23.8)</strong></td>
<td><strong>380 (76.1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>499 (100)</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HBsAg seropositivity in the first group was found to be 22.8%, in the second group 5.7% and in the third group 9%. In this study HBsAg seropositivity was found to be higher in patients with hematological malignancy and received frequent blood transfusion ($p < 0.05$) [11]. Köse et al. [12] worked with 448 oncology patients in İzmir Tepecik Training and Research Hospital between 2006 and 2007. In their study, 19 (4.2%) HBsAg positivity was detected in the patients.

In some studies, it has been suggested that hepatitis B and C viruses may be associated with various lymphoid neoplasms because these viruses can multiply in the lymphoid tissue. In the study conducted by Yalçıntaş Arslan et al. [13], 165 non-cancer patients with 164 NHL were taken and 15 (9.1%) patients were found to be HbsAg positive and 3 (1.8%) patients were Anti-HCV positive. According to the control group, HbsAg positivity was high and anti-HCV positivity was found to be similar in patients with NHL [13]. In our study, HbsAg positivity was found to be 1.5% (1/67) in patients with NHL, but no significant difference was found compared to other groups ($p > 0.05$).

In some studies, the relationship between MM and HBV and HCV infection was investigated. In a study by Huang et al. [14] 299 patients with MM and 299 patients with acute leukemia (AL) were evaluated. HbsAg positivity was found to be 19.4% in the MM-diagnosed group and 12% in the AL-diagnosed group and there was a significant difference between the two groups in terms of HbsAg positivity ($p = 0014$) [14]. In our study, 5 (4.3%) HbsAg positivity was detected in 117 patients with MM. However, when compared with the other groups, there was no significant difference in HbsAg positivity in patients with MM ($p > 0.05$).

Occult HBV infection is characterized by HBsAg negativity with persistence of low HBV DNA levels, regardless of Anti-Hbc IgG positivity. Immunosuppressive therapies are also likely to cause reactivation in this patient group [15]. The risk of reactivation by immunosuppression is much less than that of the HbsAg positive patient group. In one study with 204 HbsAg negative serum samples taken before cancer chemotherapy from cancer patients, isolated anti-Hbc IgG positivity was detected in 11 (5.4%) patients. HBV DNA positivity was detected in 9 (81%) of these 11 patients. Patients with hematologic malignancy had a higher incidence of occult hepatitis B compared to the group with solid organ malignancy. There was no significant difference between anti-Hbc IgG positivity and frequent blood transfusions, familial hepatitis and biochemical parameters (AST, ALT) ($p > 0.05$) [16].

Although there are many seroprevalence studies with patients in the risk group such as transfusion, dialysis etc. there is a limited number of seroprevalence studies performed with hematological oncology patients [4]. The prophylactic treatment regimens initiated for the disease are lamivudine, tenofovir, entecavir. In our study, it was found that many patients who were diagnosed as anti-HBsAg negative 333 (66.7%) were not vaccinated.

**CONCLUSION**

As a result of this study, vaccination of Anti-HBsAg negative patients were provided with hematology clinic. In conclusion, patients and physicians should be informed about vaccination and hepatitis serology controls of hematological malignancy and other immunosuppressed patients. Sensitivity in this context should be increased in terms of prophylactic treatments.

**Ethical Statement**

All procedures performed in studies involving human participant were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/ or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments of comparable ethical standards.

**Conflict of interest**

The authors disclosed no conflict of interest during the preparation or publication of this manuscript.

**Financing**

The authors disclosed that they did not receive any grant during conduction or writing of this study.

**REFERENCES**

1. Deveci Ö, Tekin A, Günbay SS, Kılıç D, Kaygusuz S, Ağalar


9. İnci A, Okay M, Güven D. [HBsAg, Anti-HBs, Anti-HCV and Anti-HIV seroprevalence of the patients applied to Artvin State Hospital]. Viral Hepatit Derg 2013;19:41-4. [Article in Turkish]


