Conference Proceedings of Science and Technology, 2(1), 2019, 13-17

Conference Proceeding of 2th International Conference on Mathematical Advences and Applications (ICOMAA-2019).

On (P,Q)-Lucas Polynomial Coefficients for a New Class of Bi-Univalent Functions Associated with q-Analogue of Ruscheweyh Operator

Arzu Akgül

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Umuttepe Campus, Kocaeli University, Kocaeli, Turkey. * Corresponding Author E-mail: akgul@kocaeli.edu.tr

Abstract: Recently, Fibonacci polynomials, Chebyshev polynomials, Lucas polynomials, Pell polynomials, LucasâĂŞLehmer polynomials, orthogonal polynomials and other special polynomials became more and more important in the field of Geometric Function Theory. The Theory of Geometric Functions and that of Special Functions are usually considered as very different fields. In this study, by using Lucas polynomials of the second kind, subordination and Ruschewey differential operator, these different fields were connected and a new class of bi-univalent functions was introduced. Also coefficient estimates were obtained for this new class.

Keywords: (P,Q)-Lucas polynomials, Coefficient bounds, Bi-univalent functions, Ruscheweyh differential operator, Subordination

1 Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} a_m z^m,\tag{1}$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$, and let $S = \{f \in A : f \text{ is univalent in } U\}$.

The Koebe one-quarter theorem [11] states that the range of every function $f \in S$ contains the disc of radius $\{w : |w| < \frac{1}{4}\}$. Thus every such function $f \in S$ has an inverse f^{-1} which satisfies

$$f^{-1}(f(z)) = z \ (z \in U)$$

and

$$f(f^{-1}(w)) = w (|w| < r_0(f), r_0(f) \ge \frac{1}{4}),$$

 $f^{-1}(w) = w - a_0 w^2 + (2a_0^2 - a_0) w^3 - (5a_0^3 - 5a_0a_0 + a_1) w^4 + \dots$

where

1. If both f and
$$f^{-1}$$
 are univalent in U then a function $f \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in U. We say that f is in the class Σ for

Definition 1. If both f and f^{-1} are univalent in U, then a function $f \in A$ is said to be bi-univalent in U. We say that f is in the class Σ for such functions.

Some functions in the class Σ are given in [23]. In 1986, Brannan and Taha [9] introduced certain subclasses of the bi-univalent function class similar to the familiar subclasses of starlike and convex functions of order. In 2012, Ali et al. [22] widen the result of Brannan and Taha by using subordination. The estimates on the first two coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ in the Taylor Maclaurin series expansion (1) were found in several recent studies (see [1]-[6], [17], [19]-[20]) and still an interest to many researchers.

Definition 2. For analytic functions f and g, f is said to be subordinate to g, denoted

$$f(z) \prec g(z),\tag{3}$$

if there is an analytic function w such that

```
w(0)=0\, , \,|w(z)|<1\, and f(z)=g\left(w(z)\right)
```

13

(2)



ISSN: 2651-544X

http://dergipark.gov.tr/cpost

Definition 3. ([14, 15]) For $q \in (0, 1)$, the q-derivative of function $f \in A$ is defined by

$$\partial_q f(z) = \frac{f(qz) - f(z)}{(q-1)z}, z \neq 0$$
(4)

and

$$\partial_q f(0) = f'(0).$$

Thus we have

$$\partial_q f(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k, q] a_k z^{k-1}$$
 (5)

where [k, q] is given by

$$[k,q] = \frac{1-q^k}{1-q}, \quad [0,q] = 0 \tag{6}$$

and the q-fractional is defined by

$$[k,q]! = \begin{cases} \prod_{m=1}^{k} [m,q], & k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & k = 0 \end{cases}$$
(7)

Also, the q-generalized Pochhammer symbol for $\mathfrak{p} \ge 0$ is given by

$$[\mathfrak{p},q]_k = \begin{cases} \prod_{m=1}^k [\mathfrak{p}+m-1,q], & k \in \mathbb{N} \\ 1, & k = 0 \end{cases}$$

In addition, as $q \to 1$, we have $[k, q] \to k$. If we choose the function $g(z) = z^k$, then we have

$$\partial_q g(z) = \partial_q z^k = [k, q] z^{k-1} = g'(z),$$

where g' is the ordinary derivative.

