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Decoupling network for Tx/Rx body coil for 7T MRI
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Abstract: The parallel imaging technique is widely used in 7T MRI scanners. It employs multichannel RF coil arrays to apply a concurrent excitation and acquisition method. Concurrent excitation faces significant challenges in terms of electromagnetic coupling between the RF coil elements. In order to prevent interference between the RF coil elements’ exciters, several decoupling methods have been developed to compensate for coupling and to permit independent work for the exciters. This paper studies the coupling between meander coils arranged in two different geometrical setups and investigates the isolation performance between the coils by applying two different decoupling networks depending on the geometrical setup of the coils. These two decoupling networks in addition to a T-shaped decoupling network have been integrated into a Tx/Rx body coil for 7 T to compensate for mutual coupling between array coil elements. The results have been obtained by using CST Microwave Studio (CST AG, Darmstadt, Germany).
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1. Introduction

Ultrahigh magnetic field MRI scanners (e.g., 7T) are considered valuable and promising diagnostic tools due to the higher obtainable signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and image quality [1–3]. In contrast, the exciting frequency required to examine the protons in the human body increases. This increase leads to significant distortion in the field distribution (inhomogeneity) inside human tissues [4, 5]. Several approaches have been proposed to overcome the inhomogeneity of field distribution. The most famous and promising approaches are static RF shimming [6, 7], transmit SENSE [8, 9], and TIAMO [10]. All these approaches use multichannel parallel RF transmission methods. These methods utilize different RF coil array elements such as ceramic resonators [11], loops [12, 13], microstrip lines (MSLs) [14], dipole antennas [15], and monopoles [16].

An optimal implementation of this method requires good isolation between the array elements. In order to reduce and eliminate the mutual coupling, several decoupling methods have been proposed and developed. In an earlier study on phased-array design, conventional decoupling techniques such as coil overlapping were used to minimize the coupling between nearest-neighbor coils, whereas low input impedance preamplifiers were used to decouple the nonnearest neighbors [17]. In [18], a new decoupling method was based on the assumption that any n-element phased array can be decoupled by a 2n-port interface system. Simulation results in [19] showed that a decoupling matrix can be inserted between the power amplifiers and the transmit array to achieve ideal decoupling. Reactive decoupling networks have been developed to be inserted between the adjacent coil
elements in order to compensate for mutual coupling \([20–22]\). A parasitic element-based decoupling method has
been demonstrated as a successful decoupling technique for microstrip transmission line array elements \([23]\),
monopole elements \([24]\), and phased array \([25]\). Another technique that has played an intrinsic role in transmit
array decoupling is an ultralow output impedance RF power amplifier \([26]\). This amplifier with its unique
property has the capability of decoupling the transmit coil elements as well as delivering maximum RF power.
Feedback loops have also been developed to reduce the coupling between the transmit coil elements \([27]\).

This paper is organized as follows: the second section presents the advantages of using microstrip
transmission line resonators in ultrahigh MRI scanners. Section 3 derives equivalent circuit models for two
different arrangements of meander coils: parallel aligned and collinear aligned. Section 4 presents three different
decoupling networks designed for three different geometrical setups of the coils. These decoupling networks have
been used to decouple a 32-channel body coil for 7 T.

2. Theory
2.1. Microstrip transmission line resonator

Since the demand for using MRI scanners with their property of ultrahigh magnetic field strength has increased,
the challenge of designing RF coils started. These scanners increase the frequency of the excitation signal
required to excite the nuclei of protons in the human body. Once the frequency increases, the radiation losses
will increase as a consequence.

Researchers have been able to overcome this challenge by developing microstrip transmission line res-
onators. These resonators have demonstrated high Q-factor as well as signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) \([28]\). In order
to improve the penetration characteristics of this resonator, two meanders have been added at both ends of the
conductors \([29]\). For safety, these meanders have been loaded by high-dielectric materials \([30]\).

