

Efficacy of Local *Lactobacillus Casei* Var *Rhamnosus Döderlein* Monotherapy for Bacterial Vaginosis

Stefan Kovachev¹ Rossitza Vatcheva-Dobrevska²

¹Department of General and Oncogynecology, Military Medical Academy, Sofia, Bulgaria

²Department of Microbiology and Virology, University Hospital Queen Joanna ISUL, Sofia, Bulgaria

Abstract

Introduction: The objective of this study was to establish the efficacy of local probiotic monotherapy for the treatment of bacterial vaginosis.

Method: A total of 141 women with bacterial vaginosis, randomized into two groups, were examined. In the first group, 85 women were treated with local probiotic medicine (QD for 10 days) containing *Lactobacillus casei* var *rhamnosus* Döderlein (Lcr35®). In the second group, 56 patients received the same local probiotic treatment as those in the first group, in addition to treatment with an oral administration of metronidazol (500 mg tablet BID for 7 days). The efficacy of the monotherapeutic scheme was evaluated by comparing the number of clinical complaints and the results of clinical examinations and microbiological tests in the two groups.

Results: One month after the probiotic monotherapy in the first group, the clinical efficacy was 47.1% and the microbiological efficacy was 41.1%. The combined treatment in the second group was more efficacious (clinical efficacy: 89.3%; microbiological efficacy: 76.7%).

Conclusion: Our results indicate that local probiotic monotherapy is less effective than combined metronidazole/probiotic scheme for the treatment of bacterial vaginosis.

Keywords: Lactobacilli, monotherapy, probiotic, vaginal flora

Introduction

The use of probiotics to restore and maintain normal vaginal flora represents a promising alternative or addition to conventional therapy for the treatment of bacterial vaginosis (BV) (1, 2, 3). Probiotics can be used independently or in addition to the primary therapy for BV and clinical trials have been conducted for both monotherapy and combined therapy (1, 2, 3). These studies administered specific strains of lactobacilli orally or locally and tracked their ability to colonize the vagina of patients with

symptomatic and asymptomatic BV. The ability of the strains to reduce the colonization and symptoms of pathogens was also assessed. The results of these studies indicate that the use of probiotics in treatment of BV is a promising alternative to conventional therapy (1, 2, 3).

The aim of our study was to establish the clinical and microbiological efficacy of local probiotic *Lactobacillus casei* var *rhamnosus* Döderlein monotherapy of BV and its effect on the vaginal flora.

Corresponding Author: Stefan Kovachev, MD, PhD; P. U. Todorov Bul. bl. 5, Entr. B, fl. 25, Sofia, Bulgaria, 1404.

E-mail: stkovachev@abv.bg

Received: Jan 31, 2016 **Accepted:** Feb 28, 2016

Published: March 30, 2016

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any area, provided original work is properly cited.

The Ulutas Medical Journal © 2016



Study Design

We conducted an open, single-site survey in the gynecological outpatient clinic of the Military Medical Academy in Sofia, Bulgaria, from 2013 to December 2015. The patients were provided with information regarding the purposes of the investigation and the conditions of inclusion; all of the patients gave informed consent for their participation. The study included a total of 192 women aged 17–50 years with clinically and/or microbiologically established BV. However, only 141 women came forward for the control review, which was conducted 35–40 days after the study began. Therefore, only the data collected from these 141 women were analyzed in the survey.

Patients with established *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*, *Chlamydia trachomatis*, HSV, HPV, HIV, or other vaginal infections were excluded from the study. Also excluded were pregnant women; those taking corticosteroids, antibiotics, imidazoles, or probiotics; those who used vaginal preparations in the last month; immunocompromised patients; those with autoimmune diseases, endocrine diseases, or diabetes; and those with cancer.

BV Diagnosis

For all study participants, medical history was recorded and gynecological examination and microbiological tests were performed. Examination of the vagina was performed to evaluate vaginal secretion according to following specifications: quantity, consistency, color, and odor. The amount of vaginal discharge (flow) was given a score of 0, (+), (++) , or (+++). During examination of the vagina from the back vaginal vault, a sample of the vaginal secretion was taken with a dry sterile swab for microbiological testing. The following clinical criteria, introduced into

gynecology practice by Amsel et al. (1983), were used for the diagnosis of BV:

- Homogeneous vaginal discharge (color and amount may vary)
- Amine (fishy) odor when potassium hydroxide solution is added to vaginal secretions (commonly called "whiff test")
- Presence of clue cells (greater than 20%) on microscopy
- Vaginal pH greater than 4.5 (4).

