



On generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifolds

Selahattin Beyendi¹ , Mustafa Yıldırım^{*2} 

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, İnönü University, 44000, Malatya, Turkey

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Art and Science, Aksaray University, 68100, Aksaray, Turkey

Abstract

This study is concerned with some results on generalized weakly symmetric and generalized weakly Ricci-symmetric α -cosymplectic manifolds. We prove the necessary and sufficient conditions for an α -cosymplectic manifold to be generalized weakly symmetric and generalized weakly Ricci-symmetric. On the basis of these results, we give one proper example of generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifolds.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020). Primary 53C15, 53C25; Secondary 53D10, 53D15

Keywords. weakly symmetric manifold, weakly Ricci-symmetric manifold, generalized weakly symmetric manifold, generalized weakly Ricci-symmetric manifold, α -cosymplectic manifold

1. Introduction

In 1989, L. Tamassy and T. Q. Binh introduced the notions of weakly symmetric and weakly Ricci-symmetric manifolds [18]. Later on, many researchers have studied this topic. For details, we refer the reader to [3, 5, 8, 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 21] and the references there in. In the view of [18], a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional α -cosymplectic manifold is said to be weakly symmetric manifold, if its curvature tensor \tilde{R} of type $(0, 4)$ is not identically zero and admits the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W \tilde{R})(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = & \mathcal{A}_1(W)\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) + \mathcal{B}_1(X_1)\tilde{R}(W, X_2, X_3, X_4) \\ & + \mathcal{B}_1(X_2)\tilde{R}(X_1, W, X_3, X_4) + \mathcal{D}_1(X_3)\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2, W, X_4) \\ & + \mathcal{D}_1(X_4)\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2, X_3, W), \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where ∇ denotes the Levi-Civita connection with respect to metric g on M , also $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{D}_1$ are non-zero 1-forms defined by $\mathcal{A}_1(W) = g(W, \sigma_1)$, $\mathcal{B}_1(W) = g(W, \rho_1)$ and $\mathcal{D}_1(W) = g(W, \pi_1)$, for all W and $\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = g(R(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4)$. A $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional α -cosymplectic manifold of this kind is denoted by a $(WS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold. Dubey [9] presented generalized recurrent manifold. In keeping with this work, we shall describe

*Corresponding Author.

Email addresses: selahattin.beyendi@inonu.edu.tr (S. Beyendi), mustafayldrm24@gmail.com (M. Yıldırım)

Received: 22.01.2020; Accepted: 27.07.2021

a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional α -cosymplectic manifold generalized weakly symmetric (briefly $(GWS)_{2n+1}$ -manifold) if it admits the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\nabla_W \tilde{R})(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = & \mathcal{A}_1(W)\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) + \mathcal{B}_1(X_1)\tilde{R}(W, X_2, X_3, X_4) \\
 & + \mathcal{B}_1(X_2)\tilde{R}(X_1, W, X_3, X_4) + \mathcal{D}_1(X_3)\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2, W, X_4) \\
 & + \mathcal{D}_1(X_4)\tilde{R}(X_1, X_2, X_3, W) + \mathcal{A}_2(W)\tilde{G}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \\
 & + \mathcal{B}_2(X_1)\tilde{G}(W, X_2, X_3, X_4) + \mathcal{B}_2(X_2)\tilde{G}(X_1, W, X_3, X_4) \\
 & + \mathcal{D}_2(X_3)\tilde{G}(X_1, X_2, W, X_4) + \mathcal{D}_2(X_4)\tilde{G}(X_1, X_2, X_3, W),
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$\tilde{G}(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = [g(X_2, X_3)g(X_1, X_4) - g(X_1, X_3)g(X_2, X_4)] \tag{1.3}$$

and $\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{B}_i, \mathcal{D}_i$ are non-zero 1-forms defined by $\mathcal{A}_i(W) = g(W, \sigma_i), \mathcal{B}_i(W) = g(W, \rho_i)$ and $\mathcal{D}_i(W) = g(W, \pi_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. There are interesting results of such $(GWS)_{2n+1}$ -manifolds in that it exhibits

