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#### Abstract

In the present paper, we obtain coefficient estimates and distortion and growth theorems for certain subclass of close-to-convex functions. The results presented here contain those given in earlier works as in some special cases.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{A}$ denote the class of functions of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and univalent in the open unit disk $\mathbb{U}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ denote the usual subclasses of $\mathcal{A}$ whose members are univalent, close-to-convex and starlike in $\mathbb{U}$, respectively. By $\mathcal{S}^{*}(\alpha)$, we also denote the class of starlike functions of order $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha<1)$.

For two functions $f$ and $g$ analytic in $\mathbb{U}$, we say that the function $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$, and write as:

$$
f \prec g \quad \text { or } \quad f(z) \prec g(z) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}),
$$

if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$, analytic in $\mathbb{U}$ with

$$
w(0)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad|w(z)|<1
$$

such that

$$
f(z)=g(w(z)) \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$
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In particular, if the function $g$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, then $f(z)$ is subordinate to $g(z)$ in $\mathbb{U}$ (cf. [1]) if and only if

$$
f(0)=g(0) \quad \text { and } \quad f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U}) .
$$

Recently, Kowalczyk et al. [4] discussed a class $K_{s}(\gamma)$ of analytic functions related to the starlike functions: A function $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}$ is said to be in the class $K_{s}(\gamma)$ if it satisfies the inequality:

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{-z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z) g(-z)}\right)>\gamma \quad(0 \leq \gamma<1 ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

where $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}(1 / 2)$.
By simple calculations, we see that the above inequality is equivalent to

$$
\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z) g(-z)}+1\right|<\left|\frac{z^{2} f^{\prime}(z)}{g(z) g(-z)}-1+2 \gamma\right| \quad(0 \leq \gamma<1 ; z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Motivated by the class $K_{s}(\gamma)$, we introduce a new class $K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ of analytic functions related to starlike functions as follows:
1.1. Definition. Let $K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ denote the class of functions in $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying the inequality:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)}-1\right|<\beta\left|\frac{\alpha z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)}+1-(1+\alpha) \gamma\right|  \tag{1.2}\\
& (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 ; 0<\beta \leq 1 ; 0 \leq \gamma<1 ; \quad z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{align*}
$$

where $g_{k}(z)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{k}(z)=\prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1} \varepsilon^{-\nu} g\left(\varepsilon^{\nu z}\right) \quad\left(\varepsilon^{k}=1 ; g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right) ; k \geq 1\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that $K_{s}^{(2)}(0,1,1)=K_{s}$, where $K_{s}$ is the class of functions which was defined by Gao and Zhou [2]. Moreover, $K_{s}^{(2)}(\gamma, 1,1)=K_{s}(\gamma)$ and $K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, 1,1)=K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma)$ which were studied by Kowalczyk et al. [4] and Seker [6], respectively so the class $K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ are generalizations of $K_{s}(\gamma)$ and $K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma)$.

In the present paper, we investigate characterization theorems, coefficient inequalities, growth and distortion theorems for functions belonging to the class $K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$.

## 2. Coefficient Estimates

First of all, we show in which way our class is associated with the appropriate subordination.
2.1. Theorem. A function $f(z) \in K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if there exits $g_{k}(z)$ satisfying the condition (1.3) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)} \prec \frac{1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] z}{1-\alpha \beta z} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$. Then, for $\alpha \neq 1$ and $\beta \neq 1$, squaring and expanding both sides of (1.2), we see that the region of $G(z)=z^{k} f^{\prime}(z) / g_{k}(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ is contained in the disk $\mathbf{C}$ whose center is $\left\{1+\alpha \beta^{2}[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma]\right\} /\left(1-\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}\right)$ and radius is $\beta(1+$ $\alpha)(1-\gamma) /\left(1-\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}\right)$. Since $q(z)=\{1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] z\} /(1-\alpha \beta z)$ maps the unit disk $\mathbb{U}$ to the disk $\mathbf{C}$ and $q(z)$ is univalent in $\mathbb{U}$, we obtain the relation (2.1).

Conversely, assume that the relation (2.1) holds true. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)} \prec \frac{1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] w(z)}{1-\alpha \beta w(z)} \\
& (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 ; 0<\beta \leq 1 ; 0 \leq \gamma<1 ; z \in \mathbb{U})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U}, w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<1$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$. Therefore from the above equation, we obtain the inequality (1.2), that is, $f(z) \in K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$.
2.2. Remark. From Theorem 2.1, we see that, if $f(z) \in K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z) / z^{k-1}}\right)>\gamma \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

because of

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] z}{1-\alpha \beta z}\right)>\gamma \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

