

A New Type Generalized Difference Sequence Space $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$

ISSN: 2651-544X

<http://dergipark.gov.tr/cpost>

Mikail Et¹ Rifat Colak²

¹ Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Firat University, Elazig, Turkey, ORCID:0000-0001-8292-7819

² Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Firat University, Elazig, Turkey, ORCID:0000-0001-8161-5186

* Corresponding Author E-mail: mikail68@gmail.com

Abstract: Let (ϕ_n) be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $n\phi_{n+1} \leq (n+1)\phi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The class of all sequences (ϕ_n) is denoted by Φ . The sequence space $m(\phi)$ was introduced by Sargent [1] and he studied some of its properties and obtained some relations with the space ℓ_p . Later on it was investigated by Tripathy and Sen [2] and Tripathy and Mahanta [3]. In this work, using the generalized difference operator Δ_m^n , we generalize the sequence space $m(\phi)$ to sequence space $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$, give some topological properties about this space and show that the space $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is a BK -space by a suitable norm. The results obtained are generalizes some known results.

Keywords: Difference sequence, BK -space, Symmetric space, Normal space.

1 Introduction

By w , we denote the space of all complex (or real) sequences. If $x \in w$, then we simply write $x = (x_k)$ instead of $x = (x_k)_{k=0}^\infty$. We shall write ℓ_∞ , c and c_0 for the sequence spaces of all bounded, convergent and null sequences, respectively. Also by ℓ_1 and ℓ_p ; we denote the spaces of all absolutely summable and p -absolutely summable sequences, respectively.

Let $x \in w$ and $S(x)$ denotes the set of all permutation of the elements x_n , i.e. $S(x) = \{(x_{\pi(n)}) : \pi(n) \text{ is a permutation on } \mathbb{N}\}$. A sequence space E is said to be symmetric if $S(x) \subset E$ for all $x \in E$.

A sequence space E is said to be solid (normal) if $(y_n) \in E$, whenever $(x_n) \in E$ and $|y_n| \leq |x_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A sequence space E is said to be sequence algebra if $x.y \in E$, whenever $x, y \in E$.

A sequence space E is said to be perfect if $E = E^{\alpha\alpha}$.

It is well known that if E is perfect then E is normal.

A sequence space E with a linear topology is called a K -space provided each of the maps $p_i : E \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $p_i(x) = x_i$ is continuous for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, where \mathbb{C} denotes the complex field. A K -space E is called an FK -space provided E is a complete linear metric space. An FK -space whose topology is normable is called a BK -space.

The notion of difference sequence spaces was introduced by Kizmaz [4] and it was generalized by Et and Colak [5] for $X = \ell_\infty, c, c_0$ as follows:

Let n be a non-negative integer, then

$$\Delta^n(X) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta^n x_k) \in X\},$$

where $\Delta^n x_k = \Delta^{n-1} x_k - \Delta^{n-1} x_{k+1}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $\Delta^n x_k = \sum_{v=0}^n (-1)^v \binom{n}{v} x_{k+v}$. Et and Colak [5] showed that the sequence spaces $\Delta^n(c_0)$, $\Delta^n(c)$ and $\Delta^n(\ell_\infty)$ are BK -spaces with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\Delta 1} = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| + \|\Delta^n x\|_\infty.$$

After then, using a new difference operator Δ_m^n , Tripathy et al. ([6], [7], [8]) have defined a new type difference sequence space $\Delta_m^n(X)$ such as

$$\Delta_m^n(X) = \{x = (x_k) : (\Delta_m^n x_k) \in X\},$$

where $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta_m^0 x = x$, $\Delta_m^1 x = (x_k - x_{k+m})$, $\Delta_m^n x = (\Delta_m^n x_k) = (\Delta_m^{n-1} x_k - \Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+m})$ and so $\Delta_m^n x_k = \sum_{v=0}^n (-1)^v \binom{n}{v} x_{k+mv}$, and give some topological properties about this space and show that the spaces $\Delta_m^n(X)$ are BK -spaces by the norm

$$\|x\|_{\Delta 2} = \sum_{i=1}^{mn} |x_i| + \|\Delta_m^n x\|_\infty$$

for $X = \ell_\infty, c$ and c_0 . Recently, difference sequences have been studied in ([9],[10],[11],[12],[13],[14],[15],[16],[17],[18]) and many others.

2 Main results

In this section, we introduce a new class $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ of sequences, establish some inclusion relations and some topological properties. The obtained results are more general than those of Çolak and Et [19], Sargent [1] and Tripathy and Sen [2].

The notation φ_s denotes the class of all subsets of \mathbb{N} , those do not contain more than s elements. Let (ϕ_n) be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $n\phi_{n+1} \leq (n+1)\phi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The class of all sequences (ϕ_n) is denoted by Φ .

