TURKISH MEDICAL STUDENT JOURNAL





ANYA UNIVERSI

OFM

Volume: 6 Issue: 3 Oct 2019

http://tmsj.trakya.edu.tr/





THE OFFICIAL JOURNAL OF TRAKYA UNIVERSITY FACULTY OF MEDICINE

Citation Abbreviation: Turkish Med Stud J



VOLUME 6 - ISSUE 3 - OCT 2019

Published three times a year

Free access to the Journal's web site: http://tmsj.trakya.edu.tr

Manuscript Submission: tmsj@trakya.edu.tr

Editorial Office Address: Trakya Universitesi Tip Fakültesi 22030 Edirne, Turkey Phone: +90 (284) 235-7653 E-mail: tmsj@trakya.edu.tr Printing at: Trakya Üniversitesi Basımevi Edirne Teknik Bilimler M.Y.O Sarayiçi Yerleşkesi, 22020 Yeni İmaret, Edirne, Turkey Phone: +90 (284) 224 02 83 Printing Date: October 2019 ISSN: 2148-4724 E-ISSN: 2548-0030



Editor-in-Chief

Nur Gülce İŞKAN Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey

Deputy Editor-in-Chief

Begüm SÖYLEYİCİ Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Ece ŞENYİĞİT Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Hilal Sena ÇİFCİBAŞI Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey

Language Editor

Berkay KEF Okan University School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Biostatistics Editor

Alperen Elibol Acıbadem University School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Editorial Board

Agastya PATEL Medical University of Gdańsk, Gdansk, Poland Alperen Taha CERTEL Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Arda Ulaş MUTLU Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Aslı GÖZTEPE Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Beliz KOÇYİĞİT Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Berfin TAN Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Berra KURTOĞLU TOBB University of Economics and Technology School of Medicine, Ankara, Turkey Çağrı GİRİT Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey

Fatih Erkan AKAY Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Hasan Orkun İPSALALI Marmara University School of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey Irmak İrem ÖZYİĞİT Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Kaan ÇİFCİBAŞI Ludwig Maximilian University School of Medicine, Munich, Germany Mahmut Alper GÜLDAĞ Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Nazlıcan KÜKÜRTCÜ Akdeniz University School of Medicine, Antalya, Turkey Ozan ÖNER Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey Pelinsu Elif HÜNKAR Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey

Indexed In

Türk Medline Science World Index Science Library Index Academic Keys

Publisher Trakya University



Editorial Advisory Board

Prof. Ahmet Muzaffer DEMİR, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Hematology) Prof. Ahmet ULUGÖL, MD Trakva University, Edirne, Turkey (Pharmacology) Prof. Ahmet YILMAZ, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Forensic Medicine) Prof. Ali AYDINLAR, MD Uludağ University, Bursa, Turkey (Cardiology) Prof. Ali İlker FİLİZ, MD Okan University, İstanbul, Turkey (General Surgery) Assoc. Prof. Andrea Mario Pompeo ROMANI, MD, PhD Case Western Reserve University, Cleveland, OH, USA (Physiology & Biop Assoc. Prof. Ali Rıza Cenk ÇELEBİ, MD Acıbadem Mehmet Ali Aydınlar University, Turkey (Ophthalmology) Prof. Atakan SEZER, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (General Surgery) Prof. Ayşe ÇAYLAN, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Family Medicine) Ayşe Gülsen CEYHUN PEKER, MD Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey (Family Medicine) Prof. Babürhan GÜLDİKEN, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Neurology) Prof. Berna ARDA, MD Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey (History of Medicine and Ethics) Prof. Berrak Çağlayan YEĞEN, MD Marmara University, İstanbul, Turkey (Physiology) Prof. Beste Melek ATASOY Marmara University, İstanbul, Turkey (Radiation Oncology) Prof. Betül Ayşe ACUNAŞ, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Pediatrics) Prof. Cem UZUN, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Otolaryngology) Prof. Erbuğ KESKİN, MD İstanbul University, İstanbul, Turkey (Pediatric Urology) Prof. Ercüment OVALI, MD Acıbadem University, Istanbul, Turkey (Hematology) Prof. Erdal KARAÖZ, PhD Istinye University, Istanbul, Turkey (Histology & Embryology) Prof. Ersan TATLI, MD Sakarya University, Sakarya, Turkey (Cardiology) Ertan ŞAHİN, MD Namık Kemal University, Tekirdağ, Turkey (Nuclear Medicine) Prof. Fatih ÖZÇELİK, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Cardiology) Prof. Galip EKUKLU, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Public Health) Prof. Gülay DURMUŞ ALTUN, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Nuclear Medicine) Prof. Güldal SÜYEN, MD Acıbadem Mehmet Ali Aydınlar University, Turkey (Physiology) Prof. Hakan TUNA, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation) Prof. Hakkı Mete CEK, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Urology) Prof. Hanefi Yekta GÜRLERTOP, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Cardiology) Prof. Hasan YAZICI, MD Academic Hospital, İstanbul, Turkey (Rheumatology) Assoc. Prof. Hilmi TOZKIR, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Medical Genetics)

Prof. Hüsniye Figen KULOĞLU, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Infectious Diseases) Prof. Hüseyin Ahmet TEZEL, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Gastroenterology) Prof. İbrahim Celalettin Haznedaroğlu Hacettepe University, Ankara, Turkey (Hematology) Prof. İlknur ERDEM, MD Namık Kemal University, Tekirdağ, Turkey (Infectious Diseases) Prof. Levent ÖZTÜRK, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Physiology) Prof. Mustafa ERTAŞ, MD Istanbul, Turkey (Neurology) Prof. M. Erkan KOZANOĞLU, MD Çukurova University, Adana, Turkey (Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation) Prof. Mustafa İNAN, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Pediatric Surgery) Prof. Necdet SÜT, PhD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Biostatistics and Informatics) Prof. Nermin TUNÇBİLEK, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Radiology) Prof. Nurettin AYDOĞDU, PhD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Physiology) Prof. Okan ÇALIYURT, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Psychiatry) Prof. Osman Nuri HATİPOĞLU, MD Trakva University, Edirne, Turkey (Pulmonology) Assoc. Prof. Ozan SALİM, MD Akdeniz University, Antalya, Turkey (Hematology) Assoc. Prof. Rahime Nida ERGİN BAYIK Bahcesehir University, İstanbul, Turkey (Gynecology and Obstetrics) Prof. Selma Süer GÖKMEN, PhD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Biochemistry) Prof. Sevgi ESKİOCAK, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Biochemistry) Prof. Sibel GÜLDİKEN, MD Trakva University, Edirne, Turkey (Endocrinology) Prof. Şaban GÜRCAN, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Microbiology and Clinical Microbiology) Prof. Tammam SİPAHİ, PhD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Biophysics) Assoc. Prof. Tarkan YETİŞYİĞİT, MD Namık Kemal University, Tekirdağ, Turkey (Internal Medicine) Assoc. Prof. Tayfur TOPTAŞ, MD Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey (Hematology) Prof. Tunç AKKOÇ, MD Marmara University, İstanbul, Turkey (Pediatric Allergy & Immunology) Prof. Ufuk USTA, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Pathology) Assoc. Prof. Volkan İNAL, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Critical Care) Assoc. Prof. Volkan YÜKSEL, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Cardiovascular Surgery) Prof. Yekta Altemur KARAMUSTAFAOĞLU, MD Trakva University, Edirne, Turkey (Thoracic Surgery) Prof. Zafer KOÇAK, MD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Radiation Oncology) Prof. Zeynep Banu DOĞANLAR, PhD Trakya University, Edirne, Turkey (Medical Biology)

Owner

Prof. Ahmet Muzaffer DEMİR, MD Dean, Trakya University Faculty of Medicine Scientific Advisor

Prof. Zafer KOÇAK, MD Trakya University Faculty of Medicine

Responsible Manager Nur Gülce İŞKAN Trakya University Faculty of Medicine, Edirne, Turkey



MISSION & SCOPE

Turkish Medical Student Journal (TMSJ) is an independent, non-profit, peer-reviewed, international, open access journal; which aims to publish articles of interest to both physicians, scientists and medical students. TMSJ is published three times a year, in February, June and October by Trakya University. The language of publication is English.

TMSJ publishes original researches, interesting case reports and reviews regarding all fields of medicine. Correspondent authors of the articles should be medical students. All of the published articles are open-access and reachable on our website. The primary aim of the journal is to publish original articles with high scientific and ethical quality and serve as a good example of medical publications for stimulating students, doctors, researchers. Our mission is to feature quality publications that will contribute to the progress of medical sciences as well as encourage medical students to think critically and share their hypotheses and research results internationally.

The Editorial Board and the Publisher adheres to the principles of International Council of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE).

EDITORIAL PROCESS

All manuscripts submitted for publication are reviewed for their originality, methodology, importance, quality, ethical nature and suitability for the journal by the editorial board and briefly revised by the advisory board whose members are respected academicians in their fields. Well-constructed scheme is used for the evaluation process. All manuscripts are reviewed by two different members of the editorial board, followed by peer revision from at least two professors, belonging to different institutions, who are experts in their areas. The editors assist authors to improve the quality of their papers. The editor-in-chief has full authority over the editorial, scientific content and the timing of publication.

ETHICS

Turkish Medical Student Journal depends on publication ethics to ensure all articles published in TMSJ are acceptable in terms of scientific ethical standards and do not include any kind of plagiarism. TMSJ expects authors and editorial board to adhere the principles of Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE). To reach the highest standards, TMSJ has an advisory board member who is a professional in ethics.

All original articles submitted to the TMSJ have to be approved by an ethical committee and include the name of ethics committee(s) or institutional review board(s), the number/ID of the approval(s). Additionally, informed consent documents obtained from patients involving case reports are required for the submission.

All received manuscripts are screened by a plagiarism software (iThenticate). Similarity percentage more than 21 (or more than 5 for one paper) and six consecutive words cited from an another published paper in the same order are the causes of immediate rejection.

MATERIAL DISCLAIMER

All opinions, reports and results within the articles that are published in the TMSJ are the personal opinions of the authors. The Editorial Board, the editorial advisory board, the publisher and the owner of the TMSJ do not accept any responsibility for these articles.



CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY

The Turkish Medical Student Journal's editorial review process pursues the Good Editorial Practice set by international editorial organizations (ICMJE, EASE, WAME, COPE, CSE,...). According to the WAME; a conflict of interest arises when an author, peer-reviewer, or editor in the publication process has an incompatible interest that could unmeritedly influence his or her responsibilities (academic honesty, unbiased conduct, and reporting of research and transparency) in the publication process.

