DOI: 10.19113/sdufenbed.579361

Numerical Solutions of Conformable Fractional Differential Equations by Taylor and Finite Difference Methods

Suayip TOPRAKSEVEN^{*1}

¹Artvin Çoruh Üniversitesi, Mühendislik Fakültesi, Bilgisayar Mühendisliği Bölümü, 08100, Artvin, Turkiye (ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3901-9641)

(Alınış / Received: 18.06.2019, Kabul / Accepted: 20.11.2019, Online Yayınlanma / Published Online: 30.12.2019)

Keywords
Fractional differential
equations,
Fractional euler methods,
Fractional adams methods,
Riemman–liouville and caputo
derivative,
Conformal fractional
derivative,
Taylor methods,

Abstract: We drive efficient and reliable finite difference methods for fractional differential equations (FDEs) based on recently defined conformable fractional derivative. We first derive fractional Euler and fractional Taylor methods based on the fractional Taylor expansion. This fractional Taylor series are the generalized fractional Taylor series that are independent of initial point. We show that the proposed methods are more efficient and faster by applying these methods on first order FDEs and second order oscillatory FDEs. Our second approach is based on inverting FDEs to a weakly singular integral equation that is approximated by product integration rule. This new definition has no special functions and thus the proposed numerical methods will be more accurate and easier to implement than existing methods for FDEs. We prove the stability and convergence of the proposed methods. Numerical examples are given to support the theoretical results.

Conformable Kesirli Diferansiyel Denklemlerinin Taylor ve Sonlu Farklar Metodu ile Sayısal Çözümleri

Anahtar Kelimeler

Kesirli diferansiyel denklemler, Kesirli euler metodu, Kesirli adams metodu, Riemann-liouville ve caputo türevi, Conformable kesirli türev, Taylor metodu Özet: Bu çalışmada yeni tanımlanan conformable kesirli türevli denklemler için güvenilir ve etkili bir metot türettik. Kesirli Taylor açılımından ilk önce Euler ve Taylor metodunu geliştirdik. Bu Taylor açılımı başlangıç noktasından farklı bir noktada açılmış genelleştirilmiş Taylor serisiridir. Öngörülen metotlar daha etkili ve hızlı olduğunu birinci dereceden kesirli diferansiyel denklemlere ve ikinci dereceden salınımlı kesirli diferansiyel denklemlere uygulayarak gösterdik. İkinci metodumuz ise kesirli diferansiyel denklemi zayıf tekil integral denklemine dönüştürüp, çarpım intagrasyon kuralını uygulayarak çözmek olacaktır. Bu yeni tanımda özel tanımlı fonksiyonlar olmadığı için, metotlar daha doğru sonuç verecek ve bilgisayar programlaması daha kolay olacaktır. Bu öngörülen metotların kararlılık ve yakınsaklıkları ispatlanmış olup, teorik sonuçları destekleyen sayisal örnekler verilmiştir.

1. Introduction

Recently, fractional differential equations (FDEs) become more attractive and have been developed in theory and applications in science and mathematics. Applications and the theories of fractional differential equations increasingly get more attention nowadays both in science and engineering. Some applications of FDEs can be founded in chemistry, mechanics, physics, control theory and so on. For more details on the application of FDEs, we refer the reader to the references [1–3]. Unlike the ordinary differential equations, the analytic solutions of fractional differential equations may not be available. Thus, efficient and reliable numerical methods for solving fractional differential equations are essential and important. Almost all the definitions of the fractional derivative have been defined globally and in non local sense so that they involve fractional integral equations with weakly singular kernels and some special functions such as Gamma and Mittag-Leffler functions. All these definitions does not obey some standard rules and important properties of ordinary derivative such as chain rule or semi-group property. Recently, much simpler and compatible definition of fractional derivative obeying chain rule and semi-group properties based on the basic limit processing so called the conformable fractional derivative has been given in [4]. This new definition of fractional derivative have came to the many researcher's attention and the fundamental properties and some applications of this new fractional derivative have been studied and developed [5]. Further developments and several application can be found in [6–9] and references therein.

Khalil et al. [4] define the conformable fractional deriva-

^{*} Corresponding author: topraksp@artvin.edu.tr

tive of order $\alpha \in (0,1]$ of a function $f:[a,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ by

$$(T^{a}_{\alpha}f)(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t+h(t-a)^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{h}, \qquad (1)$$

An easy consequence of this definition is that if f has the classical derivative, then we have the following relation [4]

$$(T^{a}_{\alpha}f)(t) = (t-a)^{1-\alpha}f'(t), \qquad (2)$$

where f'(t) is the classical derivative of f. We immediately see that the conformable fractional derivative of a constant function is zero. Some basic properties of this conformable fractional derivative can be found in [4, 5] in details. This new definition intuitively is a natural extension of standard derivative to non-integer order. Unlike the existing definitions of fractional derivative, there are no special functions such as the Gamma, Beta and Mittag-Leffler functions that are not easy to evaluate and implement in the solutions. This conformable derivative has the physical interpretation as a modification of the classical derivative in direction and magnitude of physical quantity [10].

In this article, we consider the following conformable fractional nonlinear differential equation (FDEs)

$$\begin{cases} (T_{\alpha}^{a}y)(t) = f(t, y(t)), & t \in (a, T], \quad T > a \ge 0, \\ y^{(k)}(a) = y_{0}^{(k)}, & k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \end{cases}$$
(3)

where $\alpha > 0$ and $n = \lceil \alpha \rceil$ is the smallest integer greater or equal to α and $(T^a_{\alpha}y)(t)$ is the conformable fractional derivative of y(t) of order α defined as

$$\begin{aligned} (T^a_{\alpha} y)(t) &= (T^a_{\beta} y^{(n)})(t), \quad \beta = \alpha - n \in (0,1], \\ \text{where} \quad (T^a_{\beta} y^{(n)})(t) \quad \text{defined by} \quad (1). \end{aligned}$$

For easy presentation, we restrict the case when $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. The results that we will find in this paper can be easily extended to the case $\alpha \ge 1$.

The other commonly used fractional derivatives are the Riemman–Liouville and Caputo derivative defined by, respectively

$$_{RL}D_0^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)}\frac{d^n}{dt^n}\int_0^t (t-s)^{n-1-\alpha}f(s)ds, \quad (4)$$

and

$${}_{C}D_{0}^{\alpha}f(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n-\alpha)} \int_{0}^{t} (t-s)^{n-1-\alpha} f^{(n)}(s) ds, \quad (5)$$

The corresponding initial value problem can be written as [11]

$$\begin{cases} {}_{RL}D_a^{\alpha}y(t) = f(t, y(t)), & \alpha \in (n, n+1], & t \in (a, T], \\ y^{(k)}(a) = y_0^{(k)}, & k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Usually, the initial value problems for fractional differential equations are ill-posed because of singularity of the solution at the initial conditions. In general, the differential equation (6) is converted to the following Volterra integral equation

$$y(t) = y_0 + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_a^t (t - x)^{\alpha - 1} f(x, y) \, dx.$$
 (7)

If the solution of (6) is smooth enough, then it also solves (7) and vice versa. The integral equation (7) is singular if $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and this singularity makes the numerical solution inefficient and requires some special techniques to approximate the solution of (7). On the other hand, the solution of (7) can be written as an expansion of integer and non-integer powers [12]

$$y(t) = y_0 + \sum_{i,j=1}^{\infty} (t-a)^{i+\alpha_j} Y_{ij}.$$
 (8)

As we see from the equation (8), the solution is not smooth at t = a and present mixed powers of integer and noninteger . This non-smooth property of the solution makes the numerical solution of the integral equation difficult to approximate. The main difference in between classical derivative and fractional derivative is the non local properties of the fractional calculus. This leads to intense computational methods and high order numerical methods that are very limited in literature. Numerical methods for (6), to our knowledge, are based on Riemann-Liouville or Caputo [12] and the Grünwald–Letnikov approach [13]. Several numerical methods such as finite difference [11], finite element [14] and spectral methods for numerical solution of (6) or (7) have been proposed and developed during the last few decades. In [15], the author discussed the stability of the numerical methods for the equation (7)and gave the the disc of stability of predictor-corrector methods. Diethelm et al. [16] proposed Adams-type predictor-corrector method for equation (7) and if the Caputo derivative of the solution is smooth enough, they gave the error bound for the method. Li and Zeng [17] discussed the finite difference method for fractional differential equations. Recently, in the book [18], finite difference methods and finite element methods have been studied and analyzed for fractional ordinary differential and partial differential equations. Usually, the weakly singular kernel of the Volterra type integral equations makes it difficult to have an efficient and high order numerical method. To overcome this inefficiency, the integral equation $\int_{a}^{t} (t-x)^{\alpha-1} f(x,y) dx$ have been approximated by choosing suitable quadrature numerical methods. Product integration rule that is a class of convolution quadrature is one of the methods to numerically solve this kind of integral equation introduced in [19]. Different quadrature rule gives the different numerical method such as fractional Euler and fractional Adams methods.

