Available online: February 5, 2020

Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Ser. A1 Math. Stat. Volume 69, Number 1, Pages 699-716 (2020) DOI: 10.31801/cfsuasmas.635829 ISSN 1303-5991 E-ISSN 2618-6470 http://communications.science.ankara.edu.tr/index.php?series=A1



ANALYSIS OF JOINT RELIABILITY IMPORTANCE IN LINEAR m-CONSECUTIVE-k, l-OUT-OF-n:F SYSTEM

CIHANGIR KAN AND MURAT OZKUT

ABSTRACT. Combinatorial techniques have an important role to compute the joint reliability importance (JRI) of some coherent systems. We obtain combinatorial formula for calculation of the JRI of two components in a generalised version of consecutive type systems consisting of n linearly ordered components such that system fails if and only if (iff) there are at least m *l*-overlapping runs of k consecutive failed components $(n \ge m(k-l)+l, l < k)$. Overlapping runs mean having common elements which is denoted by l. We concentrate on both s-independent & identical components and exchangeable components. Explicit combinatorial formulae are provided for computing the JRI of the above mentioned cases. For both cases, we also compare the results with linear m-consecutive-k-out-of-n:F system (nonoverlapping case when l = 0). In addition, some numerical and illustrative examples are presented.

ACRONYMS AND NOTATIONS

MRI	Marginal Reliability Importance
JRI	Joint Reliability Importance
$\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n:F$	Linear m -consecutive- k,l -out-of- n :F
n	number of components
X_i	the state of component $i, i = 1, \ldots, n$
	$(X_i = 1 $ if the <i>i</i> th component fails, and
	$X_i = 0$ if the <i>i</i> th component works)
E	the event that the system works
k_{ϕ}	minimum number of failed components that may
	cause system failure
z_{ϕ}	maximum number of failed components such that a
	system can still work successfully

Received by the editors: October 22, 2019; Accepted: January 20, 2020.

©2020 Ankara University

Communications Faculty of Sciences University of Ankara-Series A1 Mathematics and Statistics

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 60K10, 60G09 ; Secondary 62P30, 62N05. Key words and phrases. m-consecutive-k,l-out-of-n:F systems, joint reliability importance, exchangeability, system reliability, combinatorial method.

1. INTRODUCTION

The marginal reliability importance (MRI) of a component measures the change in system reliability with respect to the change in component reliability ([5, 6, 24, 35,36, 37). MRI is very useful in engineering fields such as design and improvement of a system. If $MRI_{E+j}(i)$ ($MRI_{E-j}(i)$) denotes MRI of *i*th component when *j*th component is functioning (failed), then $JRI(i, j) = MRI_{E+j}(i) - MRI_{E-j}(i)$ where the JRI is a measure of the interaction of the components in their contribution to system reliability (see [3, 14, 19, 20, 21]). Type and degree of interactions between two components are represented not only by the sign but also by the value of the JRI of two components in a coherent system. If the sign of the JRI of two components is nonnegative (nonpositive), it is called reliability complements (substitutes) ([19]). Moreover, if JRI > 0 (JRI < 0), then one component becomes more (less) important when the other is functioning which is also considered as synergy (diminishing returns). For JRI = 0, then one component's importance is not affected by the functioning of the other component ([3]). In literature, there are many studies on computation and analysis of JRI. Hong, Koo, and Lie [22] obtained a closed-form equation for the JRI of two components in a k-out-of- n: G system, and examined its properties with respect to component reliability, and system parameters k and n. Gao, Cui, and Li [14] deeply analyzed JRI of three components in a k-out-of-n: Gsystem with independent components. Gertsbakh and Shpungin [17] combinatorially computed the JRI of two components. Rani, Jain, and Dewan [34] presented conditional marginal and conditional JRI in series-parallel systems. Eryilmaz [10] presented JRI in linear m-consecutive-k-out-of-n: F systems. Mahmoud and Eryilmaz [28] studied exchangeable dependent components which is generalization of some results in Hong, Koo, and Lie [22] and Gao, Cui, and Li [14]. Zhu, Mahmoud, and Mohamed [38] presented JRI in *m*-consecutive-k-out-of-n: F system that consists of Markov dependent components. Zhu, Mahmoud, and Mohamed [39] computed the JRI in consecutive-k-within-m-out-of-n: F system with Markov dependent components. Ervilmaz and Mahmoud [8] firstly proposed and studied the *m*-consecutive-k, *l*-out-of-n: F system. Zhu et al. [40] derived closed-form formulas for the reliability of the *m*-consecutive-k, *l*-out-of-n : F and G systems, and computed JRI of this system when the components are non-homogenous Markovdependent. One can see an extensive review of reliability importance measures in Kuo and Zhu [24] and Kuo, Way, and Zuo [25].

Eryilmaz, Oruc, and Oger [12] obtained general formula for computing the joint reliability importance of two components for a binary coherent system that consists of exchangeable dependent components. In that study, the joint reliability importance can be easily calculated if the path sets of the system are known. On the other hand, achieving the full list of path sets for the computation of JRI of any coherent system is not an easy task. Hence, only combinatorial formula for a series-parallel system is given in the study of Eryilmaz, Oruc, and Oger [12]. From this point of view, combinatorial techniques have an important role to compute JRI of some coherent systems. In this paper, combinatorial method has been used for computing the JRI of two components in $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ systems consisting of n linearly ordered components such that the system fails iff there are at least m l-overlapping runs of k consecutive failed components ($n \ge m(k-l) + l, l < k$). Unlike the study done by Zhu et al. [40], we concentrate on both s-independent & identical components and exchangeable components. For both cases, we also compare the results with linear m-consecutive-k-out-of-n:F system (nonoverlapping case when l = 0). Eryilmaz [11] mentioned Birnbaum importance of a component when the system consists of exchangeable dependent components which is distinguished from our paper. We give explicit formula for calculation of JRI of two components under these two cases. And finally, some numerical and illustrative examples are presented.

