Yüksek Sıcaklıkta Gaz Algılaması ve IR Kaynakları İçin Dayanıklı Microhotplate Dizaynı
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ÖZET: Microhotplate’ler (MHP) yüksek sıcaklıklarda gaz algılanması, ve IR kaynağı yapımı gibi çok önemli uygulama alanlarına sahip olması rağmen, göreceli yüksek sıcaklıklarda çalıştırıldıklarında oluşan yüksek termal stres’lerden dolayı kısa süreli dayanaklı sahiptirler. Bu çalışmada yüksek sıcaklıklarda düşük termal stres’e sahip bir dizayn, spring tipi dizayn ve termal genişleme sabitleri yakın olan malzeme seçiminin avantajlarını birleştirek elde ettik. FEM sonuçları düşük termal stres elde edebilmesini sağlayan ana etken termal genişleme sabitleri yakın malzemeler seçmek olduğunu göstermiştir. SiN/Polysilicon/SiN katmanlarına ship spring tipi dizayn sayesinde 2119 K sıcaklığında 180 MPa gibi düşük termal stres FEM kullanılarak elde edilmiştir. Sıcaklığın 2076 K değerine ulaşması için gerekten tepkime süresi ve güç tüketimi 200 ms ve 3.47 mW olarak hesaplanmıştır.
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Reliable Mircohotplate Design for High temperature Gas Sensing and IR Source

ABSTRACT: While Microhotplates (MHPs) keeps very important place in many critical applications such as high temperature gas sensing and building IR source, they still suffer from short term reliability due to high thermal stress at relatively high temperatures. Here we demonstrate low thermal stress design at high temperatures by combining the advantages of spring type structure and compatible materials in terms of thermal expansion constant. FEM results demonstrated that, the main mechanism behind achieving low thermal stress is using compatible materials. A low thermal stress of 180 MPa at 2119 K was achieved by using SiN/Polysilicon/SiN stack with a spring type design via FEM tool. The response time required to reach 2076 K was calculated as 200 ms with 3.47mW power consumption.
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INTRODUCTION

Microhotplate (MHP) has a wide range of applications that includes but not limited to gas sensors (Stehnauer et al., 2016; He et al., 2016), infrared sources (Barritault et al., 2011; Müller et al., 2014), a micro-chip initiator (Ahn et al., 2016), humidity sensors (Sama et al., 2017), and thermo-optical characterization (Chauhan et al., 2014), nanowire and carbon nanotube growth (Sama et al., 2017; Silvestri et al., 2016) and characterization (Silvestri et al., 2016). Miniaturized gas sensors, among those other applications, is getting more attraction especially in internet of things (IOT) applications. Most well-known examples are food quality monitoring (Peris and Escuder-Gilabert, 2009), automotive industry (Yamazoe, 2005) and agriculture (Mitzner et al., 2003). Many different techniques and solutions have been offered to address the temperature uniformity with different heater structures (Graf et al., 2006; Roy et al., 2012), high temperature operation with reliability (Barritault et al., 2011; Richter and Fritze, 2013; Govindhan et al., 2018), and smaller response time (Mo et al., 2002; Huang et al., 2009).

MHP with high temperature operation (up to 1000 °C) is very demanding in the gas sensor field. It brings the possibility to monitor especially combustion gas components such as HCs, CO and NOx and consequently allows emission control (Liu et al., 2014; Govindhan et al., 2018). Furthermore, fast thermal response for gas sensing can be achieved at high temperature (900 °C) (Richter and Fritze, 2013) and this enables not only real-time characterization of nanomaterials (Mele et al., 2012) but also temperature modulation for selective gas sensing (He et al., 2016). In the same way, hydrogen gas sensors operate above 500 °C (Govindhan et al., 2018), oxygen sensors up to 1400 °C (Chowdhury et al., 2001), Ga2O3 layer for reducing gases and O2 detection between 600 and 900 °C (Schwebel et al., 2000) and CeO2 layer for Co and N2 detection between 800 and 1000 °C (Liu et al., 2014). In addition to gas sensors, building IR source also requires high temperature capable MHP and this brings the necessity of reliability (mechanical, electrical, etc.) at high temperatures. MHP based IR sources was demonstrated (Barritault et al., 2011) with an operating temperature of 650 °C and in (Müller et al., 2014) with an operating temperature around 700 °C.

Materials with different thermal expansion constants results in high thermal stress at relatively high temperature and this consequently cause device failure. Although high temperature is achieved in (Mele et al., 2012) by using special material (Molybdenum), it could only work approximately 24 hours before breakage. However; long-term stability is very important especially for the high temperature MHP gas sensors and IR sources and this requires very low thermal stresses design.