If a conflict of interest related to family, personal, financial, political or religious issues, as well as any competing interest outlined above at the WAME's definition, exists; TMSJ requires that the author should report the condition to the editorial board and declare at the ICMJE Conflict of Interest form, and specifically define it under a title at the end of the manuscript. The Editorial Board members of the Turkish Medical Journal may also submit their own manuscripts to the journal as all of them are active researchers. Nevertheless, they cannot take place at any stage on the editorial evaluation of their manuscripts in order to minimize any possible bias. These manuscripts will be treated like any other author's, final acceptance of such manuscripts can only be made by at least two positive recommendations of external peer-reviewers.

Turkish Medical Student Journal follows a single-blinded review principle. Authors cannot contact any of the peer-reviewers during the publication process and vice versa; since any of the peer-reviewers and author's information are obscured.

For the instructions and further information please visit:

https://publicationethics.org/search/site/conflict http://icmje.org http://www.ease.org.uk/publications/science-editors-handbook/ https://www.councilscienceeditors.org



INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

CATEGORIES OF ARTICLES

The Journal publishes the following types of articles: *Original Research Articles:* Original prospective or retrospective studies of basic or clinical investigations in areas relevant to medicine.

Content:

- Abstract (average 400 words; the structured abstract contain the following sections: aims, methods, results, conclusion)

- Introduction
- Material and Methods
- Results
- Discussion
- Reference

Review Articles: The authors may be invited to write or may submit a review article. Reviews including the latest medical literature may be prepared on all medical topics.

Content:

- Abstract (average 400 words; without structural divisions)

- Titles on related topics

- References

Case Reports: Brief descriptions of a previously undocumented disease process, a unique unreported manifestation or treatment of a known disease process, or unique unreported complications of treatment regimens. They should include an adequate number of photos and figures.

Content:

- Abstract (average 200 words; the structured abstract contain the following sections: aims, case report, conclusion)

- Introduction
- Case presentation
- Discussion
- References

Editorial Commentary/Discussion: Evaluation of the original research article is done by the specialists of the field (except the authors of the research article) and it is published at the end of the related article.

Letters to the Editor: These are the letters that include different views, experiments and questions of the readers about the manuscripts that were published in this journal in the recent year and should be no more than 500 words.

Content:

- There's no title and abstract.

- Submitted letters should include a note indicating the attribution to an article (with the number and date) and

the name, affiliation and address of the author(s) at the end.

- The answer to the letter is given by the editor or the author(s) of the manuscript and is published in the journal. *What is Your Diagnosis?* : These articles are related with diseases that are seen rarely and show differences in diagnosis and treatment, and they are prepared as questions-answers.

Content:

- Titles related with subject
- References

MANUSCRIPT PREPARATION

Authors are encouraged to follow the following principles before submitting their material.

-The article should be written in IBM compatible computers with Microsoft Word.

ABBREVIATIONS: All abbreviations in the text must be defined the first time they are used, and the abbreviations should be displayed in parentheses after the definition. Authors should avoid abbreviations in the title, abstract and at the beginning of the first sentences of the paragraphs.

FIGURES AND TABLES:

-All figures and tables should be cited at the end of the relevant sentence. Explanations must be placed at the bottom of figures, whereas at the top of tables.

-Figures and tables must be added to the e-mail as attachments in .jpg or .tiff formats.

- The name of the file should be named as: last name of the first author_Table/Figure_No.TIFF/JPEG. For example: Sancar_Figure_1.JPEG.

- All abbreviations used, must be listed in explanation which will be placed at the bottom of each figures and tables.

- For figures and tables to be reproduced relevant permissions need to be provided. This permission must be mentioned in the explanation.

- Pictures/photographs must be in color, clear and with appropriate contrast to separate details.

TITLE PAGE: A concise, informative title, should be provided. All authors should be listed with academic degrees, affiliations, addresses, office and mobile telephone and fax numbers, e-mail and postal addresses, OR-CID. If the study was presented in a congress, the author(s) should identify the date/place of the congress of the study presented.

ABSTRACT: The abstracts should be prepared in accordance with the instructions in the "Categories of Articles" and placed in the article file.



KEYWORDS:

-They should be minimally three.

- Keywords should be appropriate to "Medical Subject Headings (MESH)" (See: www.nlm.nih.gov/mesh/ MBrowser.html).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: Conflict of interest, financial support, grants, and all other editorial (statistical analysis, language editing) and/or technical assistance if present, must be presented at the end of the text.

REFERENCES: References should be numbered in the order they are cited. Only published data or manuscripts accepted for publication and recent data should be included. Inaccessible data sources and those not indexed in any database should be omitted. Titles of journals should be abbreviated in accordance with Index Medicus- NLM Style (Patrias K. Citing medicine: the NLM style guide for authors, editors, and publishers [Internet]. 2nd ed. Wendling DL, technical editor. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US); 2007 - [updated 2011 Sep 15; cited Year Month Day] (http://www.nlm.nih.gov/citing-medicine). All authors should be listed if an article has three or less authors; first three authors are listed and the rest is represented by "et al." Reference format and punctuation should be as in the following examples.

Journal: Muller C, Buttner HJ, Peterson J et al. A randomized comparison of clopidogrel and aspirin versus ticlopidine and aspirin after placement of coronary artery stents. Circulation 2000;101:590-3.

Book Section: Sherry S. Detection of thrombi. In: Strauss HE, Pitt B, James AE, editors. Cardiovascular Medicine. St Louis: Mosby; 1974.p.273-85.

Books with Single Author: Cohn PF. Silent myocardial ischemia and infarction. 3rd ed. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1993.

Editor(s) as author: Norman IJ, Redfern SJ, editors. Mental health care for elderly people. New York: Churchill Livingstone; 1996.

Conference Proceedings: Bengisson S. Sothemin BG. Enforcement of data protection, privacy and security in medical informatics. In: Lun KC, Degoulet P, Piemme TE, Rienhoff O, editors. MEDINFO 92. Proceedings of the 7th World Congress on Medical Informatics; 1992 Sept 6-10; Geneva, Switzerland. Amsterdam: North-Holland; 1992.p.1561-5.

Scientific or Technical Report: Smith P. Golladay K. Payment for durable medical equipment billed during skilled nursing facility stays. Final report. Dallas (TX) Dept. of Health and Human Services (US). Office of Evaluation and Inspections: 1994 Oct. Report No: HHSIGOE 169200860.

Thesis: Kaplan SI. Post-hospital home health care: the elderly access and utilization (dissertation). St. Louis

(MO): Washington Univ. 1995.

Manuscripts accepted for publication, not published yet: Leshner AI. Molecular mechanisms of cocaine addiction. N Engl J Med In press 1997.

Epub ahead of print Articles: Aksu HU, Ertürk M, Gül M et al. Successful treatment of a patient with pulmonary embolism and biatrial thrombus. Anadolu Kardiyol Derg 2012 Dec 26. doi: 10.5152/akd.2013.062. [Epub ahead of print]

Manuscripts published in electronic format: Morse SS. Factors in the emergence of infectious diseases. Emerg Infect Dis (serial online) 1995 Jan-Mar (cited 1996 June 5): 1(1): (24 screens). Available from: URL:http://www. cdc.gov/ncidodlElD/cid.htm.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

Conflict of interest is when the author's primary responsibility to science, ethics and readers is not compatible with author's private interests such as financial gains or personal rivalry. Credence of the scientific process and the authenticity of articles depend in part on how transparently conflicts of interest are approached. In case of a conflict of interest it should be declared to the editorial board of TMSJ (http://bys.trakya.edu.tr/ file/open/12121843), and clearly written under a particular section at the end of the manuscript. Authors may reach more information and find the instructions for the process from the links below:

https://publicationethics.org/search/site/conflict%20 of%20interest

http://www.icmje.org/conflicts-of-interest/

ORCID

It is recommended that the journals, which are indexed in TR Index or apply to take a part, require ORCID[®] information from the authors and include this information in the journal/articles. ORCID[®] is the abbreviation for Open Researcher and Contributor ID. ORCID[®] is a 16-digit URI compliant with the ISO Standard (ISO 27729), also known as the International Standard Name Identifier (ISNI). The correspondent author who will submit an article to our journal should state ORCID[®] numbers. Researchers who do not have ORCID[®] ID can apply for a free registration and get their individual OR-CID[®] number at http://orcid.org.

WITHDRAWAL POLICY

Turkish Medical Student Journal encourages authors to follow best practice in publication ethics. Therefore, the authors may withdraw their manuscripts in absolutely necessary conditions. If authors want to withdraw their manuscript, they need to submit the "Manuscript



Withdrawal Form". Authors should state their reason of withdrawal and the form need to be signed by all authors, sent to tmsj@trakya.edu.tr.

TMSJ Editorial Board evaluates the form and if the reason for withdrawal is found as reasonable, the authors will receive a confirmation e-mail. Before getting this confirmation e-mail, the authors should not consider their manuscripts as withdrawn.

COMPLAINT

All of the complaints regarding the articles should be stated via e-mail to tmsj@trakya.edu.tr. TMSJ Editorial Board evaluates the complaints with the accordance of COPE Guidelines and draws a conclusion after the decision of Editor-in-Chief and an ethics editor.



EDITORIAL

Dear readers,

As the TMSJ editorial board, we are very grateful to present to you the last issue of 2019. In this issue, you will find 1 original article, 2 case reports, and 1 review. Akay et al. have made a detailed data analysis of chronic myeloid leukemia patients regarding demographic features, treatments and treatment responses. We have also presented you a case report which can make a contribution to increasing the awareness of the differential diagnosis of multiple polyposis in the gastrointestinal tract. In a case report by Atnallar et al., the effectiveness of PET/ CT in the evaluation of lymphoma has been discussed. Since PET/CT has been used very commonly in oncology, I believe that our readers will find this case report interesting to read. Today, researchers are making a great effort to investigate the role of different chemicals in cancer treatment and vanillin is a commonly used aromatic in our daily lives; the review of Mutlu et al. has presented us a different perspective by mentioning the role of vanillin in cancer treatment.