In this article, we use two numerical approaches to the problem (3). We first derive a fractional power series that have been used to obtain fractional Euler scheme and high order Taylor numerical method for the equation (3). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first finite difference method for FDEs in the sense of conformable fractional derivative. The power series obtained are not dependent on the initial point or the point in which the conformable fractional derivative is defined. Our second approach is based on the following Volterra-type integral equation with

weakly singular kernel by converting FDEs (3) with the help of the relation (2)

$$y(t) = y_0 + \int_a^t (x-a)^{\alpha-1} f(x,y) \, dx.$$

This form is significantly different from the one given in (7) because there is no delay argument in this formulation. Unless otherwise stated, we always assume $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ in this article and numerical methods we proposed here can be extended to $\alpha \in (n, n+1]$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Numerical methods for FDEs are costly with high computational times and have expensive storage since the number of operations increase at each time step because of the singular kernel in the equivalent Volterra integral equation. However, the newly defined fractional derivative is based on limit process and the number of operation increase linearly. Thus, developing numerical methods based on this newly defined fractional derivative is important and has many advantages compared to the existing methods.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 the basic definitions and background for FDEs are reviewed. In Section 3 we establish the existence and uniqueness of the problem (3) and reformulation of FDEs in terms of integral equation. Then we introduce numerical methods for solving Equation (3) with uniform meshes in section 4. In Section 5, we prove the stability and error estimates of these numerical schemes. Finally, various numerical examples are given to show that the numerical results confirm the theoretical findings in Section 6 and last section includes the concluding remarks.

Throughout, the notations C and c, with or without a subscript, denote generic constants, which may differ at different occurrences, but are always independent of the mesh size.

2. Definitions and Background on Fractional Calculus

The left and right conformable fractional α th order derivative of a function $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ given by [4]

$$(T_{\alpha}^{a}f)(t) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t+h(t-a)^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{h},$$

$$({}^{b}T_{\alpha}f)(t) = -\lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(t+h(b-t)^{1-\alpha}) - f(t)}{h}.$$

The conformable fractional integral operator of order α is given by [4]

$$I_{\alpha}^{a}(f)(x) = \int_{a}^{t} (x-a)^{1-\alpha} f(x) \, dx.$$
 (9)

Lemma 2.1. [5] If $f : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is smooth, then we have the relations for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and t > a.

$$T^a_{\alpha} I^a_{\alpha}(f)(t) = f(t), \qquad (10)$$

$$I_{\alpha}^{a}T_{\alpha}^{a}(f)(t) = f(t) - f(a).$$
(11)

Lemma 2.2. [5] If $y : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is infinitely α - differentiable function, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ at a neighborhood of a

point *a* , then *y* has the fractional power series expansion:

$$y(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(T_{\alpha}^{a} y)^{(k)}(a)(t-a)^{k\alpha}}{\alpha^{k} k!}, \quad a < t < R^{1/\alpha}, R > 0.$$
(12)

Here $(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(k)}(a)$ means the application of the fractional derivative *k* times.

We use the following Banach Contraction Principle (see e.g., [20])

Theorem 2.3. Caccioppoli

Let *Y* be a nonempty closed subset of a Banach space *X* such that for each $n \ge 1$, there exists a constant $c_n \ge 0$ such that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n < \infty$. If the operator $A: Y \to Y$ satisfies

$$||A^n y - A^n z|| \le c_n ||y - z||,$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then A has a unique point y^* so that $Ay^* = y^*$

3. Existence and Uniqueness of the Solution

In this section, we present the existence and uniqueness of the solution of equation (3). Similar to the classical ordinary differential equation, we first assume the function fis continuous on some domain for proving the existence of the problem and then to prove the uniqueness, we assume it has a a Lipschitz condition with respect to the second variable; i.e.,

$$|f(x,y) - f(x,z)| \le L|y-z|,$$

with some constant L > 0 independent of x, y, and z. Now we ready to establish the following two theorems.

Theorem 3.1. For some d > 0 and $\delta > 0$, let $\mathbb{D} := [0,d] \times [y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta]$ and the real valued function f be continuous on \mathbb{D} . If $T - a := \min\{d, (\frac{\delta \alpha}{\|f\|})^{1/\alpha}\}$, then there is a function $y : (a, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ that solves the problem (3).

Theorem 3.2. For some d > 0 and $\delta > 0$, let $\mathbb{D} := [0, d] \times [y_0 - \delta, y_0 + \delta]$. If $T - a := \min\{d, (\frac{\delta \alpha}{\|f\|})^{1/\alpha}\}$, and f is bounded on \mathbb{D} and satisfies the Lipschitz condition above, then there is unique solution function $y : (a, T] \to \mathbb{R}$ that solves the problem (3).

The proof of these two theorem will be based on the following observation.

Lemma 3.3. If the forcing function f is smooth, then the problem (3) can be converted to the following Volterra type integral equation with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$

$$y(t) = y_0 + \int_a^t (x - a)^{\alpha - 1} f(x, y) \, dx.$$
(13)

Proof. With the help of (2), we can re-write the problem (3) as

$$y'(x) = (x-a)^{\alpha-1} f(x,y).$$

Now, integrating both side from *a* to *t* to obtain the desired result (13). \Box

Proof.(Proof of Theorem 3.1 and Teorem 3.2) We introduce the set $B_{\delta} = \{y \in C([a,x]) : ||y(t) - y_0||_{\infty} \le \delta\}$ for fixed $x \le T$. This is a closed subset of the Banach space of all continuous functions on [a, T], equipped with the maximum norm. Obviously, this set is not empty. Thus, we define the operator $A: B_{\delta} \to B_{\delta}$ given by

$$(Ay)(t) := y_0 + \int_a^t (x - a)^{\alpha - 1} f(x, y(x)) \, dx.$$
(14)

We show that the operator *A* has a unique point that solves the equation Ay = y by showing that A^n is a contraction operator for *n* sufficiently large. First, we demonstrate Ayis a continuous function. To do this, we choose $a \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$ and we write

$$\begin{aligned} |(Ay)(t_2) - (Ay)(t_1)| &= \int_a^{t_2} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} f(x,y) \, dx \\ &- \int_a^{t_1} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} f(x,y) \, dx \\ &= \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} f(x,y) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\alpha} \big((t_2-a)^{\alpha} - (t_1-a)^{\alpha} \big). \end{aligned}$$

So, if $t_2 - t_1 \to 0$ then $(Ay)(t_2) - (Ay)(t_1) \to 0$ showing that Ay is a continuous function. Next, we prove that if $y \in B_{\delta}$ then $Ay \in B_{\delta}$ meaning that A is self-mapping of B_{δ} . To this end, note that

$$|(Ay)(t) - y_0| = |\int_a^t (x - a)^{\alpha - 1} f(x, y) dx|$$

$$\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\alpha} (T - a)^{\alpha}$$

$$\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\alpha} \frac{\alpha \delta}{\|f\|_{\infty}} = \delta.$$

Finally we prove that A^n is a contraction operator for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. More precisely we have for $t \in (a, T)$

$$||A^{n}y - A^{n}z||_{\infty} \le \frac{(L(t-a)^{\alpha})^{n}}{\alpha^{n}n!} ||y - z||_{\infty}.$$
 (15)

We prove this fact by induction on *n*. For n = 0, it is obvious. Assume that (15) is true for n = k - 1. Now, we write

$$\begin{split} \|A^{n}y - A^{n}z\|_{\infty} &= \|A(A^{n-1}y) - A(A^{n-1}z)\|_{\infty} \\ &= \left|\int_{a}^{t} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} \left(f(x,A^{n-1}y) - f(x,A^{n-1}z)\right) dx\right| \\ &\leq L \int_{a}^{t} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} |A^{n-1}y(x) - A^{n-1}z(x)| dx \\ &\leq L \int_{a}^{t} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} \|A^{n-1}y - A^{n-1}z\|_{\infty} dx. \end{split}$$

Using the induction hypothesis, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \|A^{n}y - A^{n}z\|_{\infty} &\leq \frac{L^{n}\|y - z\|_{\infty}}{\alpha^{n-1}(n-1)!} \int_{a}^{t} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} (x-a)^{\alpha(n-1)} dx \\ &= \frac{L^{n}\|y - z\|_{\infty}}{\alpha^{n-1}(n-1)!} \int_{a}^{t} (x-a)^{\alpha n-1} dx \\ &= \frac{L^{n}\|y - z\|_{\infty}}{\alpha^{n-1}(n-1)!} \frac{(t-a)^{\alpha n}}{\alpha n} \\ &= \frac{L^{n}(t-a)^{\alpha n}\|y - z\|_{\infty}}{\alpha^{n}n!}. \end{split}$$

According to Theorem 2.3, if the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$ converges with $c_n = \frac{L^n (t-a)^{\alpha n}}{\alpha^n n!}$, then the operator *A* has a unique point so that the problem (3) has a unique solution. In fact, the fractional calculus (see e.g., [5]) implies that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{L^n (t-a)^{\alpha n}}{\alpha^n n!}$ converges to $exp(\frac{L(t-a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha})$. Thus, the proof is completed.

