$\bigwedge^{}_{}$  Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics Volume 35 (2) (2006), 155 – 159

# INFINITESIMAL AFFINE TRANSFORMATIONS IN THE TANGENT BUNDLE OF A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD WITH RESPECT TO THE HORIZONTAL LIFT OF AN AFFINE CONNECTION

A. Gezer<sup>\*†</sup> and K. Akbulut<sup>\*</sup>

Received 28:02:2006 : Accepted 04:12:2006

#### Abstract

The main purpose of the present paper is to study properties of vertical infinitesimal affine transformation in the tangent bundle of a Riemannian manifold with respect to the horizontal lift of an affine connection, and to apply the results obtained to the study of fibre-preserving infinitesimal affine transformations in this setting.

**Keywords:** Lift, Tangent bundle, Infinitesimal affine transformation, Fibre-preserving transformation.

2000 AMS Classification: 53 B 05, 53 C 07, 53 A 45

## 1. Introduction

Let  $M_n$  be a Riemannian manifold with metric g whose components in a coordinate neighborhood U are  $g_{ji}$ , and denote by  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$  the Christoffel symbols formed with  $g_{ji}$ . If, in the neighborhood  $\pi^{-1}(U)$  of the tangent bundle  $T(M_n)$  over  $M_n$ , U being a neighborhood of  $M_n$ , then  ${}^Hg$  has components given by

$${}^{H}g = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma_{j}^{t}g_{ti} + \Gamma_{i}^{t}g_{jt} & g_{ji} \\ g_{ji} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the induced coordinates  $(x^i, y^i)$  in  $T(M_n)$ , where  $\Gamma_i^h = y^j \Gamma_{ji}^h$ ,  $\Gamma_{ji}^h$  being the components of the affine connection in  $M_n$ .

Let g be a pseudo-Riemannian metric. Then the horizontal lift  ${}^{H}g$  of g with respect to  $\nabla$  is a pseudo-Riemannian metric in  $T(M_n)$ . Since  ${}^{H}g$  is defined by  ${}^{H}g = {}^{C}g - \gamma(\nabla g)$ ,

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Art and Sciences, Atatürk University, 25240, Erzurum, Turkey. E-mail: (A. Gezer) agezer@atauni.edu.tr (K. Akbulut) kakbulut@atauni.edu.tr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>This paper is supported by TUBITAK grant number 105T551/TBAG-HD-112

where  $\gamma(\nabla g)$  is a tensor field of type (0,2), which has components of the form  $\gamma(\nabla g) = \begin{pmatrix} y^s \nabla_s g & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , we have that  ${}^Hg$  and  ${}^Cg$  coincide if and only if  $\nabla g = 0$  [1, p.105].

If we write  $ds^2 = g_{ji}dx^j dx^i$  the pseudo-Riemannian metric in  $M_n$  given by g, then the pseudo-Riemannian metric in  $T(M_n)$  given by the  ${}^Hg$  of g to  $T(M_n)$  with respect to an affine connection  $\nabla$  in  $M_n$  is

(1) 
$$ds^2 = 2g_{ji}\delta y^j dx^i,$$

where  $\tilde{\delta}y^j = dy^j + \tilde{\Gamma}^j_{lk}y^l dx^k$  and  $\tilde{\Gamma}^h_{ji} = \Gamma^h_{ij}$  are components of the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  defined by  $\tilde{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_Y X + [X, Y], \ \forall X, Y \in T^0_0(M_n), [1, p.67].$ 

We shall now define the horizontal lift  ${}^{H}\nabla$  of the affine connection  $\nabla$  in  $M_n$  to  $T(M_n)$  by the conditions

(2) 
$${}^{H}\nabla_{V_{X}}{}^{V}Y = 0, \qquad {}^{H}\nabla_{V_{X}}{}^{H}Y = 0, \\ {}^{H}\nabla_{H_{X}}{}^{V}Y = (\nabla_{X}Y)^{V}, \qquad {}^{H}\nabla_{H_{X}}{}^{H}Y = (\nabla_{X}Y)^{H}$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ . From (2), the horizontal lift  ${}^H \nabla$  of  $\nabla$  has components  ${}^H \Gamma_{JI}^K$  such that

(3) 
$${}^{H}\Gamma^{k}_{ij} = \Gamma^{k}_{ij}, {}^{H}\Gamma^{k}_{i\bar{j}} = {}^{H}\Gamma^{k}_{\bar{i}j} = {}^{H}\Gamma^{k}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = {}^{H}\Gamma^{\bar{k}}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = {}^{H}\Gamma^{\bar{k}}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = {}^{0},$$

with respect to the induced coordinates in  $T(M_n)$ , where  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  are the components of  $\nabla$  in  $M_n$ .