I also would like to mention International Open Access Week, 21-27th of October. This year's theme is 'Open for Whom? Equity in Open Knowledge'. I believe, this theme will encourage science enthusiasts to question the publishing community and raise awareness in equity. We should not only support open access, but also try to try to minimize the inequity and provide a fair platform for everyone. We should think of the underrepresented groups in the science community and take action by not prioritizing a specific group. Our actions today will shape the extent of the science community in the future. I invite all of our readers to consider this year's theme of Open Access Week and act upon it.

Hoping to meet all of our readers in the next issue!

Nur Gülce İŞKAN Editor-in-Chief





ORIGINAL ARTICLE

70 RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS OF CHRONIC MYELOID LEUKEMIA PATIENTS IN TRAKYA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Fatih Erkan Akay, Beliz Koçyiğit, Berfin Tan, Emine İkbal Atlı, Volkan Bas, Hakkı Onur Kırkızlar

CASE REPORT

75 EFFECTIVENESS OF PET/CT IN EVALUATION OF THE LYMPHOMA

Göktuğ Atnallar, Büşra Özdemir, Elif Gülsüm Ümit, Gülay Durmuş Altun

80 GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT MULTIPLE LYMPHOMATOUS POLYPOSIS PRESENTED AS MANTLE CELL LYMPHOMA

Fatih Erkan Akay, Nuray Can, Mert Cezik, Hakkı Onur Kırkızlar, Fatma Selin Soyluoğlu, Fulya Öz Puyan

REVIEW

85 VANILLIN: IS IT JUST AN AROMATIC OR A CURE FOR CANCER?

Arda Ulaş Mutlu, Martin Kanev, Büşra Diler Zenginer, İlker Dıbırdık



RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS OF CHRONIC MYELOID LEUKEMIA PATIENTS IN TRAKYA UNIVERSITY SCHOOL OF MEDICINE

Fatih Erkan Akay¹, Beliz Koçyiğit¹, Berfin Tan¹, Emine İkbal Atlı², Volkan Bas³, Hakkı Onur Kırkızlar³

¹Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY ²Department of Medical Genetics, Trakya Univeristy School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY ³Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY

ABSTRACT

Aims: This study aims to establish the data; including demographic features, molecular response status, disease characteristics, and survival rate of chronic myeloid leukemia patients treated with tyrosine kinase inhibitors in Trakya University School of Medicine. *Methods:* In this study, the data of 102 patients over 18 years old who were diagnosed with chronic myeloid leukemia in Trakya University School of Medicine between January 2003-October 2019 were analyzed retrospectively. Data was analyzed using SPSS 23.0.0.0. *Results:* The total number of patients in the study was 102. There were 95 (93.1%) patients on chronic phase and 6 (5.9%) patients on accelerated phase. Eighty-three (81.4%) of the patients had at least a major molecular response and 17 (16.7%) patients could not achieve at least a major molecular response. *Conclusion:* As our study showed, first line treatment with imatinib may not be enough for some of the patients to recover and therefore different TKIs such as dasatinib, nilotinib, bosutinib, and ponatinib are being used in the treatment of CML. Inadequate response, drug side effects and incompliances are the causes of switching the drug choice. Further studies are needed to thoroughly reveal the epidemiology and treatment regimens. *Keywords:* Chronic myeloid leukemia, tyrosine kinase, neoplasms

INTRODUCTION

Chronic myeloid leukemia (CML) is a myeloproliferative neoplasm where most of the time a single, pluripotent hematopoietic stem cell develops a Philadelphia chromosome (t9;22) resulting with a clonal overgrowth of myeloid precursor cells (1). The pathogenesis that plays a crucial role in CML is irrepressible tyrosine kinase activity which is a by-product of translocation between 9 and 22 chromosomes giving rise to fusion genes BCR-ABL1 (1). The clinical presentation may be seen in 3 different phases: chronic, accelerated and blastic. Most patients are diagnosed at the chronic phase of the disease and if left untreated may progress to accelerated or blastic phases, which have poorer prognosis (2). Chronic myeloid leukemia represents about 15% of adults leukemias, the incidence is approximately 1-2/100,000 per year. CML may occur in all age groups, however, the median age of disease is 67 (3).

Diagnosis is made by history, physical examination and laboratory tests which comprise, which comprises spleen palpation, complete blood count, hepatitis panel and chemistry profiles. Bone marrow biopsy and aspirate are used for morphological, molecular and cytogenetic evaluation. However, diagnosis must be approved cytogenetically by using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) which directly detects the translocation (t9:22) and quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) that shows the levels of BCR-ABL1 in peripheral blood or bone marrow cells (4).

The European LeukemiaNet and National Comprehensive Cancer Network are the most common guidelines used for the diagnosis and management of CML patients in daily practice (5, 6). After patients have been diagnosed and started their first-line Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor (TKI) treatment they are followed up on their 3rd months where a hematological response (Leukocyte < 10x10⁹/L, no immature myeloid precursors, basophils

Address for Correspondence: Fatih Erkan Akay, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY e-mail: erkanfatih48@gmail.com ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0001-7598-1016 Received: 01.09.2019 Accepted: 20.09.2019 • DOI: 10.4274/tmsj.galenos.2019.06.03.01 Available at: tmsj.trakya.edu.tr



Cite this article as: Akay FE, Koçyiğit B, Tan B et al. Retrospective analysis of chronic myeloid leukemia patients in Trakya university school of medicine. Turkish Med Stud J 2019;6(3):70-5.



< 5%, platelet count < 450x10⁹/L, spleen non-palpable) is expected. In addition, quantification of BCR-ABL1 from peripheral blood is necessary every 3 months. Having an early molecular response (MR) at 3 months (BCR-ABL1 <10%) is a predictive biomarker of later on achieving a deep molecular response (7). Intervals are prolonged until the 12th month of disease expecting a major molecular response (MMR) (BCR-ABL1 0.1%, 3 log reduction from standardized baseline). Patients' relative risk scores are calculated using simple hematological and clinical data collected before any treatment. For assessing prognostic and predictive implications; Sokal, Euro and European Treatment of Outcome Study (EUTOS) scores are used (8).

First-line therapy options are first-generation (imatinib) and second-generation (nilotinib and dasatinib) TKIs. Second-line therapy options consists of second-generation TKIs (nilotinib, dasatinib, and bosutinib) and third-generation TKI, ponatinib, which is also used in third-line therapy as well as for patients with T315I mutation associated with pan-TKI resistance (8). Later on, with the introduction of imatinib, being the first targeted therapy used in treating CML patients, number of patients applying to allogenic stem cell transplantation underwent a significant decrease, however, it is still an important therapeutic option for the patients who failed at least 2 TKIs or have T315I mutation (8).

Before the 2000s, treatment strategy for CML was limited to interferon-alpha (IFN-alpha), chemotherapy, hydroxyurea and allogeneic stem cell transplantation which was the most efficient treatment strategy (9). Later on, treatment protocol completely changed with the introduction of imatinib, being the first targeted therapy used in treating CML patients (10). One of the largest phase III randomized trials done known as International Randomized Study of Interferon and STI571 (IRIS) had a major impact on providing the necessary information about the scientific and clinical background for treating Ph (+) leukemias with imatinib (10). Complete cytogenetic response rate for the patients treated with imatinib was 94% in contrast to patients that were administered IFN-alpha at the 19th month of median follow-up (10). Overall, the superiority of treating CML patients with imatinib has been proved in other prospective and retrospective studies as well (11-13).

This study aims to establish the data; including demographic features, molecular response status, disease characteristics, and survival rate of CML patients treated with TKI in Trakya University School of Medicine which is a tertiary center of the region and make a contribution to the database of CML patients in Turkey.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This retrospective study was approved by the Scientific Research Ethics Committee of Trakya University School of Medicine (Protocol Code: TUTF-BA-EK2019/359). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects. In this study, the data of all patients over 18 years old who were diagnosed with CML in Trakya University School of Medicine between January 2003-October 2019 were analyzed retrospectively.

There were 102 patients where their demographic data, phase of the disease, total follow-up, durations of their TKI treatments, major molecular response, reason to switch treatments and last treatment they were on were congregated from the archives of Trakya University School of Medicine.

Data was analyzed using SPSS 23.0.0.0. Continuous variables (age, total follow-up and durations of their TKI treatments) were tested for normal distribution with Shapiro-Wilk Test. Normal distribution was observed only for the duration of 4th line TKI treatment which was presented as mean and standard deviation. Non-normal distribution was observed for the rest. Thus, descriptive statistics for those are presented as median and inter-quantile range (IQR). Categorical data (gender, phase of the disease, major molecular response, distribution of TKI treatments, reasons for switching TKIs) are presented as numbers and percentages.

RESULTS

In this retrospective study 102 patients with the diagnosis of CML were included. 60 (58.8%) patients were male and 42 (41.2%) were female. There were 95 (93.1%) patients on chronic phase and 6 (5.9%) patients on accelerated phase, with 1 missing data. Eighty-three (81.4%) of the patients had at least major molecular response and 17 (16.7%) patients could not achieve at least a major molecular response, there were 2 missing data. All patients (102) in our study were admitted imatinib as the first-line treatment.

Number of patients, summary statistics of parametric (duration of 4th TKI treatment) and non-parametric variables (age, age of diagnosis, total median follow-up and duration of 1st, 2nd and 3rd TKI treatments) are presented in Table 1. Summary of categorical data (gender, phase of the disease, major molecular response, distribution TKI treatments, reasons for switching TKIs) are presented in Table 2.

In median total follow-up time of 86 (88.2%) month, 20 patients were found to be deceased, with 7 deaths being CML-related and remaining 5 deaths being non-CML-related.



Table 1: Summary statistics of parametric and non-parametric variables.

| | Number of patients* | Median (IQR) |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| Current age of patients (years) | | 62.00 (26) |
| Age of the patients at the time of diagnosis (years) | | 51.98(23) |
| Total follow-up (months) | | 86.00 (84) |
| Duration of 1st line TKI treatment (months) | 44 | 15.00 (39) |
| Duration of 2nd line TKI treatment (months) | 21 | 16.00 (19) |
| Duration of 3rd line TKI treatment (months) | 9 | 8.00 (8) |
| Duration of 4 th line TKI treatment (months)** | 4 | 20.0 ± 19.408 |

*All 102 patients started off with Imatinib as the first line treatment, these are the number of patients who were switched to next line treatment and their durations.

TKI: Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor, IQR: Inter-quartile Range

** Since the data of duration of the 4th line TKI treatment is normally distributed, it is given as mean ± standard deviation.