4. Numerical Methods

In this section, we will derive the numerical schemes for approximating the problem (3). To do this, we introduce the following notations:

For a given positive integer *N*, let $t_n = nh + a$, n = 0, 1, ..., N be a uniform meshes of the interval (a, T] where step size *h* (for the sake of simplicity assumed to be constant) is then given by $h = t_n - t_{n-1}$. Let $y(t_n)$ be approximated by y_n at the point $t = t_n$.

Numerical methods proposed in this work for the fractional differential equation (3) will be based on the Taylor expansion by the help of Lemma 2.2. We stress out that Lemma 2.2 allows to obtain a fractional power expansion for a function in terms of its Conformable fractional derivatives evaluated at the initial point *a*. We now obtain a similar Taylor expansion at any other point $a_1 > a$, so the expansion can be expressed independently from the initial point *a*.

Theorem 4.1. If $y : [a, \infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is infinitely α - differentiable function, for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ at a neighborhood of a point $a_1 \in (a, \infty)$, then y has the fractional power series expansion:

$$y(t) = y(a_1) + \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)(a_1)\delta_1}{\alpha} + \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(2)}(a_1)\delta_2}{2\alpha^2} + \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(3)}(a_1)\delta_3}{3!\alpha^3} + \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(4)}(a_1)\delta_4}{4!\alpha^4} + R_4(x,a_1,a),$$
(16)

where $R_4(t, a_1, a)$ is the reminder term and

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &= H^{\alpha} - L^{\alpha}, \\ \delta_2 &= H^{2\alpha} - L^{2\alpha} - 2L^{\alpha}\delta_1, \\ \delta_3 &= H^{3\alpha} - L^{3\alpha} - 3L^{\alpha}\delta_2 - 3L^{2\alpha}\delta_1, \\ \delta_4 &= H^{4\alpha} - L^{4\alpha} - 4L^{\alpha}\delta_3 - 6L^{2\alpha}\delta_2 - 4L^{3\alpha}\delta_1. \end{split}$$

and H = t - a and $L = a_1 - a$.

Proof. Using the power series expansion (12) for $y(t), (T^a_{\alpha}y)(t), (T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(2)}(t), (T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(3)}(t)$ and $(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(4)}(t)$

with t replaced by the points a_1 , we have

$$\begin{split} y(a) = y(a_1) - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)(a)L^{\alpha}}{\alpha} - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(2)}(a)L^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2} \\ - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(3)}(a)L^{3\alpha}}{3!\alpha^3} - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(4)}(a)L^{4\alpha}}{4!\alpha^4} + R^0_4, \\ (T^a_{\alpha}y)(a) = (T^a_{\alpha}y)(a_1) - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(2)}(a)L^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \\ - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(3)}(a)L^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2} - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(4)}(a)L^{3\alpha}}{3!\alpha^3} + R^1_4, \\ (T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(2)}(a) = (T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(2)}(a_1) - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(3)}(a)L^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \\ - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(4)}(a)L^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2} - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(5)}(a)L^{3\alpha}}{3!\alpha^3} + R^2_4, \\ (T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(3)}(a) = (T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(3)}(a_1) - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(4)}(a)L^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \\ - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(5)}(a)L^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2} - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(6)}(a)L^{3\alpha}}{3!\alpha^3} + R^3_4, \\ (T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(4)}(a) = (T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(4)}(a_1) - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(5)}(a)L^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \\ - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(6)}(a)L^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2} - \frac{(T^a_{\alpha}y)^{(7)}(a)L^{3\alpha}}{3!\alpha^3} + R^4_4, \end{split}$$

where R_4^j is the reminder of the fractional Taylor series of $(T_\alpha^a y)^{(j)}(a)$ at the point a_1 for j = 1, 2, 3, 4.

Then every expansions above are substituted in (12) up to order of fourth Taylor series and we obtain the equation (16). Furthermore, the reminder term $R_4(t,a_1,a)$ is resulted from the reminder R_4^j of each Taylor expansion for j = 1, 2, 3, 4.

4.1. The Construction of Numerical Methods Based on Taylor Expansions

Similar to that done in the standard numerical approach to the Cauchy problems for ODE, we construct numerical schemes to solve FDE (3) based on the application of fractional Taylor power series. The first numerical method that is relatively simpler and easier is numerical integration method having a disadvantage of restricted time stepping. Suppose that y(t) is the solution of the conformable fractional differential equation (3) with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

By using Theorem 4.1, the fractional Taylor expansion of $y(t_{n+1})$ at $t = t_n$ gives that

$$y(t_{n+1}) = y(t_n) + (T^a_{\alpha}y)(t_n)\frac{\delta_1}{\alpha} + R_1(t_{n+1}, t_n, a),$$

where $\delta_1 = h^{\alpha}((n+1)^{\alpha} - n^{\alpha})$. Since

$$(T^a_{\alpha}y)(t_n) = f(t_n, y(t_n))$$

by the FDEs (3), we have

$$y(t_{n+1}) = y(t_n) + f(t_n, y(t_n)) \frac{h^{\alpha} b_n}{\alpha} + R_1(t_{n+1}, t_n, a),$$
(17)

where

$$b_n = (n+1)^{\alpha} - n^{\alpha}. \tag{18}$$

Next, we define Fractional Euler method that approximates the solution y(t) at the points t_n , n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N for the values $y_n = y(t_n)$ so that the algorithm is then given by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h^{\alpha} b_n}{\alpha} f(t_n, y_n), \qquad (19)$$
$$y_0 = y(a).$$

Now, we estimate the reminder term $R_1(t_{n+1}, t_n, a)$ in terms of the step size *h* and the parameter α . By the fractional Taylor expansion (12), the reminder can be written as (see e.g., [5])

$$R_{1}(t_{n+1},t_{n},a) = h^{2\alpha} \Big[\frac{A_{1}n^{\alpha}b_{n}}{\alpha^{2}} - \frac{A_{2}n^{2\alpha}b_{n}h^{\alpha}}{2\alpha^{3}} + \frac{A_{3}n^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^{2}} \Big],$$
(20)

where b_n defined by (18) and all the coefficients A_1, A_2 and A_3 are bounded constants. Local truncation error at t_{n+1} can be defined by

$$\tau(t_{n+1}) = \frac{y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}}{h^{\alpha}}.$$
 (21)

From (20), the truncation error $\tau(t_{n+1}) = h^{\alpha}$ so that the error can be given by

$$|y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}| \le Ch^{\alpha}.$$
 (22)

By a similar argument for the construction of Euler methods, we define high order fractional Taylor methods. These fractional Taylor methods are based on the fractional Taylor expansion (16) and have high order approximations up to desired order. Here, we derive second and fourth order fractional Taylor methods that are frequently used in applications. However, one can derive fractional Taylor methods of any order. A disadvantage of the high order approximations is that they require the fractional derivatives of the function up to the order of the method. Because of the singularity of the solution, the high order Taylor methods may not be available.

Now, we derive 2α order fractional Taylor methods for the problem (3). We approximate the solution y(t) at the points t_n , n = 0, 1, 2, ..., N for the values $y_n = y(t_n)$ so that the algorithm is then given by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h^{\alpha}((n+1)^{\alpha} - n^{\alpha})}{\alpha} f(t_n, y_n) + \frac{h^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2} (T^a_{\alpha} f)(t_n, y_n) \Big[(n+1)^{2\alpha} - n^{2\alpha} - 2n^{\alpha} b_n \Big],$$
(23)
$$y_0 = y(a),$$

where b_n is defined by (18).