Let g and  $\nabla$  be, respectively, a pseudo-Riemannian metric and an affine connection such that  $\nabla g = 0$ . Then  ${}^{H}\nabla^{H}g = 0$ , where  ${}^{H}g$  is a pseudo-Riemannian metric. The connection  ${}^{H}\nabla$  has nontrivial torsion even for the Riemannian connection  $\nabla$  determined by g, unless g is locally flat [1, p.111].

Let there be given an affine connection  $\nabla$  and a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ . Then the Lie derivative  $L_X \nabla$  with respect to X is, by definition, an element of  $\mathfrak{S}_2^1(M_n)$  such that

(4) 
$$(L_X \nabla)(Y, Z) = L_X (\nabla_Y Z) - \nabla_Y (L_X Z) - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z$$

for any  $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ .

In a manifold  $M_n$  with affine connection  $\nabla$ , an infinitesimal affine transformation  $x^{h'} = x^h + X^h(x^1, \ldots, x^n) \Delta t$  defined by a vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{S}^1_0(M_n)$  is called an *infinitesimal affine transformation* if  $L_X \nabla = 0$ , [1, p.67].

The main purpose of the present paper is to study the infinitesimal affine transformation in  $T(M_n)$  with affine connection  ${}^{H}\nabla$ .

# 2. Vertical infinitesimal affine transformations in a tangent bundle with ${}^{H}\nabla$

From (4) we see that, in terms of the components  $\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta}$  of  $\nabla$ , X is an infinitesimal affine transformation in the *m*-dimensional manifold  $M_n$  if and only if,

(5)  $\partial_{\gamma}\partial_{\beta}X^{\alpha} + X^{\lambda}\partial_{\lambda}\Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma\beta} - \Gamma^{\lambda}_{\gamma\beta}\partial_{\lambda}X^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\lambda\beta}\partial_{\gamma}X^{\lambda} + \Gamma^{\alpha}_{\gamma\lambda}\partial_{\beta}X^{\lambda} = 0, \ \alpha, \beta, \ldots = 1, \ldots, m.$ 

Let there be given in  $M_n$  with metric g an affine connection  $\nabla$  with Christoffel symbols  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$ . Let  $\tilde{X} = \tilde{X}^i \partial_i + \tilde{X}^{\bar{\imath}} \partial_{\bar{\imath}}$ , where  $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ ,  $\partial_{\bar{\imath}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ ,  $\bar{\imath} = n + 1, \dots, 2n$  be a vector field in  $T(M_n)$ . Then, taking account of (3), we can easily see from (5) that  $\tilde{X}$ 

156

is an infinitesimal affine transformations in  $T(M_n)$  with  ${}^{H}\nabla$  if and only if the following conditions (6)-(13) hold:

(6) 
$$\partial_{j}\partial_{i}\tilde{X}^{h} + \tilde{X}^{k}\partial_{k}\Gamma^{h}_{ji} - (\Gamma^{k}_{ji}\partial_{k}\tilde{X}^{h} + \partial\Gamma^{k}_{ji}\partial_{\bar{k}}\tilde{X}^{h}) + \Gamma^{h}_{ki}\partial_{j}\tilde{X}^{k} + \Gamma^{h}_{jk}\partial_{i}\tilde{X}^{k} + y^{s}R^{k}_{sji}\partial_{\bar{k}}\tilde{X}^{h} = 0,$$

(7) 
$$\partial_j \partial_{\bar{\imath}} \tilde{X}^h - \Gamma^k_{ji} \partial_{\bar{k}} \tilde{X}^h + \Gamma^h_{jk} \partial_{\bar{\imath}} \tilde{X}^k = 0,$$
  
(0)  $\partial_i \tilde{\chi}^h - \Gamma^k_{ji} \partial_{\bar{\imath}} \tilde{\chi}^h + \Gamma^h_{jk} \partial_{\bar{\imath}} \tilde{\chi}^k = 0,$ 