Table 2: Summary statistics of categorical variables.

| uole 2: Summary statistics of categorical variable | | Number (%) |
|--|--------------------------------|------------|
| Gender | Male | 60 (58.8) |
| | Female | 42 (41.2) |
| Phase | Chronic | 95 (93.1) |
| | Accelerated | 6 (5.9) |
| | N/A | 1 |
| Distribution of 2 nd line TKI treatments | Dasatinib | 17 (38.6) |
| | Nilotinib | 26 (59.1) |
| | N/A | 1 |
| Distribution of 3 rd line TKI treatments | Dasatinib | 8 (38.1) |
| | Nilotinib | 10 (47.6) |
| | Bosutinib | 3 (14.3) |
| Reasons to switch 2 nd line TKI treatment | Side effect | 5 (11.4) |
| | Loss of MR | 37 (84.1) |
| | Incoordination | 2 (4.5) |
| Reasons to switch 3 rd line TKI treatment | Side effect | 9 (39.1) |
| | Loss of MR | 12 (52.2) |
| | Incoordination | 1 (4.3) |
| | Refractory | 1 (4.3) |
| ast treatment | Dasatinib | 9 (8.8) |
| | Nilotinib | 22 (21.6) |
| | Bosutinib | 4 (3.9) |
| | Imatinib | 57 (55.9) |
| | Ponatinib | 4 (3.9) |
| | Hydroxyurea | 2 (2.0) |
| | Cytarabine | 1 (1.0) |
| | No drug | 1 (1.0) |
| | N/A | 2 |
| Major molecular response (BCR-ABL1 | Responsive | 83 (81.4) |
| ≤0.1% | No response | 17 (16.7) |
| | N/A | 2 (1.96) |
| Survival status | Alive | 90 (88.24) |
| | Deceased | 12 (11.76) |
| | CML-related Non-CML-related | 7 (6.8) |
| | Non-CML-related | 5 (4.9) |

TKI: Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor, N/A: Not Available

DISCUSSION

Before 2013, epidemiological data from the End Results Program of United States National Cancer Institute were used in daily practice, however with the Turkish CML study, which was a multicentral study with 1133 patients, Turkey managed to establish its database and found the median age for Turkish CML patients to be 46.1±14.8 (9, 14). Our study has found the mean age of CML patients to be 51.98 years. This proves the need for similar studies to be conducted since different genetic and environmental factors can make up different mean ages. These demographic data are also important for different clinical approaches in daily practice. Since there are higher rates of durable complete molecular response with second-generation TKIs, second-generation TKIs may be considered in younger patients (e.g. <50 years) in comparison to older patients. This could lead to potential molecular responses where the patients may end up with the discontinuation of TKI treatments (15).

According to the previous studies, most patients diagnosed with CML present themselves in the chronic phase, however, 5-7% may advance to accelerated or blastic phases (9, 16). Our data was in line with the Turkish CML study, during the initial diagnostic evaluations, where 93.1% of patients were in the chronic phase whereas 5.9% of patients were in the accelerated phase (9).

All the patients (n=102) in our study used imatinib as the first-line of TKI treatments with no regards to the phase of the disease. In IRIS study, only 55% of the patients were remained on imatinib in 8 year follow-up time (15). This highlighted the need for supplementary treatment options for patients who had to switch their imatinib treatments, which led to the development of second-generation TKIs.

In our study, due to several reasons such as side effects, loss of molecular response and patient incompliance; imatinib was switched to dasatinib or nilotinib in 44 patients. In the study carried out by Şahin et al. (9), 90.8% of patients had to have their imatinib treatment altered due to their loss of molecular response and 9.2% had it altered due to drug intolerance. In comparison, 44.11% of the patients in our study had to switch their imatinib treatment due to inadequate response, side effects or their incompliances.

The study conducted by Russo et al. (17) with 82 CML patients, 34 had nilotinib and 48 of them had dasatinib as their second line TKIs, with prior TKI being imatinib in all patients. In the previously-mentioned Turkish CML study, the first choice after imatinib tre-



atment in 194 patients was dasatinib, in 138 patients the first choice was nilotinib and 114 patients had to use both nilotinib and dasatinib during their treatment (9). In our study, out of 44 patients who had to switch first-line TKI treatment, 17 of them had dasatinib and 26 of them had nilotinib as a part of their second-line TKI treatment. Nine of the patients receiving second-line TKI treatment had their treatment switched due to generated side effects, 12 of the patients as a result of a loss in molecular response, 2 of the patients because of incompliances and 1 of the patient had to switch their treatment due to refraction.

Comparing our data concerning the distribution of third-line TKI treatments, while 3 out of 21 patients in our study used bosutinib as their third-line TKI treatment; in the study of Khoury et al. (18) 115 out of 118 patients used bosutinib in third-line TKI treatment. Moreover, 10 patients in our study used nilotinib and 8 patients used dasatinib.

Furthermore, 83 patients enrolled in our study had an MMR following their last treatments where 17 patients had less than MMR. In the IRIS study, patients who had been assigned to take imatinib had a 10-year MMR rate of 93% (15). In the study conducted by Şahin et al. (9) evaluation of molecular response was not carried out due to a lack of available data in the majority of patients.

In a median total follow-up time of 86 (88.2%) months, total number of 12 patients was found to be deceased, where 7 deaths were associated with CML and 5 deaths were non-CML-related. Causes of CML-related deaths are included but not limited to cardiac complications, blastic transformation, incompliance with treatment plans. In the IRIS study, for the patients who had been assigned to use imatinib, the estimated survival rate was 83.3% (15).

The main limitations in our study were the lack of data in molecular (RT-PCR and BCR-ABL1), cytogenetic (FISH) and hematologic responses in the following 3rd, 6th and 12th months of patients' treatment, which are highly important in making decisions when to switch their treatments with the addition to planning future treatment strategies. Our study also lacks the patients' clinical risk scores such as Sokal, Hasford and EUTOS due to the missing data in patients' files.

In conclusion, CML is a myeloproliferative neoplasm which is seen mostly in older adults. As our study showed, first line treatment with imatinib may not be enough for some of the patients to recover and therefore different TKIs such as dasatinib, nilotinib, bosutinib, and ponatinib are being used in the treatment of CML. Inadequate response, drug side effects and incomplian-



ces are the causes of switching the drug choice. Further studies are needed to thoroughly reveal the epidemiology and treatment regimens.

Ethics Committee Approval: This retrospective study was approved by the Scientific Research Ethics Committee of Trakya University School of Medicine (Protocol Code: TUTF-BAEK2019/359).

Informed Consent: Informed consent was obtained from all subjects. *Conflict of Interest:* The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Author contributions: Concept: FEA, BK, BT, HOK Supervision: FEA, BK, BT, HOK Resources: FE, BK, BT, EİA, VB, HOK Materials: FEA, BK, BT, EİA, VB, HOK Data collection and/or processing: FEA, BK, BT, EİA, VB, HOK Analysis and/or Interpretation: FEA, BK, BT, HOK Literature Search: FEA, BK, HOK Writing Manuscript: FEA, BK, BT, HOK Critical Review: FEA, BK, BT, HOK

Financial disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

Editor-in-chief's Note: Three of the authors of this article; Fatih Erkan Akay, Beliz Koçyiğit and Berfin Tan are members of the editorial board of Turkish Medical Student Journal. However, they did not take place in any stage on the editorial decision of the manuscript. The editors who evaluated this manuscript are from another institutions.

REFERENCES

1. Faderl S, Talpaz M, Estrov Z et al. The biology of chronic myeloid leukemia. New England Journal of Medicine 1999;341(3):164–72.

2. Kurzrock G, Gutterman J, Talpaz M. The molecular genetics of Philadelphia chromosome-positive leukemias. N Engl J Med 1988;319:990-8.

3. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2018. CA Cancer J Clin 2018;68:7–30.

4. Braziel RM, Shipp MA, Feldman AL et al. Molecular diagnostics. Hematology 2003;(1):279-93.

5. Baccarani M, Deininger MW, Rosti G et al. European Leukemia-Net recommendations for the management of chronic myeloid leukemia: 2013. Blood 2013;122(6):872–84.

6. Radich JP, Deininger M, Abboud CN et al. Chronic myeloid leukemia, version 1.2019, NCCN clinical practice guidelines in oncology. Journal of the National Comprehensive Cancer Network 2019;16:1108–35.

7. Hanfstein B, Müller MC, Hehlmann R et al. Early molecular and cytogenetic response is predictive for long-term rogression-free and overall survival in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML). Leukemia 2012;26:2096–102.

8. Hochhaus A, Saussele S, Rosti G et al. Chronic myeloid leukaemia: ESMO clinical practice guidelines for diagnosis, treatment and follow-up. Annals of Oncology 2017;28(4):41-51.

9. Sahin F, Saydam G, Comert M et al. Turkish chronic myeloid leukemia study: retrospective sectional analysis of CML patients. Turk J Hematol 2013;30:351-8. 10. O'Brien SG, Guilhot F, Larson RA et al. Imatinib compared with interferon and low-dose cytarabine for newly diagnosed chronic-phase chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2003;348:994-1004.

11. Druker BJ, Guilhot F, O'Brien SG et al. Five-year follow-up of patients receiving imatinib for chronic myeloid leukemia. N Engl J Med 2006;355:2408-17.

12. Roy L, Guilhot J, Krahnke T et al. Survival advantage from imatinib compared with combination interferon-alpha plus cytarabine in chronic-phase chronic myelogenous leukemia: historical comparison between two phase 3 trials. Blood 2006;108:1478-84.

13. Bittencourt H, Funke V, Fogliatto L et al. Imatinib mesylate versus allogeneic BMT for patients with chronic myeloid leukemia in first chronic phase. Bone Marrow Transplant 2008;42:597-600.

14. National Cancer Institute. Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results Program. (online) (cited 2019 August 5). Available from: UR-L:https://seer.cancer.gov.

15. Jabbour E, Kantarjian H. Chronic myeloid leukemia: 2018 update on diagnosis, therapy and monitoring. Am J Hematol 2018;93(3):442-59.

16. Shanmuganathan N, Hiwase DK, Ross DM. Treatment of chronic myeloid leukemia: assessing risk, monitoring response, and optimizing outcome. Leukemia & Lymphoma 2017;58(12):2799–810.

17. Russo RA, Breccia M, Abruzzese E et al. Outcome of 82 chronic myeloid leukemia patients treated with nilotinib or dasatinib after failure of two prior tyrosine kinase inhibitors. Haematologica 2013;98:399–403.