The same idea above gives 4α order fractional Taylor method for approximating the problem (3); For n = 1, 2, ..., N

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h^{\alpha}b_n}{\alpha}f(t_n, y_n) + \frac{h^{2\alpha}{}_2b_n}{2\alpha^2}(T^a_{\alpha}f)(t_n, y_n) + \frac{h^{3\alpha}{}_3b_n}{3!\alpha^3}(T^a_{\alpha}f)^{(2)}(t_n, y_n) + \frac{h^{4\alpha}{}_4b_n}{4!\alpha^4}(T^a_{\alpha}f)^{(3)}(t_n, y_n),$$
(24)

854

 $y_0 = y(a),$

where b_n is defined by (18) and

$${}_{2}b_{n} = (n+1)^{2\alpha} - n^{2\alpha} - 2n^{\alpha}b_{n},$$

$${}_{3}b_{n} = (n+1)^{3\alpha} - n^{3\alpha} - 3n^{\alpha}{}_{2}b_{n} - 3n^{2\alpha}b_{n},$$

$${}_{4}b_{n} = (n+1)^{4\alpha} - n^{4\alpha} - 4n^{\alpha}{}_{3}b_{n} - 6n^{2\alpha}{}_{2}b_{n} - 4n^{3\alpha}b_{n}.$$
(25)

The truncation error for the fractional Taylor methods can be obtained similarly as in the Euler Methods. However, we only give outline of the proof because of very long algebraic expressions.

Usually, the reminder for the fractional Taylor series is of order $h^{(N+1)\alpha}$ when the series have order of N. We first find all the reminder of the fractional Taylor series for y(t)up to N order and then substituting all the reminder will be of the same order or greater, thus the reminder of the fractional Taylor series for $y(t_{n+1})$ at $t = t_n$ would be of order $h^{(N+1)\alpha}$. Thus, the local truncation error (21) will be of order $h^{N\alpha}$. This outline generalizes the truncation error of classical Taylor method to the fractional Taylor method. In the next section, we give some numerical results for the numerical methods discussed here. We test three methods described above for FDEs so that the exact solutions are available. We first test the numerical methods for the first order FDEs and secondly we give numerical results for second order FDEs that is converted to first order systems of FDEs so that we compare the obtained results with the previous results based on symplectic schemes given in [15] with Caputo definition.

4.1.1. Numerical Examples

The first problem to be tested is the fractional Cauchy problem given by

Example 1.

$$(T^0_{\alpha}y)(t) = \lambda y(t), \quad t \in [0,5], \quad \alpha \in (0,1],$$
 (26)
 $y(0) = y_0.$

The exact solution is given by $y(t) = y_0 \exp(\lambda t^{\alpha}/\alpha)$. This is a simple linear test problem so that we easily find fractional derivatives up to desired order. The successive fractional derivatives give that $(T_{\alpha}^0 y)^{(k)}(t) = \lambda^k y(t)$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Based on this observation, the numerical methods are given as follows:

Fractional Euler Method

$$y_{n+1}^E = y_n^E \left(1 + b_n \frac{\lambda h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \right),$$

Fractional Taylor of order 2α Method

$$z_{n+1} = z_n \left(1 + b_n \frac{\lambda h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} + 2 b_n \frac{\lambda^2 h^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2} \right),$$

Fractional Taylor of order 4α Method

$$w_{n+1} = w_n \Big(1 + b_n \frac{\lambda h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} + {}_2b_n \frac{\lambda^2 h^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2} + {}_3b_n \frac{\lambda^3 h^{3\alpha}}{3!\alpha^3} + {}_4b_n \frac{\lambda^4 h^{4\alpha}}{4!\alpha^4} \Big),$$

where $b_{n,2}b_{n,3}b_n$ and $_4b_n$ are defined by (25) and $y_0^E = z_0 = w_0 = y_0$.

We plot the solutions of the numerical methods and exact solution for different α and the initial value $y_0 = 1$ in Figure 1. We take $\lambda = -10$. As we can see from the Figure 1, the fractional Taylor of order 4α closes to the exact solution and observe that as α increases, the errors decrease as expected due to the error depends on the fractional power of *h*.

We emphasize here that if one uses the Caputo or Riemann-Lioville definition of fractional derivative, then the Cauchy problem (26) leads to the exact solution of the form $y(t) = y_0 E_\alpha(\lambda t^\alpha)$ where $E_\alpha(z)$ is the e Mittag-Leffler function [15] that generalizes the standard exponential function $\exp(z)$ for complex number z. Therefore, one needs some algorithm to evaluate this special function even in this simple case. However, we have a simple solution to the problem similar to the classical differential equation so that we believe that our methods are faster and more efficient to approximate FDEs.

Example 2.

$$(T^{0}_{\alpha}y)(t) = -y(t) + t^{4-\alpha} exp(-t^{\alpha}/\alpha), \quad t \in [0,1], \quad (27)$$

$$y(0) = 0.$$

The exact solution is $y(t) = t^4 exp(-t^{\alpha}/\alpha)$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. We take $\alpha = 0.5$ and we show the errors and the estimated order of convergence of the fractional Euler and fractional Taylor methods in Table 1 below.

$\alpha = 0.5$	F. Euler	F. Taylor 2α	F. Taylor 4α
h	Error	Error	Error
1/4	5.46e-02	3.01e-02	3.55e-03
1/8	2.90e-02	1.25e-02	8.75e-04
1/16	1.50e-02	5.45e-03	2.15e-04
1/32	7.63e-03	2.48e-03	5.28e-05
1/64	3.85e-03	1.17e-03	1.31e-05
EOC	0.986	1.083	2.010

Table 1. The maximum error for the fractional Euler and Taylor methods for for Example 2 at T = 1

By EOC, we show the estimated order of convergence that is given by the formula that $\log_2(\frac{e(h,T)}{e(h/2,T)})$ where e(h,T) is the error with the step-size *h* at t = T.

We note that EOC of the fractional Taylor method of order 2α is O(h) and the fractional Taylor method of order 4α is $O(h^2)$ as we expect. Moreover, the fractional Euler method is of order O(h) in this numerical solution because the exact solution is smooth.

Next, we show that our methods are more efficient and faster than previous proposed finite difference methods in the literature by demonstrating the results on the second order FDEs given below.

Example 3. We now consider a second order FDEs given as

$$(T^{0}_{\alpha}y)^{(2)}(t) = -\omega^{2}y(t), \quad \alpha \in (0,1],$$

$$y(0) = y_{0}, \quad (T^{0}_{\alpha}y)(0) = z_{0}.$$
 (28)

Note that we have two initial conditions that depend on y(t)and the first conformable fractional derivative $(T^0_{\alpha}y)(t)$ at



Figure 1. Plots for the solutions of the Cauchy FDEs (26) for different α and absolute errors for N = 40

t = 0. This FDEs is known as Fractional Oscillator and studied in [21]. Similar to the idea in ODE, we first convert this FDEs to the system of first order FDEs as follows

$$(T^{0}_{\alpha}y)(t) = z(t), \quad \alpha \in (0,1],$$

$$(T^{0}_{\alpha}z)(t) = -\omega^{2}y(t), \quad (29)$$

$$y(0) = y_{0}, \quad z(0) = z_{0}.$$

In [21], the authors have used the following numerical scheme with the Caputo definition

$$z_{n+1} = z_0 - \frac{\omega^2 h^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^n y_k \Big[(n+1-k)^{\alpha} - (n-k)^{\alpha} \Big],$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_0 + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^n z_{k+1} \Big[(n+1-k)^{\alpha} - (n-k)^{\alpha} \Big],$$

(30)

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the Gamma function. Note that numerical scheme (30) requires high number of operations that increases as N^2 after N steps since all the previous steps are needed for the next step. This increases the computation time. However, this is not the case for our method since the methods require only the previous step to get next step so the operations increase only linearly with N after N steps. Now, we approximate the system of FDEs (29) by proposed methods defined by **Fractional Euler Method**

racuonal Euler Method

$$y_{n+1}^{E} = y_{n}^{E} + b_{n} \frac{z_{n}^{E} h^{\alpha}}{\alpha},$$
$$z_{n+1}^{E} = z_{n}^{E} - b_{n} \frac{\omega^{2} y_{n}^{E} h^{\alpha}}{\alpha}.$$

Fractional Taylor of order 2α Method

$$y_{n+1}^{T} = y_n^{T} + b_n \frac{z_n^{T} h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} - 2b_n \frac{y_n^{T} \omega^2 h^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2},$$

$$z_{n+1}^{T} = z_n^{T} - b_n \frac{\omega^2 y_n^{T} h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} - 2b_n \frac{z_n^{T} \omega^2 h^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^2}.$$