The establishment of three of these four criteria was considered sufficient for diagnosis (4). To determine vaginal pH, indicator strips (Merck) in the pH range 4–7 were used, as a pathological result is indicated at a pH above 4.5. The whiff test of vaginal secretion was reported as positive when an unpleasant odor was detected. For the preparation of the wet mount, the vaginal secretion was affixed to a slide and a saline solution (0.9% NaCl) was added. The wet mount was then observed with a light microscope in order to determine the proportion of clue cells in the sample.

Not all patients included in the study showed pronounced clinical symptoms. For many, asymptomatic BV was diagnosed via microscopic examination of a gram-stained preparation of the vaginal secretion. Gram-stained preparations were evaluated microscopically (1000×) using oil immersion for following morphotypes: large, gram-positive rods (*Lactobacillus* morphotype); petty gram-variable rods (*G. vaginalis* morphotype); small gram-negative rods (*Bacteroides* morphotype); curved gram-variable rods (*Mobiluncus* morphotype); and gram-positive cocci. We used *Nugent's criteria* for the evaluation of microscopic gram-stained preparations (5). This method separates smears with normal flora dominated by lactobacilli and those with mixed flora characteristic of BV (5). This method has been modified to include

intermediate categories of mixed microbial flora with a significant amount of lactobacilli, and smears of vaginal flora are currently grouped into the following grades (5, 6):

- Grade 0, epithelial cells with no bacteria
- Grade I, normal vaginal flora (*Lactobacillus* morphotypes alone)
- Grade II, reduced numbers of *Lactobacillus* morphotypes with a mixed bacterial flora
- Grade III, mixed bacterial flora only, few or absent *Lactobacillus* morphotypes
- Grade IV, gram-positive cocci only
- Grades 0, I, and IV are found in women without BV. Grade II is intermediate and is not found in women with BV as defined by Amsel's criteria. Grade III is consistent with BV as diagnosed by Amsel's criteria (6).

Randomization

Patients with BV were randomized into two groups in 1:1 correlation. The first clinical group contained 96 patients (85 patients [88.5%] with control review participation) who were given a mono-therapeutic treatment scheme (described in the next section). The second group contained 96 patients (56 patients [58.3%] with control review participation) who were given a combined therapeutic scheme.

Therapeutic Schemes

Patients in the first group (monotherapeutic scheme) were treated with a local application (QD for 10 days) of probiotic vaginal ovules containing live *Lactobacillus casei* var. *rhamnosus* Döderlein (Lcr35[®] Gynophilus; Laboratoires Lyocentre, France). Patients in the second group (combined therapeutic scheme) were treated with seven daily oral doses of metronidazol (divided into two 500-mg doses taken 12 hours apart). After seven days of metronidazole therapy, the second group

was also administered the local probiotic *Lactobacillus casei* var. *rhamnosus* Döderlein (Lcr35[®]) (QD for 10 days). Sexual abstinence during the treatment and until the control examination was recommended to the patients. Sex partners were treated with oral tinidazol (1g daily) for two days.

Tracing was performed with a control review 35–40 days after the therapy, which involved a gynecological examination and microbiological examination with direct microscopy of wet and gram-stained mounts of vaginal samples. The efficacy of the monotherapeutic scheme was evaluated by comparing the number of clinical complaints and the results of clinical examinations and microbiological tests in the two groups.

Statistical Analysis

Clinical and microbiological data obtained during the review were analyzed using the χ^2 test. Statistical significance was defined at $P < 0.05$. For each monitored parameter, we calculated the proportion (in percent) of patients with improvement in each treatment scheme individually.

Table-1: Clinical signs of bacterial vaginosis (BV) before and after therapy in the two groups.

Clinical Indicators	Group I				Group II			
	Before Th		After Th		Before Th		After Th	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Complaint	62	72.9	59	69.4	48	85.7	26	46.4
VF (++;+++)	41	48.2	4	4.7	34	60.7	7	12.5
pH-Alkaline	84	98.8	45	52.9	52	92.9	8	14.3
Whiff Test (+)	80	94.1	46	54.1	53	94.6	6	10.7
"Clue Cells"	84	98.8	45	52.9	52	92.9	7	12.5
Amsel's Criteria (+)	85	100	45	52.9	56	100	6	10.7

Abbreviations. Th, therapy; VF, Vaginal Flora

Table-2. Basic microbiological indicators of bacterial vaginosis (BV) before and after therapy in the two groups.

Microbiological Indicators		Group I				Group II			
		Before Th		After Th		Before Th		After Th	
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Gram-Stained Vaginal Samples	Anaerobe Mixed Flora - BV	83	97.6	46	54.1	53	94.6	7	12.5
	Absence Of <i>Lactobacilli</i>	80	94.1	37	43.5	46	82.1	2	3.6
Nugent's grades of vaginal flora: II/III		83	97.6	48	56.5	53	94.6	10	17.9

Table-3. Statistical analysis of the results by two-factor variance analysis with repeated observations (2-way ANOVA with repeated measure) with subsequent comparisons of effect of therapy by Tukey's HSD.