(8) 
$$\partial_{\bar{j}}\partial_i X^h - \Gamma^k_{ji}\partial_{\bar{k}}X^h + \Gamma^h_{ki}\partial_{\bar{j}}X^k = 0,$$

$$(9) \qquad \partial_{\bar{j}}\partial_{\bar{i}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} = 0, \\ \partial_{j}\partial_{i}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} + (\tilde{X}^{\bar{k}}\partial_{k}\partial\Gamma^{h}_{ji} + \tilde{X}^{\bar{k}}\partial_{k}\Gamma^{h}_{ji}) - (\Gamma^{\bar{k}}_{ji}\partial_{k}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} + \partial\Gamma^{\bar{k}}_{ji}\partial_{\bar{k}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}}) + (\partial\Gamma^{h}_{ki}\partial_{j}\tilde{X}^{\bar{k}} \\ (10) \qquad + \Gamma^{h}_{ki}\partial_{i}\tilde{X}^{\bar{k}}) + (\partial\Gamma^{h}_{ik}\partial_{i}\tilde{X}^{\bar{k}} + \Gamma^{h}_{jk}\partial_{i}\tilde{X}^{\bar{k}}) - \tilde{X}^{\bar{k}}R^{h}_{kii} - y^{s}\tilde{X}^{\bar{k}}\partial_{k}R^{h}_{sii}$$

(11) 
$$(11) + \tilde{X}^{k}\partial_{\bar{i}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} + \tilde{X}^{k}\partial_{k}\Gamma^{h}_{ji} - \Gamma^{k}_{ji}\partial_{\bar{k}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} + \Gamma^{h}_{ki}\partial_{j}\tilde{X}^{k} + (\partial\Gamma^{h}_{jk}\partial_{\bar{i}}\tilde{X}^{k} + \Gamma^{h}_{jk}\partial_{\bar{i}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{k}}) - u^{s}R^{h}_{sjk}\partial_{\bar{i}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{k}} = 0,$$
$$(11)$$

(12) 
$$\partial_{\bar{j}}\partial_{i}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} + \tilde{X}^{k}\partial_{k}\Gamma^{h}_{ji} - \Gamma^{k}_{ji}\partial_{\bar{k}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} + (\partial\Gamma^{h}_{ki}\partial_{\bar{j}}\tilde{X}^{k} + \Gamma^{h}_{ki}\partial_{\bar{j}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{k}}) + \Gamma^{h}_{jk}\partial_{i}\tilde{X}^{k} - y^{s}R^{h}_{ski}\partial_{\bar{j}}\tilde{X}^{k} = 0,$$

(13) 
$$\partial_{\bar{j}}\partial_{\bar{\imath}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} - \Gamma^{h}_{ki}\partial_{\bar{\jmath}}\tilde{X}^{k} + \Gamma^{h}_{jk}\partial_{\bar{\imath}}\tilde{X}^{k} = 0$$

Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a vertical infinitesimal affine transformation in  $T(M_n)$ . Then  $\tilde{X}$  has components  $\begin{pmatrix} 0\\ \tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} \end{pmatrix}$  with respect to the induced coordinates. Thus, from (13), we have  $\partial_{\bar{j}}\partial_{\bar{\iota}}\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} = 0$ , i.e.,

(14) 
$$\tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} = C^h_i y^i + D^h,$$

where  $C_i^h$  and  $D^h$  depend only on the variables  $x^h$ . Since  $\tilde{X}$  is a vector field in  $T(M_n)$ ,  $C = C_i^h \partial_h \otimes dx^i$  and  $D = D^h \partial_h$  are defined elements of  $\mathfrak{S}_1^1(M_n)$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ , respectively.

**2.1. Theorem.** If  $\tilde{X}$  is a vertical infinitesimal affine transformation of  $T(M_n)$  with  ${}^{H}\nabla$ , then

- (a)  $L_D \nabla + C(D \otimes R) = 0$ ,  $D = \partial^h \frac{\partial}{\partial x^h}$ ,  $D \in \mathfrak{S}^1_0(M_n)$  and  $C(D \otimes R) = D^k R^h_{kji}$ . (b) *C* is parallel with respect to  $\nabla$ , i.e.,  $\nabla C = 0$ .
- (c) C(T(Y,Z)) = T(CY,Z) = T(Y,CZ), for any  $Y,Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ , where T denotes the torsion tensor of  $\nabla$ , i.e. T is a pure tensor with respect to C.
- (d)  $C(\nabla_Z T)(Y, W) = (\nabla_{CZ} T)(Y, W)$ , for any  $Y, Z, W \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ .
- (e) Conversely, if C and D satisfy the conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) then the vector field

$$\tilde{X} = (C_i^h y^i + D^h) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^h} = \gamma C +^v D$$

