Does 8q24 region have a potential risk for childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia? 8q24 bölgesi çocukluk çağı akut lenfoblastik lösemiler için potansiyel risk oluşturur mu?
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**ÖZET**

TCF transkriptsiyon faktörünün bağlama bölgesinde denk gelen 8q24'de görülen polimorfizm, buğun bir çok kanser türü için bir risk faktörü olarak belirlenmiştir. Bu çalışmada, akut lenfoblastik lösemilerde (ALL) TCF4'ün alele özgü regülasyonu anlamak amacıyla 8q24 bölgesinde genotiplendirilmesi ve TCF4'ün hedef genlerinin (MYC, LEF1, AXIN2) anlatımı incelenmişi hedeflenmiştir. rs6983267 akut lösemilerde anlamlı farklılık göstermemekle beraber alel freksanlarının kombinasyonları (GG ile GT+TT veya TT ile GT+GG) arasında da anlamlı farklılık yoktur. Bunun yanında GG genotipi ve hedef genlerin mRNA anlatımı arasında korelasyon bulunmaktadır. Akut lösemi hücre serileri genotiplendirilirliğinde de anlamlı bir farklılık bulunmamıştır. Bulgular, 8q24 bölgesinin ALL için direkt bir risk oluşturamakla beraber dokuya özgü farklılıkların TCF4 düzenlemesini ve bu yol ile TCF4 hedef genlerinin düzenlenmesini etkilediğini açıkça göstermektedir.
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**SUMMARY**

8q24 region, localized in a TCF4 transcription factor consensus-binding sequence, harbors many independent risk polymorphisms and is to be associated to several cancers. In this study, we aimed to know if the allele specific regulation of TCF4 is applicable/admissible for childhood acute leukemia by genotyping 8q24 and investigating the expression of three main targets of TCF4 (c-MYC, LEF1, AXIN2). Allele frequencies of rs6983267 were not significantly different between the acute leukemia cases and the combined analysis of the frequencies (GG vs GT+TT or TT vs GT+GG) did not show a significant association but the GG genotype and mRNA expressions of target genes showed a correlation. Also in B-ALL patients, only c-MYC gene expression was increased. We also genotyped acute leukemia cell lines and cell line gene expressions did not show a consistent and significant correlation between the genotypes. To sum up our findings showed that, the frequency of the variation at 8q24 is not associated with ALL risk directly but it is clear that tissue specific differences and regulations may attend to TCF4 regulation and its targets.
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**Introduction**

Recent genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have revealed a substantial contribution of synonymous SNPs to human disease risk and other complex traits. The various molecular mechanisms that underlie these effects still need elucidation. A gene desert located in the chromosome 8q24 harbors many independent risk polymorphisms and is to be associated to several cancers (13).

Colorectal and prostate cancer studies have identified the rs6983267 risk variant, within the susceptibility 8q24 region (4, 1). The rs6983267 variant localized in a transcription factor consensus-binding sequence that is the strongest TCF4 binding site. Members of the TCF transcription family and β-CATENIN have been shown to regulate WNT signaling pathway and consequently regulate the expression levels of candidate genes, including c-MYC, AXIN2 and LEF1. Previously it has been shown that abnormal WNT signaling occurs in acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) (9). The rs6983267 variant is in physical proximity with c-MYC promoter (335 Kb to telomeric region) and acts as a long-range regulator for c-MYC gene (6). Some studies showed that Tcf4 binds to G variant of the rs6983267 more strongly in colorectal carcinoma cells (14). On the other hand, some groups demonstrated the interaction of Tcf4 and T allele is more powerful depending on the cancer type (8). According to Sotelo et. al., in prostate cancer cell line (LnCAP) stronger interaction is reported between T allele and Tcf4 by an unorthodox explanation that is called “intrinsic tumor suppression”. This interaction leads to higher expression of c-MYC, which in turn protects cells from producing tumors by inducing apoptosis (8).

Acute lymphoblastic lymphoma (ALL), which is characterized by the rapid proliferation of immature lymphoblast’s, arises from B- or T-cell progenitors. Various signaling pathways play roles in leukemogenesis such as NOTCH and WNT pathways (2, 3). There are several genetic and epigenetic reasons for abnormal activation of WNT pathway. In prior work we showed abnormal activation of WNT pathway via increased β-Catenin mRNA and protein levels in a group of T-ALL patients (5). Herein, we wanted to know if the allele specific regulation of TCF4 is applicable/admissible for pediatric...
acute leukemia by investigating the expression of three main targets of TCF4 (c-MYC, LEF1, AXIN2).

Material and methods
Total 87 pediatric acute leukemia patients (n=41 T-ALL and n=46 B-ALL), healthy controls (n=106) and cell lines (T-ALL cell lines: Molt-4, Jurkat, CEM and RPMI 8402; B-ALL cell lines: REH, Nalm 6 and SUPLB27) were included in this study. Genomic DNA was isolated by Qiagen DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, GmbH, Germany). Total RNA was isolated by Qiagen RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, GmbH, Germany) and cDNA was synthesized by random hexamers and MMLV reverse transcriptase, from 1μg of total RNA according to manufacturer procedures (MBI Fermentas Life Sciences, Lithuania). First, the patient samples were genotyped for rs6983267 variant [G/T] by using the Light Cycler 480 Instrument (Roche Applied Sciences, Manheim, Germany) and the results were confirmed by direct sequencing. The expression levels of TCF4 and its downstream targets c-MYC, LEF1 and AXIN2 genes were studied by real time quantitative PCR and analyzed according to the genotyping results. Most stable β-ACTIN and CYCLOPHILIN genes were used for normalization. Primers, probes and conditions are available upon request.