2. $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n: F$ System

The $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n$: F is a system that consists of n linearly ordered components such that the system fails iff there are at least m *l*-overlapping runs of kconsecutive failed components $(n \ge m(k-l) + l, l < k)$. Overlapping runs mean having common elements. For instance, 1111 is a sequence which contains two overlapping runs of length three and three overlapping runs of length two. Now, consider the states of a system with 16 components be 1110011100110100. For m = 5, k = 2and l = 0, this system is functioning when l = 1 it is failed. When l = 0, the $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n$: F system becomes the non-overlapping $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/n$: F system which is introduced by Griffith [18] and Papastavridis [33]. When l = k - 1, it reduces to the overlapping $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/n$: F systems. When m = 1, the $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n: F$ system reduces to the $\operatorname{Lin}/\operatorname{Con}/k/n: F$ system. Also when k = 1, the Lin/m/Con/k/l/n : F system becomes m-out-of-n : F system. This advanced system model with addition of the new parameter l creates diversity for real life applications in quality control, statistics and probability. Recently, there are many discussions on $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n$: F system. For instance, Agarwal and Mohan [1] computed reliability of the system with the help of graphical evaluation and review technique under assumptions of i.i.d. components and (k-1)-step Markov dependent components. Some recent contributions on $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n: F$ system are the works of Gera [16], Levitin and Dai [27], Cui, Lin, and Du [7] and Zhu et al. [40].

The reliability of $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system is closely related with the run statistics $N_{n,k,l}^{L(1:n)}$, which denotes the total number of l-overlapping runs of failures of length k in a linearly ordered sequence of binary trials X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n . The distribution of the random variable $N_{n,k,l}^{L(1:n)}$ has been named the binomial distribution of order k for l-overlapping runs of length k, and introduced and studied by Aki and Hirano [2]. The reliability of $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system can be expressed as $P\{E\} = P\{N_{n,k,l}^{L(1:n)} < m\}$. Some recent discussions on this topic are Eryilmaz [9], Eryilmaz and Mahmoud [8], Levitin [26], Makri and Psillakis [29, 30] and Makri

and Psillakis [31]. For extensive reviews of the runs related literature, we refer to Balakrishnan and Koutras [4], Fu and Lou [13], and Koutras [23].

3. The Reliability of $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n:F$ system

Eryilmaz [9] computed that the reliability of $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system consisting of s-independent components with common working probability $P\{X_i = 0\} = p$, and $r_i(n)$ denotes the total number of path sets of this structure including *i* working components,

n

 z_{ϕ}

where

$$\sum_{i=n-z_{\phi}} r_i(n)p^i(1-p)^{n-i}$$
$$= n - 1 - \left[\frac{n - m(k-l) - l}{k}\right]$$

 $n \geq m(k-l)+l$, and [x] denotes the integer part of x and for the derivation of $r_i(n)$, see, Theorems 2.1 of Makri, Philippou, and Psillakis [32] and Eq. (1) of Eryilmaz and Mahmoud [8]). For simplicity of calculation, throughout this paper, we will denote $N_{i,a,k,l,s,n}$ as $N_{n,k,l}^{L(1:n)}$, hence

$$r_i(n) = \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} \sum_{a} {\binom{i+1}{a}} N_{n,k,l}^{L(1:n)}.$$

In an explicit way, by using Theorem 1 of Eryilmaz and Mahmoud [8] it can be written as

$$r_{i}(n) = C(n-i; i+1, 0; k-1; k-1) + \sum_{s=1}^{m-1} \sum_{a=1}^{\min(i+1,s)} {\binom{i+1}{a} \binom{s-1}{a-1}} C(n-i-al-s(k-l); a, i-a+1; k-l-1, k-1)$$

where the quantities $C(\beta; a, r-a; m_1-1, m_2-1)$ can be calculated via the following formula (see, e.g. Makri, Philippou, and Psillakis [32]):

$$C(\beta; a, r-a; m_1-1, m_2-1) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{m_1}\right\rfloor} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{\beta-m_1j_1}{m_2}\right\rfloor} (-1)^{j_1+j_2} {a \choose j_1} {r-a \choose j_2} {\beta-m_1j_1-m_2j_2+r-1 \choose r-1}$$

4. The JRI of Lin/m/Con/k/l/n: F system

Consider $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system consists of n binary components. Let X_i denote the state of *i*th component $(X_i = 1 \text{ if the } i\text{th component fails, and } X_i = 0$ if it works, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.) and E be the event that system functions. Then the JRI of components *i* and *j* can be defined as (see Kuo and Zhu [2012])

$$JRI(i,j) = P\{E|X_i = 1, X_j = 1\} - P\{E|X_i = 1, X_j = 0\} - P\{E|X_i = 0, X_j = 1\} + P\{E|X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}.$$
(1)

Eryilmaz [10] expressed (1) by using the law of total probability as follows

$$JRI(i,j) = \frac{P\{E\} - P\{E, X_j = 0\} - P\{E, X_i = 0\} + P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}}{1 - P\{X_j = 0\} - P\{X_i = 0\} + P\{X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}}$$

$$-\frac{P\{E, X_j = 0\} - P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}}{P\{X_j = 0\} - P\{X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}}$$

$$-\frac{P\{E, X_i = 0\} - P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}}{P\{X_i = 0\} - P\{X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}} + \frac{P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}}{P\{X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}}.$$

So we need to calculate $P\{E, X_i = 0\}$ and $P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}$ for the computation of JRI. It can easily be written as

$$P\{E, X_{i} = 0\}$$

$$= P\{N_{n,k,l}^{L(1:n)} < m, X_{i} = 0\}$$

$$= P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} + N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} < m, X_{i} = 0\}$$

$$= \sum_{s_{1}+s_{2} < m} P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = s_{1}, N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = s_{2}, X_{i} = 0\}$$
(2)

and

$$P\{E, X_{i} = 0, X_{j} = 0\}$$

$$= P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} + N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1:j-1)} + N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(j+1:n)} < m, X_{i} = 0, X_{j} = 0\}$$

$$= \sum_{s_{1}+s_{2}+s_{3} < m} P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = s_{1}, N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1:j-1)} = s_{2}, N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(j+1:n)} = s_{3}, X_{i} = 0, X_{j} = 0\}.$$
(3)

In the following subsections, we will obtain combinatorial formulas for the JRI of $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n: F$ systems consisting of

- i. s-independent and identical components (common working probability $P\{X_i = 0\} = p),$
- ii. exchangeable s-dependent components.

