Determination of Flavonoid Contents and Evaluation of \textit{in vitro} Antioxidant Activities of the Extract of Selected Citrus Fruit Peel
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\textbf{Abstract:} Flavonoids capture and neutralize the oxidative agents, and quench free radicals. The peel which represents almost one half of the fruit mass has been found to be the main sources of total phenols and flavonoids in the citrus fruit. In present study, flavonoid content and \textit{in vitro} antioxidant activities of ethanol extracts from some selected citrus fruit peels grown in Ethiopia were determined. Colorimetric aluminum chloride was used for flavonoid content determination. \textit{In vitro} antioxidant properties of the citrus fruit peels were determined by measuring DPPH and Nitric Oxide radical scavenging activity, and reducing power. The study result showed that lemon peel contained significantly the highest flavonoid content (8.88 ± 0.621 mg of quercetin equivalent/g of extract) at 100 µg/ml concentration. When compared to vitamin C used as standard, lemon peel extract showed significantly higher DPPH radical scavenging of 75.60 ± 2.4 %. Lime peel extract showed highest (0.38 ± 0.01) reducing power activity at 1000 µg/mL concentrations. Strong linear correlations was observed between flavonoid contents of selected citrus peel extract and DPPH free radical scavenging activity ($r = 0.975$, $p = 0.025$). Overall, \textit{in vitro} antioxidant potential of citrus fruit peels extract grown in Ethiopia was confirmed and correlation between \textit{in vitro} antioxidant activity and flavonoid content of citrus peel extract showed different trends. Further analysis is required to purify specific structure of flavonoid components of citrus fruit peel from Ethiopian cultivar using advanced purification techniques.
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\section{1. INTRODUCTION}

The most important free radical in biological systems is reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS). These reactive species are harmful by-products generated during the normal cellular functions [1]. Harmful effects of free radicals in the living system could presumably be prevented by naturally occurring antioxidants [1, 2]. A search for effective, nontoxic natural compounds with antioxidant activity has been intensified in recent year [3]. Fruits of citrus genus are recognized as being a healthful source of bioactive compounds such total polyphenols, dietary fibre, essential phenolics and ascorbic acid [4]. Flavonoids are widely distributed group of bioactive compounds [5]. Flavonoids act on...
biological systems as anti-oxidants, anti-viral, anti-inflammatory and anti-tumoral agents. They capture and neutralize the oxidative agents, and quench free radicals [6].

The peel which represents almost one half of the fruit mass has been found to be the main sources of total phenols and flavonoids in the citrus fruit [7-10]. Pulp of wild mandarin has been tested and showed a good source of phytochemicals and natural antioxidants [11]. Peel residues from sweet and bitter oranges, lemons, and mandarins have proved to be an important source of phenolic acids and flavonoids, flavanones, and glycosylated flavanones [12].

In Ethiopia, citrus is one of the most economically important fruit crops grown by smallholders and commercial farmers. Sweet orange, mandarin, lime, lemon, grapefruit, citrus hybrids, sour orange and citron are among commonly cultivated citrus fruits [13].

Many scientific articles stated that phytochemical content and composition varies due to different factors and one of the factors indicated is environmental difference and variety of the plant. As far as authors knowledge, there has been little work done on the flavonoid content and antioxidant activity of citrus fruit peel extracts grown in Ethiopia. Therefore, this was aimed to determine flavonoid contents and antioxidant activity of extract from orange, mandarin, lime and lemon peels collected from Dire Dawa District of Ethiopia.

2. MATERIAL and METHODS

2.1. Plant Material Preparation and Extraction of Crude Extract

Plant material preparation and extraction of crude flavonoid was carried out methods described by Cai et al. [14]. Fruits of sweet orange (Citrus sinensis), mandarin (Citrus reticulata), lime (Citrus aurantifolia) and lemon (Citrus limon) were collected at the ripening stage from Dire Dawa District farmers. The fruits were carefully hand peeled. The peels were cut into small pieces and dried in a ventilated oven at 60°C for one day. After drying, the peel fragments were ground for a few minutes in blinder and were refluxed with petroleum ether at 60°C for 8 hours to remove oil and chlorophyll. Then, the residue was air dried to evaporate petroleum ether. Crude flavonoid was extracted from the dried residue using soxhlet extractor with parameters of 80% ethanol, 78 °C and 5:1 (v/w) ratio for 6 hours. The filtrate were concentrated over a rotary vacuum evaporator at 45 °C until semi-solid extract was obtained. The resulting crude extract was freeze-dried and stored at -20°C.