Now, we point out the q-analogue of Ruscheweyh operator:

Definition 4. [10] Let $f \in A$. The q-analogue of Ruscheweyh operator is defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_{q}^{\mu}f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k+\mu-1,q]!}{[k,q]! [k-1,q]!} a_{k} z^{k},$$
(8)

where [k, q]! is given by equation (7).

From the definition we observe that if $q \rightarrow 1$, we have

$$\lim_{q \to 1} \mathcal{R}_{q}^{\mu} f(z) = z + \lim_{q \to 1} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k+\mu-1,q]!}{[\mu,q]! [k-1,q]!} a_{k} z^{k} = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k+\mu-1]!}{[\mu]! [k-1]!} a_{k} z^{k} = \mathcal{R}^{\mu} f(z), \tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu} f(z)$ is Ruscheweyh differential operator defined in [29].

Some of special polynomials, for example Fibonacci polynomials, Lucas polynomials, Chebyshev polynomials, Pell polynomials, Lucas– Lehmer polynomials, orthogonal polynomials and the other special polynomials, are of great importance in several papers from a theoretical point of view (see, for example [7, 8, 12, 13, 18, 24–28]).

Definition 5. [16] Let calP(x) and calQ(x) are polynomials with real coefficients. The (calP, calQ) Lucas polynomials $L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m}(x)$ are defined by the recourse relation

$$L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m}(x) = \mathcal{P}(x)L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m-1}(x) + \mathcal{Q}(x)L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m-2}(x) \quad (m \ge 2),$$
(10)

from which the first few Lucas polynomials can be found as

$$L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},0}(x) = 2,$$

$$L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x) = \mathcal{P}(x),$$

$$L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x) = \mathcal{P}^{2}(x) + 2\mathcal{Q}(x),$$

$$L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},3}(x) = \mathcal{P}^{3}(x) + 3\mathcal{P}(x)\mathcal{Q}(x)$$
(11)

In this article, we aim at introducing a new class of bi-univalent functions defined through the (P, Q)-Lucas polynomials of the second kind.

Definition 6. [16] Let $\mathcal{G}_{\{L_m(x)\}}(z)$ be the generating function of the (P,Q)-Lucas polynomial sequence $L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m}(x)$. Then

$$\mathcal{G}_{\{L_m(x)\}}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m}(x) z^m = \frac{2 - \mathcal{P}(x)z}{1 - \mathcal{P}(x)z - \mathcal{Q}(x)z^2}.$$
(12)

2 The class $\mathbf{Q}^{\Sigma}(q,\mu;x)$

We begin this section by defining the class $\operatorname{calQ}^{\Sigma}(q,\mu;x)$ and by finding the estimates on the coefficients $|a_2|$ and $|a_3|$ for functions in this class.

Definition 7. The function f is said to be in the class $Q^{\Sigma}(q, \mu; x)$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\frac{z\partial_q(\mathcal{R}^{\mu}_q f(z))}{\mathcal{R}^{\mu}_q f(z)} \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m}(x)\}}(z) - 1$$

and

$$\frac{w\partial_q(\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(w))}{\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(w)} \prec \mathcal{G}_{\{L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m}(x)\}}(w) - 1 \tag{13}$$

where $\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu} f(z)$ is Ruscheweyh differential operator defined in [29].