4.1. S-Independent and Identical Components. Consider a $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n$: F system when the components are s-independent with same working probability $P\{X_i = 0\} = p$. Assume that in the sequence of the first i - 1 components there are m_1 working ones and in the sequence of the last n - i components there are m_2 working components, and let $S_{b-a+1}^{(a:b)}$ denote the number of working components among the components $a, a+1, \ldots, b$, for a < b. That is $S_{b-a+1}^{(a:b)} = \sum_{i=a}^{b} (1-X_i)$, then by conditioning on s_1, s_2 and working components (2) can be rewritten as

$$P\{E, X_i = 0\} = \sum_{s_1+s_2 < m} \sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-i} P\left\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = s_1, N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = s_2, S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2, X_i = 0\right\}$$

For the simplicity of calculation, above equation can be written as a sum of 4 terms (4,5,6 and 7) as follows

$$P\{E, X_i = 0\} = \sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-i} P\left\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = 0, N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = 0, \\ S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2, X_i = 0\right\}$$
(4)

$$+ \sum_{s_2=1}^{\min\left(m-1, \left[\frac{n-i-l}{k-l}\right]\right)} \sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=low_{m_2}}^{up_{m_2}} P\left\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = 0, N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = s_2, \right. \\ \left. S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2, X_i = 0 \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \left. \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\} \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \right\}$$

$$\left. \left. \left. \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{s_1=1}^{\min\{m-1,\lfloor\frac{i}{k-l}\rfloor\}} \sum_{m_1=low_{m_1}}^{upm_1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-i} P\left\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = s_1, N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = 0, \\ S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2, X_i = 0\right\}$$
(6)

$$+\sum_{s_{1}=1}^{\min\left(m-2,\left[\frac{i-1-l}{k-l}\right]\right)\min\left(m-1-s_{1},\left[\frac{n-i-l}{k-l}\right]\right)}\sum_{s_{2}=1}^{up_{m_{1}}}\sum_{m_{1}=low_{m_{1}}}^{up_{m_{2}}}\sum_{m_{2}=low_{m_{2}}}^{up_{m_{2}}}P\left\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)}=s_{1},N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)}=s_{2},S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)}=m_{1},S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)}=m_{2},X_{i}=0\right\}$$

$$(7)$$

where

$$\begin{split} up_{m_1} &= i - 1 - k - (s_1 - 1)(k - l), \\ low_{m_1} &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \left[\frac{i - 2 - s_1(k - l) - l}{k}\right] & \text{if } \frac{i - 1 - l}{k - l} < m - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}, \\ up_{m_2} &= n - i - k - (s_2 - 1)(k - l), \\ low_{m_2} &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \left[\frac{n - i - 1 - s_2(k - l) - l}{k}\right] & \text{if } \frac{n - i - l}{k - l} < m - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}. \end{split}$$

For better understanding of the terms 4,5,6 and 7, an explanation is given at Appendix.

Now, consider the probability $P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}$. From (3) $P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}$

$$=\sum_{s_1+s_2+s_3$$

By using the independence of components, we can write,

So, the cardinality of $N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i:j)}$ and $S_{j-i-1}^{(i:j)}$ denotes the number of having *s l*-overlapping failure runs of length *k* in a linear binary sequence of length j-i-1 (i < j) with *M* number of working component(s) which is given as

$$\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i:j)} = s, S_{j-i-1}^{(i:j)} = M\} =$$

$$\begin{cases} C(j-i-1-M;M+1,0;k-1,k-1), \text{ for } s=0, \\ \sum_{a} \binom{M+1}{a} \binom{s-1}{a-1} C(j-i-M-al-s(k-l);a,M+1-a;k-l-1,k-1), \text{ for } s>0. \end{cases}$$
(8)

The quantity $C(\beta; a, r - a; m_1 - 1, m_2 - 1)$ can be calculated via the following formula (see, e.g. Makri, Philippou, and Psillakis [32]):

$$C(\beta; a, r-a; m_1-1, m_2-1) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{\beta}{m_1}\right\rfloor} \sum_{j_2=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{\beta-m_1j_1}{m_2}\right\rfloor} (-1)^{j_1+j_2} {a \choose j_1} {r-a \choose j_2} {\beta-m_1j_1-m_2j_2+r-1 \choose r-1}.$$

 $P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}$ can be rewritten explicitly which was shown at Appendix.

4.1.1. Numerical Studies and Illustrations. In this subsection, we present illustrative computational results for the JRI of components in a Lin/m/Con/k/l/n : F system when the components are s-independent with same working probability $P\{X_i = 0\} = p$. In Figure 1., we compare graph of JRI(2,5) considered as a function of component reliability p for m = 3, k = 2, n = 20 and l = 0, 1.



FIGURE 1. JRI(2,5) in a Lin/3/Con/2/l/20:F system as a function of p for l = 0 and 1.

It can be easily seen that for Lin/3/Con/2/0/20 : F system, the sign of JRI(2,5) changes around at the point p = 0.55. On the other hand, for Lin/3/Con/2/1/20 : F system this point shifts around p = 0.7. As a result for the values i = 2 and j = 5, the graph of Lin/3/Con/2/1/20 : F system can be considered as a graph of Lin/3/Con/2/0/20 : F system shifted to the right. The sign of JRI(2,5) may not change for some values of n, m, k as seen in Figure 2.

In Table 1., we present all pairwise JRI values of the Lin/2/Con/2/1/5 : F system for different values of p.



