### **Research Article**

# https://doi.org/10.33484/sinopfbd.570134 A New Generalization of Injective Modules

## Esra ÖZTÜRK SÖZEN\*

Sinop University, Faculty of Science and Arts, Department of Mathematics, Sinop, Turkey

#### Abstract

In this paper, as a generalization of injective modules, we define two different modules: modules that have the property ( $\delta - SE$ ) and modules that have the property ( $\delta - SSE$ ), and we investigate basic properties of them. Namely, modules that have a  $\delta$ -supplement that is a direct summand in its every extension and modules that have a strong  $\delta$ -supplement in its every extension are tackled here. Particularly, it is proved that a ring whose modules have the property ( $\delta - SE$ ) is  $\delta$ -semiperfect. Let R be a ring, M be an R-module with IM = 0 for an ideal of R. It is shown that if the R-module M has the property ( $\delta - SE$ ), then so does  $\overline{R}$ -module M, under a special condition, where  $\overline{R} = \frac{R}{I}$ . Finally we supply an example showing that a module that has the property ( $\delta - SE$ ) may not have the property ( $\delta - SSE$ ).

**Keywords**:  $\delta$ -supplement,  $\bigoplus$ -  $\delta$ -supplement, module extension,  $\delta$ -semiperfect ring.

## İnjektif Modüllerin Yeni Bir Genelleştirmesi

## Öz

Bu çalışmada, injektif modüllerin yeni bir genelleştirmesi olarak iki farklı modül tanımlanmakta ve bunların temel özellikleri incelenmektedir. Bunlardan birincisi ( $\delta - SE$ ) özelliğine sahip modüller, yani her genişlemesinde direkt toplam terimi olacak şekilde bir  $\delta$ tümleyene sahip olan modüller; ikincisi ise ( $\delta - SSE$ ) özelliğine sahip modüller, yani her genişlemesinde güçlü  $\delta$  –tümleyene sahip olan modüllerdir. Özel olarak, tüm modülleri ( $\delta - SE$ ) özelliğine sahip olan halkaların  $\delta$ -yarı mükemmel olduğu ispatlanmıştır. Ayrıca R bir halka, M bir R-modül ve R nin IM = 0 koşulunu sağlayan I ideali için  $\overline{R} = \frac{R}{I}$  olmak üzere, özel bir şart altında R-modül olarak ( $\delta - SE$ ) özelliğine sahip M modülünün  $\overline{R}$ -modül olarak da ( $\delta - SE$ ) özelliğine sahip olduğu gösterilmiştir. Çalışmanın sonunda ( $\delta - SE$ ) özelliğine sahip fakat ( $\delta - SSE$ ) özelliğine sahip olmayan modüle bir örnek verilmiştir.

Anahtar kelimeler:  $\delta$ -tümleyen,  $\oplus$ - $\delta$ -tümleyen, modül genişlemesi,  $\delta$ -yarı mükemmel halka.

### Introduction

In this paper, all rings are associative with identity and all modules are unital left modules, unless otherwise specified. The notation (N < M)  $N \le M$  means that *N* is a (proper) submodule of *M* or *M* is an extension of *N*. A submodule  $N \le M$  is called essential in *M* if  $N \cap X \ne$ 0 for every nonzero submodule *X* of *M* and denoted by  $N \le M$ . Dually, a proper

**Received:** 25.05.2019 **Accepted:** 01.08.2019

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author: ORCID ID: orcid.org/0000-0002-2632-2193 e-mail: esozen@sinop.edu.tr

Sinop Uni J Nat Sci 4 (2):122-129(2019) ISSN: 2536-4383

submodule N of M is called small in M if  $N + X \neq M$  for every proper submodule X of M and denoted by  $N \ll M$ . Let N and X be submodules of M. X is called a supplement of N in M if it is minimal with respect to the property M = N + X, equivalently, M = N + X and  $N \cap X \ll X$ . If every submodule of *M* has a supplement in M, M is called supplemented. The module M is called  $\oplus$ -supplemented if every submodule of M has a supplement in *M* that is a direct summand of *M*. A module *M* is called strongly supplemented or lifting if every submodule U of M has a strong supplement Vin М. i.e..  $M = U + V, U \cap V \ll V$  and  $(U \cap V) \oplus$ U' = U for some submodule U' of U. Note that a module *M* is called lifting if and only if every submodule N of M includes a direct summand K of M such that  $\frac{N}{\kappa} \ll \frac{M}{\kappa}$ . detailed information related to For supplemented,  $\oplus$ -supplemented and lifting modules and other concepts given here we refer to [1], [2] and [3] respectively.