2.2. Screening and Determination of Flavonoid Contents

Lead acetate method described by Sofowora [15] and ferric chloride method described by Ajayi et al. [16] was used to detect presence of flavonoids in the extracts. Colorimetric aluminum chloride method described by Ghasemi et al. [7] and Asjad et al. [8] was modified and used for flavonoid content determination. Concentration of 1 mg/mL of extract powder was prepared by dissolving in ethanol (80%) and 1 mL of the extract solution was mixed with 0.1 mL of aluminium chloride (10%), 0.1 mL of 1 M potassium acetate, and 2.8 mL of distilled water. It was allowed to stay at room temperature for 30 minutes. The absorbance of the reaction mixture was measured at 415 nm with UV/Visible spectrophotometer. Distilled water was used as a blank. Flavonoid contents were calculated as quercetin equivalent from a calibration curve. Regression linear line of Y= 0.0067x+0.0132 \( r^2 = 0.999 \) of quercetin (12.5 -100 mg/ml) was used as a reference standard curve [7, 8].

2.3. Evaluation of Antioxidant Activity

2.3.1. DPPH- Scavenging Activity

The stable 1, 1-diphenyl-2-picryl hydrazyl (DPPH) radical scavenging activity assay was carried out following methods described by Ghasemi et al. [7] and Asjad et al. [8]. Briefly, different concentrations of extracts and standard (100 µg/mL, 200 µg/mL, 400 µg/mL, 800
μg/mL and 1000 μg/mL) were prepared by using ethanol solvent. Each concentrations of extracts and standard (4 mL) and DPPH (100 μM in methanol, 4 mL) was added in 10 mL capacity test tubes. The solution was mixed and allowed to stay for 15 minutes at room temperature in dark place. The absorbance was recorded at 517 nm. Mixture of ethanol and methanol was used as a blank, DPPH solution without extract as a control and vitamin C as standard. The experiment was done in triplet. DPPH scavenging activity of extracts was calculated using the following equation:

\[
\text{Scavenging activity (\%)} = \frac{A_{517} \text{ of control} - A_{517} \text{ of sample}}{A_{517} \text{ of control}} \times 100
\]

where, \(A_{517}\) of control is the absorbance of DPPH• solution alone (without sample or standard solution addition) and \(A_{517}\) sample is the absorbance of mixture of DPPH• solution and sample extract.

2.3.2. Nitric Oxide Scavenging Activity

Modified method of Munwar et al. [17] was used for nitric oxide scavenging activity assay. Different concentrations of extract (100, 200, 400, 800 and 1000 μg/mL) were prepared dissolving in ethanol. Sodium nitroprusside (1 mL, 10 mM) in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) was mixed with each of these concentrations (2 mL) separately and incubated at room temperature for 180 minutes. The same reaction mixture, without extract was served as control. After the incubation period, 3 mL of Griess reagent (1% sulfanilamide, 2% H₃PO₄ and 0.1% N-(1-naphthyl) ethylenediamine dihydrochloride) was added. The absorbance of the chromophore formed was read at 546 nm. Vitamin C was used as standard. The experiment was done in triplet. Nitric oxide radical (NO•) scavenging activity of extracts was calculated using the following Equation:

\[
\text{Scavenging activity (\%)} = \frac{A_{546} \text{ of control} - A_{546} \text{ of sample}}{A_{546} \text{ of control}} \times 100
\]

Where, \(A_{546}\) of control is the absorbance of sodium nitroprusside in PBS alone (without sample or standard solution addition) and \(A_{546}\) sample is the absorbance of mixture of sodium nitroprusside in PBS and sample extract.