The sequence spaces $m(\phi)$ and $m(\phi, p)$ were introduced by Sargent [1], Tripathy and Sen [2] as follows, respectively

$$m(\phi) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \|x\|_{m(\phi)} = \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k| < \infty \right\},$$

$$m(\phi, p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \|x\|_{m(\phi, p)} = \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Now we define the sequence space $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ as

$$m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n x_k|^p < \infty \right\}.$$

From this definition it is clear that $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^0) = m(\phi, p)$ and $m(\phi, 1)(\Delta_m^0) = m(\phi)$. In case of $m = 1$, we shall write $m(\phi, p)(\Delta^n)$ instead of $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ and in case of $p = 1$, we shall write $m(\phi)(\Delta_m^n)$ instead of $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$. The sequence space $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ contains some unbounded sequences for $m, n \geq 1$. For example, the sequence $(x_k) = (k^n)$ is an element of $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ for $m = 1$, but is not an element of ℓ_∞ .

Theorem 1. The space $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is a Banach space with the norm

$$\|x\|_{\Delta_m^n} = \sum_{i=1}^r |x_i| + \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad (1)$$

where $r = mn$ for $m \geq 1, n \geq 1$.

Proof. It is a routine verification that $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is a normed linear space normed by (1) for $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let (x^l) be a Cauchy sequence in $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$, where $x^l = (x_k^l)_{k=1}^\infty = (x_1^l, x_2^l, \dots) \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$, for each $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|x^l - x^t\|_{\Delta_m^n} = \sum_{i=1}^r |x_i^l - x_i^t| + \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n (x_k^l - x_k^t)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

for all $l, t > n_0$. Hence we obtain

$$|x_k^l - x_k^t| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } l, t \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for each } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Therefore $(x_k^l)_{l=1}^\infty = (x_k^1, x_k^2, \dots)$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} . Since \mathbb{C} is complete, it is convergent, that is,

$$\lim_l x_k^l = x_k$$

for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Using these infinite limits x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots let us define the sequence $x = (x_k)$. We should show that $x \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ and $(x^l) \rightarrow x$. Taking limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (2), we get

$$\|x^l - x\|_{\Delta_m^n} = \sum_{i=1}^r |x_i^l - x_i| + \sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n (x_k^l - x_k)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \varepsilon \quad (3)$$

for all $l \geq n_0$. This shows that $(x^l) \rightarrow x$ as $l \rightarrow \infty$. From (3) we also have

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n (x_k^l - x_k)|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \varepsilon$$

for all $l \geq n_0$. Hence $x^l - x = (x_k^l - x_k)_k \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$. Since $x^l - x, x^l \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ and $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is a linear space, we have $x = x^l - (x^l - x) \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$. Therefore $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is complete.

Theorem 2. The space $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is a BK -space.

Proof. Omitted.

Theorem 3. [2] i) The space $m(\phi, p)$ is a symmetric space,
ii) The space $m(\phi, p)$ is a normal space.

Theorem 4. The sequence space $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is not sequence algebra, is not solid and is not symmetric, for $m, n, p \geq 1$.

Proof. For the proof of the Theorem, consider the following examples:

Example 1. It is obvious that, if $x = (k^{n-2})_k, y = (k^{n-2})_k$ and $m = 1$, then $x, y \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$, but $x.y \notin m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$. Hence $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is not a sequence algebra.

Example 2. It is obvious that, if $x = (k^{n-1})_k$ and $m = 1$, then $x \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$, but $(\alpha_k x_k) \notin m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ for $(\alpha_k) = ((-1)^k)$. Hence $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is not solid.

Example 3. Let us consider the sequence $x = (k^{n-1})_k$. Then $x \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ for $m = 1$. Let (y_k) be a rearrangement of (x_k) which is defined as follows:

$$y_k = \{x_1, x_2, x_4, x_3, x_9, x_5, x_{16}, x_6, x_{25}, x_7, x_{36}, x_8, x_{49}, x_{10}, \dots\}.$$

Then $y \notin m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$. Hence $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is not symmetric.

The following result is a consequence of Theorem 4.

Corollary 1. The sequence space $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ is not perfect, for $m, n, p \geq 1$.

Theorem 5. $m(\phi)(\Delta_m^n) \subset m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ for each $m, n, p \geq 1$.

Proof. Omitted.

Theorem 6. $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n) \subset m(\psi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ if and only if $\sup_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s} \right) < \infty$.

Proof. Suppose that $\sup_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s} \right) < \infty$. Then $\phi_s \leq K\psi_s$ for every s and for some positive number K . If $x \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$, then,

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Now, we have

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\psi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \sup_{s \geq 1} (K) \sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{k \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Hence $x \in m(\psi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$.

Conversely let $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n) \subset m(\psi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ and suppose that $\sup_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s} \right) = \infty$. Then, there exists a sequence (s_i) of natural numbers such that $\lim_i \left(\frac{\phi_{s_i}}{\psi_{s_i}} \right) = \infty$. Then, for $x \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ we have

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\psi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq \sup_{i \geq 1} \left(\frac{\phi_{s_i}}{\psi_{s_i}} \right) \sup_{i \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_{s_i}} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \infty.$$

Therefore $x \notin m(\psi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$. This contradict to $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n) \subset m(\psi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$. Hence $\sup_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s} \right) < \infty$.

