Discharge coefficient equation to calculate the leakage from pipe networks
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ABSTRACT: With the increasing of urbanization, water distribution networks play an important role in human life and the effective use of water resources. Therefore, studies have been made for the optimization of water distribution networks in some fields such as pressure management and leakage control. In this context, the discharge coefficient, which is one of the components of the hydraulic calculations, is a very significant parameter in calculating the losses. In this study, a new equation has been proposed to calculate the discharge coefficient. Computer simulations were done by using ANSYS Fluent and discharge coefficient values were determined for round holes. Firstly, the model validated with theoretical Toricelli (orifice) equation and then, the model was run for number of scenarios according to various internal pressure and hole areas. The model results were formulated by means of regression equations. To satisfy the dimensional homogeneity, the ratio of the hole area to the pipe cross-sectional area, area ratio (r), and the ratio of the internal pressure to the external pressure, pressure ratio (p), were used. In this study, easy to use discharge coefficient equation was proposed to calculate the leakage losses in water distribution networks. With the help of this equation, the discharge coefficient can be calculated precisely for different pressure values and leakage areas rather than taken as a constant value. Thus, the calculation of the leakage flow rate will be more accurate. Furthermore, it is concluded that the discharge coefficient varies between 0.65 and 0.72. There is also inverse realtionship between discharge coefficient and pressure and discharge coefficient and leakage area.
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INTRODUCTION

One of the most crucial points for the efficient use of water resources is to avoid the loss of leakage in water distribution networks. In this respect, with the emergence of leakage problems, the researchers focused on behaviors of the leaks and the reduction of leakage flowrates due to the leaks. Particularly, studies on pressure management have gained popularity (Sturm and Thornton, 2015; Thornton and Lambert, 2007; Xu et al., 2014; Fontana et al., 2017; Lydon et al., 2017; Samir et al., 2017; Monsef et al., 2018). As a result of the studies on pressure management, a serious improvements have been observed in the service capacity of the water distribution networks. While making progress with these studies, studies have been carried out in order to put the problem on a correct basis. Consequently, the orifice equation examined in detail for the calculation of leakage flow rate.

The Toricelli equation, i.e. orifice equation, is the basis of the studies that have been carried out in the leakage in water distribution networks. Toricelli equation represents to the relationship between pressure and leakage flow rate which is based on the principle of conservation of energy. The pipe leaks physically coincide with the orifice equation, since they display orifice characteristics.

According to the orifice equation and the relationship between pressure and loss due to leakage can expressed as follows:

\[ Q = C_d \times A \times \sqrt{2gh} \]  

(1)

where, \( Q \) is the leakage flow rate, \( C_d \) is the discharge coefficient, \( A \) is the leakage area, \( g \) is the acceleration due to the gravity and \( h \) represents the pressure head.

By considering that the orifice equation can also wrote as (May, 1994):

\[ Q_L = C \times h^{N1} \]  

(2)

in which \( C \) is the leakage coefficient and it consists of \( C_d \), \( A \) and \( (2g)^{0.5} \). \( N1 \) denotes the leakage exponent.

Studies had been carried out about the leakage area (May, 1994; Cassa et al., 2010; Cassa and Van Zyl, 2013; Ssozi et al., 2015; Fox et al., 2016&2017; De Marchis et al., 2016; Van Zyl and Malde, 2017; Van Zyl et al., 2017, Kabaasha et al., 2018; Nsanzubuhoro et al., 2017; Butterfield et al., 2018) and studies about leakage exponent (Germanopoulos, 1985; Walski et al., 2006&2009; Greyvenstein and J. E. van Zyl, 2007; Van Zyl and Clayton, 2017) made contribution to better understanding of the leakage behavior and leakage flowrate.

The pressure-leakage relationship in water distribution networks is not only the topic that studies based on, there is also another topic which is emphasized by various researchers, named as discharge coefficient. Although the discharge coefficient is considered as the least effective parameter in the calculation of leakage flowrate according to many researchers, it is very effective when taken account large-scaled.