18. Khoury HJ, Cortes JE, Kantarjian HM et al. Bosutinib is active in chronic phase chronic myeloid leukemia after imatinib and dasatinib and/or nilotinib therapy failure. Blood 2012;119:3403–12.



EFFECTIVENESS OF PET/CT IN EVALUATION OF THE LYMPHOMA

Göktuğ Atnallar¹, Büşra Özdemir², Elif Gülsüm Ümit³, Gülay Durmuş Altun²

¹Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY

²Department of Nuclear Medicine, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY

³Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY

ABSTRACT

Aims: Prognosis and survival of Hodgkin lymphoma have been improved dramatically by the development of treatments as well as the sensitivity of evaluation tools. In this case report, we aimed to emphasize the importance of positron emission tomography with 2-deoxy-2-[fluorine-18] fluoro-D-glucose integrated with computed tomography in the initial staging of Hodgkin's lymphoma, evaluating the response to treatment, and to demonstrate residual tissue or recurrence. *Case Report:* A 25-year-old male patient presented to Trakya University Hospital with swelling in the right groin and was diagnosed with Hodgkin's lymphoma. Positron emission tomography with 2-deoxy-2-[fluorine-18] fluoro-D-glucose integrated with computed tomography scan was used for initial staging and assessment of response to treatment. *Conclusion:* Positron emission tomography is a feasible imaging modality for the evaluation of lymphomas. It is sensitive to detect minimal recurrence as well as alterations of lesions' metabolic activity. *Keywords:* Positron emission tomography, lymphoma, hodgkin disease

INTRODUCTION

Lymphoproliferative disorders are classified as Hodgkin's lymphoma (HL) and Non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL) based on the histopathological as well as clinical features (1). Hodgkin's lymphoma generally originates from a single lymph node, especially cervical or mediastinal lymph nodes and it is expected to progress to adjacent lymph nodes in a localized fashion. The prevalence of HL is observed in two age groups, first, between the ages of 20-40 years and the second at 50 years and over (2). Histological feature of HL is characterized with featured cells which are called Reed-Sternberg cells on a background rich with inflammatory cells. The most common subtype is the nodular sclerosing type that contains fibrous bands within the involved lymph node (1).

The diagnosis of all lymphoproliferative disorders is based on the pathological assessment of the involved tissue, obtained preferably with excision of the whole lymph node (3). Pre-treatment staging is paramount due to the decision of the length of treatment and the evaluation of the response. The whole-body metabolic scan is the choice to treatment with advantages including the sensitivity of the metabolic status of the lesions as well as the lack of radio-contrast medium requirement (3). Positron Emission Tomography/Computed Tomography (PET/CT) can perfectly and sensitively estimate the whole-body tumor burden (4).

Staging is based on revised Ann Arbor system and it consists of 4 stages; stage 1 and 2 are considered as a limited disease and stage 3 and 4 are known as advanced disease. In stage 3, there was dissemination in both sides of the diaphragm and in stage 4, there was extranodal involvement including non-lymphoid tissues and bone marrow (5). Internationally accepted and suggested treatment of HL is a combination of 4 individual cytotoxic drugs, abbreviated as Adriamycin-Bleomycin-Vincristine-Dacarbazine (ABVD) regimen which has been proven to be effective in approximately 80% of patients regardless of the stage (5). As HL is a fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG)-avid lymphoma, PET/

Address for Correspondence: Göktuğ Atnallar, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY e-mail: goktug.atnallar@hotmail.com ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0002-4196-1102 Received: 21.06.2019 Accepted: 16.07.2019 • DOI: 10.4274/tmsj.galenos.2019.06.03.03 Available at: tmsj.trakya.edu.tr



Cite this article as: Atnallar G, Özdemir B, Ümit EG et al. Effectiveness of PET/CT in evaluation of the lymphoma. Turkish Med Stud J 2019;6(3):75-9.



CT changed the staging up to 44% of the lymphomas and 62% of the first-line treatment (3, 6, 7). FDG/PET imaging has a value of showing both nodal and extranodal involvement, especially in the evaluation of liver, spleen and bone marrow. CT and Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) have been replaced with the introduction of PET/CT (6, 8).

In advanced-stage patients, response evaluation is suggested after the completion of 2 cycles of treatment while in early stage patients, it is suggested to be performed after the completion of 4 cycles. Subocz et al. (9) reported that after two to three ABVD chemotherapy cycles, the sensitivity and specificity of transient PET were reported as 81% and 97%, respectively. Post-treatment follow-up is in the first 2 years, every 3 months; the next 3 years, every 6 months, then annually, but it may be longer if there is no clinical evidence of disease or absence of any complaints and may be performed with a physical examination alone to avoid further radiation exposure and left to clinician's discretion (2). Although routine PET/CT examination is not recommended during follow-up, PET/CT scanning can distinguish between active tumor and necrosis or fibrosis in residual masses (10). The negative predictive value of PET/CT, or the ability of a negative scan to exclude persistent disease or future relapse, averages 80 to 90 percent (11).

The aim of this case report is to present a 25-year-old male patient with HL and emphasize the role of PET/CT in both the staging and the treatment process.

CASE REPORT

A 25-year-old male patient presented with a non-tender and painless growth in the right groin which he incidentally noticed. He did not report any previous medical illness and his physical examination showed generalized lymphadenomegalia in the cervical, axillary and inguinal regions. Ultrasonographic evaluation of lymphatic stations demonstrated lymphadenomegalias with obliterated fatty hilum and thickened cortex.

The whole-body CT was performed. Bilateral cervical, mediastinal, abdominal and inguinal lymphadenomegalies and sclerotic lesions in right iliac bone were observed. A hypodense lesion in the spleen with a diameter of 4.5 cm and a nodular lesion in the liver with a diameter of 3.5 cm were observed (Figure 1). Excisional biopsy was performed and the patient was diagnosed with nodular sclerosing type Hodgkin lymphoma. The staging was performed with 18F-FDG PET/ CT. The largest lymph node was 2.3 cm with SUVMax (PET/CT Standardized Uptake Values) of 13.7 gr/ml.

Increased diffuse metabolic activity was observed in the entire vertebral column, bilateral proximal head of humerus and femur, and bilateral iliac crest. A single focal uptake in the left patella was regarded as a benign process. The patient was classified as Stage IV ES (extranodal splenic involvement) according to the revised Ann Arbor system. ABVD treatment was commenced.



Figure 1: The whole-body PET/CT: A) Maximum intensity projection (MIP) images, B) liver lesion, C) splenic lesion, D) vertebral colon uptake, E) mediastinal lymph node involvement, F) pelvic lymph nodes, G) focal patellar uptake (white arrows).

After 2 cycles of treatment, the patient was re-staged with interim 18F-FDG PET/CT and bilateral supraclavicular, pretracheal lymph nodal involvement and nodular lesion with a substantial metabolic activity within the spleen were observed (Figure 2). This response was regarded as a partial response to the first-line treatment.

After the completion of the 6th ABVD cycle, the patient was re-evaluated. Increased metabolic activity was observed in the right antero-cervical and supraclavicular lymph nodes with bilateral paratracheal lymph nodes (Figure 3). The patients was accepted as non-responsive, and salvage treatment with cisplatin, high dose Ara-C and dexamethasone (DHAP) for 2 cycles was commenced.





Figure 2: Interim PET/CT scans after 2 cycles of first-line treatment: A) MIP images, B) splenic lesion, C-D) lymph nodes, E) focal patellar uptake (white arrows).



Figure 3: 18 FDG PET/CT after 6 cycles of ABVD treatment A) MIP images, B-C) multiple lymph node involvement, D) splenic lesion, E) focal patellar uptake (white arrows).

After salvage treatment, a remaining lesion in the spleen was observed and regarded as a partial response to gemcitabine-irinotecan and sequential 2 cycles of brentuximab vedotin treatment was selected for bridging to autologous stem cell transplantation (Figure 4).



Figure 4: 18F-FDG PET/CT scan after gemcitabine-irinotecan treatment A) MIP images, B) splenic lesion (white arrows).

The patient was re-evaluated with 18F-FDG PET/ CT after autologous stem cell transplantation and complete remission status was observed.

Two years later, the patient was re-scanned with 18F-FDG PET/CT for the newly developed lymphadenomegalies detected during follow up examination. Subtle metabolic activity was observed in the cervical region, suggesting an inflammatory condition (Figure 5).





Figure 5: 18F-FDG PET/CT scan 2 years after transplantation A) MIP images, B) right deep jugular lymph node, C) left submandibular lymph node, D) focal patellar uptake (white arrows).

In 2016, the patient was re-scanned with 18F-FDG PET/CT. FDG uptake in the bilateral jugular, left submandibular, right posterior triangle lymph nodes and bilateral external–internal iliac lymph nodes were observed and regarded as inflammatory (Figure 6).

He was followed up frequently with physical examinations, biochemistry tests, and ultrasonography; considering he was on remission.



Figure 6: Latest 18F-FDG PET/CT scan A) MIP images, B-C-D-E) multiple lymph nodes, F) focal patellar uptake (white arrows).

DISCUSSION

This case is an example of the utility of PET/CT in HL. In this case, we showed that PET/CT is a highly sensitive evaluation tool in HL combined with clinical assessment.

In our case, post-treatment PET/CT showed that the patient did not response to the first-line therapy. After that the patient has gone under second-line therapy and autologous stem cell transplantation. The patient was re-evaluated with 18 F-FDG PET/CT and complete remission status was observed. As we know from the Hodgkin Lymphoma Diagnosis and Treatment Guide, Turkish Hematology Association; PET/CT leads us to choose the appropriate therapy regimen (2).

PET/CT is widely used for treatment evaluation, detecting recurrence and relapses (10). It can also be used for the follow-up period, if there is any suspicion of the recurrence.

The importance of PET/CT in the assessment of lymphoma has increased significantly. Clinicians should acknowledge the advancement of PET/CT and its value in the assessment of lymphoma.

Ethics Committee Approval: N/A

Informed Consent: Informed consents was obtained from the patient for this study.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Author contributions: Concept: GDA, Supervision: BÖ, Materials: GDA, Data collection and/or processing: BÖ, Analysis and/or Interpretation: GA, Literature Search: GA, Writing Manuscript: BÖ, Critical Review: GA.