2.3.3. Reducing Power Determination

Reducing power of ethanol extracts of citrus fruit peel were determined using modified method of Al-anbari and Hassan [18] and Divya et al. [19]. The reducing power was measured at 100, 200, 400, 800 and 1000 μg/mL concentrations of ethanolic extract solution. Each concentration of ethanolic extract solution (2 mL) were mixed separately with phosphate buffer (0.2 M, pH 6.6, 2 mL) and potassium ferricyanide [K₃Fe (CN)₆] (1%, 2 mL) in to centrifuge tube (10 mL). The mixture was incubated at 35°C for 20 min. Trichloroacetic acid (2 mL, 10%) was added to the mixture to stop the reaction, which was then centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min. Two mL of upper layer of the solution was mixed with 2 mL of deionized water and FeCl₃ (0.25 mL, 0.1%). The absorbance was measured at 700 nm. Increased absorbance of the reaction mixture indicated increased reducing power. Distilled water was used as a blank and Vitamin C as positive control.

2.4. Statistical Analysis

Experimental results were expressed as means ± SD. All measurements were replicated three times. The data were analyzed by an analysis of variance (p < 0.05) and the means were separated by Duncan’s multiple range tests.
3. RESULTS and DISCUSSION

3.1. Screening and Determination of Flavonoid Contents

Preliminary screening of phytochemicals is a valuable step, in the detection of the bioactive principles present in medicinal plants and subsequently may lead to drug discovery and development [20]. In this study, the presence of total flavonoids in all citrus peel extracts was confirmed by lead acetate and ferric chloride test. In previous study, total flavonoid was screened and its presence in lemon and orange extracts was confirmed by similar methods [12].

The result of determination of total flavonoid content of the extracts was presented in Table 1. Flavonoid content was found in the range of 3.35 ± 0.60 to 8.88 ± 0.62 quercetin equivalent/g of extract powder. Lemon peel extracts contained significantly the highest total flavonoid content (8.88 ± 0.62 mg quercetin equivalent/g of extract powder). Previous study on determination of total flavonoid contents of orange, lemon and mandarin ethanol peel extracts reported that the highest total flavonoid content was found in mandarin peel extract [12]. In this study, the total flavonoid content of mandarin and lime peels were 4.20 ± 0.31 mg quercetin equivalent/g of extract powder and 4.85 ± 0.97 mg quercetin equivalent/g of extract powder, respectively. Orange peel extract contained the lowest total flavonoid content (3.35 ± 0.60 mg quercetin equivalent/g of extract powder). El Zawawy [12] reported higher content of total flavonoid in orange peel extract than total flavonoid content of orange peel extract in present study, but lower content of total flavonoid in lemon peel extracts compared to the result of content of total flavonoid in lemon extract found in present study. In other previous study, higher total flavonoid content for orange extract was reported [9]. Total flavonoid contents of lemon and orange peel extract that were nearly similar to the present study results were reported [21]. It was reported by many studies that variation in total flavonoid content were due to the use of different concentration of the solvent, methods of extraction, plant sample variety and mesh size of grinding. For example, particle size and solvent concentration [22], and citrus species and extraction solvents [23] were reported as factors that causes difference in flavonoid contents of extracts. In other studies, varieties of citrus species showed different contents of total flavonoid [7, 8] indicated variety as factor that causes variation in total flavonoid contents.

Table 1. Total flavonoid contents of citrus fruit peel extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Selected Citrus fruit</th>
<th>Flavonoid contents (in mg of quercetin equivalent/g of the extracts)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orange</td>
<td>3.35 ± 0.603&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mandarin</td>
<td>4.20 ± 0.311&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lime</td>
<td>4.85 ± 0.971&lt;sup&gt;A&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon</td>
<td>8.88 ± 0.621&lt;sup&gt;B&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are Mean ± Standard deviation (n=3). Superscript letters compare means and similar letters show that the mean has no significant difference at $p<0.05$.

3.2. In vitro Antioxidant Activity Determination

Antioxidant activity should not be concluded based on a single antioxidant test model. In practice, several in vitro test procedures are carried out for evaluating antioxidant activities with the samples of interest [24]. It has been shown that some antioxidant assay methods give different antioxidant activity trends [17]. In this study, antioxidant activity of the fruit peels extracts was assessed by three different methods: DPPH radical scavenging, Nitric Oxide radical scavenging and reducing power determination. The results of antioxidant activity evaluation were presented in Figure 1, Figure 2 and Figure 3.
3.2.1. DPPH· Radical Scavenging