Some of the researchers have assumed that discharge coefficient should be accepted as a constant, while some of them thought that it may be variable depending on some other parameters. Cassa et al. (2010) considered the discharge coefficient as constant, 0.67. Schwaller and van Zyl (2014) showed that the discharge coefficients in a water distribution network would take values between 0.5 and 0.8, averagely 0.65, with a presumption of normal distribution. For individual leaks, Lambert (2001) stated that the assumption of the constant discharge coefficient is not valid for all flow regimes. Therefore, the discharge coefficient depends on the laminar, transition and turbulent regime, so the Reynolds number.
Furthermore, for the orifice problem for incompressible fluids, it is indicated that the discharge coefficient is a function of the hole geometry, area ratio (ratio of orifice area to pipe cross-sectional area) and Reynolds number (Idelchik, 2003). In another study that examined the relationship between pressure and leakage flowrates, Schwaller and van Zyl (2014) stated that the discharge coefficient is a function of the shape of the hole, the material of the pipe, the curvature of the pipe and various physical parameters such as pressure. They also stated that the discharge coefficient values in the cracks occurring under normal conditions are between 0.5 and 0.8, while only 10% of them is greater than 0.7. In this study, a study was carried out on the basis that the discharge coefficient depends on a number of parameters and can be written as a function of those parameters. In the numerical analysis, it was observed that the discharge coefficient varies with leakage area and pressure.

In this study, a research has been carried out on the fact that the discharge coefficient depends on a number of parameters and can be written as a function of number of parameters. Through numerical analysis, it was observed that the discharge coefficient varies with leakage hole area and pressure. Accordingly, an equation has been proposed to calculate the discharge coefficient related to these variables. Therefore, the purpose of this study to formulate discharge coefficient based on the leakage area and pressure using a numerical model.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Numerical Model

ANSYS Fluent software was used in the numerical model. ANSYS Fluent, which can model CFD models quickly and cost-effectively, including various complex and large systems such as free surfaces, multiple fluid phases, viscous and turbulent flows, uses the finite volumes method for discretization of conservation equations. In this study, a numerical analysis was made by taking 1 m unit length and 10 cm diameter pipe into consideration for the high density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe. Simulations were made by changing the hole area under different pressure conditions for round hole (Fig. 1). Different discharge coefficient values obtained as a result of simulations are associated with hole area and pressure variables.

![Model geometry](image)

Figure 1. Model geometry.

The following assumptions were made in modeling of discharge coefficient. (1) The hole opens to the atmosphere. (2) Computations were made for the High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) pipe. (3) Pipe length was 1 meter and diameter was 10 cm. (4) Hole area varied between $7.85 \times 10^{-5}$ and $4.92 \times 10^{-4}$ m². (5) The internal pressure of the pipe ranged from 1 bar to 7 bar. The reason for emphasizing the similarity of the problem to the orifice equation is due to the similarity to the calculation of the water flow through
a hole in a large tank. That is, there is considerable difference between the pipe diameter and the hole diameter to make the difference between the velocity values obtained from the continuity equation.

SIMPLE was used as the solution scheme, since the problem is single phase which means that there is full flow in pipe. Least Squares Cell-Based Gradient Evaluation was chosen as a gradient, this method yields more successful solutions, particularly in unstructured meshes compared to the other methods and it is less time consuming. Second order upwind scheme was chosen for the Momentum, Turbulent Kinetic Energy and Turbulent Dissipation Rate. With this scheme, higher accuracy is obtained, since the values on the cell surface are evaluated by means of the centroid cells using Taylor series expansion.

![Figure 2. Mesh created with the ANSYS. a) Plan view b) Cross-section view](image)

### Governing Equations

ANSYS Fluent solves the conservation of mass and conservation of momentum in cases without heat transfer. The mass conservation equation, or continuity equation, is based on the principle of mass balance for a fluid particle. It means that rate of increase of mass in fluid element equals to net rate of flow of mass into fluid element. Continuity equation for three dimensional cartesian coordinates can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial (\rho u)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (\rho v)}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (\rho w)}{\partial z} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

in which $\rho$ is the density and $u$, $v$ and $w$ represent the velocity components on $x$, $y$ and $z$ directions, respectively. Yet, Eq.3 is valid for compressible flows. For incompressible flows Eq.4 can be written as:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial z} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Rate of change of momentum is associated with the sum of the forces in the control volume. It means that the rate of increase of momentum of fluid particle equals to sum of forces in fluid particle. The forces in a fluid particle are divided into two main groups: (i) Surface forces, (bii) Body forces. The surface forces include compressive forces, viscous forces and gravitational forces, while the body forces contain centrifugal forces, Coriolis forces and electromagnetic forces. Thus, the momentum equation can be written for three dimensional cartesian coordinates as follows:

$$\rho \frac{D u}{D t} = \frac{\partial (-p + \tau_{xx})}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yx}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial z} + S_{Mx}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$$\rho \frac{D v}{D t} = \frac{\partial \tau_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial (-p + \tau_{yy})}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zy}}{\partial z} + S_{My}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$\rho \frac{D w}{D t} = \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{yz}}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial (-p + \tau_{zz})}{\partial z} + S_{Mz}$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)
where \( p \) is the pressure force, \( \tau \) represents the shear stress and the S is the source term that includes all body forces which affects the control volume per unit time. \( \text{D}/\text{D}t \) denotes the material derivative that refers to the sum of the temporal physical properties of a material element, such as temperature and momentum. In this study, standard k-epsilon turbulent model is used. Transport equations for standard k-epsilon model are given below as turbulent kinetic energy per unit mass (k) and turbulent energy dissipation rate per unit mass (\( \epsilon \)) in Eq.8 and Eq.9, respectively.