Theorem 1. Let f given by (1) be in the class $Q^{\Sigma}(q, \mu; x)$. Then,

$$a_{2}| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|\sqrt{2|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{q[q+1,q]}\left|\left\{2\left[\mu+2\right]_{q}-\left[\mu+1\right]_{q}(3q+1)\right\}\mathcal{P}^{2}(x)-4q\left[\mu+1\right]_{q}\mathcal{Q}(x)\right|}}$$
(14)

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{\mathcal{P}^2(x)}{(1+\delta)^{2m} (1+\zeta)^2} + \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{(1+2\delta)^m (1+2\zeta)}.$$
(15)

Proof: Let $f \in Q^{\Sigma}(q, \mu; x)$. Then from Definition 7, for some analytic functions Ω, Λ such that $\Omega(0) = \Lambda(0) = 0$ and $|\Omega(z)| < 1, |\Lambda(w)| < 1$ for all $z, w \in U$, we can write

$$\frac{z\partial_q(\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(z))}{\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(z)} = \mathcal{G}_{\{L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m}(x)\}}(\Omega(z)) - 1$$
(16)

and

$$\frac{w\partial_q(\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(w))}{\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(w)} = \mathcal{G}_{\{L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},m}(x)\}}(\Lambda(w)) - 1$$
(17)

or equivalently

$$\frac{z\partial_q(\mathcal{R}^{\mu}_q f(z))}{\mathcal{R}^{\mu}_q f(z)} = -1 + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},0}(x) + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)\Omega(z) + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)\Omega^2(z) + \cdots$$
(18)

and

$$\frac{w\partial_q(\mathcal{R}^{\mu}_q f(w))}{\mathcal{R}^{\mu}_q f(w)} = -1 + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},0}(x) + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)\Lambda(w) + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)\Lambda^2(w) + \cdots$$
(19)

From the equalities (18) and (19), we obtain that

$$\frac{z\partial_q(\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(z))}{\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(z)} = 1 + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_1z + \left[L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)l_2 + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)l_1^2\right]z^2 + \cdots$$
(20)

and

$$\frac{w\partial_q(\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(w))}{\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(w)} = 1 + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_1w + \left[L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_2 + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)r_1^2\right]w^2 + \cdots$$
(21)

It is known before that if for $z, w \in U$,

$$\Omega(z) = \left| \sum_{i=1}^{m} l_i z^i \right| < 1$$

and

$$\Lambda(w) = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_i w^i\right| < 1$$

than

 $|l_i| < 1$

and

$$\Lambda(w) = |r_i| < 1$$

where $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, we can write

$$\frac{z\partial_q(\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(z))}{\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(z)} = 1 + q\left[\mu + 1\right]_q a_2 z + \left\{q\left[\mu + 1\right]_q \left[\mu + 2\right]_q a_3 - q\left[\mu + 1\right]_q^2 a_2^2\right\} z^2 + \cdots,$$

and

$$\frac{w\partial_q(\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(w))}{\mathcal{R}_q^{\mu}f(w)} = 1 - q\left[\mu + 1\right]_q a_2 w + \left\{-q\left[\mu + 1\right]_q \left[\mu + 2\right]_q a_3 + q\left[\mu + 1\right]_q \left(2\left[\mu + 2\right]_q - \left[\mu + 1\right]_q\right)a_2^2\right\}w^2 + \cdots$$

Now, comparing the corresponding coefficients in (20)and (21), we get

$$q \left[\mu + 1 \right]_{q} a_{2} = L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x) l_{1}, \tag{22}$$

$$q \left[\mu + 1\right]_{q} \left[\mu + 2\right]_{q} a_{3} - q \left[\mu + 1\right]_{q}^{2} a_{2}^{2} = L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x) l_{2} + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x) l_{1}^{2}, \tag{23}$$

$$-q [\mu + 1]_q a_2 = L_{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, 1}(x) r_1,$$
(24)

$$- q [\mu + 1]_{q} [\mu + 2]_{q} a_{3} + q [\mu + 1]_{q} \left(2 [\mu + 2]_{q} - [\mu + 1]_{q} \right) a_{2}^{2}$$

$$= L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)r_{2} + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)r_{1}^{2}.$$
 (25)