The singular submodule of a module M is Z(M) containing the elements of M whose annihilators are essential in R. A module M is called singular if Z(M) = M [4]. In [5], Zhou introduced the concept of  $\delta$ -small submodules as a generalization of small submodules. A submodule N of M is called  $\delta$ -small in M if for any submodule X

of M provided that  $\frac{M}{x}$  singular, M = N + Ximplies that X = M and denoted by the notation  $N \ll_{\delta} M$ .  $\delta(M)$  indicates the sum of all  $\delta$  -small submodules of M. A submodule X of M is said to be a  $\delta$ supplement of a submodule N in M if N + X = M and  $N \cap X \ll_{\delta} X$  [6]. A module *M* is called  $\delta$ -supplemented if every submodule of *M* has a  $\delta$ -supplement in M. Of course, every supplemented module is  $\delta$ -supplemented but the converse is not true in general. In [6], Koşan defined and investigated  $\delta$ -lifting (or strongly  $\delta$ supplemented) modules besides  $\delta$  supplemented modules as a generalization of lifting modules. A module M is called  $\delta$ lifting (strongly  $\delta$ -supplemented ) if every submodule N of M has a strong  $\delta$  supplement K' in M, i.e., for any  $N \leq M$ , there exists a decomposition  $M = K \bigoplus K'$ such that  $K \leq N$  and  $N \cap K' \ll_{\delta} K'$ . Also *M* is called  $\bigoplus$ - $\delta$ -supplemented if every submodule of *M* has a  $\delta$ -supplement which is a direct summand of M [8]. Clearly  $\delta$ lifting modules are  $\oplus$ - $\delta$ -supplemented.

A module M is called injective if it is a direct summand in its every extension [9]. Since every direct summand of a module M is also a supplement submodule in M, in [10] Zöschinger introduced the module with the property (E), namely it has a supplement in its every extension, as a generalization of injective modules. Recently, several authors have studied remarkable generalizations of these modules (see in [11], [12]). In [13] and [14], modules that have the properties (SE), (SSE) and  $(\delta - E)$  were defined respectively.

In this study we give a new generalization of injective modules using the notion of  $\delta$ -supplement. By following the terminology and notation as in [13], we call a module *M* has the property  $(\delta - SE)$ if in any extension N of M, M has a  $\delta$ supplement that is a direct summand of N. We call a module *M* has the property ( $\delta$  – SSE) as a proper generalization of modules with the property (SSE) if it has a strong  $\delta$ supplement in its every extension. As an example we prove simple modules having the property ( $\delta - SSE$ ) and even we prove that semisimple modules with  $\delta(M) \ll_{\delta} M$ and  $\frac{M}{\delta(M)}$  singular over  $\delta$ -semilocal rings also have the property  $(\delta - SSE)$ . Moreover being injective and having the properties  $(\delta - SE)$  and  $(\delta - SSE)$  for a module M coincides over a left  $\delta$ -V-ring. We answer when all submodules of a module M has the property  $(\delta - SE)$ . Zöschinger proved in [10] that if an R-module M with IM =0 for an ideal I of R has the property (E)then so does  $\overline{R}$ -module M, where  $\overline{R}$  is the ring  $\frac{R}{l}$ . We give the similar fact for modules that have the property ( $\delta - SE$ ) under a specific condition in Proposition 9. In addition we show that in Proposition 10 modules that have the property ( $\delta - SE$ ) also have the property ( $\delta - SSE$ ) if they are fully invariant submodules in every extension. At the end we give the fact that if every left *R*-module has the property ( $\delta - SE$ ), then the ring *R* is  $\delta$ -semiperfect.

Clearly we have the following hierarchy:

Injective module  $\Rightarrow$  module that has the property  $(\delta - SSE) \Rightarrow$  module that has the property  $(\delta - SE) \Rightarrow$  module that has the property  $(\delta - E)$ .