Financial disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

REFERENCES

 Vinay K, Abbas AK, Jon C. Robbins Temel Patoloji. In: Sıtkı T, Mine G, Uğur Ç. 9.Basım. İstanbul: Nobel Tıp Kitabevi; 2013.p.440-4.
Turkish Hematology Association. Hodgkin lymphoma diagnosis and treatment guide. (cited 2019 June 3). Available from: URL:http://www.thd.org.tr/thdData/userfiles/file/hodgkin_lenfoma_tedavi_rehberi.pdf.

3. Sanem ŞM, Metin H, Kerim S et al. Importance of the imaging protocol of FDG PET/CT in lymphomas. Turk J Nucl Med 2007;16:69-72.

4. Gobbi PG, Ghirardelli ML, Solcia M et al. Image-aided estimate of tumor burden in Hodgkin's disease: evidence of its primary prognostic importance. J Clin Oncol 2001;19(5):1388-94.

5. Barrington S, Kluge R. FDG PET for therapy monitoring in Hodgkin and non-Hodgkin lymphomas. Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging 2017;44(1):97–110.





6. Moog F, Bangerter M, Diederichs CG et al. Extranodal malignant lymphoma: detection with FDG PET versus CT. Radiology 1998;206:475-81.

7. Sasaki M, Kuwabara Y, Koga H et al. Clinical impact of whole-body FDG-PET on the staging and therapeutic decision making for malignant lymphoma. Ann Nucl Med 2002;16:337-45.

8. Kurt M, Çetintaş S, Öztürk A. The role of positron emission tomography (PET) use in hodgkin lymphoma follow-up. Uludağ Üniversitesi Tip Fakültesi Dergisi 2007;33:51-4.

9. Subocz E, Halka J, Dziuk M. The role of FDG-PET in Hodgkin lymphoma. Contemp Oncol (Pozn) 2017;21(2):104-14.

10. İlknur A. Lenfomaların takip ve tedavisinde F-18 FDG PET'in yeri. Türk Hematoloji Derneği (online) 2006 May. Available from: URL:http://www.thd.org.tr/thdData/userfiles/file/14_05_2006_ilk-nur_ak_12-55_13-15.pdf January 2019.

11. Cheson BD, Pfistner B et al. Revised response criteria for malignant lymphoma. J Clin Oncol 2007;25(5):579-86.



GASTROINTESTINAL TRACT MULTIPLE LYMPHOMATOUS POLYPOSIS PRESENTED AS MANTLE CELL LYMPHOMA

Fatih Erkan Akay¹, Nuray Can², Mert Cezik², Hakkı Onur Kırkızlar³, Fatma Selin Soyluoğlu⁴, Fulya Öz Puyan²

¹Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY ²Department of Pathology, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY ³Division of Hematology, Department of Internal Medicine, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY ⁴Department of Nuclear Medicine, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY

ABSTRACT

Aims: Mantle cell lymphoma is a mature B cell non-Hodgkin lymphoma which may be presented with the involvement of the gastrointestinal tract as multiple lymphomatous polyposis. The aim of this case report is to increase the awareness of including lymphomatoid polyposis as an entity in the differential diagnosis of multiple polyposis of the gastrointestinal tract. *Case Report:* A 69-year-old male patient was admitted to the Trakya University Emergency Department with acute abdominal pain. His clinical findings were anorexia that started 3-4 months ago together with constipation and nausea causing him to lose 10-15 kg in 7-8 months, with denial of other parameters of B-symptoms (fevers and night sweats). Endoscopic biopsies that were taken from bulbus and duodenum were investigated and he was diagnosed with mantle cell lymphoma. The patient went through an ileoce-cal resection due to his intussusception that caused abdominal pain in the first place. *Conclusion:* Although being an infrequent disease, gastrointestinal lymphomatoid polyposis should be an entity comprised in differential diagnosis for multiple polyposis of the gastrointestinal tract. On the other hand, there is still not a therapeutic protocol with a definitive cure for gastrointestinal tract mantle cell lymphoma. Elderly patients in high risk group such as our patient should be given treatment by taking their conditions into consideration. *Keywords:* Mantle cell lymphoma, polyp, non-Hodgkin lymphoma

INTRODUCTION

Within large group non-hodgkin lymphomas (NHL) mantle cell lymphoma (MCL) is an infrequent type of B-cell NHL in the World Health Organization (WHO) classification, accounting for nearly 5–10% of all lymphoid malignancies (1). Primarily occurring in elderly males with a mean age of 65-75 years. MCL has an incidence of 2–3/100.000 per year (1).

Most patients are diagnosed with advanced disease (Ann Arbor stage III/IV) presenting with hepatosplenomegaly, extensive lymphadenopathy, and bone marrow involvement, with some additionally having extranodal involvements including the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, lacrimal glands, central nervous system and skin (2-6). Patients can also exhibit pancytopenia or have a leukemic presentation with massive leukocytosis (4). Overall, GI tract involvement is the most prevalent extranodal involvement among all lymphomas (5). Mucosal involvement of the small bowel or colon displays itself as a polyp-like lesion (lymphomatoid polyposis) (5, 6). Gastrointestinal polyposis, found in up to 10% of cases, comprising a condition such as multiple lymphomatous polyposis (MLP) is an entity defined by the existence of multiple GI polypoid lesions featured in several segments of the digestive tract (7, 8). This case report presents multiple polypoid involvements of the bulbus, ileum, and caecum by aiming to raise awareness of including lymphomatoid polyposis as an entity in the differential diagnosis of multiple polyposis of the GI tract.

Address for Correspondence: Fatih Erkan Akay, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY e-mail: erkanfatih48@gmail.com ORCID: 0000-0001-7598-1016 Received: 22.09.2019 Accepted: 23.09.2019 • DOI: 10.4274/tmsj.galenos.2019.06.03.04 Available at: tmsj.trakya.edu.tr



Cite this article as: Akay FE, Can N, Cezik M et al. Gastrointestinal tract multiple lymphomatous polyposis presented as mantle cell lymphoma. Turkish Med Stud J 2019;6(3):80-4.

CASE REPORT



CASE REPORT

A 69-year-old male patient was admitted to the Trakya University Emergency Department with acute abdominal pain. He had a history of anorexia that started 3-4 months ago together with constipation and nausea causing him to lose 10-15 kg (more than 10% of total body weight) in 7-8 months. However, he did not have other B symptoms such as fevers and night sweats. The patient also had a medical history of systemic lupus erythematosus and hypertension. He was a 40 pack-year smoker and an occasional consumer of alcohol. He was later administered to General Surgery Department with a pre-diagnosis of intussusception.

On his abdominal magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) he had bilateral pleural effusion, ascites and multiple nodular infiltrations in his spleen and liver. Starting from the antropyloric region of the stomach extending to the first part of the duodenum, a mass lesion forming a prominent expansion in the posterior region was observed. Intussusception and proximal obstruction at the terminal ileum level were observed in the right lateral side of the abdomen. The patient also had a positron emission tomography (PET/CT), revealing extensive lymph node adenopathy throughout his whole body and had a diffuse heterogeneous uptake in his skeletal system, being more in the proximal bilateral humerus (Figure 1, 2).



Figure 1: Coronal fused F-18 FDG PET/CT image showing increased FDG uptake throughout the thickened distal gastric wall, in right inferior cervical, bilateral mediastinal and abdominal lymph nodes.



Figure 2: Whole- body MIP (maximum intensity projection) image demonstrating increased FDG uptake in cervical, abdominal and mediastinal lymph nodes, stomach, duodenum, spleen and heterogeneous uptake in the bone marrow.

Endoscopic biopsies that were taken from bulbus and duodenum were investigated by the Pathology department and the patient was diagnosed with MCL. At the same time, the patient urgently went through an ileocecal resection due to his intussusception. Endoscopic samples and resection specimens revealed diffuse infiltration of the intestinal wall by MCL (Figure 3). Immunohistochemical analysis showed positive staining for CD5, CD20, Sox11, and Cyclin D1 and negative for CD10, CD23, blc6, and p53; 70% of tumor cells were found to be positive for Ki-67 (Figure 4).

After his diagnosis, he was directed to Hematology Clinic for his treatment. On account of his clinical staging, bone marrow biopsy was performed and revealed an infiltration of MCL as well. Bone marrow biopsy revealed minimal involvement of MCL with a less than 10% positive staining of Cyclin D1 and SOX-11. Patient's MCL International Prognostic Index (MIPI) score was 7.7, which places him into a high-risk category (9, 10). According to local and international guidelines, his treatment was planned with autologous stem cell transplantation (ASCT) and high dose chemotherapy. The patient's Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status was 3-4 and cardiac status was low to apply an anthracycline





based high dose therapy (11).Due to his performance and cardiac status, the patient received rituximab, cyclophosphamide, vincristine, and prednisone (R-CVP) chemoimmunotherapy. It was planned for him to undergo at least three cycles of chemoimmunotherapy with a re-staged evaluation using PET/CT.



Figure 3: Lymphoid infiltration of tumor cells beneath intestinal mucosa(A: Hematoxyline & eosin stain, x40, B: Hematoxyline & eosin stain, x100), transition zone (C: Hematoxyline & eosin stain, x40).

Figure 4: Diffuse positive staining of CD20 (A: Hematoxyline & eosin stain, x40), diffuse positive staining of SOX-11 (B: x40), negative staining of CD23 (C: x40).



Multiple lymphomatous polyposis was described by the appearance of diffuse proliferation of atypical lymphocytes accompanied by multiple polypoid lesions throughout GI sites first depicted by Cornes et al. (8) in 1961. The involvement of the GI tract remains ambiguous; however, it has been put forward to be associated with the manifestation of adhesion molecules such as the mucosal homing receptor $\alpha 4\beta 7$ (12, 13).

As the name indicates, malignant cells closely resemble B cells that normally surround the germinal center of the lymph node creating the mantle zone. The molecular pathogenesis is resulting from the chromosomal translocation t(11;14)(q13;q32) catalyzing deregulation of the Bcl-1 oncogene on chromosome 11, causing overexpression of cyclin D1 (13). Immunohistochemistry is used for differentiating MLP from other NHL, in which malignant cells usually express positivity for CD20, CD5 and Cyclin D1 while being negative for CD3, CD10 and Bcl6. Immunohistochemical analysis of our patient showed positive staining for CD5, CD20, Sox11 and Cyclin D1 whereas negative for CD10, CD23, bcl6 and p53.