- (i) (for $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{D}_i = 0$), locally symmetric space [6],
- (ii) (for $\mathcal{A}_1 \neq 0, \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{D}_i = 0$), recurrent space [20],
- (iii) (for $\mathcal{A}_i \neq 0, \mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{D}_i = 0$), generalized recurrent space [9],
- (iv) (for $\frac{\mathcal{A}_1}{2} = \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1 = H_1 \neq 0, \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{D}_2 = 0$), pseudo symmetric space [7],
- (v) (for $\frac{\mathcal{A}_i}{2} = \mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{D}_i = H_i \neq 0$), generalized pseudo symmetric space [3],
- (vi) (for $\mathcal{A}_i = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{D}_2 = 0, \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1 \neq 0$), semi-pseudo symmetric space [19],
- (vii) (for $\mathcal{A}_i = 0, \mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{D}_i \neq 0$), generalized semi-pseudo symmetric space [3],
- (viii) (for $\mathcal{A}_1 = H_1 + K_1, \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathcal{D}_1 = H_1 \neq 0$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{D}_2 = 0$), almost pseudo symmetric space [7],
- (ix) (for $\mathcal{A}_i = H_i + K_i, \mathcal{B}_i = \mathcal{D}_i = H_i \neq 0$), almost generalized pseudo symmetric space [3],
- (x) (for $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{D}_i \neq 0, \mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{D}_2 = 0$), weakly symmetric space [18].

In the present paper, we have investigated some properties of the generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifolds. In Section 2, we review basic formulas and definitions for α -cosymplectic manifolds. In Section 3, we have examined a generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold and it is observed that such a space is an η -Einstein manifold provided $\mathcal{D}_1(\xi) \neq -\alpha$. We also present tables of different types of curvature restrictions for which α -cosymplectics manifolds are sometimes Einstein and some other times remain η -Einstein. In Section 4, we have given an example of the existence of such manifolds. Finally, we have investigated a generalized weakly Ricci-symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold which is also found to be η -Einstein space.

2. Preliminaries

Let $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(2n + 1)$ -dimensional almost contact metric manifold, where φ is a $(1, 1)$ -tensor field, ξ is the structure vector field, η is a 1-form and g is the Riemannian metric. It is well known that the (φ, ξ, η, g) structure satisfies the following conditions [5]:

$$\varphi(\xi) = 0, \quad \eta(\varphi) = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\varphi^2 W = -W + \eta(W)\xi, \quad g(W, \xi) = \eta(W), \quad (2.2)$$

$$g(\varphi W, \varphi X_1) = g(W, X_1) - \eta(W)\eta(X_1), \quad (2.3)$$

for any vector fields W and X_1 on M^{2n+1} . If in addition,

$$\nabla_W \xi = -\alpha\varphi^2 W, \quad (2.4)$$

$$(\nabla_W \eta)X_1 = \alpha[g(W, X_1) - \eta(W)\eta(X_1)], \quad (2.5)$$

where ∇ denotes the Riemannian connection holds and α is a real number, then $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is called an α -cosymplectic manifold ([1, 2, 10, 13, 15]). In this case, it is well known that [4]

$$R(W, X_1)\xi = \alpha^2[\eta(W)X_1 - \eta(X_1)W], \quad (2.6)$$

$$S(W, \xi) = -2n\alpha^2\eta(W), \quad (2.7)$$

$$S(\xi, \xi) = -2n\alpha^2, \quad (2.8)$$

where S denotes the Ricci tensor. From (2.6), it easily follows that

$$R(W, \xi)X_1 = \alpha^2[g(W, X_1)\xi - \eta(X_1)W], \quad (2.9)$$

$$R(W, \xi)\xi = \alpha^2[\eta(W)\xi - W], \quad (2.10)$$

for any vector fields W, X_1 where R denotes the Riemannian curvature tensor of M . An α -cosymplectic manifold is said to be an η -Einstein manifold if the Ricci tensor S satisfies condition

$$S(W, X_1) = \lambda_1 g(W, X_1) + \lambda_2 \eta(W)\eta(X_1), \quad (2.11)$$

where λ_1, λ_2 are certain scalars.

3. Generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold

In this section, let us consider a generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold (M^{2n+1}, g) ($n \geq 1$). Now, contracting X_1 over X_4 in both sides of (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W S)(X_2, X_3) &= \mathcal{A}_1(W)S(X_2, X_3) + \mathcal{B}_1(R(W, X_2)X_3) + \mathcal{B}_1(X_2)S(W, X_3) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}_1(X_3)S(X_2, W) + \mathcal{D}_1(R(W, X_3)X_2) + 2n\mathcal{A}_2(W)g(X_2, X_3) \\ &\quad + 2n\mathcal{B}_2(X_2)g(W, X_3) + 2n\mathcal{D}_2(X_3)g(W, X_2) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{B}_2(W)g(X_2, X_3) - \mathcal{B}_2(X_2)g(W, X_3) + \mathcal{D}_2(W)g(X_2, X_3) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{D}_2(X_3)g(X_2, W). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Putting $X_3 = \xi$ in (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W S)(X_2, \xi) &= \mathcal{A}_1(W)S(X_2, \xi) + \mathcal{B}_1(R(W, X_2)\xi) + \mathcal{B}_1(X_2)S(W, \xi) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)S(X_2, W) + \mathcal{D}_1(R(W, \xi)X_2) + 2n\mathcal{A}_2(W)\eta(X_2) \\ &\quad + 2n\mathcal{B}_2(X_2)\eta(W) + 2n\mathcal{D}_2(\xi)g(X_2, W) + \mathcal{B}_2(W)\eta(X_2) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{B}_2(X_2)\eta(W) + \mathcal{D}_2(W)\eta(X_2) - \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)g(X_2, W). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Using (2.6), (2.7) and (2.9) in (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W S)(X_2, \xi) &= -2n\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(W)\eta(X_2) + \alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(X_2)\eta(W) - \alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(W)\eta(X_2) \\ &\quad - 2n\alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(X_2)\eta(W) + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)S(X_2, W) + \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(\xi)g(X_2, W) \\ &\quad - \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(W)\eta(X_2) + 2n\mathcal{A}_2(W)\eta(X_2) + 2n\mathcal{B}_2(X_2)\eta(W) \\ &\quad + 2n\mathcal{D}_2(\xi)g(X_2, W) + \mathcal{B}_2(W)\eta(X_2) - \mathcal{B}_2(X_2)\eta(W) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}_2(W)\eta(X_2) - \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)g(X_2, W). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

We know that

$$(\nabla_W S)(X_2, X_3) = \nabla_W S(X_2, X_3) - S(\nabla_W X_2, X_3) - S(X_2, \nabla_W X_3). \quad (3.4)$$

Next we take $X_3 = \xi$ in (3.4) and then using (2.2), (2.4) and (2.7), we obtain

$$(\nabla_W S)(X_2, \xi) = -2n\alpha^3 g(X_2, W) - \alpha S(X_2, W). \quad (3.5)$$

Now, using (3.5) in (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -2n\alpha^3g(X_2, W) - \alpha S(X_2, W) \\
 & = -2n\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(W)\eta(X_2) - (2n - 1)\alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(X_2)\eta(W) + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)S(X_2, W) \\
 & - \alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(W)\eta(X_2) - \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(W)\eta(X_2) + \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(\xi)g(X_2, W) \\
 & + 2n[\mathcal{A}_2(W)\eta(X_2) + \mathcal{B}_2(X_2)\eta(W) + \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)g(X_2, W)] \\
 & + \mathcal{B}_2(W)\eta(X_2) - \mathcal{B}_2(X_2)\eta(W) + \mathcal{D}_2(W)\eta(X_2) - \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)g(X_2, W),
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

which results in

$$\alpha^2[\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \mathcal{B}_1(\xi) + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)] = [\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + \mathcal{B}_2(\xi) + \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)] \tag{3.7}$$

for $W = X_2 = \xi$. In particular, if $\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) = \mathcal{B}_2(\xi) = \mathcal{D}_2(\xi) = 0$, equation (3.7) turns into

$$\alpha^2[\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \mathcal{B}_1(\xi) + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)] = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Theorem 3.1. *In a generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold (M^{2n+1}, g) , the relation (3.7) is hold.*

Putting $X_2 = \xi$ in (3.1) and using (3.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -2n\alpha^3g(W, X_3) - \alpha S(W, X_3) \\
 & = -2n\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(W)\eta(X_3) + \alpha^2g(W, X_3)\mathcal{B}_1(\xi) - \alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(W)\eta(X_3) \\
 & + \mathcal{B}_1(\xi)S(W, X_3) - (2n - 1)\alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(X_3)\eta(W) - \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(W)\eta(X_3) \\
 & + 2n[\mathcal{A}_2(W)\eta(X_3) + \mathcal{B}_2(\xi)g(W, X_3) + \mathcal{D}_2(X_3)\eta(W)] \\
 & + \mathcal{B}_2(W)\eta(X_3) - \mathcal{B}_2(\xi)g(W, X_3) + \mathcal{D}_2(W)\eta(X_3) - \mathcal{D}_2(X_3)\eta(W).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Taking $X_3 = \xi$ in (3.9) and also using (2.2) and (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha^2[2n\mathcal{A}_1(W) + \mathcal{B}_1(W) + \mathcal{D}_1(W) + (2n - 1)\eta(W)(\mathcal{B}_1(\xi) + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi))] \\
 & = 2n\mathcal{A}_2(W) + (2n - 1)\eta(W)[\mathcal{B}_2(\xi) + \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)] + \mathcal{B}_2(W) + \mathcal{D}_2(W).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Now putting $W = \xi$ in (3.9) and using (2.1), (2.2) and (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \alpha^2[2n(\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) + \mathcal{B}_1(\xi))\eta(X_3) + (2n - 1)\mathcal{D}_1(X_3) + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)\eta(X_3)] \\
 & = 2n[(\mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + \mathcal{B}_2(\xi))\eta(X_3) + \mathcal{D}_2(X_3)] + \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)\eta(X_3) - \mathcal{D}_2(X_3).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