### Results

**Lemma 1:** Let M be a module. Then  $Soc(\delta(M)) \ll_{\delta} M$ .

**Proof:** In aspect of brevity, let say  $Soc(\delta(M)) = X$  and assume M = X + Y for any submodule *Y* of *M* with  $\frac{M}{Y}$  singular. Setting  $Z = X \cap Y$ , we have  $X = Z \oplus Z'$  for some  $Z' \leq X$  and  $M = X + Y = (Z \oplus Z') + Y = Z' \oplus Y$ . It is a known fact from [4, Prop. 1.24] that any simple right *R*-module is either singular or projective. So in particular there exist two cases for any simple submodule *S* of *Z'*. *Case 1*: Assume that *S* is singular. Since it is a direct summand and  $\delta$ -small (=small, because of singularity) submodule in *M*, *Z'* is  $\delta$ -small in *M* as a direct summand. So Z' = M since  $\frac{M}{r}$  is singular.

*Case 2:* Assume that this submodule is projective. Since it is also semisimple we can say it is  $\delta$ -small in Z'. By the same way in case (1), again  $Z' \ll_{\delta} M$ . Hence  $X \ll_{\delta} M$ .

Recall that a submodule *K* of a module *M* is the weak  $\delta$ -supplement of a submodule *L* in *M* if M = K + L and  $K \cap L \ll_{\delta} M$ .

**Proposition 2:** Let M be a semisimple module. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- 1. *M* has the property  $(\delta E)$ ;
- 2. *M* has the property  $(\delta SE)$ ;
- 3. *M* has a weak  $\delta$  -supplement in every extension *N*;
- For every module N with M ≤ N, there exists a submodule K of N such that N = M + K and M ∩ K ≤ δ(N);
- 5. *M* has the property  $(\delta SSE)$ .

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let *N* be any extension of *M*. By (1), we have N = M + K and  $M \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$  for some submodule  $K \leq M$ . Since *M* is semisimple, there exists a submodule *X* of *M* such that  $M = (M \cap K) \bigoplus X$ . So  $(M \cap K) \cap X = K \cap X = 0$ . Therefore,  $N = M + K = [(M \cap K) + X] + K = K + X$ . This means that

 $N = K \bigoplus X$  and so *M* has the property ( $\delta - SE$ ).

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  and  $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  are clear.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (5): Let  $M \leq N$ . Then there exists a submodule K of N such that N = M + K and  $M \cap K \leq \delta(N)$ . By Lemma 1, we obtain that  $Soc(\delta(N)) \ll_{\delta} N$ . Since M is semisimple we can write the decomposition  $M = (M \cap K) \bigoplus X$  for some submodule  $X \leq M$ . It follows that  $M \cap K = Soc(M \cap K) \leq Soc(\delta(N)) \ll_{\delta} N$ .

Applying [5, Lemma 1.4],  $M \cap K$  is a  $\delta$ -small submodule of N. Since  $N = M + K = [(M \cap K) \bigoplus X] + K = X \bigoplus K$ , we obtain that  $M \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$  by [15]. Hence K is a strong  $\delta$ supplement of M in N.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$  is clear.

Recall that a ring *R* is called  $\delta$ -semilocal if  $\frac{R}{\delta(R)}$  is semisimple, where  $\delta(R) = \delta(R)$  denotes the sum of all  $\delta$ -small left ideals of *R* [16].

**Lemma 3:** The following statements for a module *M* are equivalent :

- a) *M* is  $\delta$ -semilocal;
- b) Any  $N \in Gen(M)$  is  $\delta$ -semilocal.

**Proof:** For every  $N \in Gen(M)$  there exists a set  $\Lambda$  and an epimorphism  $f: M^{(\Lambda)} \longrightarrow N$ . Since  $f(\delta(M)) \leq \delta(N)$  and  $\frac{M^{(\Lambda)}}{\delta(M^{(\Lambda)})} \cong (\frac{M}{\delta(M)})^{(\Lambda)}$  always holds, we get an epimorphism  $\overline{f}: (\frac{M}{\delta(M)})^{(\Lambda)} \longrightarrow \frac{N}{\delta(N)}$ . Hence N is  $\delta$ -semilocal.

**Corollary 4:** Let *M* be a semisimple R-module over a  $\delta$ -semilocal ring *R* with  $\delta(M) \ll_{\delta} M$  and  $\frac{M}{\delta(M)}$  singular. Then *M* has the property  $(\delta - SSE)$ .

**Proof:** Let *N* be an *R*-module with  $M \le N$ . Since *R* is  $\delta$ -semilocal, we obtain that *N* is semilocal by Lemma 3. Therefore, there exists a submodule *K* of *N* such that N =M + K and  $M \cap K \le \delta(N)$ . Applying Proposition 2, we derive that *M* has the property ( $\delta - SSE$ ).