FIGURE 2. JRI(2,5) in a Lin/2/Con/5/l/12:F system as a function of p for l = 0 and

p	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9
JRI(1,2)	-0.171	-0.288	-0.357	-0.384	-0.375	-0.336	-0.273	-0.192	-0.099
JRI(1,3)	0.639	0.352	0.133	-0.024	-0.125	-0.176	-0.183	-0.152	-0.089
JRI(1,4)	0.729	0.512	0.343	0.216	0.125	0.064	0.027	0.008	0.001
JRI(1,5)	-0.081	-0.128	-0.147	-0.144	-0.125	-0.096	-0.063	-0.032	-0.009
JRI(2,3)	0.549	-0.192	-0.073	-0.264	-0.375	-0.416	-0.393	-0.312	-0.179
JRI(2,4)	0.639	0.352	0.133	-0.024	-0.125	-0.176	-0.183	-0.152	-0.089

Table 1. All Pairwise JRI Values for the Lin/2/Con/2/1/5: F System

Note that the $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system is symmetric, more precisely JRI(i, j) = JRI(n-i+1, n-j+1), By Table 1, JRI(1, 2) < JRI(1, 5) < 0 for all 0 .which means component 2 should be more reliable than component 5 to decrease the diminishing return effect of component 1. while <math>JRI(1, 4) > 0 for all 0 .which means that component 1 and 4 have complementary synergy. On the other hand, one can see that components 1 and 3, and 2 and 4 are reliability complements for <math>p < 0.4, while they are reliability substitutes for $p \ge 0.4$. The components 2 and 3 are reliability substitutes for $p \ge 0.2$.

In Table 2., we show the sign of JRI between component 1 and others for different values of m, k, l and n with different component reliability p when $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n$: F system contains s-independent and identical components.

p	n	m	k	l	JRI(1,2)	JRI(1,3)	JRI(1,4)	JRI(1,5)	JRI(1,6)	JRI(1,7)	JRI(1,j), j > 7
0.85	20	3	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
0.9	20	3	2	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
0.9	30	3	2	1	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
0.9	30	3	3	1	_	_	_	+	_	_	_
0.9	30	3	3	2	_	_	_	_	+	_	_
0.9	30	4	3	1	_	_	_	+	_	+	_
0.9	30	4	3	2	_	_	_	_	_	+	_

Table 2. The sign of JRI(1, j), j > 1 for different Lin/m/Con/k/l/n : F Systems

This table shows the effect of the system values n, m, k, l and p on the JRI. Also we observe that components 1 and 5 are reliability complements in Lin/3/Con/3/1/30: F system when the components are s-independent with same working probability p = 0.9. However, they are reliability substitutes in Lin/3/Con/3/2/30: F system with same component reliability. For Lin/3/Con/3/l/30: F systems, when we change the value of l from 1 to 2, the reliability complementary components 1 and 5 turns into reliability substitutive components, while the reliability substitutive components 1 and 6 turns into reliability complementary components. As a result, the sign of JRI may change as the value of n, m, k, l and p change.



FIGURE 3. JRI(1, j), j = 2, ..., 10, of Lin/2/Con/3/l/10:F system with common working probability p = 0.9 for l = 1 and l = 2.

In Figure 3 we present JRI(1, j), j = 2, ..., 10, of Lin/2/Con/3/l/10 : F system consisting of independent and identical components with working probability p = 0.9 for the two cases: when l = 1 and l = 2. From this figure, we observe that the sign of the JRI(1,5) for these two cases are different. When JRI(1,j) < 0 (j = 2, ..., 10), increasing in l causes diminishing on the value of JRI(1,j) in Lin/2/Con/3/l/10 : F system, generally.

4.2. Exchangeable S-Dependent Components. In this section, JRI formula is obtained for $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system consisting of exchangeable components.

A sequence of components X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n is exchangeable if for each n,

$$P\{X_{\pi_1} = x_1, \dots, X_{\pi_n} = x_n\} = P\{X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n\}$$

for any permutation (π_1, \ldots, π_n) of the indices in $(1, \ldots, n)$, i.e. the joint distribution of X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n is symmetric in x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n . The exchangeability means that the components have identical distribution, but they affect one other within the system. That means, the joint distribution of X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n is invariant under permutation of its arguments. From George and Bowman (1995), any sequence with $a \ 0$ s and $n - a \ 1$ s has probability

$$g(n,a) = P\{X_1 = 0, \dots, X_a = 0, X_{a+1} = 1, \dots, X_n = 1\}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{n-a} (-1)^i {\binom{n-a}{i}} \lambda_{a+i}$$
$$= \sum_{i=0}^{a} (-1)^i {\binom{a}{i}} \theta_{n-a+i}$$

where $\lambda_a = P\{X_1 = 0, \dots, X_a = 0\}$ and $\theta_a = P\{X_1 = 1, \dots, X_a = 1\}$ with $\lambda_0 = 1, \theta_0 = 1$.

Since (2) can be obtained by the sum of (9), (10), (11) and (12), for exchangeable components $p^{m_1+m_2+1} \times (1-p)^{n-m_1-m_2-1}$ can be replaced by $g(n, m_1 + m_2 + 1)$ in (2) [see Eryilmaz [10]]. Similarly, $g(n, m_1 + m_2 + m_3 + 2)$ can be substituted in (3).

4.2.1. Numerical Studies and Illustrations. In this subsection, we consider $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system consisting of exchangeable components and present illustrative computational results for the JRI of components. Suppose p have a Beta distribution with parameters α and β . Hence for exchangeable random variables X_1, \ldots, X_n ,

$$\lambda_a = P \{X_1 = 0, \dots, X_a = 0\}$$
$$= \int_0^1 p^a \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} p^{\alpha - 1} (1 - p)^{\beta - 1} dp$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(a + \alpha)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(a + \alpha + \beta)}$$

when $a \geq 1$.