Therapeutic scheme	Comparison of the effects of the two therapeutic schemes (I and II)	Difference in average	Standard error	P-value (Relevance value)
II	Therapeutic scheme I	-0.3217*	0.11	0.01
I	Therapeutic scheme II	(0,25)	0.10	0.12

Table-4.Improvement of clinical and microbiological indicators after therapy in the two groups.

Microbiological and clinical indicators		Improvement after therapy		
		Groups (therapeutic schemes)		
		I	II	
		%	%	
Gram-Stained Vaginal Samples	Anaerobe Mixed Flora - BV	43.5	82.1	
	Lactobacilli	50.6	78.5	
<i>Nugent's Grades Of Vaginal Flora</i>		Grades: II/III	41.1	76.7
Clinical Criteria		Amsel's Criteria (+)	47.1	89.3

We analyzed the changes in number of patients with positive/negative results of laboratory and microbiological examinations that occurred as a result of the treatment. Two-factor dispersion analyses with repeated observations were used (two-way ANOVA with repeated measures) with subsequent (post hoc) comparisons of the effect of therapy by Tukey's HSD method.

Results

In total, 192 women participated in the therapeutic portion of this study. However only 141 women (73.4%) participated in a control review examination that was conducted subsequent to the therapy; thus, we included only the results from these 141 patients in our analysis. The remaining 51 women (26.6%) were considered to have dropped out of the study.

Clinical Efficacy

Table-1 displays the number and proportion of patients with the main clinical indicators of BV before and after therapy. The data clearly indicate that the proportion of patients with these clinical signs decreased after therapy in both groups; however, in general, larger decreases were observed in the second group.

Microbiological Efficacy

Table-2 shows the frequency of basic microbiological indicators of BV before and after therapy in the two groups. The results show that the reduction in the number of patients with the microbiological indicators was larger in the second group than in the first group following therapy. Statistical analyses of the study data are shown in Table 3. The relative improvement in the proportion of patients with the clinical and microbiological indicators of BV is shown in Table 4. These data indicate that compared to the monotherapy given to the first group, the

combination therapy given to the second group resulted in a greater improvement in the clinical and microbiological indicators of BV assessed in this study.

Discussion

Many studies have administered specific strains of lactobacilli orally or locally and tracked their ability to colonize the vagina of patients with symptomatic and asymptomatic BV and to reduce colonization of pathogens and the associated symptoms. Hallen et al. (1992) observed that the administration of lactobacilli for 7–10 days in a group of patients with dysbacteriosis with dominant anaerobic strains was more effective than a placebo (7).

The restoration of normal vaginal microflora occurred in 57% of patients (16 out of 28) treated with lactobacilli and in 0% (none out of 29) who received the placebo (7). Rossi et al. (2010) explored the long-term effects of treatment with a local probiotic in 40 women with BV, and observed an 80% clinical efficacy of probiotic therapy (8). Hemalatha et al. (2012) used probiotic monotherapy in the treatment of 67 women with BV, and found that the clinical efficacy (Amsel's criteria) was 47% (3). A prospective study by Chimura et al. (1995), which tracked 11 women with BV, confirmed the effect of local application of lactobacilli (1). The authors established a statistically significant reduction of vaginal inflammation and pH and the disappearance of all 14 gram-negative strains of microorganisms isolated in the first 3 days after the treatment (1).

In microbiological terms, BV was cured completely in 54.5% of patients (6 out of 11), and was partially cured in 27.3% of patients (3 out of 11) (1). Mastromarino et al (2009) conducted a placebo-controlled study of the effectiveness of vaginal probiotics in the treatment of 39 women with BV (9). Two

weeks after the treatment, the clinical and microbiological efficacy was 61% in women using probiotics and 19% in the placebo group (9). In a Bulgarian placebo-controlled study by Sigridov et al. (2007), the local application of lactobacilli for 1 month in 20 patients with BV led to a cure in 90% of cases, while in the placebo group 67% were cured (10). Follow-up of the patients after 3 months showed that 90% of patients were cured in the group treated with lactobacilli and 50% of patients were cured in the group treated with a placebo (10).