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho k) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho u_i k) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_k}\right)\frac{\partial k}{\partial x_j}\right] + P_k + P_b - \rho \epsilon - Y_M + S_k
\]  

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho \epsilon) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\rho u_i \epsilon) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\left[\left(\mu + \frac{\mu_t}{\sigma_\epsilon}\right)\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial x_j}\right] + C_{1\epsilon} \frac{\epsilon}{k}(P_k + C_{3\epsilon} P_b) - C_{2\epsilon} \rho \frac{\epsilon^2}{k} + S_\epsilon
\]

where, \( k \) is the turbulent kinetic energy, \( u_i \) represents velocity component in corresponding direction, \( P_k \) is the generation of turbulence kinetic energy due to the mean velocity gradients, \( P_b \) represents the generation of turbulence kinetic energy due to buoyancy and \( \mu_t \) is the turbulence(eddy) viscosity which is calculated as:

\[
\mu_t = \rho \frac{k^2}{\epsilon}
\]

Turbulent Prandtl numbers for \( k \) and \( \epsilon \) : \( \sigma_k, \sigma_\epsilon \) and \( C_{1\epsilon}, C_{2\epsilon} \) are constant values that are determined at the end of a great deal of iterations as 1.0, 1.3, 1.44, 1.92, respectively. \( S \) is the modulus of the mean rate-of-strain tensor and define as:

\[
S \equiv \sqrt{2 S_{ij} S_{ij}}
\]

**Model Validation**

In numerical simulations, the variation of leakage flow rate was examined according to pressure. For this purpose, different pressure values between 1 and 7 bar were applied to a pipe with fixed length and diameter and leakage flow rate values were obtained by Fluent at the end of each model simulation. Firstly, the results of the model were obtained for all analyzes by using the 1.75 cm diameter round hole and 10 cm diameter pipe (Fig. 1), then the results compared with the orifice equation in order to validate the model.

**Figure 3.** The Pressure-Leakage flowrate relationship.
There is an exponential relationship between pressure head and leakage flowrate (Fig. 3). The regression equation of the relationship can be identified as follows:

\[ ax^b \] \hspace{1cm} (12)

in which \( a \) represents the leakage coefficient in orifice equation and \( b \) denotes leakage exponent. The regression equation given on the graph and the Table 1 reveals that the leakage exponent is close to 0.5 as stated in the literature.

Table 1. Model validation results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geometry</th>
<th>Diameter (cm)</th>
<th>Equation</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Round</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>( y = 0.0007x^{0.5075} )</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>( y = 0.0013x^{0.5071} )</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Secondly, a different fixed hole diameter (2 cm) was considered in the model and the analysis were repeated for all aforementioned conditions. Results of this scenario revealed that there are changes in the leakage coefficient when it is compared with first scenario. The leakage coefficient was \( 7 \times 10^{-4} \) in the first case (Table 1, 1st row), while it was observed as \( 13 \times 10^{-4} \) for the second case (Table 1, 2nd row). Leakage coefficient includes constant terms such as \( (2g)^{0.5} \) and hole diameter as well as \( C_d \), discharge coefficient. It is concluded that the discharge coefficient varies with changing conditions such as hole area and pressure. Therefore, unlike the fact that the discharge coefficient is a constant, it has been concluded that it varies depending on the hole area and pressure.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

After the establishment of the model and validation by Toricelli (orifice) equation, the results were attained according to different performed scenarios on same model. In this context, firstly, the change in the discharge coefficient with the pressure variation was examined while the area of the round hole was kept constant. The flow rate values are obtained by FLEUNT simulations and internal pressure of pipe and leak areas are known. Thus, discharge coefficient values were calculated by replacing the known values in the orifice equation. It is observed that the discharge coefficient decreases when the pressure increases (Fig. 4). It is symbolically shown as the linear relationship in Fig. 4 in order to demonstrate the inverse relationship between variable.