Here we designed an MHP with low thermal stress in a well-known silicon process by using FEM tool. The multilayer approach was used to ensure the electrical isolation and a robust platform that allows a deposition process for sensing layer. Three different designs were used and compared, while design 1 and design 2 show the advantages of using spring type structure over conventional type design, design 1 and design 3 show the advantages of selecting optimum materials for the sake of low thermal stress. The thermal stress was decreased from 2000 MPa to 180 MPa by selecting materials’ thermal expansion constants as close as possible to each other. A uniform temperature distribution with a total of 5 K (0.2%) difference on MHP’s surface was achieved via optimizing heater and bridge design with spring type design. Furthermore, the response time and power consumption to reach 2076 K was found as 200 ms and 3.47 mW respectively via FEM tool while limiting the maximum thermal stress to 180 MPa for optimum design.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Basic MHP design consists of two dielectric layers (layer 1 & 3) for electrical isolation and one conductor layer (layer 2) as a heater. Two different structures and two different fabrications were studied throughout the paper. Design 1 is a spring type MHP (Fig 1A) where layer 1 & 3 are silicon nitride (SiN) and layer 2 is Polysilicon. Design 2 is a conventional type MHP (Fig 1B) whereas design 3 is a spring type MHP (Fig 1A) where layer 1 & 3 are silicon dioxide (SiO₂) and layer 2 is Polysilicon for both design 2 & 3.

![Figure 1.](image)

It is well known from CMOS process that SiO₂ and Polysilicon can be deposited and patterned on top of each other as they have fabrication compatibility and good etch selectivity. In the same way, both SiN and Polysilicon can be deposited in the same fabrication process and this fabrication compatibility was verified in (Yu et al., 1996). The fabrication starts with deposition of layer 1. After step 1, heater (layer 2) was deposited on layer 1 and patterned with a mask in lithography process and dry etch process. After patterning layer 2, the layer 3 was deposited on layer 2. The final step is to use XeF₂ etch process to release the MHP structure by removing silicon layer underneath the layer 1.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Uniform heating

The operation principle of MHP design is based on applying voltage on heater element to create joule heating that results in non-uniform temperature distribution. Heater structure in spring type MHP (Fig 1A) consists of four legs where two of them are Vin and two of them are ground. On the other hand, heater in conventional type MHP (Fig 1B) consists of two legs with a serpentine structure. One of the main problem with serpentine structure is the non-uniform joule heating profile. That’s why the center plate in spring type MHP was designed as a single part to enable uniform temperature distribution (Fig 1A). The maximum temperature in both spring and
conventional design (Fig 2) was set above 2000 K via joule heating. A very uniform temperature profile with a 5 K (2120-2115) difference throughout the MHP plate in spring type design was achieved and verified via FEM simulation (Fig 2B). On the other hand, the temperature difference is 223 K (2043-1820) throughout the MHP plate in conventional type design according to FEM simulation (Fig 2A). The temperature difference in spring type structure can be less than 0.2%, while it is approximately 11% in conventional serpentine design. In another words, a 55x times improvement can be achieved via spring type heater in comparison to serpentine type heater.

\[ \sigma_{yi}(y) = -aE_i T_i(y) + E_i \left( \frac{P_T l_{E2} - M_T l_{E1}}{l_{E2} - l_{E1}} \right)^2 + yE_i \left( \frac{M_T l_{E0} - P_T l_{E1}}{l_{E0} - l_{E1}} \right) \]

\[ I_{EX} = \frac{1}{X+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i w_i \left( y_i^{X+1} - y_i^{X+1} \right), \quad P_T = \sum_{i} \int_{y_{i-1}}^{y_i} \alpha_i E_i T_i(y) w_i d y, \quad M_T = P_T y \]

Here T is the temperature, E is the elastic modulus, y is the thickness, and \( \alpha \) is the thermal expansion constant. The thermal stress will increase with the increase in the number of layers according to equation 1 and it will get worse if the difference in thermal expansion constant of materials is larger. This can be explained by the increase in \( M_T, P_T \) and the first term in equation 1.