In DPPH free radical scavenging activity assay, DPPH radical scavenging activity of the peel extracts ranged from 42.26 ± 2.0 to 75.60 ± 2.0%. The scavenging activity increased with the increasing concentrations of the peel extract from 100 to 400 µg/mL. The extracts of orange, mandarin, lime and lemon showed the highest DPPH scavenging activity of 69.29 ± 0.7 % at 1000 µg/mL, 73.9 ± 0.3% at 800 µg/mL, 67.14 ± 5.9% at concentration of 400 µg/mL, 75.60 ± 2.4% and 100 µg/mL, respectively (Figure 1). Different researchers had reported percentage of DPPH radical scavenging which was within the range value of present scavenging assay result. Singh and Immanuel [21] reported the values of 71.4 and 75.9% for orange and lemon peel, respectively and El zawawy (2015) reported the value of 56.26 ± 0.15 for ethanolic mandarin peel extracts. Besides, El zawaway [12] reported DPPH radical scavenging of 41.400 ± 10 % and 50.66 ± 0.25 % for orange and lemon ethanolic extract, respectively. Arora and Kaura [25] reported 90% of DPPH radical scavenging for aqueous orange peel extract. The broader range of 14.18 ± 1.85 to 92.43 ± 0.93% scavenging activity for DPPH radical was reported [10] and another a broader range of 1.336 to 97.714% DPPH scavenging activity of ethanol extract of satsuma mandarin peel was reported [26]. Orange peel extracts showed DPPH radical scavenging activity in a dose dependent manner. A similar trend reported in previous study [12]. DPPH radical scavenging of lime was found increase from 100 to 400 µg/mL concentration and then decrease a little bit. In agreement to this result, Lim and Loh [10] observed similar trends for kaffir lime and lime peel extract. During this study experiments, decolorization of violet color of DPPH solution was observed for all peel extracts. This showed that the selected citrus fruit peels exhibited a potential DPPH radical scavenging activity. The decoloration of DPPH solution is due to a hydrogen atom donation by extracts to DPPH [21, 24]. The molecules that involves in a reaction that causes decoloration is electron of nitrogen atom from DPPH radical and Hydrogen atom of hydroxyl group from antioxidant substances [22].

3.2.2. Nitric Oxide Radical Scavenging

In the nitric oxide free radical scavenging activity assay, Nitric Oxide scavenging activities of the peel extracts ranged from 62.96 ± 2.2 to 84.61 ± 0.4%. The citrus peels extract showed the highest nitric oxide scavenging activity of 82.91 ± 2.4% at 1000 µg/mL, 84.61 ± 0.4% at 200 µg/mL, 83.90 ± 0.7 % at 100 µg/mL and 82.91 ± 1.3 % at 400 µg/mL for orange, mandarin, lime and lemon, respectively. Vitamin C showed similar nitric oxide scavenging activity to that of citrus fruit peel at 100, 200 and 400 µg/mL concentrations (p> 0.05), but significantly higher at 800 and 1000 µg/mL concentrations (Figure 2). Lime peel extract showed the lowest nitric oxide radical scavenging activity (62.96 ± 2.15 %) at 1000 µg/mL concentration. Munmar et al. [17] reported the highest nitric oxide scavenging activity of (79.42%) for Citrus medica peel.

The Nitric Oxide scavenging assay result showed that nitric oxide radical scavenging activity of selected citrus peels did not exhibit similar trends. Nitric Oxide scavenging activity of orange peel extract found increased as the concentration of extracts increases. But, nitric oxide scavenging activity of lime peel extract found decreased as the concentration of extracts increases. Mandarin and lemon peel extracts showed no concentration dependence of nitric oxide scavenging activity. The absorbance of sodium nitroprusside in phosphate buffered saline found decreased when selected citrus fruit peels extract was mixed with it. This showed that the selected citrus fruit peel extract are potential antioxidant. Under aerobic conditions, nitric oxide reacts with oxygen to produce stable products (nitrate and nitrate), the quantities of which can be determined using Griess reagent [27] Antioxidants compete with oxygen to react with nitric oxide generating nitrite [28].
3.2.3. Reducing Power Determination

Reducing power assay result showed that the reducing power of mandarin and lemon peel was not significantly different (p < 0.05) from concentration of 100 to 1000 µg/mL (Figure 3). Vitamin C showed significantly higher reducing power than tested citrus fruit peel at concentration of 200, 400, 800, 1000 µg/mL (p < 0.05). Compared to extracts, Lime peel showed significantly the reducing power (3.8 ± 0.01) at concentrations of 1000 µg/mL. A higher reducing power than this study result was reported [29].