Fractional Taylor of order 4α Method

$${}^{T}y_{n+1} = {}^{T}y_{n} + b_{n} \frac{{}^{T}z_{n}h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} - {}_{2}b_{n} \frac{{}^{T}y_{n}\omega^{2}h^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^{2}} - {}_{3}b_{n} \frac{{}^{T}z_{n}\omega^{2}h^{3\alpha}}{3!\alpha^{3}} + {}_{4}b_{n} \frac{{}^{T}y_{n}\omega^{4}h^{4\alpha}}{4!\alpha^{4}},$$

$${}^{T}z_{n+1} = {}^{T}z_{n} - b_{n} \frac{{}^{T}y_{n}\omega^{2}h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} - {}_{2}b_{n} \frac{{}^{T}z_{n}\omega^{2}h^{2\alpha}}{2\alpha^{2}} + {}_{3}b_{n} \frac{{}^{T}y_{n}\omega^{4}h^{3\alpha}}{3!\alpha^{3}} + {}_{4}b_{n} \frac{{}^{T}z_{n}\omega^{4}h^{4\alpha}}{4!\alpha^{4}},$$

where $b_{n,2}b_{n,3}b_n$ and $_4b_n$ are defined by (25) and $y_0^E = y_0^T = {}^Ty_0 = y_0$ and $z_0^E = z_0^T = {}^Tz_0 = z_0$. The exact solution of the second order FDEs (28) is given by

$$\phi(t) = \phi(0) \exp(i\omega t^{\alpha}/\alpha) = y_0 \cos(\frac{\omega t^{\alpha}}{\alpha}) + \frac{z_0}{\omega} \sin(\frac{\omega t^{\alpha}}{\alpha}).$$

In Figure 2, we show the exact solution and numerical solutions with their corresponding absolute errors for the problem (28). We take $\omega = 5$, y(0) = 1 with z(0) = 0 and



Figure 2. The exact and numerical solutions of the FDEs (28) for different α and absolute errors for N = 50.

different values of α . Similar results to the first order FDEs solutions, we expect the errors decrease as α converges to 1. Also, we see that the fractional Taylor method of 4α order is much closer to the exact solution while the

fractional Euler and fractional Taylor method of 2α order oscillate frequently as ω gets bigger. Table 2 and Table 3 show that the fractional Euler and the fractional Taylor of order 2α have oscillatory behavior when $\omega > 1$ or have poor convergence while the fractional Taylor method of order 4α still much closer to the exact solution.

	F. Euler	F. Taylor 2α	F. Taylor 4α
h	Error	Error	Error
1/10	39.512	37.269	0.916
1/20	0.355	1.031	0.371
1/40	1.785	1.851	0.101
1/80	0.952	0.937	0.070
1/160	0.487	0.463	0.039

Table 2. The maximum error for the fractional Euler and Taylor methods for for Example 28 at T = 4 with $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\omega = 5$

	F. Euler	F. Taylor 2α	F. Taylor 4α
h	Error	Error	Error
1/80	0.763	0.739	0.024
1/160	0.323	0.313	0.014
1/320	0.150	0.145	0.008
1/640	0.072	0.070	0.004
1/1280	0.035	0.034	0.002

Table 3. The maximum error for the fractional Euler and Taylor methods for for Example 28 at T = 4 with $\alpha = 0.9$ and $\omega = 5$

4.2. Numerical Schemes Based on Weakly Singular Integral Equations

Our second numerical approaches we introduce here depend heavily on the following integral: for $n = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$,

$$I_{n+1} := \int_{t_n}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t, y(t)) dt.$$
 (31)

Now, we approximate f(x, y(x)) by choosing suitable numerical approximation g(x, y(x)) of f(x, y(x)) on the interval $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$ and different choice will lead to different numerical scheme for numerical solution of the problem (3) or equivalently (13) as shown below.

1. If we choose $g(x, y(x)) \approx f(t_n, y(t_n))$ then we have an *explicit* method, i.e., y_{n+1} is given explicitly in terms of known quantities y_n and $f(t_n, y_n)$ and we call this method as the fractional forward Euler (FFE) method defined as follows

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_n f(t_n, y_n), \qquad (32)$$

where $b_n = (n+1)^{\alpha} - n^{\alpha}$.

2. If we choose $g(x, y(x)) \approx f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1})$ then we have an *implicit* method and we call this fractional backward Euler (FBE) method defined by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_n f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}),$$
 (33)

where b_n is defined as above.

3. If we choose $g(x,y(x)) \approx P(x)$, where P(x) is an interpolating polynomial as an approximation of f(x,y(x)), more precisely, if we choose g(x,y(x)) as follows

$$P(x) = f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) \frac{x - t_n}{t_{n+1} - t_n} + f(t_n, y_n) \frac{x - t_{n+1}}{t_n - t_{n+1}},$$
(34)

then we have the fractional trapezoid method is given by

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \left(a_{k,n+1} f(t_k, y_k) \right), \quad (35)$$

where $a_{k,n+1}$ defined by

$$a_{k,n+1} = 1$$
, if $k = 0$ or $n+1$ (36)

$$a_{k,n+1} = (k+1)^{\alpha+1} - 2k^{\alpha+1} + (k-1)^{\alpha+1}, \quad (37)$$

if $k = 1, 2, ;n.$

Since the trapezoidal method is implicit and can not be solved directly, we naturally propose the following fractional Adams predictor–corrector method for (13)

$$y_{n+1}^P = y_n + \frac{h^\alpha}{\alpha} b_n f(t_n, y_n), \qquad (38)$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_0 + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \Big[\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n+1} f(t_k, y_k)$$
(39)

$$+a_{n+1,n+1}f(t_{n+1},y_{n+1}^{P})\Big],$$
(40)

where $a_{k,n+1}$ defined by (37).

High-order predictor-corrector methods for fractional differential equation have been proposed in [11]. The authors use the interpolation function for approximating f(x, y(x)) based on first and second degree Lagrange interpolation and spline functions. In [22], more similar predictor-corrector approach to ours have been introduced and analyzed for the time fractional Fokker–Planck equation.

5. Stability and Error Analysis

In this section, we will examine the stability and error estimates for the methods introduced above. Stability estimates are similar to the stability analysis of standard finite difference method for the fractional Euler methods and based on characteristic equation for the amplification factor $y_n = y_0 r^n$. The stability analysis of Adams methods means that a small change in the initial condition will not cause the huge error in the numerical solution. In the sequel, we need the following auxiliary lemmas for stability and error analysis.

5.1. Lemmas

Lemma 5.1. If
$$\alpha \in (0, 1]$$
, then we have for $x \in [0, 1]$

$$1 - x^{\alpha} \le (1 - x)^{\alpha},\tag{41}$$

and for $n \ge 1$

$$0 \le (n+1)^{\alpha} - n^{\alpha} \le C(n+1)^{\alpha-1} \le 1, \qquad (42)$$

$$(n+1)^{\alpha+1} - 2n^{\alpha+1} + (n-1)^{\alpha+1} \le Cn.^{\alpha-1}$$
(43)

Proof. Consider the function $h(x) = 1 - x^{\alpha} - (1 - x)^{\alpha}$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. Note that this function is subharmonic on [0, 1] since $h''(x) = -\alpha(\alpha - 1)x^{\alpha-2} - \alpha(\alpha - 1)(1 - x)^{\alpha-2} \ge 0$ for $x \in [0, 1]$. Thus by the well known maximum principle, the function h(x) attains its maximum at the boundary of the closed interval [0, 1]. Observe that h(0) = h(1) = 0. That concludes $h(x) \le \max_{x \in [0,1]} h(x) = \max\{h(0), h(1)\} = 0$ which proves the inequality (41). For the inequality (42), by applying the mean value theorem for the function $f(x) = (\frac{n+x}{n+1})^{\alpha}$, we observe that

$$f(1) - f(0) = f'(s)$$
 for some $s \in (0, 1)$.