Replacing X_3 by W in (3.11) and using (3.7), we have

$$\alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(W) - \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(\xi)\eta(W) = \mathcal{D}_2(W) - \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)\eta(W). \tag{3.12}$$

Putting $W = \xi$ in (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & -2n\alpha^3\eta(X_2) + 2n\alpha^3\eta(X_2) \\
 & = -2n\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(\xi)\eta(X_2) - (2n - 1)\alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(X_2) - 2n\alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(\xi)\eta(X_2) \\
 & - \alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(\xi)\eta(X_2) - \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(\xi)\eta(X_2) + \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(\xi)\eta(X_2) \\
 & + 2n[\mathcal{A}_2(\xi)\eta(X_2) + \mathcal{B}_2(X_2) + \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)\eta(X_2)] \\
 & + \mathcal{B}_2(\xi)\eta(X_2) - \mathcal{B}_2(X_2) + \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)\eta(X_2) - \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)\eta(X_2).
 \end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

Replacing X_2 by W in (3.13) and then using (3.7), (3.12), we obtain

$$\alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(W) - \alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(\xi)\eta(W) = \mathcal{B}_2(W) - \mathcal{B}_2(\xi)\eta(W). \tag{3.14}$$

Using (3.10), (3.12) and (3.14), we get

$$\alpha^2[\mathcal{A}_1(W) + (\mathcal{B}_1(\xi) + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi))\eta(W)] = \mathcal{A}_2(W) + (\mathcal{B}_2(\xi) + \mathcal{D}_2(\xi))\eta(W). \tag{3.15}$$

In view of (3.12), (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain

$$\alpha^2[\mathcal{A}_1(W) + \mathcal{B}_1(W) + \mathcal{D}_1(W)] = [\mathcal{A}_2(W) + \mathcal{B}_2(W) + \mathcal{D}_2(W)]. \tag{3.16}$$

Next, for the choice of $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{D}_2 = 0$, the relation in equation (3.16) yields the following:

$$\alpha^2[\mathcal{A}_1(W) + \mathcal{B}_1(W) + \mathcal{D}_1(W)] = 0. \tag{3.17}$$

This motivates us to state the following theorems.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be a $(GWS)_{2n+1}$ α -cosymplectic manifold, the sum of the associated is given by (3.16).*

Theorem 3.3. *There does not exist an α -cosymplectic manifold which is*

- (i) *recurrent,*
- (ii) *generalized recurrent provided the 1-forms associated to the vector fields are colliner,*
- (iii) *pseudo symmetric,*
- (iv) *generalized semi-pseudo symmetric provided the 1-forms associated to the vector fields are collinear.*

Again from (3.6), putting $W = \xi$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &2n[\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(\xi) - \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)]\eta(X_2) \\ &= [-\alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(\xi) + \mathcal{B}_2(\xi)]\eta(X_2) + (2n - 1)[-\alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(X_2) + \mathcal{B}_2(X_2)]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Using (3.7), the above equation becomes

$$[\alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(\xi) - \mathcal{B}_2(\xi)]\eta(X_2) = \alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(X_2) - \mathcal{B}_2(X_2). \tag{3.19}$$

Taking $X_2 = \xi$ in (3.6) and using (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2n[\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(W) - \mathcal{A}_2(W)] + [\alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(W) - \mathcal{B}_2(W)] + [\alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(W) - \mathcal{D}_2(W)] \\ &= (2n - 1)[\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi)]\eta(W). \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Putting (3.16) in (3.20), we have

$$[\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi)]\eta(W) = \alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(W) - \mathcal{A}_2(W). \tag{3.21}$$

Again from (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_2, W) &= \\ &- \frac{[-2n\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(W) - \alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(W) - \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(W) + 2n\mathcal{A}_2(W) + \mathcal{B}_2(W) + \mathcal{D}_2(W)]}{\alpha + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)}\eta(X_2) \\ &- \frac{[2n\alpha^3 + \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(\xi) + 2n\mathcal{D}_2(\xi) - \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)]}{\alpha + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)}g(X_2, W) \\ &+ \frac{[(2n - 1)\alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(X_2) - 2n\mathcal{B}_2(X_2) + \mathcal{B}_2(X_2)]}{\alpha + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)}\eta(W). \end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

