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#### Abstract

For a (molecular) graph, the first Zagreb index $M_{1}$ is equal to the sum of the squares of the degrees of the vertices, and the second Zagreb index $M_{2}$ is equal to the sum of the products of the degrees of pairs of adjacent vertices. It is well-known that for connected or disconnected graphs, $$
M_{2} / m \geq M_{1} / n
$$ does not hold always. In K. C. Das (On comparing Zagreb indices of graphs, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 63, 433-440, 2010), it has been shown that the above relation holds for a special kind of graph. Here we continue our search for special kinds of graph for which the above relation holds.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $G=(V, E)$ be a simple graph with the vertex set $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $E(G),|E(G)|=m$. Also let $\bar{G}$ be a complement graph of $G$. For $v_{i} \in V(G), d_{i}$ is the degree of the vertex $v_{i}$ of $G, i=1,2, \ldots, n$. The minimum vertex degree is denoted by $\delta(G)$ and the maximum by $\Delta(G)$. The average of the degrees of the vertices adjacent to vertex $v_{i}$ is denoted by $\mu_{i}$.

[^0]The first Zagreb index $M_{1}(G)$ and the second Zagreb index $M_{2}(G)$ of graph $G$ (see $[3,8,9,11,12,13,18,19,20,21,24,30,31]$ and the references therein) are among the oldest and the most famous topological indices and they are defined as:

$$
M_{1}(G)=\sum_{v_{i} \in V(G)} d_{i}^{2}
$$

and

$$
M_{2}(G)=\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E(G)} d_{i} d_{j} .
$$

The AutoGraphiX system ([1], [4]-[5]) proposed the following conjecture:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}(G) / m \geq M_{1}(G) / n \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hansen and Vukičević [14] proved that (1.1) is true for all chemical graphs and does not hold for general graphs. Vukičević and Graovac [27] proved that (1.1) holds for all trees, and gave a counter example for bicyclic graphs. Sun and Chen [22] showed that (1.1) holds for graphs with a small difference between the maximum and minimum vertex degrees. Also (1.1) holds for all unicyclic graphs [29] and for all bicyclic graphs, except one class [23], and generalizations of this claim to the variable Zagreb indices were analyzed in $[15,25,26,28,29]$. In [16], it has been shown that for every positive integer $k$, there exists a connected graph such that $m-n=k$ and (1.1) does not hold. Some recent results on the Zagreb indices are reported in [6], [10], [32]-[35], where also references to the previous mathematical research in this area can be found. These indices reflect the extent of branching of the molecular carbon-atom skeleton, and can thus be viewed as molecular structure-descriptors [2, 24]. The connected and disconnected counter examples of the relation (1.1) are given in [14, 22].

In [7], it has been shown that (1.1) holds for a special kind of graph. Here we continue our search for those special kinds of graph which satisfy (1.1).

## 2. Conjecture on comparing Zagreb indices of graphs

In this section we present some results related to the conjecture (1.1) of graphs.
The cartesian product $G \times H$ of graphs $G$ and $H$ has the vertex set $V(G \times H)=$ $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(a, x)(b, y)$ is an edge of $G \times H$ if $a=b$ and $x y \in E(H)$, or $a b \in E(G)$ and $x=y$. If $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}$ are graphs then we denote $G_{1} \otimes \cdots \otimes G_{n}$ by $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$. In the case where $G_{1}=G_{2}=\cdots=G_{n}=G$, we denote $\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}$ by $G^{n}$.
2.1. Lemma. [17] Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}$ be graphs with $V_{i}=V\left(G_{i}\right)$ and $E_{i}=E\left(G_{i}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, and $V=V\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
M_{1}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}\right)=|V| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{M_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)}{\left|V_{i}\right|}+4|V| \sum_{i \neq j, i, j=1}^{n} \frac{\left|E_{i}\right|\left|E_{j}\right|}{\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{j}\right|} .
$$