In Figure 4, we present JRI(1, j) of $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/3/l/10$: F system consisting of exchangeable components with parameters $\alpha = 9$ and $\beta = 1$ for the two cases: when m = 2 and m = 3. Clearly, when m = 2, the sign JRI(1, j), $j = 2, \ldots, 10$, are same for the values l = 1 and l = 2 but the sign of JRI(1, 5) is different for another case, m = 3, when l = 1 and l = 2 we observe that the sign of the JRI(1, 5) for these two cases are opposite. Similar to s-independent and identical case, increasing in l causes diminishing on the value of JRI between the first and the other components in $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system consisting of exchangeable components, for most cases. Since systems in Figure 3 containing s-independent components and systems in Figure 4 containing exchangeable components with parameters $\alpha = 9$ and $\beta = 1$



FIGURE 4. JRI(1, j), j = 2, ..., 10, of Lin/m/Con/3/l/10:F system with exchangeable components with parameters $\alpha = 9$ and $\beta = 1$ for the two cases: when m = 2 (l = 1, 2) and m = 3 (l = 1, 2).

have the same working component reliability p = 0.9, one can easily compare JRI(1, j) of those common systems.

In Table 3, the sign of JRI(1, j), j = 2, ..., 10, of various Lin/m/Con/k/l/n : F systems consisting of exchangeable components with parameters $\alpha = 9$ and $\beta = 1$ are given.

α	β	p	n	m	k	l	JRI(1,2)	JRI(1,3)	JRI(1,4)	JRI(1,j), j > 4
4	6	0.4	20	3	2	1	+	+	+	+
10	6	0.625	20	3	2	1	_	+	+	+
10	4	0.714	20	3	2	1	_	_	_	_
4	6	0.4	10	3	2	1	_	+	+	+
4	6	0.4	20	2	2	1	+	+	+	+
4	6	0.4	20	2	3	1	_	_	+	+
4	6	0.4	20	2	3	2	_	_	+	+

Table 3. The sign of JRI(1, j), j > 1 for different Lin/m/Con/k/l/n : F systems consisting of exchangeable components with parameters $\alpha = 9$ and $\beta = 1$.

From Table 2 and 3 we can see that the dependency may effect the sign of the JRI between the first and the other components. In addition, the sign of JRI between the first and the other components in Lin/m/Con/k/l/n : F systems consisting of exchangeable components highly depend on the values of n, m.k, and l. However, from Table 3 one can say that increasing component reliability p will change reliability complement components into reliability substitute components, i.e. increasing p from 0.4 to 0.714 when n = 20, m = 3, k = 2, and l = 1.

5. Conclusions

We have studied on $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system which is the generalization of consecutive k-out-of-n : F system. A $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system becomes a non-overlapping $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/n : F$ system, an overlapping $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/n : F$ system, a $\operatorname{Lin}/\operatorname{Con}/k/n : F$ system and a m-out-of-n : F system for l = 0, l =k - 1, m = 1 and k = 1 respectively. We have derived combinatorial formula for the computation of JRI of two components in $\operatorname{Lin}/m/\operatorname{Con}/k/l/n : F$ system when components are s-independent & identical components and exchangeable. One possible future effort can be carried on the computation of JRI in an arbitrary dependent case or by changing the type of the system from linear form into circular form.

6. Appendix

For better understanding of the terms 4,5,6 and 7, consider a binary sequence in the following form

	i th working componen	t
$11 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$	Ŭ .	$1 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$
first $i-1$ components containing m_1 working components		last $n-i$ components containing m_2 working components

For the operation of system, s is the total number of *l*-overlapping failure runs of length k must be less than m. We can denote this by $s = s_1 + s_2(s < m)$ where s_1 and s_2 denote the *l*-overlapping runs of length k in the first sequence i - 1 components and in the last sequence n - i components, respectively. Hence we have four possible cases for operation of system.

		h working componer	nt
Case 1	$11 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$	ŭ .	$1 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$
(4)			
	first $i-1$ components containing m_1 working components with $s_1=0$		last $n-i$ components containing m_2 working components with $s_2=0$
	i th	working component	
Case 2	$11 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$	ŏ.	$1 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$
(5)			
	first $i-1$ components containing m_1 working components with $s_1=0$		last $n-i$ components containing m_2 working components with $0 < s_2 < m$
		ith working compor	nent
Case 3	$11 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$	ŏ.	$1 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$
(6)			
. ,	first $i-1$ components containing m_1 working components with $0 < s_1 < m_2$	1	last $n-i$ components containing m_2 working components with $s_2=0$
	it	^h working componer	ıt
Case 4	$11 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$	ŏ .	$1 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 10 \dots 01 \dots 1$
(7)			
	first $i-1$ components containing m_1 working components with $0 < s_1 < m-1$		last $n-i$ components containing m_2 working components with $0 < s_2 < m-1$

Now let us consider terms of the sum 4,5,6 and 7 one by one. For term 4, where $s_1 = s_2 = 0$,

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-i} P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = 0, N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = 0, S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2, X_i = 0\} \\ &= \sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-i} C(i-1-m_1; m_1+1, 0; k-1, k-1) \times C(n-i-m_2; m_2+1, 0; k-1, k-1) \\ &\times p^{m_1+m_2+1} \times (1-p)^{n-m_1-m_2-1} \\ &= \sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-i} \sum_{j=0}^{\min(m_1+1, \left\lfloor \frac{i-1-m_1}{k} \right\rfloor)} (-1)^j \binom{m_1+1}{j} \binom{i-1-kj}{m_1} \end{split}$$

$$\times \sum_{j=0}^{\min(m_2+1,\left\lfloor\frac{n-i-m_2}{k}\right\rfloor)} (-1)^j \binom{m_2+1}{j} \binom{n-i-kj}{m_2} \times p^{m_1+m_2+1} \times (1-p)^{n-m_1-m_2-1}$$
(9)