The geometry of this resonator in free space is shown in Figure 1a. The two conductors of the resonator
have been printed on FR-4 substrate \((\varepsilon_r = 4.4, \tan\delta = 0.02)\) with a thickness of 0.5 mm and dimensions of
250 mm \(\times\) 10 0mm. A ground plane is placed 20 mm apart on the opposite side. Dielectric substrates \((\varepsilon_r =
10.2, \tan\delta = 0.0023)\) of 3.2 mm in thickness have been used to load the meanders. On the upper side, dielectric
substrates with dimensions of 80 mm \(\times\) 20 mm have been placed, whereas dielectric substrates with dimensions
of 7 0mm \(\times\) 16 mm have been placed on the backside. A homogeneous phantom \((\varepsilon_r = 45.3, \sigma = 0.8 \text{ S/m})\)
with dimensions of 600 mm \(\times\) 90 mm \(\times\) 370 mm is placed at a distance of 200 mm above the resonator. More
details concerning this resonator were presented in \([31]\).

Meander coil parameters such as reflection coefficient, input impedance, and Q-factor have been carried
out based on CST Microwave Studio FDTD software. From EM simulation, it was found that our coil can be
represented as a series RLC resonant circuit due to its impedance behavior. The resonant frequency of 298 MHz
and the Q-factor of 69 obtained by the EM simulation have been used in the design of the equivalent circuit
model as initial values, whereas the coil resistance and reactance components are strongly dependent on them,
as shown in the following equations:

\[
f_0 = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{LC}} \tag{1}
\]

and

\[
Q = \frac{1}{R_d} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{L}{C}} \tag{2}
\]
where $f_o$ is the resonant frequency, $Q$ is the quality factor, $R_d$ is the coil resistance, $L$ is the self-inductance, and $C$ is the self-capacitance.

Optimization goals in a circuit model including the initial conditions as well as good agreement between EM simulation and circuit simulation using the Advanced Design System (ADS simulator) will lead us to an equivalent circuit model as shown in Figure 1b. The coil resistance $R_d$ of 5.9 ohm has been modeled as frequency-dependent for better results, the coil inductance $L_d$ is 205.76 nH, and the coil capacitance $C_d$ is 1.3839 pF. Shunt capacitor $C_p$ of 3.36 pF represents the parasitic capacitance of the coil. The quarter wave length coaxial cable (TL1) is used to match the low input impedance of the coil to a 50-ohm generator. Good agreement between results of the meander coil reflection coefficient and input impedance from EM and circuit simulations has been achieved, as shown in Figures 2a and 2b, respectively.

**Figure 2.** Single meander coil parameters: (a) reflection coefficient of meander coil, (b) input impedance of single meander coil (left) resistance, (right) reactance.

### 2.2. Equivalent circuit model of coupled meander coils

#### 2.2.1. Parallel meander coils

In Figure 3, two-coupled meander coils parallel aligned in free space below a homogeneous phantom are shown. Based on the full-wave simulation results, the S and Z parameter matrices for two-element array have been obtained as follows:

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} -0.8104 - j \times 0.0004 & 0.1185 - j \times 0.0702 \\ 0.1185 - j \times 0.0702 & -0.8104 - j \times 0.0004 \end{bmatrix}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)
\[
Z = \begin{bmatrix}
5.3879 - j \times 0.2929 & 3.6137 - j \times 2.1678 \\
3.6137 - j \times 2.1678 & 5.3879 - j \times 0.2929
\end{bmatrix}.
\] (4)

Figure 3. Simulation setup for two parallel meander coils in free space with a gap of 100 mm, situated 200 mm below a homogeneous phantom.

It is clear from the above matrices that our network possesses both symmetrical and reciprocal properties. This will ease our task of building the equivalent network of the coupled elements. The second observation comes from the impedance matrix, where the mutual reactance between both elements is capacitive. Because our meander coil can be equivalently represented as a resonator, as we have already seen in Figure 1b, it is possible to model multiple element coils as coupled resonators. From antenna theory, two common equivalent networks can model the coupling between elements: the tee-network and the pi-network, as shown in Figure 4, where \(Z_{11}\) is the self-impedance of element 1, \(Z_{22}\) is the self-impedance of element 2, \(Z_{21}\) is the mutual impedance between both elements, \(Z_1\) is the input impedance to element 1, and \(Z_2\) is the input impedance to element 2.

Figure 4. Coupling network models: (left) tee-network, (right) pi-network.