The reducing powers of all extracts exhibited concentration-dependant antioxidant activity. The absorption (Reducing power) of selected citrus fruit peel was increased as concentration of the extracts increased. In agreement with this result, Al-anbari and Hassan [18] reported increased reducing power of some citrus leaves and seeds ethanolic extracts. Divya et al. [19] also reported that reducing power of the Citrus aurantium (bitter orange) fruit peel and pulp increased with increasing concentration for all extracting solvents used. Besides Kim [25] reported the increased reducing powers of Citrus unshiu peel as concentrations was increased from 50 to 3200 ppm. In the reducing power assay, the presence of reductants (antioxidants) in the samples would result in the reducing of Fe$^{3+}$ to Fe$^{2+}$ by donating an electron forming Perl's Prussian blue. This increases absorbance at 700 nm [30].
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**Figure 1.** DPPH free-radical scavenging activity of the selected citrus fruit peel’s ethanolic extracts. Values are mean with standard deviation. Values not sharing the same letter within concentrations are significantly different from one another (p<0.05).
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**Figure 2.** Nitric oxide free-radical scavenging activity of the selected citrus fruit peel’s ethanolic extracts. Values are mean with standard deviation. Values not sharing the same letter within concentrations are significantly different from one another (p < 0.05).
3.3. Flavonoid Content and Antioxidant Activity

The correlation between total phenol contents and antioxidant activity of citrus fruit has been widely studied [7, 19, 25]. They reported strong positive association between antioxidant activity of citrus fruits and a concentration of total polyphenol content. Absence of direct dependence between total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of lemon fruit peel was reported [22]. In this present study, an attempt was made to study the association between the in vitro antioxidant activity and flavonoid contents. Linear association between flavonoid contents of selected citrus fruit peel extracts and antioxidant activity was analyzed individually using linear regression analysis and the results were shown in Figure 4, Figure 5 and Figure 6.

Regression analysis revealed strong correlation between flavonoid contents of citrus fruit peel extracts and DPPH assay ($R = 0.975$, $R^2 = 0.951$, $p = 0.025$) (Figure 4). A weak linear correlations was observed between flavonoid contents and nitric oxide free radical scavenging ($r = 0.734$, $p = 0.266$) and flavonoid contents and reducing power ($r = 0.612$, $p = 0.388$). Opposing of this result, lack of correlation between crude methanolic extracts of citrus fruit peel and DPPH radical scavenging activity was reported [7, 8]. The analysis showed insignificant linear correlation between total flavonoids contents of citrus fruit peel extracts and nitric oxide radical scavenging activity ($R = 0.734$, $R^2 = 0.539$, $p = 0.266$) (Figure 5). A weak and insignificant linear association was also found between flavonoid contents of the citrus fruit peel extracts and reducing power ($R= 0.612$, $R^2 = 0.374$, $p = 0.388$) (Figure 6). Opposing this result, good correlation between flavonoid content and antioxidant activity in most food samples studied was reported [31].

Figure 3. Reducing power of the selected citrus fruit peel’s ethanolic extract. Values are mean with standard deviation. Values not sharing the same letter within concentrations are significantly different from one another ($p < 0.05$).

Figure 4. Correlation between flavonoid contents of selected citrus fruit peel and DPPH radical scavenging.
The correlation analysis result indicated that the important factor in determining antioxidant activity potency of flavonoid is its molecular structure rather than content. It has been reported that radical scavenging and metal chelating activities of flavonoids substantially depends upon configuration, substitutions and total number of hydroxyl groups of flavonoids [32]. It was confirmed that the more hydroxyl substitutions in flavonoids structures, the stronger its antioxidant activities [32, 33].

Figure 5. Correlation between flavonoid contents of selected citrus fruit peel and nitric oxide radical scavenging

Figure 6. Correction between flavonoid contents of selected citrus fruit peel and reducing power

4. CONCLUSION

It can be concluded from the study that the selected citrus peel extracts can act as a potential free radical scavengers and reducing power agent. The important factor in antioxidant activity is molecular structure of flavonoid rather than its contents. Antioxidant assay methods used give different antioxidant activity trends of selected citrus fruit peels.
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