2.2. Lemma. [17] Let $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}$ be graphs with $V_{i}=V\left(G_{i}\right)$ and $E_{i}=E\left(G_{i}\right)$, $1 \leq i \leq n, V=V\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}\right)$ and $E=E\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{2}\left(\bigotimes_{i=1}^{n} G_{i}\right)=|V| \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{M_{2}\left(G_{i}\right)}{\left|V_{i}\right|}+\right. & \left.3 M_{1}\left(G_{i}\right)\left(\frac{|E|}{\left|V_{i}\right|}-\frac{|V|\left|E_{i}\right|}{\left|V_{i}\right|^{2}}\right)\right) \\
& +4|V| \sum_{i, j, k=1 ; i \neq j, i \neq k, j \neq k}^{n} \frac{\left|E_{i}\right|\left|E_{j}\right|\left|E_{k}\right|}{\left|V_{i}\right|\left|V_{j}\right|\left|V_{k}\right|} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2.3. Theorem. Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be two simple graphs with $n_{i}=\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right|$ and $m_{i}=$ $\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|, i=1,2$. If (1.1) holds for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, then it also holds for $G_{1} \times G_{2}$.

Proof. Let $n^{*}$ and $m^{*}$ be the number of vertices and edges, respectively, in $G_{1} \times G_{2}$. Then $n^{*}=n_{1} n_{2}$ and $m^{*}=n_{1} m_{2}+n_{2} m_{1}$. By Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)=n_{1} M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)+n_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)+4 m_{1} m_{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)=n_{1} M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)+n_{2} M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)+3 m_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)+3 m_{1} M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (1.1) holds for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, we have
(2.3) $\quad n_{1} M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq m_{1} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $n_{2} M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right) \geq m_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)$.

By (2.2), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n^{*} M_{2}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=n_{1}^{2} n_{2} M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)+n_{1} n_{2}^{2} M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)+3 n_{1} n_{2} m_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)+3 n_{1} n_{2} m_{1} M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) \\
& \geq \\
& \quad n_{1}^{2} m_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right)+n_{2}^{2} m_{1} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)+n_{1} n_{2} m_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)+n_{1} n_{2} m_{1} M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) \\
& \quad+8 n_{2} m_{1}^{2} m_{2}+8 n_{1} m_{1} m_{2}^{2} \quad \text { as } n_{1} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq 4 m_{1}^{2}, \\
& \\
& \quad n_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) \geq 4 m_{2}^{2} \text { and by }(2.3) \\
& \\
& \quad=\left(n_{1} m_{2}+n_{2} m_{1}\right) M_{1}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right)+4 n_{2} m_{1}^{2} m_{2}+4 n_{1} m_{1} m_{2}^{2} \text { by }(2.1) \\
& \\
& \quad m^{*} M_{2}\left(G_{1} \times G_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the theorem.
2.4. Theorem. Let $G$ be a simple graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then (1.1) holds for $G \times G$.

Proof. From (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}(G \times G)=2 n M_{1}(G)+4 m^{2} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and
(2.5) $\quad M_{2}(G \times G)=2 n M_{2}(G)+6 m M_{1}(G)$.

By (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
n^{2} M_{2}(G \times G) & =2 n^{3} M_{2}(G)+6 n^{2} m M_{1}(G) \\
& >6 n^{2} m M_{1}(G) \\
& \geq 4 n^{2} m M_{1}(G)+8 n m^{3} \quad \text { as } n M_{1}(G) \geq 4 m^{2} \\
& =2 n m M_{1}(G \times G) \quad \text { by }(2.4) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the theorem.
Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$. Let $\bar{V}$ be a copy of $V, \bar{V}=$ $\{\bar{x}: x \in V\}$. Then we denote by $G^{\prime}$ the graph with vertex set $V \cup \bar{V}$ and edge set $E^{\prime}=E \cup\{x \bar{y}: x y \notin E\}$.
2.5. Theorem. Let $G$ be a simple graph of $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. Then $G^{\prime}$ must satisfy (1.1).