It is clear that every injective module has the property  $(\delta - SSE)$ . The following example shows that a module that has the property  $(\delta - SSE)$  need not to be injective. Firstly, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5:** Every simple module has the property ( $\delta - SSE$ ).

**Proof:** It is similar to the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [13].

A uniserial module M is an R-module over a ring R whose submodules are totally ordered by inclusion. Dually, a serial module is a direct sum of uniserial modules. Any simple module is trivially uniserial, and likewise semisimple modules are serial modules. A ring R is left serial if the Rmodule R is serial [17].

**Example:**  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{n\mathbb{Z}}$  is uniserial when *n* is a prime power but not injective as a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module. So

the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{p\mathbb{Z}}$ , where p is prime, has the property ( $\delta - SSE$ ) but it is not injective.

**Lemma 6:** Let *M* be a module that has the property  $(\delta - SE)$ . Suppose that *N* is an extension of *M* such that  $\delta(N) = 0$ . Then *M* is a direct summand of *N*.

**Proof:** Let *N* be any extension of *M*. Since *M* has the property  $(\delta - SE)$  there exist submodules *K* and *K'* of *N* such that N = M + K,  $M \cap K \ll_{\delta} K$  and  $N = K \bigoplus K'$ . By the hypothesis,  $M \cap K \leq \delta(N) = 0$ . It follows that  $N = M \bigoplus K$ .

Recall from [8] that a ring *R* is a left  $\delta$ -*V*-ring if and only if  $\delta(M) = 0$  for every left *R*-module *M*.

**Proposition 7:** For a module *M* over a left  $\delta$  - *V* -ring, the following statements are equivalent.

- 1. *M* is injective;
- 2. *M* has the property  $(\delta SSE)$ ;
- 3. *M* has the property  $(\delta SE)$ .

Proof:  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  and  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  are clear.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) follows from Lemma 6.

**Theorem 8:** For a module *M* the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. Every submodule of *M* has the property  $(\delta SE)$ ;
- 2. For any extension N of M and any submodule K such that N = M +

K, K contains a  $\delta$ -supplement of M in N that is a direct summand of K.

**Proof:** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) : Let *N* be any extension of *M*. Suppose that a submodule *K* of *N* satisfies N = M + K. By the hypothesis  $M \cap K$  has the property ( $\delta - SE$ ), so  $M \cap K$  has a  $\delta$ supplement *L* in *K* such that *L* is a direct summand of *K*, that is,  $K = (M \cap K) + L$ ,  $(M \cap K) \cap L = M \cap$  $L \ll_{\delta} L$  and there exists a submodule *L'* of *K* such that  $K = L \bigoplus L'$ . Then N = $M + K = M + (M \cap K) + L = M + L$ ,  $M \cap L \ll_{\delta} L$  and *L* is also a direct summand of *K*.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let *U* be any submodule of *M* and *N* be any extension of *U*. We heve the following pushout diagram by the inclusion homomorphisms  $i_1$  and  $i_2$ .



It follows that  $F = Im(\alpha) + Im(\beta)$  and  $\alpha$  is a monomorphism by the properties of pushout, and so  $M \cong Im(\alpha)$ . By assumption  $Im(\alpha)$  has a  $\delta$ -supplement V in F with  $V \le Im(\beta)$ , i.e.,  $F = Im(\alpha) + V$ ,  $Im(\alpha) \cap V \ll_{\delta} V$  and there exists a submodule K of  $Im(\beta)$  such that  $Im(\beta) = V \bigoplus K$ . Then we get N =  $\beta^{-1}(Im(\alpha)) + \beta^{-1}(V) = U + \beta^{-1}(V)$ and  $U \cap \beta^{-1}(V) \ll_{\delta} \beta^{-1}(V)$ . Since  $\beta$  is a monomorphism, we have that N = $\beta^{-1}(Im(\beta)) = \beta^{-1}(V) \bigoplus \beta^{-1}(K)$ , which means that  $\beta^{-1}(V)$  is a direct summand of N. Therefore U has the property  $(\delta - SE)$ .

**Proposition 9:** Let *R* be a ring, *I* be an ideal of *R* with  $\overline{R} = \frac{R}{I}$  and *M* be an *R*-module that has the property ( $\delta - SE$ ) with IM =0. If for any module *K* the submodule *IK* is fully invariant in *K*, then  $\overline{R}$ -module *M* also has the property ( $\delta - SE$ ).