Having a heterogeneous clinical picture, the most prevalent symptoms of GI MCL are abdominal pain, hematochezia, and diarrhea (5,6). It should be noted that our patient was admitted to the hospital with acute abdominal pain. The differential diagnosis for GI MCL includes hereditary polyposis syndromes adenomatous polyps, colorectal carcinoma, lymphoid nodular hyperplasia, GI lipomatosis, with hypogammaglobulinemia, among others (8). Mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma may present as multiple but has lymphoepithelial lesions and is negative for CD5 and cyclin D1.

International prognostic index risk score for mantle cell lymphoma is currently practiced evaluating patients' prognosis, which encompasses the factors of age, leukocyte count, lactate dehydrogenase level (LDH), performance status, and the percentage of Ki-67 dividing patients into high, intermediate, and low-risk categories (15, 16). Our patient was in the high-risk group with a poor prognosis due to his Ki-67 proliferation index of 70% and MIPI of 7.7. Unfortunately, most patients up to 70% are diagnosed at stage IV, with a 29-month survival (10). MCL reported overall survival rate is 3-4 years (10).

The present medical method is primarily based on the patient's clinical risk factors, stage of disease and symptoms. Our patient fits the category of elderly or physically unfit patients who are mainly autologous stem-cell transplant-ineligible. Even though the 'gold standard' therapy for young and fit patients (transplant eligible and <66 years) is a high dose of chemoimmunotherapy accompanied by ASCT, for the elderly patients ineligible for ASCT, conventional chemoimmunotherapy (e.g. R- CHOP) supported by rituximab, seems to be the 'gold standard' (10). Other agents such as Bendamustine, combined with Rituximab (BR) were also used in elderly group patients, however, one study displays a higher incidence of second cancer in the BR group (19%) than the R-CHOP group (11%) (16). Different combinations with agent lenalidomide have been also tested (16, 17). Owing to our patients' low cardiac status he was administered a 3-cycle R-CVP chemoimmunotherapy with a re-staged evaluation using PET/ CT.

In conclusion, albeit being an infrequent disease, GI lymphomatoid polyposis should be an entity comprised in the differential diagnosis for multiple polyposis of the GI. On the other hand, there is still not a therapeutic protocol with a definitive cure for GI tract MCL. Elderly patients in high risk group such as our patient should be given treatment by taking their conditions into consideration.

Ethics Committee Approval: N/A

Informed Consent: Informed consents was obtained from the patient fort his study.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Author contributions: Concept: FEA, OPF, KHO. Design: FEA, OPF, KHO. Supervision: FEA, OPF, KHO. Resources: FEA, FOP, HOK, NC, MC, FSS. Materials: FEA, FOP, HOK, NC, MC, FSS. Data collection and/or processing: FEA, FOP, HOK, NC, MC, FSS. Analysis and/or Interpretation: FEA, FOP, HOK, NC, MC, FSS. Literature Search: FEA, FOP, HOK, NC, MC, FSS. Writing Manuscript: FEA, FOP, HOK. Critical Review: FEA, FOP, HOK, NC.

Financial disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

Editor-in-chief's Note: One of the authors of this article, Fatih Erkan Akay is a member of the editorial board of Turkish Medical Student Journal. However, he did not take place in any stage on the editorial decision of the manuscript. The editors who evaluated this manuscript are from another institutions.

REFERENCES

1. Swerdlow SH, Campo E, Harris NL et al. WHO classification of tumours of haematopoietic and lymphoid tissues. Blood 2011;117:5019–32.

2. Freeman C, Berg JW, Cutler SJ. Occurrence and prognosis of extranodal lymphomas. Cancer 1972;29:252–60.





3. Cheah CY, George A, Gine E et al. Central nervous system involvement in mantle cell lymphoma: clinical features, prognostic factors and outcomes from the European Mantle Cell Lymphoma Network. Ann Oncol 2013;24:2119-23.

 Ferrer A, Salaverria I, Bosch F et al. Leukemic involvements is a common feature in mantle cell lymphoma. Cancer 2007;109:2473-80.
Romaguera JE, Mederious LJ, Hagemeister FB et al. Frequency of gastrointestinal involvement and its clinical significance in mantle cell lymphoma. Cancer 2003;97:586-91.

 Ruskone-Fourmestraux A, Delmer A, Lavergne A et al. Multiple lymphomatous polyposis of the gastrointestinal tract: prospective clinicopathologic study of 31 cases. Gastroenterology 1997;112:7-16.
Dawsey SP, Gregory JA, Brwon AW et al. Asymptomatic multiple lymphomatous polyposis identified during staging bidirectional endoscopy of mantle cell lymphoma. Case Rep Oncol 2016;9(3):661–5.
Cornes JS. Multiple lymphomatous polyposis of the gastrointestinal tract. Cancer 1961;14:249–57.

9. Carbone PP, Kaplan HS, Musshoff K et al. Report of the committee on Hodgkin's disease staging classification. Cancer Res 1971;31:1860-1.

10. Hoster E, Dreyling M, Klapper W et al. A new prognostic index (MIPI) for patients with advanced-stage mantle cell lymphoma. Blood 2008;111:558–65.

11. Oken MM, Creech RH, Tormey DC et al. Toxicity And Response Criteria Of The Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group. Am J Clin Oncol 1982;5:649-55.

12. Drillenburg P, Van der Voort R, Koopman G et al. Preferential expression of the mucosal homing receptor integrin alpha 4 beta 7 in gastrointestinal non-Hodgkin's lymphomas. Am J Pathol 1997;150:919–27.

13. Geissmann F, Ruskoné-Fourmestraux A, Hermine O et al. Homing receptor alpha 4 beta 7 integrin expression predicts digestive tract involvement in mantle cell lymphoma. Am J Pathol 1998;153:1701–5.

14. Vogt N, Dai B, Erdmann T et al. The molecular pathogenesis of mantle cell lymphoma. Leuk Lymphoma 2017;58(7):1530-7.

15. Geisler CH, Kolstad A, Laurell A et al. Long-term progression-free survival of mantle cell lymphoma after intensive front-line immunochemotherapy with in vivo-purged stem cell rescue: a nonrandomized phase 2 multicenter study by the Nordic Lymphoma Group. Blood 2008;112:2687-93.

16. Albertsson-Lindblad A, Kolstad A, Laurell A et al. Lenalidomide-bendamustine-rituximab in patients older than 65 years with untreated mantle cell lymphoma. Blood 2016;128:1814-20.

17. Gressin R, Daguindau N, Tempescul A et al. A phase 2 study of rituximab, bendamustine, bortezomib and dexamethasone for first-line treatment of older patients with mantle cell lymphoma. Haematologica 2019;104:138-46.



VANILLIN: IS IT JUST AN AROMATIC OR A CURE FOR CANCER?

Arda Ulaş Mutlu¹, Martin Kanev², Büşra Diler Zenginer³, İlker Dıbırdık³

¹Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY ²Department of Biotechnology and Genetics, Trakya University Institute of Science, Edirne, TURKEY ³Department of Medical Biochemistry, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY

ABSTRACT

Abstract: Cancer is one of the most challenging diseases mankind has confronted, and it is listed as the second most common reason of death according to the World Health Organization. Its damage to global economy is valued trillions of dollars and it is increasing day by day. This literature review is aiming to reflect on vanillin's anticancer potential, a natural chemical being used in different industrial areas. Beside flavour, it is a powerful antioxidant and a strong antimutagenic. Oxidative stress and mutations are two major reasons for carcinogenesis. Therefore, the cancer prevention and/or therapeutic potential of vanillin is being investigated. Many studies using different cell lines have noted that vanillin had positive effects on cancer. *Keywords:* Cancer, reactive oxygen species, oxidative stress, vanillin

INTRODUCTION

Heretofore, a wide range of organic and inorganic chemicals have been searched to observe their antioxidant effects for cells and antimutagenic potential against the cancer tissue. Among these chemicals, vanillin is an outstanding one that has been researched since the late '80s. Vanillin, 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde, has always been an economically important flavor of daily lives (Figure 1). It is being used in a wide scale of products, from drinks to perfumes; with different aims to heal wounds, or to increase physical performance since the 14th century. Until the late '80s, vanillin was not a center of focus as a chemical of modern medicine and oncological pharmacology. A study by Ohta et al. (1) changed the perspective to vanillin rapidly. In this study, vanillin's antioxidant effects, as well as antimicrobial and anti-inflammatory potential, were mentioned and lead up to new clinical usages of vanilin (2-4). Since the publication of the forenamed article, vanillin has influenced cancer research and treatment modalities.



Figure 1: Chemical structure of 4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde.

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) data, in 2018 alone, 18.1 million people were diagnosed with cancer and 9.9 million people died of this disease. While the global cancer bill was estimated to rise to \$1.5 trillion in 2018, the United States made the most cancer related spendings with \$90 billion (5).

Address for Correspondence: Arda Ulaş Mutlu, Trakya University School of Medicine, Edirne, TURKEY e-mail: ardamutlu.1999@gmail.com ORCID: orcid.org/0000-0001-7499-7155 Received: 01.09.2019 Accepted: 02.10.2019 • DOI: 10.4274/tmsj.galenos.2019.06.03.05 Available at: tmsj.trakya.edu.tr



Cite this article as: Mutlu AU, Kanev M, Zenginer BD et al. Vanillin: is it just an aromatic or a cure for cancer? Turkish Med Stud J 2019;6(3):85-9.



The formation of normal cells into tumor cells in multiple steps causes cancer. Various genetic and epigenetic related factors such as mutation, chromosome aberration, nuclear exchange, and exogenic stress factors like tobacco and/or alcohol use, radiation can start this formation (5, 6). Most of the stages causing this formation are still unknown, but studies have shown that reactive oxygen species (ROS), namely hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), hydroxyl radical (HO•) and superoxide anion (O2-) have a major role in cancer's exhibition, also known as malignancy (7). ROS are produced as endogens in every cell as the result of mitochondrial oxidative metabolism and each cell has its compensation limit called "ROS scavenging capacity". In the case of exceeding this limit, the cellular antioxidant defense system remains incapable and that leads to cellular oxidative stress. As a result, chemical stress factors can damage mitochondrial deoxyribonucleic acid (mtDNA) and nuclear deoxyribonucleic acid (nuclear DNA). It can also cause lipid peroxidation, and reversible or irreversible modifications of cellular proteins. It is well known that mtDNA is more sensitive than nuclear DNA to oxidative conditions (8). However, the effect of mtDNA mutation on the development of pseudo-hypoxic conditions and creation of metastatic potential have not been explained thoroughly yet (9). The latest studies demonstrated that abnormality in citric acid (Krebs) cycle, which creates more ROS than the ROS-suppressing machinery can compensate, is originated from nuclear DNA mutation, not mtDNA mutation. This mutation pushes the cell to work like, there is a hypoxic environment even in normal conditions, and this process controls the tumor's aggressiveness (10).