In order to give the coefficient estimate of functions belonging to the class $K_{s}^{k}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$, we shall require the following lemma.
2.3. Lemma. [7] Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*}\left(\frac{k-1}{k}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k}(z)=\frac{g_{k}(z)}{z^{k-1}}=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}^{*} \subset \mathcal{S} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{k}(z)$ is given by (1.3).
2.4. Remark. (i) In particular, for $k=2$, the coefficients $B_{n}$ in (2.4) is expressed as follows:

$$
B_{2 n-1}=2 b_{2 n-1}-2 b_{2} b_{2 n-2}+\ldots+(-1)^{n} 2 b_{n-1} b_{n+1}+(-1)^{n+1} b_{n}^{2}
$$

(ii) If $g(z) \in \mathcal{S}^{*}((k-1) / k)$, then from Lemma 2.3., $G_{k}(z)$ given by (2.4) belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{*}$. Then by (2.2), we see that the class $K_{s}^{k}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$ is a subclass of the class $\mathcal{K}$ of close-to-convex functions.

Next, we prove the sufficient condition for functions to belong to the class $K_{s}^{k}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$.
2.5. Theorem. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ be analytic in $\mathbb{U}$. If

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\alpha \beta) n\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[1+\beta|1-(1+\alpha) \gamma|]\left|B_{n}\right| \leq \beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)  \tag{2.5}\\
& (0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 ; 0<\beta \leq 1 ; 0 \leq \gamma<1)
\end{align*}
$$

where the coefficients $B_{n}(n=2,3, \cdots)$ are given by (2.4), then $f(z) \in K_{s}^{k}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$.
Proof. Let the functions $f(z)$ and $g_{k}(z)$ be given by (1.1) and (1.3), respectively. Now, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & =\left|z f^{\prime}(z)-\frac{g_{k}(z)}{z^{k-1}}\right|-\beta\left|\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)+\frac{[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] g_{k}(z)}{z^{k-1}}\right| \\
& =\left|\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n}-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n}\right|-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\beta\left|(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma) z+\alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n}+[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n}\right| .
$$

Thus, for $|z|=r(0 \leq r<1)$, we have, from (2.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta & \leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right||z|^{n}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|B_{n}\right||z|^{n} \\
& -\beta\left((1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)|z|-\alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n\left|a_{n}\right||z|^{n}-|1-(1+\alpha) \gamma| \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left|B_{n}\right||z|^{n}\right) \\
& =-\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)|z|+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\alpha \beta) n\left|a_{n}\right||z|^{n}+ \\
& \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[1+\beta|1-(1+\alpha) \gamma|]\left|B_{n}\right||z|^{n} \\
& <\left(-\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(1+\alpha \beta) n\left|a_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}[1+\beta|1-(1+\alpha) \gamma|]\left|B_{n}\right|\right) \\
& \leq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\left|\frac{z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)}-1\right|<\beta\left|\frac{\alpha z^{k} f^{\prime}(z)}{g_{k}(z)}+1-(1+\alpha) \gamma\right|,
$$

that is, $f(z) \in K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.5.
In the following theorem, we give the coefficient estimates of functions belonging to the class $K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$.
2.6. Theorem. Let $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}, g(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n} \in \mathcal{S}$, and satisfy the inequality (2.1). Then, for, $n \geq 2$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|n a_{n}-B_{n}\right|^{2}-[\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)]^{2} \\
& \leq(1+\beta|(1+\alpha) \gamma-1|) \sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left\{2 k\left|a_{k} B_{k}\right|+[1+\beta|(1+\alpha) \gamma-1|]\left|B_{k}\right|^{2}\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{n}$ is given by (2.4).
Proof. Suppose that the condition (1.2) is satisfied. Then, by using the a similar method as in the proof of (p. 30, [5]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{G_{k}(z)}=\frac{1+[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] z \phi(z)}{1+\alpha z \phi(z)} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U}) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is analytic in $\mathbb{U},|\phi(z)| \leq \beta$ for $z \in \mathbb{U}$ and $G_{k}(z)$ is given by (2.4). Then from (2.7), we have

$$
\left(\alpha z f^{\prime}(z)-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] G_{k}(z)\right) z \phi(z)=G_{k}(z)-z f^{\prime}(z)
$$

Thus, putting

$$
z \phi(z)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n} z^{n}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left((1+\alpha)(1-\gamma) z+\alpha \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n}-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n}\right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} t_{n} z^{n}  \tag{2.8}\\
& =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} B_{n} z^{n}-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_{n} z^{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Equating the coefficient of $z^{n}$ in (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n}-n a_{n}= & (1+\alpha)(1-\gamma) t_{n-1}+\left\{2 \alpha a_{2}-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] B_{2}\right\} t_{n-2} \\
& +\ldots+\left\{(n-1) \alpha a_{n-1}-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] B_{n-1}\right\} t_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus the coefficient combination on the right side of (2.8) depends only upon the coefficient combinations:

$$
\left\{2 \alpha a_{2}-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] B_{2}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{(n-1) \alpha a_{n-1}-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] B_{n-1}\right\}
$$