From Theorem 6, we get the following result.

Corollary 2. $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n) = m(\psi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ if and only if $0 < \inf_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s}\right) \leq \sup_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\phi_s}{\psi_s}\right) < \infty$.

Theorem 7. $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^{n-1}) \subset m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$ and the inclusion is strict.

Proof. Let $x \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^{n-1})$. It is well known that, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, $|a + b|^p \leq 2^p(|a|^p + |b|^p)$. Hence, for $1 \leq p < \infty$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n x_k|^p \leq 2^p \left(\frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^{n-1} x_k|^p + \frac{1}{\phi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^{n-1} x_{k+1}|^p \right)$$

Hence $x \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$.

To show the inclusion is strict consider the following example.

Example 4. Let $\phi_n = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m = 1$ and $x = (k^{n-1})$, then $x \in \ell_p(\Delta_m^n) \setminus \ell_p(\Delta_m^{n-1})$.

Theorem 8. We have $\ell_p(\Delta_m^n) \subset m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n) \subset \ell_\infty(\Delta_m^n)$.

Proof. Since $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n) = \ell_p(\Delta_m^n)$ for $\phi_n = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\ell_p(\Delta_m^n) \subset m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$. Now assume that $x \in m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n)$. Then we have

$$\sup_{s \geq 1, \sigma \in \varphi_s} \frac{1}{\phi_s} \left(\sum_{k \in \sigma} |\Delta_m^n x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \text{ and so } |\Delta_m^n x_k| < K\phi_1,$$

for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and for some positive number K . Thus, $x \in \ell_\infty(\Delta_m^n)$.

Theorem 9. If $0 < p < q$, then $m(\phi, p)(\Delta_m^n) \subset m(\phi, q)(\Delta_m^n)$.

Proof. Proof follows from the following inequality

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^q \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^n |x_k|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (0 < p < q).$$

3 References

- [1] W. L. C. Sargent, *Some sequence spaces related to ℓ_p spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. **35** (1960), 161-171.
- [2] B. C. Tripathy, M. Sen, *On a new class of sequences related to the space ℓ_p* , Tamkang J. Math. **33**(2) (2002), 167-171.
- [3] B. C. Tripathy, S. Mahanta, *On a class of sequences related to the ℓ_p space defined by Orlicz functions*, Soochow J. Math. **29**(4) (2003), 379-391.
- [4] H. Kizmaz, *On certain Sequence spaces*, Canad. Math. Bull. **24**(2) (1981), 169-176.
- [5] M. Et, R. Çolak, *On generalized difference sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **21**(4) (1995), 377-386.
- [6] A. Esi, B. C. Tripathy, B. Sarma, *On some new type generalized difference sequence spaces*, Math. Slovaca **57**(5) (2007), 475-482.
- [7] A. Esi, B. C. Tripathy, *A New Type Of Difference Sequence Spaces*, International Journal of Science & Technology **1**(1) (2006), 11-14.
- [8] B. C. Tripathy, A. Esi, B. K. Tripathy, *On a new type of generalized difference Cesaro Sequence spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **31**(3) (2005), 333-340.
- [9] Y. Altin, *Properties of some sets of sequences defined by a modulus function*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B Engl. Ed. **29**(2) (2009), 427-434.
- [10] H. Altinok, M. Et, R. Çolak, *Some remarks on generalized sequence space of bounded variation of sequences of fuzzy numbers*, Iran. J. Fuzzy Syst. **11**(5) (2014), 39-46, 109.
- [11] S. Demiriz, C. Çakan, *Some topological and geometrical properties of a new difference sequence space*. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011, Art. ID 213878, 14 pp.
- [12] S. Erdem, S. Demiriz, *On the new generalized block difference sequence spaces*, Appl. Appl. Math., Special Issue No. **5** (2019), 68-83.
- [13] M. Et, A. Alotaibi, S. A. Mohiuddine, *On (Δ^m, I) -statistical convergence of order α* , The Scientific World Journal, 2014, 535419 DOI: 10.1155/2014/535419.
- [14] M. Et, M. Mursaleen, M. Işık, *On a class of fuzzy sets defined by Orlicz functions*, Filomat **27**(5) (2013), 789-796.
- [15] M. Et, V. Karakaya, *A new difference sequence set of order α and its geometrical properties*, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2014, Art. ID 278907, 4 pp.
- [16] M. Karakaş, M. Et, V. Karakaya, *Some geometric properties of a new difference sequence space involving lacunary sequences*, Acta Math. Sci. Ser. B (Engl. Ed.) **33**(6) (2013), 1711-1720.
- [17] M. A. Sarıgöl, *On difference sequence spaces*, J. Karadeniz Tech. Univ. Fac. Arts Sci. Ser. Math.-Phys. **10** (1987), 63-71.
- [18] E. Savaş, M. Et, *On (Δ_λ^m, I) -statistical convergence of order α* , Period. Math. Hungar. **71**(2) (2015), 135-145.
- [19] R. Çolak, M. Et, *On some difference sequence sets and their topological properties*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. **28**(2) (2005), 125-130.