In view of (3.16), (3.19) and (3.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_2, W) &= - \frac{[2n(\alpha^3 + \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)) + \alpha^2\mathcal{D}_1(\xi) - \mathcal{D}_2(\xi)]}{\alpha + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)}g(X_2, W) \\ &+ \frac{(2n - 1)[\alpha^2\mathcal{A}_1(\xi) - \mathcal{A}_2(\xi) + \alpha^2\mathcal{B}_1(\xi) - \mathcal{B}_2(\xi)]}{\alpha + \mathcal{D}_1(\xi)}\eta(X_2)\eta(W). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Theorem 3.4. *A generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold is an η -Einstein space provided $\mathcal{D}_1(\xi) \neq -\alpha$.*

Theorem 3.5. *In an α -cosymplectic manifold the following table is hold.*

Type of curvature restriction	Nature of the space corresponding to curvature restriction
locally symmetric space	Einstein space
locally recurrent space	η - Einstein space
generalized recurrent space	η - Einstein space
pseudo symmetric space	η - Einstein space
generalized pseudo symmetric space	η - Einstein space
semi-pseudo symmetric space	η - Einstein space
generalized semi-pseudo symmetric space	η - Einstein space
almost pseudo symmetric space	η - Einstein space
almost generalized pseudo symmetric space	η - Einstein space
weakly symmetric space	η - Einstein space

4. Existence of Generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold

Let $M^3 = \{(x, y, z) \in R^3\}$ be a 3-dimensional manifold, where (x, y, z) are the standard coordinates in R^3 . The vector fields are

$$\begin{aligned}
 e_1 &= e^{-2z} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \\
 e_2 &= e^{-2z} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \\
 e_3 &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ are linearly independent at each point of M^3 . Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_1, e_1) = g(e_2, e_2) = g(e_3, e_3) = 1, \quad g(e_1, e_2) = g(e_1, e_3) = g(e_2, e_3) = 0,$$

and given by the tensor product

$$g = \frac{1}{e^{-4z}}(dx \otimes dx + dy \otimes dy) + dz \otimes dz).$$

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(W) = g(W, e_3)$ for any vector field W on M^3 and $\varphi(e_1) = e_2, \varphi(e_2) = -e_1, \varphi(e_3) = 0$. Then using the linearity of g and φ , we have

$$\varphi^2 W = -W + \eta(W)e_3, \quad \eta(e_3) = 1, \quad g(\varphi W, \varphi X_1) = g(W, X_1) - \eta(W)\eta(X_1),$$

for any vector fields on M^3 . It remains to prove that $d\Phi = 2\alpha\eta \wedge \Phi$ and the Nijenhuis torsion tensor of φ is zero. It follows that $\Phi(e_1, e_2) = -1$ and otherwise $\Phi(e_i, e_j) = 0$ for $i \leq j$. Therefore, the essential non-zero component of Φ is as follows:

$$\Phi\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right) = -\frac{1}{e^{-4z}}$$

and hence

$$\Phi = -\frac{1}{e^{-4z}}dx \wedge dz. \tag{4.1}$$

Consequently, the exterior derivative $d\Phi$ is given by

$$d\Phi = -\frac{4}{e^{-4z}}dx \wedge dy \wedge dz. \tag{4.2}$$

Since $\eta = dz$, by (4.1) and (4.2), we find

$$d\Phi = 4\eta \wedge \Phi.$$

Then,

$$[e_1, e_2] = 0, \quad [e_1, e_3] = 2e_1, \quad [e_2, e_3] = 2e_2.$$

In conclusion, it can be noted that Nijenhuis torsion of φ is zero. Thus, the manifold is a 2-cosymplectic manifold. Using Koszul's formula, we can get the ∇ operator as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1} e_3 &= 2e_1, & \nabla_{e_1} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_1} e_1 &= -2e_3, & (4.3) \\ \nabla_{e_2} e_3 &= 2e_2, & \nabla_{e_2} e_2 &= -2e_3, & \nabla_{e_2} e_1 &= 0, \\ \nabla_{e_3} e_3 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_3} e_2 &= 0, & \nabla_{e_3} e_1 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then using equation (4.3), the non-vanishing components of \tilde{R} (skew-symmetry and up to symmetry) can clearly be seen:

$$\tilde{R}(e_1, e_3, e_1, e_3) = \tilde{R}(e_2, e_3, e_2, e_3) = 4 = \tilde{R}(e_1, e_2, e_1, e_2).$$