 $1 - \frac{n^{\alpha}}{(n+1)^{\alpha}} = \frac{\alpha}{n+1} \left(\frac{n+s}{1+n}\right)^{\alpha-1},$

This leads to get

or

$$\frac{(n+1)^{\alpha}-n^{\alpha}}{(n+1)^{\alpha-1}} = \alpha (\frac{1+n}{n+s})^{1-\alpha} \le 1$$

The inequality (43) can be proved similarly so we omit the proof of it. Thus, the proof is now completed. \Box

Lemma 5.2. (Gronwall Inequality [12]) Let $a, b \ge 0$ and $\{\zeta_n\}$ satisfy

$$|\zeta_n| \le b + ah \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\zeta_i|, \quad n = k, k+1, \dots, nh < T,$$

then

$$\zeta_n \leq \exp(aT)(b + akhM_0), \quad n \geq k, nh < T,$$

where $M_0 = max\{|\zeta_0|, ..., |\zeta_{k-1}|\}.$

Lemma 5.3. If $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and y(t) solves the equation (13) and f(t, y(t)) bounded on the domain then there is a constant C_{α} independent of *h* so that the following inequality holds for small *h* with $t \in [a, T - h]$,

$$|y(t+h) - y(t)| \le C_{\alpha} h^{\alpha}.$$
(44)

Proof. By the help of (13), we write

$$y(t+h) - y(t) = y_0 + \int_a^{t+h} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} f(x,y) \, dx$$

- $\left(y_0 + \int_a^t (x-a)^{\alpha-1} f(x,y) \, dx\right) = \int_t^{t+h} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} f(x,y) \, dx$

Since *f* is bounded, there is a constant *M* so that $|f| \le M$, and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t+h) - y(t)| &\leq M \int_{t}^{t+h} (x-a)^{\alpha-1} dx \\ &= \frac{M}{\alpha} ((t+h-a)^{\alpha} - (t-a)^{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $(t + h - a)^{\alpha} - (t - a)^{\alpha} = (t + h - a)^{\alpha} (1 - (\frac{t-a}{t+h-a})^{\alpha})$, we can appeal the inequality (41) and finally have the desired result

$$|y(t+h)-y(t)| \leq \frac{M}{\alpha}h^{\alpha}.$$

Lemma 5.4. If $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and y(t) solves the equation (13) and f(t, y(t)) is smooth and satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to second variable with a constant *L* then there exists a constant C_{α}^{1} independent of *h* so that we have the following inequality for small *h* with $t \in [a, T]$,

$$\left|\int_{a}^{t_{n+1}}(t-a)^{\alpha-1}f(t,y(t))\,dt-\frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha}\sum_{k=0}^{n}b_{k}f(t_{k},y(t_{k}))\right|$$
$$\leq C_{\alpha}^{1}T^{\alpha}h^{\alpha}.$$

Proof.

Since $\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} dt = \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_k$, we write

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t,y(t)) dt - \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} f(t_{k},y(t_{k})) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \left(f(t,y(t)) - f(t_{k},y(t_{k})) \right) dt \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \left(|f(t,y(t)) - f(t,y(t_{k}))| \right) \\ &+ |f(t,y(t_{k})) - f(t_{k},y(t_{k}))| \right) dt \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \left(L|y(t) - y(t_{k})| \right) \\ &+ |f'(\tau,y(t_{k}))(t-t_{k})| \right) dt, \end{split}$$

where τ is between *t* and *t_k* and *L* is the Lipschitz constant. Here we have used the mean value theorem stating that $|f(t, y(t_k)) - f(t_k, y(t_k))| = |f'(\tau, y_k)||t - t_k|$. Next, we appeal (44) to find $|y(t) - y(t_k)| \le C_\alpha (t - t_k)^\alpha$. Let $K := max\{LC_\alpha, f'(\tau, y(t_k))\}$. We then have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \left(L|y(t) - y(t_{k})| + |f'(\tau, y(t_{k}))(t-t_{k})| \right) dt$$

$$\leq K \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \left((t-t_{k})^{\alpha} + (t-t_{k}) \right) dt$$

$$\leq 2Kh^{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{t_{k}}^{t_{k+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} dt$$

$$= \frac{2Kh^{\alpha}(t_{n+1}-a)^{\alpha}}{\alpha} = C_{\alpha}^{1} T^{\alpha} h^{\alpha}.$$

The proof is completed.

Remark 1. In Lemma 5.4, we can improve the bound by h if the solution y(t) and f(t, y(t)) are sufficiently smooth. For the future reference, we remark this fact as follows.

Lemma 5.5. If $\alpha \in (0,1]$ and y(t) solves the equation (13) and also y(t) and f(t,y(t)) are sufficiently smooth and f(t,y(t)) satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to second variable with a constant *L* then there is a constant C_{α}^2 independent of *h* so that we have the following inequality for small *h* with $t \in [a, T]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t,y(t)) dt - \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_k f(t_k,y(t_k)) \right| \\ \leq C_{\alpha}^2 T^{\alpha} h. \end{aligned}$$
(45)

Lemma 5.6. If $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ and y(t) solves the equation (13) and f(t, y(t)) is sufficiently smooth, then we have the following inequality for small *h* with $t \in [a, T]$,

$$\int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t, y(t)) dt$$
(46)

$$-\frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}\sum_{k=0}^{n+1}a_{k,n+1}f(t_k,y(t_k))\Big| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{\alpha}T^{\alpha}h^2.$$
 (47)

Proof. From the interpolation error estimate, there is $c_k \in (t_k, t_{k+1})$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(t,y(t)) - f(t_{k+1},y_{k+1}) \frac{t - t_k}{t_{k+1} - t_k} - f(t_k,y_k) \frac{t - t_{k+1}}{t_k - t_{k+1}} \\ &\leq (1/2) |f''(c_k,y(c_k))(t - t_k)(t - t_{k+1})|. \end{aligned}$$

Now we write

$$\begin{split} & \left| \int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t,y(t)) \, dt - \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} a_{k,n+1} f(t_k,y(t_k)) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \left(f(t,y(t)) - f(t_{k+1},y_{k+1}) \frac{t-t_k}{t_{k+1}-t_k} \right. \\ & \left. - f(t_k,y_k) \frac{t-t_{k+1}}{t_k-t_{k+1}} \right) dt \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{2} h^2 \sum_{k=0}^{n} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} \, dt = \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{2\alpha} h^2 t_{n+1}^{\alpha} h^2 \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{2\alpha} T^{\alpha} h^2 \end{split}$$

Hence, the proof is completed.

5.2. Stability Analysis

In this subsection, we prove the stability estimates for the methods we introduced in Section 4 for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. If $\alpha \ge 1$ then standard way of proving the stability analysis based on Gronwall's inequalities can be used. First, we prove the stability analysis of the fractional forward Euler method given by (32). We show the stability of the proposed methods by showing that a small perturbation in the initial conditions does not lead to substantial changes in the numerical solution as time progresses.

Theorem 5.7. If y_k for k = 1, 2, ..., n + 1 are the solutions of the fractional Euler methods (32) and f satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to second variable locally with a Lipschitz constant L, then the fractional Euler method (32) is conditionally stable.

Proof. Suppose that \hat{y}_0 and \hat{y}_n are the initial perturbations for y_0 and y_n for k = 0, 1, ..., n + 1 and n = 1, 2, ..., N respectively.

We then have the following perturbed equations by using (32)

$$y_{n+1} + \hat{y}_{n+1} = y_n + \hat{y}_n + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_n f(t_n, y_n + \hat{y}_n),$$

$$\hat{y}_{n+1} = \hat{y}_n + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_n \Big(f(t_n, y_n + \hat{y}_n) - f(t_n, y_n) \Big),$$

$$\hat{y}_{n+1} = \hat{y}_0 + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n b_k \Big(f(t_k, y_k + \hat{y}_k) - f(t_k, y_k) \Big)$$

 \square

Now using the Lipschitz condition, Lemma 5.1 and Lemma 5.2 and the fact that $h^{\alpha}b_n \leq h^{\alpha}(n+1)^{\alpha-1} \leq T^{\alpha-1}h$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, we get

$$ig|\hat{y}_{n+1}ig| \leq M + rac{Lh^{lpha}}{lpha} \sum_{k=0}^n b_k |\hat{y}_k|
onumber \ ig|\hat{y}_{n+1}ig| \leq M + rac{Lh}{lpha} \sum_{k=0}^n |\hat{y}_k|,
onumber \ ig|\hat{y}_{n+1}ig| \leq CM,$$

where $M = |\hat{y}_0|$.

By the same argument used in the previous theorem, we can prove the following

Theorem 5.8. If y_k for k = 1, 2, ..., n + 1 are the solutions of the fractional Euler methods (33) and f satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to second variable locally with a Lipschitz constant L, then the fractional Euler method (33) is stable.

Next, we examine the stability analysis of the fractional Adams method and the technique that is similar to the given in [11] and based on the idea of perturbations in the initial condition does not lead to the larger error in the numerical solution.

Theorem 5.9. If y_k for k = 1, 2, ..., n + 1 are the solutions of the fractional Adams methods (38) and *f* satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to y locally with a Lipschitz constant *L*, then the fractional Adam's methods (38) are unconditionally stable.

Proof. Suppose that \hat{y}_k and \hat{y}_{n+1}^P are the initial perturbations for y_k and y_{n+1}^P for k = 0, 1, ..., n+1 and n = 1, 2, ..., N-1, respectively.