![Figure 4](image)

**Figure 4.** Variation of discharge coefficient with respect to pressure head.

Secondly, pressure was kept constant and the variation of the discharge coefficient with hole area was investigated. As a result of the simulations, it is found that the discharge coefficient changes inversely with the hole area under a constant pressure boundary condition (Fig. 5).
As seen in Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, discharge coefficient varies between 0.65 and 0.72. The results obtained in this study coincide with the studies in the literature (Table 2). It will be well directed to obtain a function on behalf of the discharge coefficient instead of taking as an average value. In addition, as seen in Fig. 5, the range of discharge coefficient values which is obtained by changing the hole area is greater than the range result from the pressure variation.

Based on these results, it was decided to choose the independent variables as dimensionless since the discharge coefficient is a dimensionless parameter.

Table 2. Comparison of the calculated discharge coefficient values with the studies in the literature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Discharge coefficient values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lea (1908)</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lambert (2001)</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Idelchik (2003)</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cassa et al. (2010)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwaller and vanZyl (2014)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schwaller et al. (2015)</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fox et al. (2016)</td>
<td>0.64-0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>0.65-0.72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For this purpose, the dimensionless area, $r$, giving the ratio of the hole area and the pipe cross-sectional area and the dimensionless pressure which is the ratio of the internal pressure to the external pressure, $p$, was used to generate the equation (Eq. 13).

$$r = \frac{A_{\text{leakage}}}{A_{\text{pipe}}}; \quad p = \frac{P_i}{P_e}$$

in which $A_{\text{leakage}}$ is the hole area, $A_{\text{pipe}}$ is the pipe cross section area and $P_i$, $P_e$ are the internal pressure and external pressure, respectively.

Thus, Eq. 14 can be obtained as follows:

$$C_d = f \left( \frac{A_{\text{leakage}}}{A_{\text{pipe}}}; \frac{P_i}{P_e} \right) = f(r; p)$$

(14)
To obtain a general equation for discharge coefficient, seven different leakage areas and seven different pressure values were used to conduct 49 simulations. As a result, linear regression analysis was used to find the equation that could be obtained by using the data of the simulation results. In the regression equation, \( r, r^2, r^3, p, p^2, p^{0.5} \) were used as input. In addition, the best matched equation was decided upon by the evaluation made according to various performance criteria, such as root mean square error (RMSE), mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) and determination coefficient (R²). The results of the calculated performance criterias are presented in Table 3. As a result of different analysis to obtain best matched equation, Eq. 15 was obtained.

\[
C_d = 0.396 \times r - 23.749 \times r^2 + 92.264 \times r^3 + 0.0026 \times p + 0.6987
\]  

(15)

Table 3. Performance of the equations derived for the optimum discharge coefficient function.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Independent Variable</th>
<th>RMSE</th>
<th>MAPE (%)</th>
<th>R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>r, r², r³, p</td>
<td>0.073390936</td>
<td>0.799844685</td>
<td>0.9321</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The equations were derived by using the least squares method. The least squares method is a parametric method and some conditions must be fulfilled for statistical significance. One of these conditions is that the coefficients of equation are significantly different from zero and it is decided by taking into consideration of p values. In this study, each coefficient was found smaller than 0.05 at % 95 confidence interval, as a result of the tests performed. Thus, it demonstrated that all coefficients are significantly different from zero. Furthermore, it can be concluded that the proposed equation is not only offers practical to use, but also provides accurate results with low number of variables.

CONCLUSION

A numerical model was developed by using the finite volume method to investigate the discharge coefficient under different scenarios. The factors that have the most effect on this value have been determined and it has been found in the simulations that the discharge coefficient is a function of the leakage area, pressure and hole geometry. A practical equation was proposed to calculate the discharge coefficient according to the hole area and pressure.

In the proposed equation, hole area and pressure head made dimensionless to satisfy dimensional homogeneity. The first dimensionless number is obtained as area ratio, which represents the ratio of the leakage area to the pipe cross-sectional area (\( r \)), while the second is the pressure ratio (\( p \)), which describes the ratio of the pipe internal pressure to the external pressure.

In this study, it is suggested that the discharge coefficient can be calculated with the help of a simple equation and this approach will give more accurate results than the calculations made assuming that the discharge coefficient is constant. In addition, a contribution has been made to the literature to enable better understanding of losses, which are a major problem in water distribution networks.

The results of the study are listed below:

• Discharge coefficient varies between 0.65 and 0.72 for round hole.

• Expression of the proposed equation as a function of dimensionless variables is significant in terms of uniformity and practicality

• The equation obtained for discharge coefficient yielded very high accuracy according to various performance indicators.

• Instead of taking the flow coefficient as a constant in the leakage flow rate calculations, a function is obtained from the factors affecting the flow coefficient (pressure and leakage area) and the leakage flow rate calculations should be done in this way.
In this study, hydraulically, a proposal has been made to provide a more accurate understanding of this topic, which has recently been carried out on a considerable number of studies.
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