Proof. Let $n^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ be the number of vertices and edges in $G^{\prime}$. Then $n^{\prime}=2 n$ and $m^{\prime}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(n-1-d_{i}\right)+m=n(n-1)-m$.

Let $d_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2, \ldots, 2 n$ be the degree sequence in $G^{\prime}$. Then $d_{i}^{\prime}=n-1, i=1,2, \ldots, n$, and $d_{i}^{\prime}=n-d_{i}-1, i=n+1, n+2, \ldots, 2 n$. Now,

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E^{\prime}} d_{i}^{\prime} d_{j}^{\prime} \\
& =\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E^{\prime}, v_{i}, v_{j} \in V}(n-1)^{2}+\sum_{v_{i} \bar{v}_{j} \in E^{\prime}, v_{i} \in V, v_{j} \in \bar{V}}(n-1)\left(n-d_{j}-1\right) \\
& =(n(n-1)-m)(n-1)^{2}-(n-1) \sum_{v_{i} \bar{v}_{j} \in E^{\prime}} d_{j} \\
& =(n(n-1)-m)(n-1)^{2}-(n-1) \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(n-1-d_{j}\right) d_{j} \\
& =n(n-1)^{3}-3 m(n-1)^{2}+(n-1) M_{1}(G) \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) & =\sum_{i=1}^{2 n}{d_{i}^{\prime}}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(n-1)^{2}+\sum_{i=n+1}^{2 n}\left(n-d_{i}-1\right)^{2}  \tag{2.7}\\
& =2 n(n-1)^{2}-4 m(n-1)+M_{1}(G) .
\end{align*}
$$

We have to show that

$$
\frac{M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)}{m^{\prime}} \geq \frac{M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)}{n^{\prime}}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 n^{2}(n-1)^{3}-6 m n(n-1)^{2}+2 n(n-1) M_{1}(G) \\
& \geq\left(2 n(n-1)^{2}-4 m(n-1)+M_{1}(G)\right)(n(n-1)-m) \quad \text { by }(2.6) \text { and }(2.7),
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,

$$
n(n-1) M_{1}(G)+m M_{1}(G)-4 m^{2} n+4 m^{2} \geq 0
$$

that is,

$$
m M_{1}(G) \geq 0 \quad \text { as } n M_{1}(G) \geq 4 m^{2}
$$

which, evidently, is always obeyed. Hence the theorem.
Let $G_{1}=\left(V_{1}, E_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}=\left(V_{2}, E_{2}\right)$ be two simple graphs with $n_{i}=\left|V\left(G_{i}\right)\right|$ and $m_{i}=\left|E\left(G_{i}\right)\right|, i=1,2$. Then the tensor product $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ of graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ is a graph such that the vertex set of $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ is the Cartesian product $V\left(G_{1}\right) \times V\left(G_{2}\right)$, and any two vertices $\left(v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left(v_{p}^{\prime}, v_{q}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are adjacent in $G_{1} \square G_{2}$ if and only if $v_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ is adjacent with $v_{q}^{\prime \prime}$ and $v_{i}^{\prime}$ is adjacent with $v_{p}^{\prime}$. Then we have $\left|V\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)\right|=n_{1} n_{2}$.

Denote by $d_{i, j}, 1 \leq i \leq n_{1}, 1 \leq j \leq n_{2}$, the degree of the vertex $\left(v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ of $G_{1} \square G_{2}$. Then $d_{i, j}=d_{i}^{\prime} \cdot d_{j}^{\prime \prime}$, where $d_{i}^{\prime}$ is the degree of the vertex $v_{i}^{\prime}$ of $G_{1}$ and $d_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ is the degree of the vertex $v_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ of $G_{2}$. Then we have

$$
2\left|E\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)\right|=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_{1}, 1 \leq j \leq n_{2}} d_{i, j}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} d_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} d_{j}^{\prime \prime}=4 m_{1} m_{2}
$$

that is,

$$
\left|E\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)\right|=2 m_{1} m_{2} .
$$

2.6. Theorem. If (1.1) holds for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, then it also holds for $G_{1} \square G_{2}$.