The similar procedure that we followed to build an equivalent network for a single meander coil will be applied here. Extra optimization goals will be added especially for the mutual impedance where the initial conditions for it have been obtained from the impedance matrix. A quarter wavelength transmission line that has been used in the equivalent circuit model of the single meander coil will be deleted in the equivalent circuit of two coupled coils to prevent any impedance transformation in the coupled impedance; an accurate equivalent circuit can thereby be generated, as shown in Figure 5. This circuit can be split up into three major parts: two series resonance circuits connected by a common tee-network representing the mutual coupling between two elements. After several iterative simulations based on a random method offered by the ADS simulator, the
mutual impedance had a value of $3.3-j*2.31$ ohm. This value is very close to the value in the impedance matrix obtained by EM simulation.

Good agreement between results for meander coil input impedance and mutual impedance from EM and circuit simulations has been achieved, as shown in Figures 6a and 6b, respectively. From these results, we got the impression that the tee-network is a proper representative network for the parallel coupled meander coil.

2.2.2. Collinear meander coils

In Figure 7, two-coupled meander coils are collinearly aligned in free space below a homogeneous phantom. Similar to what we have done for parallel aligned coils, the S and Z parameter matrices have been obtained from the full-wave simulation as follows:

\[
S = \begin{bmatrix}
-0.6097 + j \times 0.0003 & 0.3275 + j \times 0.2823 \\
0.3275 + j \times 0.2823 & -0.6097 + j \times 0.0003
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(5)

\[
Z = \begin{bmatrix}
12.4638 + j \times 4.5165 & 11.9134 + j \times 11.8758 \\
11.9134 + j \times 11.8758 & 12.4638 + j \times 4.5165
\end{bmatrix}.
\]

(6)
Figure 7. Simulation setup for two collinear meander coils in free space with a gap of 50 mm, situated 200 mm below a homogeneous phantom.

From the impedance matrix, the mutual reactance between both elements is inductive. A tee-network is used to model the equivalent circuit of two collinear meander coils. The mutual impedance obtained from the impedance matrix has been used as the initial condition in the circuit simulation. After several iterative simulations in the ADS simulator, an accurate equivalent circuit can be generated as shown in Figure 8, where the mutual impedance has a value of 11.55+j*12 ohm. This value again is very close to the value in the impedance matrix of EM simulation with good agreement between the results of meander coil input impedance and mutual impedance from EM and circuit simulations as shown in Figures 9a and 9b, respectively.

2.3. Reactive element-based decoupling network

In [32], a decoupling network was proposed for wireless communications to isolate between two strongly coupled antennas. It consists of two transmission lines with characteristic impedance $Z_o$ and electrical length $\theta$, and a shunt reactive component with admittance $jB$ as shown in Figure 10. The admittance $Y_{21}$ appearing after the transmission lines would be purely imaginary and can thus be canceled by reactive component $jB$.

In [33], a similar decoupling network concept was used, replacing the transmission lines with reactive component $jX$ instead. The closed-form equations for the elements of the decoupling network were given in the same paper.
3. Materials and methods

3.1. Decoupling networks for two-coupled coils

In this paper, the proposed decoupling networks for both coupled meander arrangements have made use of the design given in [33]. The values of the reactive components for both networks have been calculated based on the equations given in the same reference. For side-by-side arrangement, $X = -7.5321$ and $B = -0.0528$, and for collinear arrangement, $X = 12.5765$ and $B = 0.0847$. Now the decoupling networks are ready to be built up as shown in Figures 11a and 11b. Two series capacitors and one shunt inductor are needed for the first arrangement, whereas two series inductors and one shunt capacitor are needed for the second arrangement.

3.2. Decoupling network for coil arrays

Due to the promising results (e.g., image quality) achieved by using meander coils in 7T scanners, as mentioned before in this paper, several implementations have been done to build a Tx/Rx body coil using 8 channels [34, 35] or 32 channels [36, 37]. The 8-channel array in [34, 35] consists of 8 meander coils in a circular arrangement. In this case, the decoupling network in Figure 11 can be utilized to reduce the mutual coupling.

The body coil with 32 channels in [36, 37] is shown in Figure 12a. It consists of 32 meander coils arranged in 3 interleaved rings. One inner ring consists of 12 elements, while the two outer rings consist of 10 elements.
Figure 11. Decoupling networks for two different geometrical setups: (a) decoupling network for two parallel meander coils, (b) decoupling network for two collinear meander coils.