**Proof:** It can be proved similar to Prop. 2.3 in [13].

**Proposition 10:** Let *M* be a module that has the property  $(\delta - SE)$ . If *M* is a fully invariant submodule in every extension, then  $\overline{R}$ -module *M* also has the property  $(\delta - SSE)$ .

**Proof:** It can be proved similar to Prop. 2.4 in [13].

**Theorem 11:** Let *R* be a ring. If every left *R*-module has the property ( $\delta - SE$ ), then *R* is  $\delta$ -semiperfect.

**Proof:** Let assume that every left *R*-module has the property  $(\delta - SE)$ . Accordingly, every left ideal of *R* has a  $\delta$ -supplement in *R* as a left *R*-module. Thus the module  $_RR$ is  $\bigoplus -\delta$ -supplemented. Therefore *R* is a  $\delta$ -semiperfect ring. Generally it is obvious that modules that have the property  $(\delta - SSE)$  also have the property  $(\delta - SE)$  but the converse may not be true in specific cases. In [13], the author proved that every left *R*-module over a commutative artinian serial ring *R* has the property (*SE*). Now by using this fact, we give an example of a module that has the property  $(\delta - SE)$  but does not have the property  $(\delta - SSE)$ .

**Example 12:** Let *R* be a local Dedekind domain. For an integer  $n \ge 3$ , it is a known fact that  $\frac{R}{Rad(R)^n}$  is artinian serial as an *R*module. Hence  $\frac{R}{Rad(R)^n}$  has the property (*SE*) and so ( $\delta - SE$ ). On the other hand  $\frac{R}{Rad(R)^n}$  does not have the property ( $\delta - SSE$ ) as an *R*-module as *R* is local (see in [13] and [18]).

# References

[1] Clark J., Lomp C., Vajana N., Wisbauer R., 2006. Frontiers in Mathematics, Lifting Modules, Supplements and Projectivity in Module Theory, Birkhauser, Basel.

[2] Keskin D., Smith P.F., Xue W., 1999. Rings whose modules are  $\oplus$  supplemented, Journal of Algebra, 218: 470-487.

[3] Wisbauer R., 1991. Foundations of Modules and Rings, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Dusseldorff, 616p.

[4] Goodearl K.R., 1976. Pure and Applied Mathematics, A series of Monographs and Textbooks, Ring Theory, Nonsingular rings and modules, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York and Basel.

[5] Zhou Y., 2000. Generalizations of perfect, semiperfect, and semiregular rings, Algebra Colloq., 7(3): 305-318.

[6] Koşan M.T., 2007.  $\delta$  -lifting and  $\delta$ -supplemented modules, Algebra Colloq., 14(1): 53 - 60.

[7] Al-Takhman K., 2007. Cofinitely  $\delta$  -supplemented and cofinitely  $\delta$  -semiperfect modules, Int. J. Algebra, 1(12): 601-613.

[8] Üngör B., Halıcıoğlu S., Harmancı A., 2014. On a class of  $\delta$  -supplemented modules, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., 37(3): 703-717.

[9] Sharpe D.W., Vamos P., 1972. Injective modules, Cambridge University Press.

[10] Zöschinger H., 1974. Moduln die in jeder Erweiterung ein Komplement haben, Math. Scand. 35: 267-287.

[11] Çalışıcı H., Türkmen E., 2012. Modules that have a supplement in every cofinite extension, Georgian Math. J., 19: 209-216.

[12] Sözen E.Ö., Eryılmaz F., Eren Ş., 2017. Modules that have a weak  $\delta$ -supplement in every torsion extension, Journal of Science and Arts, 17, 2(39): 269-274.

[13] Türkmen B.N., 2016. On generalizations of injective modules, Publications De L'institut Mathematique, 99(113): 249-255.

[14] Sözen E.Ö., Eren Ş., 2017. Modules that have a  $\delta$  -supplement in every extension, Eur. J. Pure App. Math.,10(4): 730-738.

[15] Talebi Y., Hamzekolaei A., 2009. Closed weak  $\delta$ -supplemented modules, JP Journal of Algebra, Number Theory and Applications, 13(2): 193-208.

[16] Talebi Y., Talaee B., 2009. On generalized  $\delta$  -supplemented modules, Vietnam J. Math., 37(4): 515-525.

[17] Puninski G., 2001. Serial Rings, Kluwer, Dordrecht, Boston, London.

[18] Tribak R., 2015. When finitely generated  $\delta$  -supplemented modules are supplemented, Algebra Colloq., 22(1): 119-130.