Since $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ forms a basis, any vector field $W, X_1, X_2, X_3 \in \chi(M)$ can be written as

$$W = \sum_{i=1}^3 a_i e_i, \quad X_1 = \sum_{i=1}^3 b_i e_i, \quad X_2 = \sum_{i=1}^3 c_i e_i, \quad X_3 = \sum_{i=1}^3 d_i e_i$$

and the components can be obtained from the following relations by the symmetry properties,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= T_1 = \frac{1}{4}[(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)(c_1 d_2 - c_2 d_1)] \\ &\quad + (a_1 b_3 - a_3 b_1)(c_1 d_3 - c_3 d_1) + (a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2)(c_2 d_3 - c_3 d_2) \\ \tilde{R}(e_1, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= \lambda_1 = \frac{1}{4}[b_3(c_1 d_3 - c_3 d_1) + b_2(c_1 d_2 - c_2 d_1)] \\ \tilde{R}(e_2, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= \lambda_2 = \frac{1}{4}[b_3(c_2 d_3 - c_3 d_2) - b_1(c_1 d_2 - c_2 d_1)] \\ \tilde{R}(e_3, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= \lambda_3 = \frac{1}{4}[b_1(c_3 d_1 - c_1 d_3) + b_2(c_3 d_2 - c_2 d_3)] \\ \tilde{R}(W, e_1, X_2, X_3) &= \lambda_4 = \frac{1}{4}[a_3(c_1 d_3 - c_3 d_1) + a_2(c_1 d_2 - c_2 d_1)] \\ \tilde{R}(W, e_2, X_2, X_3) &= \lambda_5 = \frac{1}{4}[a_3(c_2 d_3 - c_3 d_2) + a_1(c_2 d_1 - c_1 d_2)] \\ \tilde{R}(W, e_3, X_2, X_3) &= \lambda_6 = \frac{1}{4}[a_1(c_3 d_1 - c_1 d_3) + a_2(c_3 d_2 - c_2 d_3)] \\ \tilde{R}(W, X_1, e_1, X_3) &= \lambda_7 = \frac{1}{4}[d_3(a_1 b_3 - a_3 b_1) + d_2(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)] \\ \tilde{R}(W, X_1, e_2, X_3) &= \lambda_8 = \frac{1}{4}[d_3(a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2) + d_1(a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2)] \\ \tilde{R}(W, X_1, e_3, X_3) &= \lambda_9 = \frac{1}{4}[d_1(a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3) + d_2(a_3 b_2 - a_2 b_3)] \\ \tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, e_1) &= \lambda_{10} = \frac{1}{4}[c_3(a_1 b_3 - a_3 b_1) + c_2(a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)] \\ \tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, e_2) &= \lambda_{11} = \frac{1}{4}[c_3(a_2 b_3 - a_3 b_2) + c_1(a_2 b_1 - a_1 b_2)] \\ \tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, e_3) &= \lambda_{12} = \frac{1}{4}[c_1(a_3 b_1 - a_1 b_3) + c_2(a_3 b_2 - a_2 b_3)] \\ \tilde{G}(W, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= T_2 = (b_1 c_1 + b_2 c_2 - b_3 c_3)(a_1 d_1 + a_2 d_2 - a_3 d_3) \\ &\quad - (a_1 c_1 + a_2 c_2 - a_3 c_3)(b_1 d_1 + b_2 d_2 - b_3 d_3). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we calculate the components of \tilde{R} which are the non-vanishing covariant derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_1}\tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= +2a_1\lambda_3 - 2a_3\lambda_1 + 2b_1\lambda_6 - 2b_3\lambda_4 \\ &\quad + 2c_1\lambda_9 - 2c_3\lambda_7 + 2d_1\lambda_{12} - 2d_1\lambda_{10} \\ \nabla_{e_2}\tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= +2a_2\lambda_3 - 2a_3\lambda_2 + 2b_2\lambda_6 - 2b_3\lambda_5 \\ &\quad + 2c_2\lambda_9 - 2c_3\lambda_8 + 2d_2\lambda_{12} - 2d_3\lambda_{11} \\ \nabla_{e_3}\tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Depending on the following choice of the 1-forms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(e_1) &= \frac{2a_1\lambda_3 - 2a_3\lambda_1 + 2b_1\lambda_6 - 2b_3\lambda_4}{T_1} \\ \mathcal{A}_2(e_1) &= \frac{2c_1\lambda_9 - 2c_3\lambda_7 + 2d_1\lambda_{12} - 2d_1\lambda_{10}}{T_2} \\ \mathcal{A}_1(e_2) &= \frac{2a_2\lambda_3 - 2a_3\lambda_2 + 2b_2\lambda_6 - 2b_3\lambda_5}{T_1} \\ \mathcal{A}_2(e_2) &= \frac{2c_2\lambda_9 - 2c_3\lambda_8 + 2d_2\lambda_{12} - 2d_3\lambda_{11}}{T_2} \end{aligned}$$