Proof. Let $\hat{n}$ and $\hat{m}$ be the number of vertices and number of edges in $G_{1} \square G_{2}$. Then $\hat{n}=n_{1} n_{2}$ and $\hat{m}=2 m_{1} m_{2}$. Denote by $\mu_{i}^{\prime}$ the average degree of the adjacent vertices of vertex $v_{i}^{\prime}$ in $G_{1}, i=1,2, \ldots, n_{1}$ and also denote by $\mu_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ the average degree of the adjacent vertices of vertex $v_{j}^{\prime \prime}$ in $G_{2}, j=1,2, \ldots, n_{2}$. Now,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{i}^{\prime} \mu_{j}^{\prime \prime} & =\frac{\sum_{v_{k}^{\prime} \sim v_{i}^{\prime}} d_{k}^{\prime}}{d_{i}^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{v_{r}^{\prime \prime} \sim v_{j}^{\prime \prime}} d_{r}^{\prime \prime}}{d_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \\
& =\frac{\sum_{v_{k}^{\prime} \sim v_{i}^{\prime}} \sum_{v_{r}^{\prime \prime} \sim v_{j}^{\prime \prime}} d_{k}^{\prime} d_{r}^{\prime \prime}}{d_{i}^{\prime} d_{j}^{\prime \prime}} \\
& =\mu_{i, j},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mu_{i, j}$ is the average degree of the adjacent vertices of vertex $\left(v_{i}^{\prime}, v_{j}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ in $G_{1} \square G_{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{2}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right) & =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_{1}, 1 \leq j \leq n_{2}} d_{i, j}^{2} \mu_{i, j} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_{1}, 1 \leq j \leq n_{2}} d_{i}^{\prime 2} d_{j}^{\prime \prime 2} \mu_{i}^{\prime} \mu_{j}^{\prime \prime} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}}{d_{i}^{\prime}}^{2} \mu_{i}^{\prime} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} d_{j}^{\prime \prime 2} \mu_{j}^{\prime \prime} \\
& =2 M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right) M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right) \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)=\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n_{1}, 1 \leq j \leq n_{2}} d_{i, j}^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{1}} d_{i}^{\prime 2} \sum_{j=1}^{n_{2}} d_{j}^{\prime \prime 2}=M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (1.1) holds for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, we have
(2.10) $n_{1} M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right)-m_{1} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) \geq 0$ and $n_{2} M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)-m_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) \geq 0$,
that is,

$$
n_{1} n_{2} M_{2}\left(G_{1}\right) M_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)-m_{1} m_{2} M_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) M_{1}\left(G_{2}\right) \geq 0
$$

that is,

$$
\hat{n} M_{2}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right)-\hat{m} M_{1}\left(G_{1} \square G_{2}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

Hence the theorem.
2.7. Remark. If (1.1) does not hold for $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, then it does not hold for $G_{1} \square G_{2}$.

A threshold graph is a graph that can be constructed from a one-vertex graph by repeated applications of the following two operations:

- Addition of a single isolated vertex to the graph.
- Addition of a single dominating vertex to the graph, i.e., a single vertex that is connected to all other vertices.
For example, the graph of Figure 1 is a threshold graph. It can be constructed by beginning with a single-vertex graph (vertex), and then adding vertices $(\{\bullet\})$ as isolated vertices and vertices ( $\{0\}$ ) as dominating vertices, in the order in which they are numbered.

Figure 1. An example of a threshold graph.

2.8. Theorem. If $G$ is a threshold graph, then (1.1) holds for $G$.

Proof. Let $G$ be a threshold graph with $n$ vertices and $m$ edges. We apply induction on $n$. If $n=1$, the result is trivial. Suppose now that (1.1) holds for all threshold graphs with vertex number less than $n$. By the definition of threshold graph, there is an isolated vertex or a dominating vertex in $G$, say $v_{n}$. Set $G^{\prime}=G-v_{n}$. First we assume that $v_{n}$ is the isolated vertex in $G$. Then by the induction hypothesis, (1.1) holds for $G^{\prime}$. Hence it is easy to see that (1.1) holds for $G$ also.