In term 5, where $s_1 = 0$ and $0 < s_2 < m$,

$$\begin{split} \min \left(m^{-1, \left[\frac{n-i-l}{k-l}\right]}\right) & \sum_{s_2=1}^{i-1} \sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=low_{m_2}}^{upm_2} P\left\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = 0, N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = s_2, \right. \\ & S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2, X_i = 0\right\} \\ & = \sum_{s_2=1}^{\min \left(m-1, \left[\frac{n-i-l}{k-l}\right]\right)} \sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=low_{m_2}}^{upm_2} C(i-1-m_1;m_1+1,0;k-1,k-1) \\ & \times \sum_{a=1}^{u} {\binom{m_2+1}{a}} {\binom{s_2-1}{a-1}} C(n-i-m_2-al-(k-l)s_2;a,m_2+1-a;k-l-1,k-1) \\ & \times p^{m_1+m_2+1} \times (1-p)^{n-m_1-m_2-1} \\ & = \sum_{s_2=1}^{\min \left(m-1, \left[\frac{n-i-l}{k-l}\right]\right)} \sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=low_{m_2}}^{upm_2} \sum_{j=0}^{\min (m_1+1, \left[\frac{i-1-m_1}{k}\right])} (-1)^j {\binom{m_1+1}{j}} {\binom{i-1-kj}{m_1}} \\ & \times \sum_{a=1}^{u} {\binom{m_2+1}{a}} {\binom{s_2-1}{a-1}} \sum_{j_1=0}^{\min (a, \left[\frac{n-i-al-(k-l)s_2-m_2}{k-l}\right]) \min (m_2+1-a, \left[\frac{n-i-al-(k-l)(s_2+j_1)-m_2}{m_2}\right])} \\ & \times (-1)^{j_1+j_2} {\binom{a}{j_1}} {\binom{m_2+1-a}{j_2}} {\binom{n-i-al-(k-l)s_2-(k-l)s_2-(k-l)j_1-kj_2}{m_2}} \\ & \times p^{m_1+m_2+1} \times (1-p)^{n-m_1-m_2-1} \end{split}$$

where $u = \begin{vmatrix} \min(m_2 + 1, s_2) & \text{for } l = 0\\ \min(m_2 + 1, s_2, \left[\frac{n - i - s_2(k - l) - m_2}{l}\right]) & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}$. In term 6, where $s_2 = 0$ and $0 < s_1 < m$,

$$\begin{split} \min \begin{pmatrix} m-1, \left[\frac{i-1-l}{k-l}\right] \end{pmatrix} & \sum_{n_1=low_{m_1}}^{up_{m_1}} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-i} P\left\{ N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = s_1, N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = 0, \\ & S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2, X_i = 0 \right\} \\ &= \sum_{s_1=1}^{\min \begin{pmatrix} m-1, \left[\frac{i-1-l}{k-l}\right] \end{pmatrix}} \sum_{m_1=low_{m_1}}^{up_{m_1}} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-i} \sum_{a=1}^{v} \binom{m_1+1}{a} \binom{s_1-1}{a-1} \\ & \times C(i-1-m_1-al-s_1(k-l); a, m_1+1-a; k-l-1, k-1) \\ & \times C(n-i-m_2; m_2+1, 0; k-1, k-1) \times p^{m_1+m_2+1} \times (1-p)^{n-m_1-m_2-1} \\ &= \sum_{s_1=1}^{\min \begin{pmatrix} m-1, \left[\frac{i-1-l}{k-l}\right] \end{pmatrix}} \sum_{m_1=low_{m_1}}^{up_{m_1}} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-i} \sum_{a=1}^{v} \binom{m_1+1}{a} \binom{s_1-1}{a-1} \end{split}$$

$$\times \sum_{\substack{j_1=0\\j_2=0\\j_1=0\\j_2=0}}^{\min(a,\left[\frac{i-1-al-s_1(k-l)-m_1}{k-l}\right])\min(m_1+1-a,\left[\frac{i-1-al-(k-l)(s_1+j_1)-m_1}{k}\right])}{\sum_{\substack{j_2=0\\m_1}}\left\{(-1)^{j_1+j_2}\binom{a}{j_1}\right\} \\ \times \binom{m_1+1-a}{j_2}\binom{i-1-al-s_1(k-l)-(k-l)j_1-kj_2}{m_1}\right\} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{j=0\\j=0}}^{\min(m_2+1,\left[\frac{n-i-m_2}{k}\right])}(-1)^j\binom{m_2+1}{j}\binom{n-i-kj}{m_2} \times p^{m_1+m_2+1} \times (1-p)^{n-m_1-m_2-1}$$
(11)

where $v = \begin{vmatrix} \min(m_1 + 1, s_1) & \text{for } l = 0 \\ \min(m_1 + 1, s_1, \left[\frac{i - 1 - s_1(k - l) - m_1}{l}\right]) & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}$. In term 7, that is $s_1 \ge 1, s_2 \ge 1$, and $s_1 + s_2 < m$,

$$\min\left(m-2,\left[\frac{i-1-l}{k-l}\right]\right) \min\left(m-1-s_1,\left[\frac{n-i-l}{k-l}\right]\right) \\ \sum_{s_1=1}^{up_{m_1}} \sum_{s_2=1}^{up_{m_1}} \sum_{m_1=low_{m_1}}^{up_{m_2}} \sum_{m_2=low_{m_2}}^{up_{m_2}} \\ \times P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = s_1, N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = s_2, S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2, X_i = 0\} \\ = \frac{\min\left(m-2,\left[\frac{i-1-l}{k-l}\right]\right) \min\left(m-1-s_1,\left[\frac{n-i-l}{k-l}\right]\right)}{\sum_{s_1=1}^{up_{m_1}}} \sum_{m_1=low_{m_1}}^{up_{m_2}} \sum_{m_2=low_{m_2}}^{up_{m_2}} \\ \times P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = s_1, S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1\} \times P\{N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} = s_2, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2\} \times P\{X_i = 0\}$$
(12)

where

$$\begin{split} P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} &= s_1, S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1\} = \sum_{a=1}^{v} \binom{m_1+1}{a} \binom{s_1-1}{a-1} \\ &\times C(i-1-m_1-al-s_1(k-l); a, m_1+1-a; k-l-1, k-1) \\ &\times p^{m_1} \times (1-p)^{i-1-m_1}, \end{split}$$

$$P\{N_{n-i,k,l}^{L(i+1:n)} &= s_2, S_{n-i}^{(i+1:n)} = m_2\} = \sum_{a=1}^{u} \binom{m_2+1}{a} \binom{s_2-1}{a-1} \\ &\times C(n-i-m_2-al-(k-l)s_2; a, m_2+1-a; k-l-1, k-1) \\ &\times p^{m_2} \times (1-p)^{n-i-m_2}. \end{split}$$