one can easily verify the following relations that follow

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{e_i}\tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, X_3) &= \mathcal{A}_1(e_i)\tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, X_3) + \mathcal{B}_1(W)\tilde{R}(e_i, X_1, X_2, X_3) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{B}_1(X_1)\tilde{R}(W, e_i, X_2, X_3) + \mathcal{D}_1(X_2)\tilde{R}(W, X_1, e_i, X_3) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}_1(X_3)\tilde{R}(W, X_1, X_2, e_i) + \mathcal{A}_2(e_i)\tilde{G}(W, X_1, X_2, X_3) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{B}_2(W)\tilde{G}(e_i, X_1, X_2, X_3) + \mathcal{B}_2(X_1)\tilde{G}(W, e_i, X_2, X_3) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{D}_2(X_2)\tilde{G}(W, X_1, e_i, X_3) + \mathcal{D}_2(X_3)\tilde{G}(W, X_1, X_2, e_i) \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, 2, 3$. From the above, we can state the following the theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *There exists an α -cosymplectic manifold (M^3, g) which is a generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold.*

5. Generalized weakly Ricci-symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold

Let $(M^{2n+1}, \varphi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an α -cosymplectic manifold. If the manifold is generalized weakly Ricci symmetric manifold then there exists 1-forms $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_i, \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_i$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_i$ that satisfy the condition

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W S)(X_2, X_3) &= \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W)S(X_2, X_3) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(X_2)S(W, X_3) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(X_3)S(X_2, W) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W)g(X_2, X_3) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(X_2)g(W, X_3) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(X_3)g(X_2, W). \end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

Putting $X_3 = \xi$ in (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_W S)(X_2, \xi) &= -2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W)\eta(X_2) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(X_2)\eta(W)] + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)S(X_2, W) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W)\eta(X_2) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(X_2)\eta(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)g(X_2, W). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

In view of (3.5) the relation (5.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} -2n\alpha^3g(X_2, W) - \alpha S(X_2, W) &= -2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W)\eta(X_2) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(X_2)\eta(W)] \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)S(X_2, W) + \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W)\eta(X_2) \\ &\quad + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(X_2)\eta(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)g(X_2, W). \end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Setting $W = X_2 = \xi$ in (5.3) and using (2.1), (2.2) and (2.7), we get

$$2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)] = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi). \tag{5.4}$$

Again, putting $W = \xi$ in (5.3), we get

$$2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(\xi)\eta(X_2) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(X_2) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)\eta(X_2)] = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(\xi)\eta(X_2) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(X_2) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)\eta(X_2). \quad (5.5)$$

Setting $X_2 = \xi$ in (5.3) and then using (2.1), (2.2) and (2.7), we obtain

$$2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(\xi)\eta(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)\eta(W)] = \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(\xi)\eta(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)\eta(W). \quad (5.6)$$

Replacing X_2 by W in (5.5) and then adding the resultant with (5.6), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2n[\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W) + \alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(W)] - [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(W)] \\ &= -2n[\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(\xi) + \alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(\xi) + \alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)]\eta(W) \\ &+ [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)]\eta(W) - 2n\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)\eta(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)\eta(W). \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Due to (5.4), equation (5.7) turns into

$$\begin{aligned} & 2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(W)] + 2n\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)\eta(W) \\ &= [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(W)] + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)\eta(W). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Then taking, $X_2 = W = \xi$ in (5.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(\xi)]\eta(X_3) + 2n\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(X_3) \\ &= [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(\xi)]\eta(X_3) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(X_3). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

Replacing X_3 by W in (5.9) and adding with (5.8), we find out

$$\begin{aligned} & 2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(W)] + 2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)]\eta(W) \\ &= [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(W)] + [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)]\eta(W). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Using equation (5.4), we get from the (5.10) equation

$$2n\alpha^2[\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(W)] = [\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(W) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(W)]. \quad (5.11)$$

This leads to the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. *In a generalized weakly Ricci symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold (M^{2n+1}, g) ($n \geq 1$), the sum of the associated 1-forms is related by (5.11).*

Again from (5.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_2, W) &= -\frac{[2n\alpha^3 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)]}{\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)}g(X_2, W) + \frac{[2n\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W)]}{\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)}\eta(X_2) \\ &+ \frac{[2n\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(X_2) - \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(X_2)]}{\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)}\eta(W). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

From (5.6), we get

$$2n\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1(W) - \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2(W) = [-2n\alpha^2(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)) + (\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi))]\eta(W). \quad (5.13)$$

Using (5.4) in (5.5), we obtain

$$[2n\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(\xi) - \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(\xi)]\eta(X_2) = 2n\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(X_2) - \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_2(X_2). \quad (5.14)$$

In view of (5.12), (5.13) and (5.14), we have

$$S(X_2, W) = -\frac{[2n\alpha^3 + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)]}{\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)}g(X_2, W) + \frac{[-2n\alpha^2\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi) + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_2(\xi)]}{\alpha + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi)}\eta(X_2)\eta(W).$$

This leads to the following theorems.