Each body coil can be divided into eight subarrays with four meander coils each as shown in Figure 12b. The arrangement of coils 1 and 2 is considered collinear, whereas the arrangement of coils 3 and 4 is considered parallel. The decoupling networks for these two arrangements are discussed in Section 4.1. For the collinear arrangement, two inductors (L12) and one capacitor (C12) form the decoupling network, whereas one inductor (L34) and two capacitors (C34) form the decoupling network for the parallel arrangement as shown in Figure 13. The T-shaped decoupling networks in the same figure are used to decouple coils 1&2 from coils 3&4. They consist of two inductors (L21), two resistors (R1), and one shunt capacitor (C1). This decoupling network was proposed in [38] for MIMO applications. It has been used to decouple between two strongly coupled antennas and to provide wideband isolation.

4. Results

Both decoupling networks illustrated in Figures 11a and 11b show high isolation between the coupled meander coils. For parallel arrangement, isolation of more than 60 dB has been obtained between the coupled meander coils after adding the corresponding decoupling network, whereas the isolation was around 10 dB without the decoupling network as seen in Figures 14a and 14b.

For collinear arrangement, isolation of more than 60 dB has been obtained between the coupled meander coils after adding the corresponding decoupling network, whereas the isolation was around 5 dB without the decoupling network as seen in Figures 14c and 14d.

In the case of a 32-channel body coil, three different decoupling networks have been proposed as shown in Figure 13. After optimizing the values of the decoupling networks elements, isolation of more than 40 dB...
Figure 12. A body coil for 7T whole body imaging: (a) a 32-channel body coil for 7 T [36], (b) simulation setup for four meander coils, representing one subarray in the 32-channel body coil.

Figure 13. Decoupling network for one subarray in the 32-channel body coil.

has been obtained between the four meander coils in the subarray as shown in Figure 15a, whereas the mutual coupling between the subarray elements before adding the decoupling networks is shown in Figure 15b. Table 1 summarizes the values of all decoupling networks elements. The matching network for all meander coils consists of a transmission line connected in series with capacitor. For coils 1 & 2, the transmission line (T.L. 1) with series capacitor (C1s) form the matching network, whereas the transmission line (T.L. 2) with series capacitor (C2s) form the matching network for coils 3 & 4. Table 2 summarizes the values of all matching networks elements.
Figure 14. S-parameters for two-coupled meander coils with and without decoupling network: (a) return loss and isolation before adding decoupling network and with matching network for parallel meander coils, (b) return loss and isolation after adding decoupling network and matching network for parallel meander coils, (c) return loss and isolation before adding decoupling network and with matching network for collinear meander coils, (d) return loss and isolation after adding decoupling network and matching network for collinear meander coils.

Table 1: The values of all decoupling networks elements, which are shown in Figure 13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Decoupling network 1</th>
<th>Decoupling network 2</th>
<th>Decoupling network 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>L12 (nH)</td>
<td>C12 (pF)</td>
<td>L34 (nH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The values of all matching networks elements, which are shown in Figure 13.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network</th>
<th>Matching network 1</th>
<th>Matching network 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Component</td>
<td>C1s (pF)</td>
<td>T.L.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Value</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>Epsilon=2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>length= 116 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Zo=50 ohm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Attenuation=0.478</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Conclusion

This paper has studied the mutual coupling effect between two meander coils arranged in two different geometrical setups: parallel arrangement and collinear arrangement. Two different reactive component-based decoupling networks have been used to address the mutual coupling for both geometrical setups. Isolation enhancement for more than 50 dB has been obtained between the meander coils by using these decoupling networks in both arrangements. The recent proposed 32-channel body coil for 7 T is considered a more complex design that combines both previous arrangements in one design. The decoupling networks for such a complex coil have been designed and simulated in ADS. It has made use of the two previously discussed decoupling networks in addition to an extra decoupling network (T-shaped) to reduce the mutual coupling between adjacent array coil elements. The isolation performance has been investigated by designing four meander coils representing one subarray in the 32-channel body coil and integrating the three decoupling networks. High port isolation (more than 40 dB) has been achieved between array elements. A return loss of more than −40 dB has been obtained for all meander coils by adding matching networks composed of one 50 Ω transmission line with a specific length connected in series with the capacitor. The integrated decoupling networks in the subarray can be expanded to cover the rest of the subarrays in the 32-channel body coil. Hence, concurrent excitation for the multichannel RF body coil array can be accomplished.
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