Three different designs (design 1, 2 & 3) were tested in FEM simulation to study the effect of thermal stresses with respect to temperature (Fig 3). The thermal expansion of Polysilicon is 2.32 x 10^{-6} K^{-1} while it is 0.5 x 10^{-6} K^{-1} for SiO_2 and 1.6 x 10^{-6} K^{-1} for SiN. Here Polysilicon is a conductive heater layer while SiO_2 and SiN were used as a dielectric layer and supportive layer for the MPH bridges. The maximum thermal stress was 2000 MPa (Fig 3C) at 1942 K due to large difference in thermal expansion constants of SiO_2 and Polysilicon. This high thermal stress was decreased to 550 MPa at 2043 K by replacing SiO_2 with SiN in conventional type design (Fig 3B). This is attributed to the fact that, the difference in thermal expansion constant between SiN and Polysilicon...
(2.32x10^-6 - 1.6x10^-6 = 0.72x10^-6) is smaller than the one between SiO_2 and Polysilicon (2.32x10^-6 - 0.5x10^-6 = 1.82x10^-6). However, 550 MPa is still too high and can easily result in failure. More improvement was achieved via using spring structure design with Polysilicon as a heater layer and SiN as a dielectric layer (Fig 3A). As a result, the maximum thermal stress was decreased from 550 MPa to 180 MPa at 2119 K. Here spring structure, in contrast to conventional type design (Fig 3B), allows more thermal expansion and consequently helps in decreasing the overall thermal stress. The thermal stress with respect to temperature is reasonably small even at high temperatures for design 1, on the other hand thermal stress drastically increases with the increase in the temperature for design 2 & 3 (Fig 3D). This makes design 1 a perfect candidate for high temperature gas sensing and building an IR source with a long-term stable operation. The ultimate/tensile strength for SiN is 390 MPa (Cardinale and Tustison, 1992), for SiO_2 is 200 MPa (Morozov and Postnikov, 2014) and for Polysilicon is 1200 MPa (Sharpe et al., 1997) and all are well above the thermal stresses of 120 MPa at 1374 K and 180 MPa at 2119 K for design 1. This low thermal stress provides a safety factor (safety factor = ultimate stress / actual stress) of 3.25 (390/120) at 1374 K and 2.2 (390/180) at 2119 K. On the other hand, design 3 and design 2 fails at even low temperature because both designs already exceed the limit of 200 MPa tensile strength of SiO_2 and 390 MPa tensile strength of SiN.

Figure 3. Thermal stress above 2000 K for (A) SiN/PolySilicon/SiN Spring type design (design 1), (B) SiN/PolySilicon/SiN Conventional type design (design 2), (C) SiO_2/PolySilicon/SiO_2 Spring type design (design 3), and (D) Thermal stress comparison between three different designs via FEM simulation.
Response time and power consumption

The temperature profile and the maximum temperature with respect to applied bias voltage can be found via thermal conduction equation;

\[ \Delta T = \frac{q'L^2}{2k} \]  

Where \( \Delta T \) is the temperature difference throughout the MHP bridges, \( q' \) is the heat generation, \( L \) is the bridge length and \( k \) is the thermal conduction constant.

Conventional design (design 2) has shorter and wider bridges compared to spring type design (design 1) that results in more heat loss throughout the beams and consequently smaller \( \Delta T \). This brings the necessity to apply higher power for the same amount of heat generation. Hence, it takes around 8.47 mW power consumption to reach 2043 K for design 2 while the power consumption for design 1 is 3.47 mW to reach 2119 K. In addition, design 3 takes less power (2.48 mW) to reach 1942 K in comparison to design 1 due to smaller thermal conductivity constant (\( k_{\text{SiO}_2} \) (1.4 x10^6) < \( k_{\text{SiN}} \) (3.2 x10^6)) (Fig 4A).

The transient time response is dominated by the power required to reach above 2000 K according to FEM simulation and this is attributed to the fact that, \( \Delta T \) is proportional to the heat generation (equation 2). It takes 50 ms and 8.47 mW for design 2, 200 ms and 3.47 mW for design 1 and 248 ms and 2.48 mW for design 3 (Fig 4B) to reach above 2000 K.

CONCLUSION

High thermal stress is one the most challenging design issue for the MHP and prevents its long-term usage in high temperature gas sensing and building a high temperature IR source. Here we presented solution methods to address the high thermal stress problem. Tough spring type design allows more thermal expansion compared to conventional design; it still results in high thermal stress that is not acceptable for long term reliable MHP design. On the other hand, using compatible materials drastically decreased the thermal stress even for conventional type design. An ultra-low thermal stress of 180 MPa at 2119 K was achieved by combining spring type design with compatible material stack in terms of thermal expansion constant (SiN/Polysilicon/SiN stack). The design promises a 3.47 mW power consumption with a 200 ms to reach 2076 K. These results open a way to design high temperature gas sensors (>1000 °C) for critical applications (sensing combustion gases, etc) and IOT applications in addition to building long term reliable IR sources.
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