Theorem 5.2. *A generalized weakly Ricci symmetric α -cosymplectic manifold is an η -Einstein space provided $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_1(\xi) \neq -\alpha$*

Theorem 5.3. *In an α -cosymplectic manifold the following table is hold.*

<i>Type of curvature restriction</i>	<i>Nature of the space corresponding to curvature restriction</i>
<i>locally symmetric space</i>	<i>Einstein space</i>
<i>locally recurrent space</i>	<i>η- Einstein space</i>
<i>generalized recurrent space</i>	<i>η- Einstein space</i>
<i>pseudo symmetric space</i>	<i>η- Einstein space</i>
<i>generalized pseudo symmetric space</i>	<i>η- Einstein space</i>
<i>semi-pseudo symmetric space</i>	<i>η- Einstein space</i>
<i>generalized semi-pseudo symmetric space</i>	<i>η- Einstein space</i>
<i>almost pseudo symmetric space</i>	<i>η- Einstein space</i>
<i>almost generalized pseudo symmetric space</i>	<i>η- Einstein space</i>
<i>weakly symmetric space</i>	<i>η- Einstein space</i>

References

- [1] N. Aktan, M. Yıldırım and C. Murathan, *Almost f -cosymplectic manifolds*, Mediterr. J. Math., **11** (2), 775-787, 2014.
- [2] M.A. Akyol, *Conformal anti-invariant submersions from cosymplectic manifolds*, Hacet. J. Math. Stat., **46** (2), 176-192, 2017.
- [3] K.K. Baishya and P.R. Chowdhury, *On Generalized weakly symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds*, Bol. Soc. Paran. Mat., **39** (6), 211-222, 2021.
- [4] S. Beyendi, G. Ayar and N. Aktan, *On a type of α -cosymplectic manifolds*, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat. **68** (1), 852-861, 2019.
- [5] D.E. Blair, *Contact Manifolds in Riemannian Geometry*, Lect. Notes Math. **509**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [6] E. Cartan, *Sur une classes remarquable d'espaces de Riemannian*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, **54**, 214-264, 1926.
- [7] M.C. Chaki, *On pseudo Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Bulg. J. Physics, **15** (6), 526-531, 1988.
- [8] U.C. De and S. Bandyopadhyay, *On weakly symmetric spaces*, Acta Math. Hung., **87**(3), 205-212, 2000.
- [9] R.S.D. Dubey, *Generalized recurrent spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **10** (12), 1508-1513, 1979.
- [10] Y. Gündüzalp and M.A. Akyol, *Conformal slant submersions from cosymplectic manifolds*, Turk. J. Math., **42** (5), 2672-2689, 2018.
- [11] S.K. Hui, A.A. Shaikh and I. Roy, *On totally umbilical hypersurfaces of weakly conharmonically symmetric spaces*, Global journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, **10** (4), 28-31, 2010.
- [12] S.K. Jana and A.A. Shaikh, *On quasi-conformally flat weakly Ricci symmetric manifolds*, Acta Math. Hung., **115** (3), 197-214, 2007.
- [13] T.W. Kim and H.K. Pak, *Canonical foliations of certain classes of almost contact metric structures*, Acta Math, Sinica, Eng. Ser. Aug., **21** (4), 841-846, 2005.
- [14] F. Özen and S. Altay, *On weakly and pseudo symmetric Riemannian spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **33** (10), 1477-1488, 2001.
- [15] H. Öztürk, C. Murathan, N. Aktan and A.T. Vanli, *Almost α -cosymplectic f -manifolds*, An. Stiint. Univ. Al. I. Cuza Iasi. Mat.(NS), **60** (1), 211-226, 2014.
- [16] M. Prvanovic, *On weakly symmetric Riemannian manifolds*, Pub. Math. Debrecen, **46**, 19-25, 1995.
- [17] A.A. Shaikh and K.K.Baishya, *On weakly quasi-conformally symmetric manifolds*, Soochow Journal of Mathematics **31** (4), 581-595, 2005.

- [18] L. Tamassy and T.Q. Binh, *On weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric Riemannian manifolds*, Coll. Math. Soc., J. Bolyai, **56**, 663-670, 1989.
- [19] M. Tarafdar and M.A.A. Jawarneh, *Semi-Pseudo Ricci Symmetric manifold*, J. Indian Inst. Sci., **73**, 591-596, 1993.
- [20] A.G. Walker, *On Ruses space of recurrent curvature*, Proc. London Math. Soc., **52**, 36-54, 1950.
- [21] M. Yıldırım and S. Beyendi, *On almost generalized weakly symmetric α -cosymplectic manifolds*, Univers. J. Math. Appl., **3** (4), 156-159, 2020.