Relative expressions were calculated according to the delta-delta Ct method, based on the mathematical model described by Livak et al. Expression differences were examined by two-sided t test. Hardy Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and the difference between allelic and genotypic frequencies in cases and controls were examined by χ² test. Risk was estimated by odds ratio (ORs) with a confidence interval of 95%. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant in all analysis.

Results
The observed genotype frequencies were in accordance with HWE. Allele frequencies of rs6983267 were not significantly different between the acute leukemia cases (for G allele, 51% and for T allele, 49%) and the controls (for G allele 50% and for T allele 50%) (p=0.76 and OR=1.06 and CI 95% 0.71-1.60, respectively). No significant differences were found between the cases and the controls in genotype frequencies (Table 1). Combined analysis of the frequencies (GG vs GT+TT or TT vs GT+GG) did not show a significant association (p=0.61 OR=1.22, CI% 0.64-2.37 and p=1.00 OR=0.97, CI% 0.50-1.90, respectively). But the GG genotype and mRNA expressions of target genes showed a correlation. Although it is not statistically significant, T-ALL patients had elevated TCF4 and its downstream genes’ (c-MYC, LEF and AXIN2) expression in the GG genotype compared to GT+TT genotypes (Figure 1A). Where as in B-ALL patients, only c-MYC gene expression was increased (Figure 1B). Here we also genotyped acute leukemia cell lines. The distribution of genotypes in cell lines were as followed: GG genotype (Molt-4), GT genotype (Jurkat, RPMI 8402, REH and Nalm 6) and TT genotype (SUPB27 and CEM). Cell line gene expressions did not show a consistent and significant correlation between the genotypes (data not shown).

Discussion
GWAS studies are identifying an increasing number of SNPs associated with disease susceptibility. The challenge is to unravel the causative mechanisms related to these variants. The only study with leukemia patients, which was examining the association between the chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) patients and rs6983267 variant distribution, was not found to be significant (7). In another study, linkage disequilibrium was obtained between the rs6983267 allele and multiple myeloma patients, but G allele frequency was not different than in controls (12).

In previous studies, lymphoblastic cell lines and colorectal carcinoma patients did not show significant c-MYC expression between the GG and TT genotypes (10, 11), however they have not examined the TCF4 and its target genes’ expressions in this concept. Our findings support the allele specific regulation of the expressions of TCF4 transcription factor and its target genes c-MYC, LEF1 and AXIN2 in T-ALL subgroup. In B-ALL patients, c-MYC oncogene, which is the nearest gene to the rs6983267 region, mRNA expression was increased. Although rs6983267 variant allele frequency and the risk of ALL did not show a significant association, the up regulation of c-MYC may depend on the physical proximity with 8q24 region, independent from TCF4 regulation. Previous studies support a model in which the c-MYC chromatin loop itself is not responsive to active WNT signaling (14). Moreover c-MYC, itself, is a downstream target of WNT pathway, the biological and tissue-specific responses to MYC levels are highly dose-dependent, is an independent oncogene and regulated by different pathways (15).

Although the frequency of the variation at 8q24 is not associated with ALL risk directly, it is clear that tissue specific differences and regulations exist in the TCF4 driven genes which gives a result that colon cells, prostate cells or T-cell or B-cell blasts may act in different ways. Having a GG genotype might be an indirect, independent and significant effector in the TCF4 regulated WNT pathway regulation in pediatric ALL. Molecular consequences of non-protein coding risk factors have not came to light completely and non-protein coding risk factors like 8q24 region can be secret targets of additional somatic changes in cancer.
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Table 1: Allele and genotype frequencies of rs6983267 in acute leukemia patients
### Table 1: Allele and genotype frequencies of rs6983267 in acute leukemia patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ALL (n=87)</th>
<th>TALL (n=41)</th>
<th>BALL (n=46)</th>
<th>HC (n=106)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Allele</strong></td>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>P value</strong></td>
<td><strong>n (%)</strong></td>
<td><strong>P value</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>89 (51)</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>40 (49)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>85 (49)</td>
<td></td>
<td>42 (51)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Genotype</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>23 (26)</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>10 (24)</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT+GT</td>
<td>64 (74)</td>
<td></td>
<td>31 (76)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>21 (24)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>11 (27)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG+GT</td>
<td>66 (76)</td>
<td></td>
<td>30 (73)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>23 (26)</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>10 (24)</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>21 (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>23 (26)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>10 (24)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT</td>
<td>43 (50)</td>
<td></td>
<td>20 (49)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>21 (24)</td>
<td></td>
<td>11 (27)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALL; acute lymphoblastic leukemia, TALL; T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, BALL; B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia, HC; healthy controls, p value<0.05 represents significance.

**Figure 1A:** Relative expression levels of TCF4, AXIN2, LEF1 and c-MYC genes in T-ALL and **1B:** Relative expression levels of TCF4, AXIN2, LEF1 and c-MYC genes in B-cell acute leukemia patients compared to GG vs GT+TT genotypes. Relative expression levels normalized to CYP4A were given as percentage. Mann Whitney test was used to detect the expression differences. p value<0.05 represents significance.
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