Hence for $n \geq 2$, the equation (2.8) can be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma) z+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left(k \alpha a_{k}-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] B_{k}\right) z^{k}\right] z \phi(z)}  \tag{2.9}\\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left(B_{k}-k a_{k}\right) z^{k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} c_{k} z^{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, squaring the modulus of the both sides of (2.9) and integrating along $|z|=r<1$, so that by Parseval's identity (p. 192, [1]), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{k=2}^{n}\left|k a_{k}-B_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}  \tag{2.10}\\
& \leq \beta^{2}\left([(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)]^{2} r^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left|k \alpha a_{k}-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] B_{k}\right|^{2} r^{2 k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Letting $r \rightarrow 1$ on the left side of (2.10), we obtain

$$
\sum_{k=2}^{n}\left|k a_{k}-B_{k}\right|^{2} \leq \beta^{2}\left([(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)]^{2}+\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left|k \alpha a_{k}-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] B_{k}\right|^{2}\right)
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|n a_{n}-B_{n}\right|^{2}<[\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)]^{2}+\beta^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left|k \alpha a_{k}-[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1] B_{k}\right|^{2}- \\
& \quad-\sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left|k a_{k}-B_{k}\right|^{2}= \\
& =[\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)]^{2}+\left(\beta^{2} \alpha^{2}-1\right) \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} k^{2}\left|a_{k}\right|^{2}+ \\
& +\left\{(\beta[(1+\alpha) \gamma-1])^{2}-1\right\} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left|B_{k}\right|^{2}+\left(\alpha \beta^{2}|(1+\alpha) \gamma-1|+1\right) \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} 2 k\left|a_{k}\right|\left|B_{k}\right| \leq
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leq[\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)]^{2}+(\beta|(1+\alpha) \gamma-1|+1)^{2} \sum_{k=2}^{n-1}\left|B_{k}\right|^{2}+ \\
& +(\beta|(1+\alpha) \gamma-1|+1) \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} 2 k\left|a_{k}\right|\left|B_{k}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies the inequality (2.6). Therefore, we complete the proof of Theorem 2.6.
Finally, we provide the growth and the distortion theorems for functions belonging to the class $K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$.
2.7. Theorem. If $f(z) \in K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] r}{(1+\alpha \beta r)\left(1+r^{2}\right)} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] r}{(1-\alpha \beta r)\left(1-r^{2}\right)} \quad(|z|=r<1) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)}{(1-\alpha \beta)^{2}} \ln \frac{1+\alpha \beta r}{1+r}+\frac{(1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma]) r}{(1-\alpha \beta)(1+r)} \leq|f(z)|  \tag{2.12}\\
& \leq \frac{\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)}{(1-\alpha \beta)^{2}} \ln (1-\alpha \beta r)(1-r)-\frac{(1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma]) r}{(1-\alpha \beta)(1-r)} \quad(|z|=r<1)
\end{align*}
$$

The results are sharp.
Proof. If $f(z) \in K_{s}^{(k)}(\gamma, \alpha, \beta)$, then there exists function $g_{k}(z)$ satisfying (1.2). Then it follows from the Lemma 2.3. that the function $G_{k}(z)$ given by (2.4) is a starlike function. Hence from (p. 70, [1]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{r}{1+r^{2}} \leq\left|G_{k}(z)\right| \leq \frac{r}{1-r^{2}} \quad(|z|=r<1) . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define $p(z)$ by

$$
p(z)=\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{G_{k}(z)} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

Then by using a similar method as in (p. 105, [3]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1-\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] r}{1+\alpha \beta r} \leq|p(z)| \leq \frac{1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] r}{1-\alpha \beta r} \quad(|z|=r<1) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus from (2.13) and (2.14), we have

$$
\frac{1-\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] r}{(1+\alpha \beta r)\left(1+r^{2}\right)} \leq\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right| \leq \frac{1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma] r}{(1-\alpha \beta r)\left(1-r^{2}\right)} \quad(|z|=r<1),
$$

which gives us (2.11). Upon integrating (2.11) from 0 to $r$, we have the inequality (2.12). Moreover, the results are sharp for the functions given, respectively, by

$$
f_{1}(z)=\frac{\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)}{(1-\alpha \beta)^{2}} \ln \frac{1+\alpha \beta z}{1+z}+\frac{(1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma]) z}{(1-\alpha \beta)(1+z)} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$

and

$$
f_{2}(z)=\frac{\beta(1+\alpha)(1-\gamma)}{(1-\alpha \beta)^{2}} \ln (1-\alpha \beta z)(1-z)-\frac{(1+\beta[1-(1+\alpha) \gamma]) z}{(1-\alpha \beta)(1-z)} \quad(z \in \mathbb{U})
$$
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