Explanation for $P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}$: $P\{E, X_i = 0, X_j = 0\}$ can be rewritten explicitly as follows

$$\begin{split} P\{E, X_i &= 0, X_j = 0\} = \\ \sum_{m_1=0}^{i-1} \sum_{m_2=0}^{n-j} \sum_{m_3=0}^{n-j} P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = 0, S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1\} P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1:j-1)} = 0, S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1:j-1)} = m_2\} \\ \times P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(j+1:n)} = 0, S_{n-j}^{(j+1:n)} = m_3\} P\{X_i = 0\} P\{X_j = 0\} \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\substack{s_{1}=1}{\text{min}(m-1,[\frac{i-1-i}{k}])}{\sum_{s_{1}=1}^{n-1}\sum_{m_{1}=low_{m_{1}}}^{m_{1}=low_{m_{1}}}\sum_{m_{2}=0}^{n-i-1}\sum_{m_{3}=0}^{n-j}P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)}=s_{1},S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)}=m_{1}\} \\ & \times P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1)}=0,S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1)}=m_{2}\}P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(j+1)m}=0,S_{n-j}^{(j+1)m}=m_{3}\} \\ & \times P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1)j-1}=0,S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1)}=m_{2}\}P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)}=0,S_{l-1}^{(1:i-1)}=m_{1}\} \\ & \times P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1)j-1}=s_{2},S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{2}\}P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)}=0,S_{l-1}^{(1:i-1)}=m_{1}\} \\ & \times P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1)j-1}=s_{2},S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{2}\}P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)}=0,S_{l-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{3}\} \\ & \times P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1)j-1}=0,S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{2}\}P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)}=0,S_{l-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{3}\} \\ & \times P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1)j-1}=0,S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{2}\}P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(j+1)m}=s_{3},S_{n-j}^{(j+1)m}=m_{3}\} \\ & \times P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1)j-1}=0,S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{2}\}P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(i+1)j-1}=s_{2},S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{2}\} \\ & \times P\{N_{l-1,k,l}^{L(i+1)}=0,S_{l-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{1}\}P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1)j-1}=s_{2},S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1)j-1}=m_{2}\} \\ & \times P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(i+1)m}=s_{3},S_{l-1}^{(j+1)m}=m_{3}\}P\{X_{i}=0\}P\{X_{j}=0\} \\ \\ & \underset{n=1}{\min(m-2,[\frac{i-1-1}{k-1}])\min(m-1-s_{1},[\frac{n-j-1}{k-1}]) \sup_{m=1}m_{2}}\sum_{m_{2}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_{m_{3}=0}\sum_$$

$$\times P\{N_{i-1,k,l}^{L(1:i-1)} = s_1, S_{i-1}^{(1:i-1)} = m_1\}P\{N_{j-i-1,k,l}^{L(i+1:j-1)} = s_2, S_{j-i-1}^{(i+1:j-1)} = m_2\}$$
$$\times P\{N_{n-j,k,l}^{L(j+1:n)} = s_3, S_{n-j}^{(j+1:n)} = m_3\}P\{X_i = 0\}P\{X_j = 0\}.$$

where

$$\begin{split} up_{m_1} &= i - 1 - k - (s_1 - 1)(k - l), \\ low_{m_1} &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \left[\frac{i - 2 - s_1(k - l) - l}{k}\right] & \text{if } \frac{i - 1 - l}{k - l} < m - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}, \\ up_{m_2} &= j - i - 1 - k - (s_2 - 1)(k - l), \\ low_{m_2} &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \left[\frac{j - i - 2 - s_2(k - l) - l}{k}\right] & \text{if } \frac{j - i - 1 - l}{k - l} < m - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix} \\ up_{m_3} &= n - j - k - (s_3 - 1)(k - l), \\ low_{m_3} &= \begin{vmatrix} 1 + \left[\frac{n - j - 1 - s_3(k - l) - l}{k}\right] & \text{if } \frac{n - j - l}{k - l} < m - 1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{vmatrix}. \end{split}$$

By substituting the equation (8) in (3) one can obtain explicitly. **Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank two anonymous referees for their helpful comments and suggestions, which were very useful in improving of this paper.

References

- Agarwal, M. and Mohan, P., GERT analysis of m-consecutive-k-out-of-n:F system with overlapping runs and (k - 1)-step markov dependence, International Journal of Operational Research, 3 (2008), 36-51.
- [2] Aki, S. and Hirano, K., Numbers of success runs of specified length until certain stopping time rules and generalized binomial distributions of order k, Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 52 (2000), 767–777.
- [3] Armstrong, M. J., Joint reliability-importance of components, *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 44(3) (1995), 408-412.
- [4] Balakrishnan, N. and Koutras, M. V., Runs and Scans with Applications, New York: Wiley, 2002.
- [5] Birnbaum, Z. W., On the importance of different components in a multicomponent system, Multivariate Analysis—II, P. R. Krishnaiah, Ed. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press, (1969), 581–592.
- [6] Boland, P. J., and EI-Neweihi, E., Measures of component importance in reliability theory, Comput. Ops. Res., 22 (1995), 455–463.
- [7] Cui, L., Lin, C. and Du, S., m-consecutive-k,l-out-of-n system, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 64 (2015), 386-393.
- [8] Eryilmaz, S. and Mahmoud, B., Linear m-consecutive-k, l-out-of-n:F system, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 61(3) (2012), 787-791.
- [9] Eryilmaz, S., m-consecutive-k-out-of-n:F system with overlapping runs: Signature-based reliability analysis, International Journal of Operational Research, 15(1) (2012), 64-73.
- [10] Eryilmaz, S., Joint reliability importance in linear m-consecutive-k-out-of-n:F systems, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 62(4) (2013), 862-869.
- [11] Eryilmaz, S., Component importance in coherent systems with exchangeable components, Journal of Applied Probability, 52 (2015), 851-863.