is an infinitesimal affine transformation of  $T(M_n)$  with connection  ${}^{H}\nabla$ , where  $\gamma C$  is a vertical vector field which has components of the form  $\gamma C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ y^i C_i^h \end{pmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* (a). Substituting (14) and  $\tilde{X}^h = 0$  in (10), we have (15)  $\partial_j \partial_i C_s^h + C_s^k \partial_k \Gamma_{ji}^h - \Gamma_{ij}^k \partial_k C_s^h - \partial_s \Gamma_{ji}^k C_k^h + \Gamma_{ki}^h \partial_j C_s^k + \Gamma_{jk}^h \partial_i C_s^k - C_s^k R_{kji}^h + R_{sji}^k C_k^h = 0,$ and

(16) 
$$\partial_j \partial_i D^h + D^k \partial_k \Gamma^h_{ji} - \Gamma^k_{ji} \partial_k D^h + \Gamma^h_{ki} \partial_j D^k + \Gamma^h_{jk} \partial_i D^k - D^k R^h_{kji} = 0,$$

which means that  $L_D \nabla + \mathcal{C}(D \otimes R) = 0.$ 

(b). Substituting (14) and  $\tilde{X}^h = 0$  in (12), we obtain

(17)  $\partial_i C_j^h - \Gamma_{ji}^k C_k^h + \Gamma_{ki}^h C_j^k = 0.$ 

Substituting (14) and  $\tilde{X}^h = 0$  in (11), we obtain

(18)  $\partial_j C_i^h - \Gamma_{ji}^k C_k^h + \Gamma_{jk}^h C_i^k = 0,$ 

which means that C is parallel in  $M_n$ .

(c). Interchanging i and j in (18), we have

 $\partial_i C_j^h - \Gamma_{ij}^k C_k^h + \Gamma_{ik}^h C_j^k = 0,$ 

and subtracting the resulting equation from (17), we have

(19) 
$$T_{ji}^k C_k^h = T_{ki}^h C_j^k$$

that is,

(20) C(T(Y,Z)) = T(CY,Z)

for any  $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{F}_0^1(M_n)$ . From (19), we obtain T(Y, CZ) = -T(CZ, X) = C(T(Z, Y)) = C(T(Y, Z)) and hence

C(T(Y,Z)) = T(CY,Z) = T(Y,CZ),

which is the formula (c).

(d). Using (17) and (18), we eliminate all partial derivatives of  $C_j^h$  from (15). Then we obtain  $C_k^h \nabla_j T_{li}^k = \nabla_k T_{li}^h C_j^k$ , i.e. T is a  $\phi$ -tensor with respect to C [3].

(e). If we assume that the conditions (a), (b), (c) and (d) are established, then we see that  $\tilde{X}$ , given in (e), is an infinitesimal affine transformation. Consequently, the proof is complete.

**2.2. Theorem.** Let C be as in Theorem 2.1. If X is an infinitesimal affine transformation of  $M_n$  with affine connection  $\nabla$ , and  $R(X, Y, Z; \xi)$  is pure with respect to X and  $\xi$ , then so is CX.

# 3. Fibre-preserving infinitesimal affine transformation with ${}^{H}\nabla$

A transformation of  $T(M_n)$  is said to be *fibre-preserving* if it sends each fibre of  $T(M_n)$  into a fibre. An infinitesimal transformation of  $T(M_n)$  is said to be *fibre-preserving* if it generates a local 1-parameter group of fibre-preserving transformations. An infinitesimal transformation  $\tilde{X}$  with components  $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{X}^h \\ \tilde{X}\bar{h} \end{pmatrix}$  is fibre-preserving if and only if  $\tilde{X}^h$  (*i.e.* 1.0 and 0.1 are the state of the second seco

 $\tilde{X}^h$  (h = 1, 2, ..., n) depend only on the variables  $x^1, ..., x^n$  with respect to the induced coordinates  $(x^h, y^h)$  in  $T(M_n)$ . From

(21) 
$$\begin{cases} x^{h'} = x^h + \tilde{X}^h(x^1, \dots, x^n)\Delta t \\ x^{\bar{h}'} = x^{\bar{h}} + \tilde{X}^{\bar{h}}(x^1, \dots, x^n, x^{n+1}, \dots, x^{2n})\Delta t \end{cases}$$

we see that a fibre-preserving infinitesimal transformation  $\tilde{X}$  with components  $\begin{pmatrix} X^h \\ \tilde{X}^{\bar{h}} \end{pmatrix}$ induces an infinitesimal transformation X with components  $\tilde{X}^h$  in the base space  $M_n$ .