We have the following perturbed equations by using (38)

$$\begin{split} \hat{y}_{n+1}^{P} &= y_{0} + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_{k} \Big(f(t_{k}, y_{k} + \hat{y}_{k}) - f(t_{k}, y_{k}) \Big), \\ \hat{y}_{n+1} &= y_{0} + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \Big[\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k,n+1} \Big(f(t_{k}, y_{k} + \hat{y}_{k}) - f(t_{k}, y_{k}) \Big) \\ &+ a_{n+1,n+1} \Big(f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^{P} + \hat{y}_{n+1}^{P}) - f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^{P}) \Big) \Big]. \end{split}$$

Since $a_{n+1,n+1} = 1$, we have

$$\begin{split} |\hat{y}_{n+1}| &\leq |y_0| + \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \Big[\sum_{k=0}^n \left(a_{k,n+1} \Big| f(t_k, y_k + \hat{y}_k) \right. \\ &- f(t_k, y_k) \Big| + \Big| f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^P + \hat{y}_{n+1}^P) - f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^P) \Big| \Big] \\ &\leq |y_0| + \frac{Lh^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n+1} |\hat{y}_k|) + |\hat{y}_{n+1}^P| \Big) \\ &\leq |y_0| + \frac{Lh^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^n \Big(a_{k,n+1} + \frac{Lh^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_k \Big) |\hat{y}_k| \\ &\leq |y_0| + \frac{2Lh^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^n \Big((n+1-k)^{\alpha-1} \Big) |\hat{y}_k|. \end{split}$$

Here we used the fact that f is Lipschitz and inequalities (42) and (43) and h is sufficiently small.

Finally, We appeal Lemma 5.2 (the Gronwall's inequality) to yield

$$|\hat{y}_{n+1}| \le C|y_0|. \tag{48}$$

This shows that the Fractional Adam's methods are unconditionally stable. $\hfill \Box$

Note that $\alpha = 1$ than this FFE and FBE methods are reduced to the standard Euler methods and the trapezoidal method and our findings are consistent with the known facts.

5.3. Error Estimates

In this section, we will derive error estimates for the numerical methods we proposed in the previous section. We first give error analysis for the FFE method (32) in the following theorem.

Theorem 5.10. If y(t) solves (13) and y_n solves the FFE (32), and assume that f(t, y(t)) is sufficiently smooth and satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to second variable with a constant *L* then we have the following error estimate for FFE method for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

$$|y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}| \le Ch^{\alpha}.$$
(49)

Proof. Let the error difference e_n is defined as $e_n = y(t_n) - y_n$ with $e_0 = 0$. Subtracting (32) from (13) gives the following error equation

$$y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1} = y_0 + \int_a^{t_{n+1}} (x - a)^{\alpha - 1} f(x, y) dx$$

- $\left(y_0 + \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_k f(t_k, y_k)\right)$
= $\int_a^{t_{n+1}} (x - a)^{\alpha - 1} f(x, y) dx - \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_k f(t_k, y_k).$

Therefore, we obtain

$$e_{n+1} \leq \Big| \int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t,y(t)) \, dx - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_k f(t_k,y(t_k)) \Big| \\ + \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_k \Big| f(t_k,y(t_k)) - f(t_k,y_k) \Big|.$$

Appealing Lemma (5.4) and Lemma (42) and using the Lipschitz condition, we obtain

$$|e_{n+1}| \leq Ch^{\alpha} + \frac{Lh^{\alpha}}{\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |e_k|.$$

Now using the discrete Gronwall's inequality [23], we find that

$$|e_n| \leq Ch^{\alpha}$$
.

The proof is now completed.

For more detailed Gronwall's inequality for fractional differential equation, we refer the reader to the paper [23].

With the same argument done in the proof of above theorem, we can prove the following theorem

Theorem 5.11. If y(t) solves (3) and y_n solves the FBE (33), and assume that f(t, y(t)) is sufficiently smooth and satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to second variable with a constant *L* then we have the following error estimate for FBE method for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

$$|y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}| \le Ch^{\alpha}.$$
 (50)

e

Next, we will prove an error analysis for the fractional Adam's methods in the following theorem

Theorem 5.12. If y(t) solves (13) and y_n solves the fractional Adam's methods (FAM) (38) and assume that f(t,y(t)) is sufficiently smooth and satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to second variable with a constant *L* then there is a constant *C* independent of *h* so that we have the following error estimate for FAM for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$.

$$|y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}| \le Ch^{1+\alpha}.$$
 (51)

Proof. Let $e_k = y(t_k) - y_k$ be the error at $t = t_k$, then subtracting the equation (13) from (38) and letting $e_0 = 0$, we find the following error equation

$$\begin{aligned} |y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}| &= \Big| \int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t,y(t)) dt \\ &- \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \Big(a_{k,n+1} f(t_{k},y_{k}) + a_{n+1,n+1} f(t_{k+1},y_{k+1}^{P}) \Big) \\ &= \Big| \int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t,y(t)) dt \\ &- \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \Big[\sum_{k=0}^{n} \Big(a_{k,n+1} f(t_{k},y_{k}) + a_{n+1,n+1} f(t_{k+1},y_{k+1}^{P}) \\ &+ a_{k,n+1} f(t_{k},y(t_{k})) - a_{k,n+1} f(t_{k},y(t_{k})) \Big) \\ &+ a_{n+1,n+1} f(t_{n+1},y(t_{n+1})) - a_{n+1,n+1} f(t_{n+1},y(t_{n+1})) \Big] \Big| \\ &\leq \Big| \int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t,y(t)) dt \\ &- \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n+1} a_{k,n+1} f(t_{k},y(t_{k})) - f(t_{k},y_{k}) \Big| \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} a_{n+1,n+1} \Big| f(t_{n+1}, y(t_{n+1})) - f(t_{n+1}, y_{n+1}^{P}) \Big|$$

:= $T_1 + T_2 + T_3$.

To finish the proof, we need to find the bound for T_i for i = 1, 2, 3. The first term T_1 is bounded by Lemma 5.6 so that

$$T_1 \leq Ch^2$$
 where $C = \frac{\|f\|_{\infty}}{2\alpha}T^{\alpha}$.

Using the Lipschitz condition on f with a Lipschitz constant L, we have

$$T_2 \leq L \frac{T^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n+1} \Big| y(t_k) - y_k \Big|$$
$$= L \frac{T^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^n a_{k,n+1} e_k.$$

Finally we estimate the last term T_3 using the Lipschitz condition on f with a Lipschitz constant L and $a_{n+1,n+1} =$

1 as follows

$$T_{3} \leq L \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} |y(t_{n+1}) - y_{n+1}^{P}|$$

= $L \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} |\int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t,y(t)) dx - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_{k} f(t_{k},y_{k})|$
 $\leq L \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} |\int_{a}^{t_{n+1}} (t-a)^{\alpha-1} f(t,y(t)) dx - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_{k} f(t_{k},y(t_{k}))|$
 $+ L \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)} |\sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_{k} f(t_{k},y(t_{k})) - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{h^{\alpha}}{\alpha} b_{k} f(t_{k},y_{k})|$
 $\leq C_{1}h^{1+\alpha} + \frac{L^{2}T^{2\alpha}}{\alpha^{2}(\alpha+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}e_{k},$

where we used the Lemma 5.5 and $C_1 := \frac{LMT^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}$. Thus, we get

$$\leq Ch^{2} + C_{1}h^{1+\alpha} + L\frac{T^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_{k,n+1}e_{k} + \frac{L^{2}T^{2}\alpha}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k}e_{k}$$

$$= Ch^{2} + C_{1}h^{1+\alpha} + L\frac{T^{\alpha}}{\alpha(\alpha+1)}\sum_{k=0}^{n} \left(a_{k,n+1} + \frac{LT^{\alpha}}{\alpha}\right)e_{k}.$$

Now, the result follows from the well-known Gronwall's inequality (see e.g.,[5])

$$e_{n+1} \leq Ch^{1+\alpha}$$
.

Thus, the proof is now completed.

6. Numerical Examples

In this section, we will give some numerical examples that verify the theoretical findings that we have established in the previous sections.

Example 4. Consider the following the fractional differential equation with $\alpha \in (0, 1], [15]$

$$\begin{cases} (T_o^{\alpha} y)(t) = 2t^{2-\alpha} - t^{1-\alpha} - y^2(t) + (t^2 - t + 1)^2, & t \in (0, 1] \\ y(0) = 1. \end{cases}$$
(52)

The exact solution is $y(t) = t^2 - t + 1$.

In this example, we test the FFE (32) and FAM (38) and the numerical results are shown in Table 4 and Table 5. We measure the error by the maximum norm defined by

$$||e_N|| := max_{0 \le k \le N} |y(t_k) - y_k|.$$

In Table 4, we choose the different mesh size h = 1/N for $N = 20.2^{\ell}$, $\ell = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. and different α and we find that the estimated order of convergence (EOC) of the fractional Euler's methods is O(h) which support our theoretical results. The order of convergence is estimated by the formula $log_2(||e(h,T)/e(h,T)|)$. As we see from the Table 4, the error gets smaller as the fractional order α closes the 1.