In contrast, several other randomized clinical trials found no significant difference between the therapeutic outcomes of BV patients treated locally with specific strains of lactobacilli and those treated with vaginal lincozamides/nitroimidazoles (2, 11). Fredricsson et al. (1989) treated 14 women with BV with a local administration of *L.acidophilus* and achieved a therapeutic effect in only 1 of them, whereas vaginal metronidazole showed 92.9% efficacy (2). In addition, the authors did not detect an increasing number of lactic acid bacteria in the vagina of women treated with *L. acidophilus* (2). Erikson et al. (2005) used vaginal clindamycin for the treatment of 187 women with BV and continued with a local administration of *L.gasseri*, *L.casei*, *L. rhamnosus*, *L. fermentum*, or placebo within one menstrual cycle (11). The therapeutic results after the second menstrual cycle defined by the Amsel criteria or by the Nugent-Ison-Hay score of microscopic preparation of vaginal contents showed no statistically significant difference between patients treated with lactobacilli and those treated with placebo (11).

The results of the current study showed a 47.1% clinical efficacy (determined by Amsel's

clinical criteria) of the probiotic monotherapy with no serious side effects. The microbiological efficacy (determined by Gram staining of vaginal samples and *Nugent's criteria*) was 41.1%. These efficacy values are lower than those established in the clinical studies discussed above.

The efficacy of the monotherapy was also lower than that of the combined metronidazole/probiotic therapy in our study, which showed a clinical efficacy of 89.3% and a microbiological efficacy of 76.7%. Thus, the results obtained in this study indicate that local probiotic monotherapy has a lower efficacy than a combined therapeutic metronidazole/probiotic scheme for the treatment of BV.

Acknowledgments

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests to publish this article. There is no funding for the current study. The ethical aspect of the case report was mentioned as: All the procedures were performed according to the World Medical Association Declaration of Helsinki (revised in 2000, Edinburgh). The patient was informed about procedures and consent was obtained from him.

Reference

1. Chimura T, Funayama T, Murayama K, Numazaki M. Ecological treatment of bacterial vaginosis. *Jpn J Antibiot* 1995; 48: 432-436.
2. Fredricsson B, Englund K, Weintraub L, Olund A, Nord CE. Bacterial vaginosis is not a simple ecological disorder. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 1989; 28:156-160.
3. Hemalatha R, Mastromarino P, Ramalaxmi BA, Balakrishna NV, Sesikeran B. Effectiveness of vaginal tablets containing lactobacilli versus pH tablets on vaginal health and inflammatory cytokines: a randomized, double-blind study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2012; 31(11):3097-105.
4. Amsel R, Totten PA, Spiegel CA, Chen KCS, Eschenbach D, Holmes KK. Non-specific vaginitis: diagnostic and microbial and epidemiological associations. *Am J Med* 1983;74:14-22.
5. Nugent RP, Krohn MA, Hillier S. Reliability of diagnosing bacterial vaginosis is improved by standardized method of Gram stain interpretation. *J Clin Microbiol* 1991;29:297-301.

6. Ison CA, Hay PE. Validation of a simplified grading of Gram stained vaginal smears for use in genitourinary medicine clinics. *Sex Transm Infect* 2002; 78: 413-415.
7. Hallen A, Jarstrand C, Pahlson C. Treatment of bacterial vaginosis with lactobacilli. *Sex Transm Dis* 1992;19:146-148.
8. Rossi A, Rossi T, Bertini M, Caccia G. The use of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* in the therapy of bacterial vaginosis. Evaluation of clinical efficacy in a population of 40 women treated for 24 months. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2010; 281(6):1065-9.
9. Mastromarino P, Macchia S, Meggiorini L, Trinchieri V, Mosca L, Perluidgi M, Midulla C. Effectiveness of *Lactobacillus*-containing vaginal tablets in the treatment of symptomatic bacterial vaginosis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2009; 15(1): 67-74.
10. Sigridov I, Ivanov S, Batashki I. Preliminary results of Lactofem trial-first impressions. *Akush Ginekol (Sofia)* 2007; 46 Suppl 2: 29-32.
11. Eriksson K, Carlsson B, Forsum U, Larsson PG. A double-blind treatment study of bacterial vaginosis with normal vaginal lactobacilli after an open treatment with vaginal clindamycin ovules. *Acta Derm Venereol* 2005; 85: 42-46.

How to cite?

Kovachev S, Vatcheva-Dobrevska R. Efficacy of Local *Lactobacillus Casei* Var *Rhamnosus Döderlein* Monotherapy for Bacterial Vaginosis. *Ulutas Med J*. 2016;2(1):20-26.

DOI: [dx.doi.org/10.5455/umj.20160210060327](https://doi.org/10.5455/umj.20160210060327)

Why the Ulutas Medical Journal ?

- Convenient online Pdf submission
- **Fast response** through peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication after acceptance
- Inclusion in **Scopemed** and **Google Scholar**

To submit your manuscript, please click on
<http://ulutasmedicaljournal.com/>