Since  $\partial \Gamma_{ii}^k \partial_{\bar{k}} \tilde{X}^h = 0$  and  $y^s R_{sii}^k \partial_{\bar{k}} \tilde{X}^h = 0$ , then from (6) we have:

158

**3.1. Theorem.** If  $\tilde{X}$  is a fibre-preserving infinitesimal transformation of  $T(M_n)$  with horizontal lift  ${}^{H}\nabla$  of a affine connection  $\nabla$  in  $M_n$  to  $T(M_n)$ , then the infinitesimal transformation X induced on  $M_n$  by  $\tilde{X}$  is also affine with respect to  $\nabla$ .

**3.2. Theorem.** Let  $\nabla$  be an affine connection in  $M_n$ . Then,

$$(L^H_C{}_X\nabla)(^CY,^CZ) = {}^C(L_X\nabla)(^CY,^CZ) + \gamma(L_XR)(,Y,Z)$$

for any  $X \in \mathfrak{S}^1_0(M_n)$ .

*Proof.* Our proposition follows from the following computations:

$$(L_{C_X}^H \nabla) ({}^C Y, {}^C Z) = L_{C_X} ({}^H \nabla_{C_Y}^C Z) - {}^H \nabla_{C_Y} (L_{C_X}^C Z) - {}^H \nabla_{[{}^C X, {}^C Y]}^C Z$$

$$= L_{C_X} [{}^C (\nabla_Y Z) - \gamma (R(, Y)Z)] - {}^H \nabla_{C_Y}^C [X, Z] - {}^H \nabla_{C}^C _{[X,Y]} Z$$

$$= [{}^C X, {}^C \nabla_X Y] - [{}^C X, \gamma (R(, Y)Z)] - {}^C (\nabla_Y [X, Z])$$

$$+ \gamma (R(, Y) [X, Z]) - {}^C (\nabla_{[X,Y]} Z) + \gamma R([X, Y]Z)]$$

$$= {}^C (L_X \nabla_X Y) - {}^C (\nabla_Y (L_X Z)) - {}^C (\nabla_{[X,Y]} Z)$$

$$- \gamma (L_X R(, Y)Z) + \gamma (R(, Y) [X, Z]) + \gamma (R(, [X, Y])Z)$$

$$= {}^C (L_X \nabla) ({}^C Y, {}^C Z) + \gamma (-L_X R(, Y)Z + R(, Y) [X, Z]$$

$$+ R(, [X, Y])Z)$$

$$= {}^C (L_X \nabla) ({}^C Y, {}^C Z) + \gamma (L_X R) (, Y, Z),$$

where R(, X)Y denotes a tensor field W of type (1,1) in  $M_n$  such that W(Z) = R(Z, X)Y for any  $Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(M_n)$ .

Let  $\tilde{X}$  and X be as in Theorem 3.1. From Theorem 3.2 we see that, if X is an infinitesimal automorphism with respect to W [3], then  ${}^{c}X$  is an infinitesimal affine transformation of  $T(M_n)$  with  ${}^{H}\nabla$ . Since  ${}^{c}X$  has the components  $\begin{pmatrix} X^h \\ \partial X^h \end{pmatrix}$ , it follows that  $\tilde{X} - {}^{c}X$  is a vertical infinitesimal affine transformation in  $T(M_n)$  with  ${}^{H}\nabla$ . Thus we have

**3.3. Theorem.** If  $\tilde{X}$  is a fibre-preserving infinitesimal affine transformation of  $T(M_n)$  with lift  ${}^{H}\nabla$ , and X is an infinitesimal automorphism with respect to W, then  $\tilde{X} = {}^{c}X + {}^{v}D + \gamma C$ , where D and C are tensor fields of type (1,0) and (1,1), respectively, satisfying conditions (a), (b) and (c) of Theorem 2.1

**Acknowledgement**. The authors are grateful to Professor A. A. Salimov for his valuable suggestions.

### References

- [1] Yano K. and Ishihara, S. Tangent and cotangent bundles (Marcel Dekker, New York, 1973).
- [2] Magden A. and Salimov, A.A. Horizontal lifts of tensor fields to sections of the tangent bundle. (in Russian) Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. 3, 77–80, 2001.
- [3] Kobayashi S. and Nomizu K. Foundations of Differential Geometry (Wiley-Inter Science Publications, New York, 1963).