- [12] Eryilmaz, S., Oruc, O. E. and Oger, V., Joint reliability importance in coherent systems with exchangeable dependent components, *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 65(3) (2016), 1562-1570.
- [13] Fu, J. C. and Lou, W. Y. W., Distribution Theory of Runs and Patterns and its Applications: A Finite Markov Chain Imbedding Approach, River Edge, NJ: World Scientific, 2003.
- [14] Gao, X., Cui, L. and Li, J., Analysis for joint importance of components in a coherent system, European Journal of Operational Research, 182 (2007), 282-299.
- [15] George, E. O., and Bowman, D., A full likelihood procedure for analyzing exchangeable binary data, *Biometrics*, 51 (1995), 512-523.
- [16] Gera, A. E., Combined m₁-consecutive-k_{c1}-out-of-n and m₂-consecutive-k_{c2}-out-of-n systems, *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 60(2) (2011), 493-497.
- [17] Gertsbakh, I. B., and Shpungin., Y., Combinatorial approach to computing component importance indexes in coherent systems, *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences*, 26 (2012), 117-128.
- [18] Griffith, W. S., On consecutive-k-out-of-n: failure systems and their generalizations, *Reliability and quality control*, (1986), 157-165.
- [19] Hagstrom, J. N., Redundancy, substitutes and complements in system reliability, Technical Report. College Bus. Admin., Univ. Illinois, USA, 1990.
- [20] Hagstrom, J. N., and Mak., K. T., System reliability analysis in the presence of dependent component failures, *Probability in the Engineering and Informational Sciences*, 1 (1987), 425-440.
- [21] Hong, J. S., and Lie, C. H., Joint reliability-importance of two edges in an undirected network, *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 42(1) (1993), 17-33.
- [22] Hong, S., Koo, H. Y. and Lie, C. H., Joint reliability importance of k-out-of-n systems, European Journal of Operational Research, 142 (2002), 539-547.
- [23] Koutras, M. V., Applications of Markov chains to the distribution theory of runs and patterns, Amsterdam: North-Holland, Handbook of Statistics, 2003.
- [24] Kuo, W., and X., Zhu., Importance Measures in Reliability, Risk, and Optimization: Principles and Applications, Hoboken, NJ, USA: Wiley, 2012.
- [25] Kuo, W., and Zuo, M. J., Optimal reliability modeling: principles and applications, John Wiley & Sons, 2003.
- [26] Levitin, G., The Universal Generating Function in Reliability Analysis and Optimization, London: Springer-Verlag Limited, 2010.
- [27] Levitin, G., and Dai., Y., Linear m-consecutive-k-out-of-r-from-n:F systems, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 60(3) (2011), 640-646.
- [28] Mahmoud, B., and Eryilmaz, S., Joint reliability importance in a binary k-out-of-n:G system with exchangeable dependent components, Quality Technology and Quantitative Management, 11 (2014), 453-460.
- [29] Makri, F. S., and Psillakis, Z. M., On success runs of length exceeded a threshold, *Methodol. Comput. Appl. Probab.*, 13 (2011b), 269-305.
- [30] Makri, F. S., and Psillakis, Z. M., On runs of length exceeding a threshold: normal approximation, Stat. Papers, 52 (2011c), 531-551.
- [31] Makri, F. S., and Psillakis, Z. M., On *l*-overlapping success runs of ones of length k in sequence of independent binary random variables, *Communications in Statistics- Theory* and Methods, 44 (2015), 3865-3884.
- [32] Makri, F. S., Philippou, A. N. and Psillakis, Z. M., Polya, Inverse Polya, and Circular Polya distributions of order for *l*-overlapping success runs, *Communications in Statistics- Theory* and Methods, 36 (2007), 657-668.
- [33] Papastavridis, S., m-consecutive-k-out-of-n systems, IEEE Transactions on Reliability, 39 (1990), 386-387.

- [34] Rani, M., Jain, K. and Dewan, I., On conditional marginal and conditional joint reliability importance, *International Journal of Reliability, Quality and Safety Engineering*, 18 (2011), 119-138.
- [35] Xie, M. and Bergman, B., On a general measure of component importance, J. Statist. Planning Inference, 29 (1991), 211–220.
- [36] Xie, M. and Lai, C. D., Exploiting symmetry in the reliability analysis of coherent system, Naval Res. Logist., 43 (1996), 1025–1034.
- [37] Zhu, X., Yao, Q. and Kuo, W., Patterns of the Birnbaum importance in linear consecutivek-out-of-n systems, *IIE Transactions*, 44(4) (2012), 277–290.
- [38] Zhu, X., Mahmoud, B. and Mohamed, R., Joint reliability importance in a consecutive k-outof-n:F system and an m-consecutive-k-out-of-n:F systems for Markov-dependent components, *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, 64(2) (2015), 784-798.
- [39] Zhu, X., Mahmoud, B. and Mohamed, R., Reliability and joint reliability importance in a consecutive-k-within-m-out-of-n:F system with Markov dependent components, *IEEE Trans*actions on Reliability, 65(2) (2016), 802-815.
- [40] Zhu, X., Mahmoud, B. Coit, D. W. and Benyahia, A., Reliability and importance measures for m-consecutive-k, l-out-of-n system with non-homogeneous Markov-dependent components, *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 167 (2017), 1-9.

Current address: Cihangir Kan: Xi'an Jiaotong Liverpool University, Dept. of Mathematical Sciences, 215123, Suzhou-China.

E-mail address: Cihangir.Kan@xjtlu.edu.cn

ORCID Address: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3642-9509

Current address: Murat Ozkut: Izmir University of Economics, Dept. of Mathematics, 35330, Izmir-Turkey.

E-mail address: murat.ozkut@ieu.edu.tr

ORCID Address: http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0699-892X