From (22)and (24)

$$l_1 = -r_1,$$
 (26)

$$2q^{2}\left[\mu+1\right]_{q}^{2}a_{2}^{2} = L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^{2}(x)\left(l_{1}^{2}+r_{1}^{2}\right).$$
(27)

Adding (23)and (25) we get

$$2q^{\mu+2} \left[\mu+1\right]_q a_2^2 = L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x) \left(l_2+r_2\right) + L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x) \left(l_1^2+r_1^2\right)$$
(28)

By using (27) in (28) we have

$$\left[2L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^{2}(x)q^{\mu+2}\left[\mu+1\right]_{q}-2L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},2}(x)q^{2}\left[\mu+1\right]_{q}^{2}\right]a_{2}^{2}=L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^{3}(x)\left(l_{2}+r_{2}\right)$$
(29)

which gives

$$|a_{2}| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)| \sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{\left|\left[q^{\mu+2} \left[\mu+1\right]_{q}-q^{2} \left[\mu+1\right]_{q}^{2}\right] \mathcal{P}^{2}(x)-q^{2} \left[\mu+1\right]_{q}^{2} \mathcal{Q}(x)\right|}}$$

Also, by subtructing (25) from (23), we get

$$\left(2q\left[\mu+1\right]_{q}\left[\mu+2\right]_{q}\right)\left(a_{3}-a_{2}^{2}\right)=L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)\left(l_{2}-r_{2}\right).$$
(30)

Then, by using (26) and (27) in (30), we have

$$a_{3} = \frac{L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}^{2}(x)\left(l_{1}^{2}+r_{1}^{2}\right)}{2q^{2}\left[\mu+1\right]_{q}^{2}} + \frac{L_{\mathcal{P},\mathcal{Q},1}(x)\left(l_{2}-r_{2}\right)}{2q\left[\mu+1\right]_{q}\left[\mu+2\right]_{q}}$$

and by the help of (9), we conclude that

$$|a_3| \le \frac{\mathcal{P}^2(x)}{q^2 \left[\mu + 1\right]_q^2} + \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{q \left[\mu + 1\right]_q \left[\mu + 2\right]_q}$$

Remark 1. Choosing $\mu = 0$ in Theorem 8, we obtain following corollary

Corollary 1. Let $f \in Q^{\Sigma}(q, 0; x) = Q^{\Sigma}(q; x)$. Then,

$$|a_2| \le \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|\sqrt{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}}{\sqrt{q^2 |-2\mathcal{Q}(x)|}} \tag{31}$$

and

$$|a_3| \le \frac{\mathcal{P}^2(x)}{q^2} + \frac{|\mathcal{P}(x)|}{q(1+q)}.$$
(32)