Next we assume that $v_{n}$ is the dominating vertex in $G$. Let $n^{\prime}$ and $m^{\prime}$ be the number of vertices and edges in $G^{\prime}$. Then $n^{\prime}=n-1$ and $m^{\prime}=m-n+1$.

Let $d_{i}^{\prime}, i=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ be the degree sequence in $G^{\prime}$. Then $d_{i}=d_{i}^{\prime}+1, i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Now, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}(G)=M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+n^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)+2 \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} d_{i}^{\prime}=M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+n^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)+4 m^{\prime} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{2}(G) & =\sum_{v_{i} v_{j} \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}+1\right)\left(d_{j}^{\prime}+1\right)+n^{\prime} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(d_{i}^{\prime}+1\right)  \tag{2.12}\\
& =M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+m^{\prime}+n^{\prime}\left(2 m^{\prime}+n^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

We have to show that

$$
\frac{M_{2}(G)}{m} \geq \frac{M_{1}(G)}{n}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(n^{\prime}+1\right)\left(M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+m^{\prime}+n^{\prime}\left(2 m^{\prime}+n^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& \quad \geq\left(m^{\prime}+n^{\prime}\right)\left(M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+n^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}+1\right)+4 m^{\prime}\right) \text { by }(2.11) \text { and }(2.12)
\end{aligned}
$$

that is,
(2.13) $n^{\prime} M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-m^{\prime} M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+m^{\prime} n^{\prime 2}+m^{\prime}-4 m^{\prime 2}-2 m^{\prime} n^{\prime} \geq 0$.

By the induction hypothesis and using $n^{\prime} M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq 4 m^{\prime 2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(\frac{m^{\prime}}{n^{\prime}}+1\right) M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geq\left(\frac{m^{\prime}}{n^{\prime}}+1\right) \frac{4 m^{\prime 2}}{n^{\prime}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again by the induction hypothesis and using (2.14), we get

$$
\begin{gather*}
n^{\prime} M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)-m^{\prime} M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+M_{2}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+M_{1}\left(G^{\prime}\right)+m^{\prime} n^{\prime 2}+m^{\prime}-4 m^{\prime 2}-2 m^{\prime} n^{\prime} \\
\geq \frac{4 m^{\prime 2}\left(m^{\prime}+n^{\prime}\right)}{n^{\prime 2}}+m^{\prime} n^{\prime 2}+m^{\prime}-4 m^{\prime 2}-2 m^{\prime} n^{\prime} \\
=m^{\prime}\left(\frac{n^{\prime}\left(n^{\prime}-1\right)-2 m^{\prime}}{n^{\prime}}\right)^{2} \geq 0 \tag{2.15}
\end{gather*}
$$

Hence the theorem.
A complete split graph $C S(n, q), q \leq n$, is a graph on $n$ vertices consisting of a clique on $q$ vertices and a stable set on the remaining $n-q$ vertices in which each vertex of the clique is adjacent to each vertex of the stable set.

A pineapple $P A(n, q), q \leq n$, is a graph on $n$ vertices consisting of a clique on $q$ vertices and a stable set on the remaining $n-q$ vertices in which each vertex of the stable set is adjacent to the same, unique vertex of the clique.

Since both the complete split graph $C S(n, q)(q \leq n)$ and pineapple graph $P A(n, q)$ ( $q \leq n$ ) are threshold graphs, we get the following result.
2.9. Corollary. Let $G$ be a split graph $C S(n, q)(q \leq n)$ or a pineapple graph $P A(n, q)$ ( $q \leq n$ ) of $n$ vertices. Then $G$ must satisfy (1.1).
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