In Table 5, we find the EOC and the maximum errors for different *h* and α for the fractional Adams method and we see that results agree with the theoretical findings.

h	$\alpha = 0.3$	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.7$	$\alpha = 0.9$
1/40	1.03e-02	9.37e-03	8.33e-03	7.60e-03
1/80	5.14e-03	4.61e-03	4.05e-03	3.72e-03
1/160	2.56e-03	2.27e-03	1.97e-03	1.82e-03
1/320	1.27e-03	1.12e-03	9.62e-04	8.96e-04
1/640	6.37e-04	5.54e-04	4.68e-04	4.40e-04
EOC	0.9954	1.0155	0.9940	1.0395

Table 4. The maximum error for the fractional forward Euler method for for Example 4 at T = 1

h	$\alpha = 0.3$	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.7$	$\alpha = 0.9$
1/40	2.39e-04	3.08e-04	9.42e-04	1.98e-03
1/80	6.09e-05	6.82e-05	3.64e-04	9.05e-04
1/160	2.53e-05	9.03e-06	1.46e-04	3.25e-04
1/320	1.05e-05	3.98e-06	7.02e-05	1.11e-04
1/640	2.10e-06	1.42e-07	2.15e-05	2.59e-05
EOC	1.2546	1.507	1.707	2.092

Table 5. The maximum error for the fractional Adams method for for Example 4 at T = 1

Example 5. Consider the following linear fractional differential equation with $\alpha \in (0, 1]$,

$$\begin{cases} (T_o^{\alpha} y)(t) = -2y(t), & t \in (0,2) \\ y(0) = 1 \end{cases}$$
(53)

The exact solution is $y(t) = \exp(-2t^{\alpha}/\alpha)$.

h	$\alpha = 0.3$	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.7$	$\alpha = 0.9$
1/40	1.08e-03	1.49e-03	3.09e-04	1.16e-03
1/80	8.36e-04	1.20e-03	1.83e-04	5.43e-04
1/160	6.35e-04	9.15e-04	1.13e-04	2.48e-04
1/320	4.81e-04	6.80e-04	6.80e-05	1.11e-04
1/640	3.66e-04	4.97e-04	4.16e-05	4.78e-05
EOC	0.3941	0.472	0.708	1.059

Table 6. The maximum error for the fractional forward Euler method for for Example 5 at T = 2

h	$\alpha = 0.3$	$\alpha = 0.5$	$\alpha = 0.7$	$\alpha = 0.9$
1/40	2.39e-04	3.08e-04	9.42e-04	1.98e-03
1/80	6.09e-05	6.82e-05	3.64e-04	9.05e-04
1/160	2.53e-05	9.03e-06	1.46e-04	3.25e-04
1/320	1.05e-05	3.98e-06	7.02e-05	1.11e-04
1/640	2.10e-06	1.42e-07	2.15e-05	2.59e-05
EOC	1.2546	1.507	1.707	2.092

Table 7. The maximum error for the fractional Adams method for for Example 5 at T = 2

Again we use the same mesh size and different α as Example 4. The fractional Euler methods and Adams methods are applied to this problem for T = 2 and the results are given in Table 6 and Table 7. This example verifies that numerical results agree with the theoretical findings given in this paper.

7. Conclusion

In this work, we have proposed two numerical approaches to derive the numerical methods for fractional differential equations and examined the stability and convergence of the fractional Euler method, the fractional Adams method based on newly defined fractional derivative definition. We first find the fractional Taylor series for the conformable fractional derivative. The conformable fractional Taylor series have been given at the starting point called Maclaurin fractional Taylor series. To the our best knowledge, this is is the first paper giving the generalized conformable Taylor series any other point that is different from the initial point. From this generalization, we obtain the fractional Euler and fractional Taylor methods of desired order. Frequently used Taylor methods are the Taylor methods of order 2 and order of 4, so we investigate the fractional Taylor methods of order 2α and of order 4α . We have applied these proposed methods to FDEs with known solutions. Generally, numerical methods for FDEs are based on the integral equations that are inverted from differential equations, and then one uses some techniques to approximate the integral equations to derive a numerical scheme for approximating FDEs. Most of these schemes require large number of operations and computations. However, the fractional Taylor methods need only the previous step to compute the solution at the next step. This shows that the number of operations and computations increases linearly unlike the existing methods in the literature. Thus, the proposed methods in this paper are much easier to apply and simpler to implement since they involve no special functions to evaluate, and thus the methods more accurate when compared to the existing finite difference methods for FDEs. Our second approach for numerical methods for FDEs is based on so called product integral rule. Using this technique, we derive fractional Euler and fractional Adams methods and we prove stability and error analysis for these methods. We established new inequalities in this article. We also gave some numerical examples to verify the theoretical findings. The methods can be extended to consider the numerical methods for solving the fractional partial differential equations that will be the future work.

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the anonymous reviewer for his/her valuable and constructive comments and suggestions that helped to improve the manuscript.

References

- Zaslavsky, G. M., 2012. Chaos, fractional kinetics, and anomalous transport, Phys. Rep., 371 (2012), 461–580.
- [2] Miller, K., Ross, B. 1993. An Introduction to the Fractional Calculus and Fractional Differential Equations,1st Edition. Wiley, New York, 1993.
- [3] Podlubny, I. 1999. Fractional Differential Equations, Mathematics in Science and Engineering. Academic Press Inc., San Diego, CA, 1999.
- [4] Khalil, R., Horani, M., Yousef, A., Sababheh, M. 2014. A new definition of fractional derivative. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 264 (2014), 65–70.

- [5] Abdeljawad, T. 2015. On conformable fractional calculus. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 279 (2015), 57–66
- [6] Chung, W. S. 2015. Fractional Newton mechanics with conformable fractional derivative. Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics, 290 (2015), 150–158.
- [7] Benkhettou, N., Hassani, S., Torres, D. 2015. A conformable fractional calculus on arbitrary time scales. J.King Saud Univ. Sci., 28 (2015), 93–98.
- [8] Yang, S., Wang, L., Zhang, S. 2018. Conformable derivative: application to non-Darcian flow in lowpermeability porous media. Appl. Math. Lett. 79 (2018), 105–110.
- [9] Zhou, H., Yang, S., Zhang, S. 2018. Conformable derivative approach to anomalous diffusion. Physica A, 491(2018), 1001–1013.
- [10] Zhao, D., Luo, M. 2017. General conformable fractional derivative and its physical interpretation. Calcolo, 54(2017) 903–917.
- [11] Li, C. P., Chen, A., Ye, J. J. 2012. Numerical approaches to fractional calculus and fractional ordinary differential equation. J. Comput. Phys., 230 (2012), 3352–3368.
- [12] Lin, R., Liu, F. 2007. Fractional high order methods for the nonlinear fractional ordinary differential equation. Nonlinear Analysis, 66 (2007), 856–869.
- [13] Li, C., Deng, W. 2007. Remarks on fractional derivatives. Appl. Math. Comput., 187 (2007), 777–784.
- [14] Deng, W. H. 2008. Finite element method for the space and time fractional Fokker-Planck equation.

SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 47 (2008), 204–226.

- [15] Lubich, C. 1986. A stability analysis of convolution quadratures for Abel-Volterra integral equations. MA Journal of Numerical Analysis, I6 (1986), 87–101.
- [16] Diethelm, K., Ford, N., Freed, A. 2002. A predictor–corrector approach for the numerical solution of fractional differential equations. Nonlinear Dyn., 290 (2002), 3–22.
- [17] Li, C., Zeng, F. 2012. Finite difference methods for fractional differential equations. Int. J. Bifurc. Chaos Appl. Sci. Eng., 22 (4) (2012), 1230014.
- [18] Li, C., Zeng, F. 2015. Numerical Methods for Fractional Calculus., 1st Edition. Chapman and Hall/CRC Press,USA.
- [19] Young, A. 1954. Approximate product-integration. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A., 224 (1954), 552–561.
- [20] Caccioppoli, R. 1930. Un teorema generale sull esistenza de elemente uniti una transformazione funzionale. Acad. Naz. Linzei., 11 (1930), 31–49.
- [21] Usero, D. 2008. Fractional Taylor series for Caputo fractional deriva-Construction of numerical schemes. tives. http://http://www.fdi.ucm.es/profesor/lvazquez/calcfrac/ docs/paper_Usero.pdf (Erişim Tarihi: 23.01.2008).
- [22] Deng, W. H. 2007. Numerical algorithm for the time fractional Fokker–Planck equation. J. Comput. Phys., 227 (2007), 1510–1522.
- [23] Huang, Y. , Lau, T. 2003. A generalization of discrete Gronwall inequality and its application to weakly singular Volterra integral equation of the second kind. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 282 (2003), 56–62.