3 References

- A. Akgül, Identification of initial Taylor-Maclaurin coefficients for generalized subclasses of bi-univalent functions, Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis (SCMA), [1] University of Maragheh, 11(1)(2018), 133-143.
- [2] A. Akgül, The Fekete-Szego coefficient inequality for a new class of m-fold symmetri c bi -uni valent functi ons satisfying subordination condition, Honam Math. J., Korea Science, 70(4)(2018), 733-748.
- [3] A. Akgül, Coefficient estimates for certain subclass of bi-univalent functions obtained with polylogarithms, Mathemati cal Sciences and Applicati ons E-Notes, An International Electronic Journal, 6(1)(2018), 70-76.
- A. Akgül, Certain inequalities for a general class of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Sahand Communications in Mathematical Analysis (SCMA)14(1) (2019), 1-13.
- A. Akgül, Second-order differential subordinations on a class of analytic functions defined by the Rafid-Operator, Ukrainian Math. J., 70(5), October, 2018 (Ukrainian Original [5] Vol. 70, No. 5, May, 2018),673-686.
- A. Akgül, (P. Q)-Lucas polynomial coefficient inequalities of the bi-univalent function class, Turkish J. Math., (in press). [6]
- [7]
- A. Özkç, A. Porsuk, A note for the (p, q)-Fibonacci and Lucas quarternion polynomials Knuralp J. Math. 5 (2017), 36-46.
 Ş. Altinkaya, S. Yalçi n, On the (p, q)-Lucas polynomial coefficient bounds of the bi-univalent function class, Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana, (2018), 1-9. [8]
- [9] D. A. Brannan, T. S. Taha, On some classes of bi-univalent functions, Studia Univ. On some classes of bi-univalent functions, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., 31 (1986), 70-77. H. Aldweby, M. Darus, Some Subordination Results on q-Analogue of Ruscheweyh Differential Operator, Abstr. Appl. Anal., 2014(2014), 6 pages.
- [10] [11]
- P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften Springer, New York, USA 259 (1983).
 P. Filipponi, A.F. Horadam, Derivative sequences of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials In: Bergum, G.E., Philippou, A.N., Horadam, A.F. (eds.) Applications of Fibonacci Numbers, 4 pp. 99–108. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1991) [12]
- [13] P. Filipponi, A.F. Horadam, Second derivative sequences of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials Fibonacci Q. 31 (1993), 194-204.
- F. H. Jackson, On q-functions and a certain difference operator, Trans. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, 46 (1908), 253-281. [14]
- D. O. Jackson, T. Fukuda, O. Dunn, E. Majors, On q-definite integrals Quart. J. Pure Appl. Math., 41 (1910), 193-203. [15]
- G.Y.Lee, M. Aşcı, Some properties of the (p,q)-Fibonacci and (p, q)-Lucas polynomials J. Appl. Math. 2012 (2012), 1-18. [16]
- [17]
- [18]
- M. Lewin, On a coefficient problem for bi-univalent functions Proc. Am.Math. Soc. 18 (1967), 63-68.
 A. Lupas, A guide of Fibonacci and Lucas polynomials Octag. Math. Mag. 7(1)(1999), 2-12.
 W. C. Ma, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, Proceedings of the Conference on Complex Analysis (Tianjin, 1992), 1994 (1994), [19] 157-169.
- [20]
- C. Pommerenke, Univalent functions, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Gottingen,(1975). M. I. S. Robertson, On the theory of univalent functions, Ann. of Math., **37** (1936), 374-408. [21]
- R. M. Ali, S. K. Lee, V. Ravichandran, S. Supramaniam, Coefficient estimates for bi-univalent Ma-Minda starlike and convex functions, Appl. Math. Lett., 25 (2012), 344-351. [22]
- H. M. Srivastava, A. K. Mishra, P. Gochhayat, Certain subclasses of analytic and bi-univalent functions, Appl. Math.Lett., 23 (2010), 1188-1192. [23] R. Ma, W. Zhang, Several identities involving the Fibonacci numbers and Lucas numbers Fibonacci Q. 45 (2007), 164-170. [24]
- [25] P Vellucci, A.M. Bersani, The class of Lucas-Lehmer polynomials Rend. Mat. Appl. serie VII 37 (2016), 43-62.
- P. Vellucci, A.M. Bersani, Orthogonal polynomials and Riesz bases applied to the solution of Love's equation Math. Mech. Complex Syst. 4(2016), 55-66. [26]
- P. Vellucci, A.M. Bersani, Ordering of nested square roots of 2 according to the Gray code Ramanujan J. 45(2018), 197-210. [27]
- T. Wang, W. Zhang, Some identities involving Fibonacci, Lucas polynomials and their applications Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roum. 55 (2012), 95-103. S. Ruscheweyh, New criteria for univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., (49), (1975), 109-115. [28]
- [29]