REACTIVE OXYGEN SPECIES AND THEIR ROLES IN CANCER DEVELOPMENT

Reactive oxygen species are highly reactive molecules, usually produced by the aerobic respiratory system in all aerobic organisms. Molecular oxygen (O2), the terminal receiver of electron transport chain (ETC), is an unreactive molecule compared to ROS. ROS definition includes different kinds of radicals, also known as free radicals, and described as molecules which have unpaired electrons (11). Hydrogen peroxide (H2O2), hydroxyl radical (HO•) and superoxide anion (O2–) are the examples of ROS molecules produced by aerobic respiratory mechanisms (12). Aerobic organisms use mitochondria, ETC to produce enough adenosine triphosphate (ATP) to maintain vital activities. In this aerobic process, electrons pass through different complexes until they reach O2, but electron leakage results in excessive O2- production (13). In addition to the aerobic mechanism and nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide phosphate oxidases (NOX), which are the two major endogenous ROS sources, different ROS sources can also cause ROS activities in organism (14). Exergonic sources such as ionizing radiation, drugs, lipoxygenases, cytochrome p450, peroxisome, and inflammatory cells are the additional ROS sources to the aerobic respiratory system (15).

It is well known that ROS and cellular redox changes have major roles in the development of carcinogenesis and various diseases since they have been held responsible for having a significant impact on hemostatic cellular signaling pathways (16). H2O2, one of the major ROS molecules, is a fundamental component of epidermal growth factor, angiotensin II and platelet-derived growth factor as intracellular messengers. Messenger effects of H2O2 were noticed with specific inhibition of it in A431 human epidermoid carcinoma cells (17). ROS molecules' production in high levels and oxidative stress in the cells are defined as characteristic features of the carcinoma with in vivo and in vitro studies (12, 15, 16). Hypoxia starts the butterfly effect. Butterfly effect defines major changes caused by very small changes at the beginning of the situation. This butterfly effect continues with macrophage infiltration into the tumor and followed by an oxidative upsurge in the damaged vascular tissue at the reperfusion phase (18).

In 1970, Cameron et al. (19, 20) have published two studies about antioxidants' effects on cancer. He substantiated this relation with applying intravenous ascorbic acid, an important antioxidant, in patients with terminal period cancer, and noted clinical improvement. Sabharwal et al. (21) also pointed out that, ROS promote cancer cells' proliferation and survival in rat models and cell lines. Therefore, if ROS are causing carcinogenesis, it should be prevented by antioxidants. However, some studies have turned the tables. In these studies, dietary supplemented antioxidant, N-acetylcysteine (NAC), has accelerated the tumorigenesis and mortality in v-raf murine sarcoma viral oncogene homolog BV600E or Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene G12D induced lung cancer model in mice (22). NAC has also accelerated tumorigenesis in melanoma human xenografts (23). Different antioxidants have been tried in different cancer types such as colorectal, prostate, lung, head and neck, but it was noted that applied antioxidants like ß-carotene, NAC, vitamin A or ascorbic acid have not caused progress in treatment of these cancer types, on the contrary accelerated the mortality in used cancer models (24).

VANILLIN

Vanillin (4-hydroxy-3-methoxybenzaldehyde) is a natural aromatic used in various industrial areas like food, perfumes, and medicines. It grows in the pods of Vanilla planifolia (25, 26). Vanillin is one of the most studied antimutagenic and antioxidant chemicals over the past three decades, thanks to the study of Ohta et al. (1). Vanillin has also shown antimutagenic effects on X-ray, ultraviolet light, methylmethane sulphonate and mitomycin C induced mutations in mammalian cells (27). Although antimutagenic effects have been shown in studies, this effect does not always occur. On N-methyl-N'-nitro-N-nitrosoguanidine induced mutations, vanillin has shown no significant antimutagenic effects (28). This contradiction is based on different repair pathways to overcome different mutagenic effects. For example, ROS scavenging and non-homologous end joining, and recA-dependent recombination repair enhancement is shown as one of them (29).

Besides vanillin's effective antimutagenic ability, its antioxidant abilities are not outstanding. It has weak O2- scavenging activity, and also its effect on lipid peroxidation has been undefined (30). In different studies, different assays were used to control the antioxidant effects of vanillin but some specific assays showed negative results whilst some showed positive. It has shown negative results in 1,1-diphenyl-2-picrylhydrazyl radical scavenging, carotene decolorization, and cholesterol oxidation and linoleic acid assays (31). However, its lipid peroxidation and protein oxidation inhibiting activities against the HO• in rat liver were positive (32). It also showed strong antioxidant activity against peroxynitrite-mediated reactions, and inhibited DNA-dependent protein kinase of cancer cells (33).

VANILLIN AND CANCER

Reactive oxygen species' effects on cancer formation was discussed in detail before. It is known that ROS and genetic mutations are the main reasons for cancer formation. Since vanillin has the antioxidant ability in suitable conditions and an efficient antimutagenic ability, its anticarcinogenic and anti-metastatic abilities were an area of interest. Different cell lines have been used and different parameters have been researched.

Many organisms have developed different mechanisms to survive from hypoxia and the hypoxia-inducible factor 1 (HIF-1) gene is one of these mechanisms. This gene can interact with transcription factors and enzymes to control tissue development and vascularity (34). This adaptation to hypoxia is also used by the



tumor microenvironment and accelerates the tumor's development. Park et al. (35) used A2058 and A375 malignant melanoma cells and investigated the effects of vanillin. They have noted that vanillin has no significant effects on cell viability under hypoxic conditions. However, vanillin has had a major effect on HIF-1 α metabolism. It has suppressed HIF-1 α accumulation due to hypoxia. Whilst inhibiting the HIF-1 α , no A2058 and A375 cytotoxic effects have noted. It suppresses the HIF-1 α accumulation pathway in the nucleus and also decreases HIF-1 α protein levels, not only transcriptional factors (35).

Lirdprapamongkol et al. (36) have noted the positive effects of vanillin on tumor growth and metastasis suppression by tamoxifen comparison. Tamoxifen is a highly effective chemotherapeutic used to prevent or treat breast cancer. It binds to the estrogen receptor and prevents mammalian cell's proliferation by a complicated mechanism (37). At 4T1 cell line used in vivo studies, vanillin has decreased the number of tumor colonies in the lungs whilst tamoxifen has shown no effect (36). Despite vanillin's positive result at fighting with metastasis, vanillin and tamoxifen have not shown any significant effect on primer tumor growth (37).

A colon cancer cell line, HCT-116 carries a mutation in KRAS proto-oncogene. King et al. (38) have reported the positive effect of vanillin at repairing various mutations in the HCT-116 cell line. Furthermore, study of the Ho et al. (2) confirmed this profound anti-mutagenic effect of vanillin on human colon cancer. HT-29 is also a human colon adenocarcinoma cell line (39). Ho et al. (2) noted that vanillin against HT-29 cells showed cytolytic and cytostatic effects. Vanillin's half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) was 400 µg/ml against HT-29 cells (2).

DISCUSSION

Many different therapies have been tried to cure cancer. The combined procedure of surgery, chemotherapy, and radiotherapy is the standard procedure of cancer treatment, but 5-year and 10-year mortality rates are showing us that this standard procedure and different drug therapies are not enough to fight against cancer. To increase the success rate, different therapy methods have been developed. For this aim, multiple plants and their products have been tried with different chemotherapeutics. The potential synergistic effects and decreasing toxicity against the healthy cells of these molecules with drugs are the most important parameters in these researches. Vanillin, the focal point of



this literature review, is not a major molecule in cancer research area but previous studies, which have a small part in cancer studies, have promising results. Its anti-oxidant and antimutagenic effects were studied and exemplified with different studies from the literature. Even the lack of up-to-date studies was a major problem while writing this review, cited articles were briefly showing the aforementioned positive effects against carcinogenesis.

CONCLUSION

Vanillin is a promising molecule with its antioxidant and antimutagenic abilities. In the rapidly developing cancer research area, vanillin's effects with other drugs or alone should be researched and new studies should be made to expose the vanillin's potential against carcinogenesis.

Ethics Committee Approval: N/A

Informed Consent: N/A

Conflict of Interest: The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Author contributions: Concept: AUM, MK. Supervision: AUM, MK, BDZ, İD. Resources: AUM, MK, BDZ, İD. Materials: AUM, MK, BDZ. Data collection and/or processing: AUM, MK, BDZ. Analysis and/or Interpretation: AUM, MK, BDZ. Literature Search: AUM, MK, BDZ, İD. Writing Manuscript: AUM, MK. Critical Review: MK, BDZ, İD.

Financial disclosure: The authors declared that this study received no financial support.

Editor-in-chief's Note: One of the authors of this article, Arda Ulaş Mutlu is a member of the editorial board of Turkish Medical Student Journal. However, he did not take place in any stage on the editorial decision of the manuscript. The editors who evaluated this manuscript are from other institutions.

REFERENCES

1. Ohta T, Watanabe M, Watanabe K et al. Inhibitory effects of flavorings on mutagenesis induced by chemicals in bacteria. Food Chem Toxicol 1986;24:51–4.

2. Ho K, Yazan LS, Ismail N et al. Apoptosis and cell cycle arrest of human colorectal cancer cell line HT-29 induced by vanillin. Cancer Epidemiology 2009;33:155–60.

3. Bythrow JD. Vanilla as a medicinal plant. Semin Integr Med 2005;3:129-31.

4. Raffai G, Khang G, Vanhoutte PM. Vanillin and vanillin analogs relax porcine coronary and basilar arteries by inhibiting L-type Ca21 channels. J Pharmacol Exp Ther 2015;352(1):14–22.

5. World Health Organization. Cancer (serial online) 2018 Sep (cited 2019 August 2). Available from: URL:https://www.who.int/news-ro-om/fact-sheets/detail/cancer.

6. World Health Organization. World cancer report 2014 (serial online) 2014 (cited 2019 August 2). Available from: URL: https://www. drugsandalcohol.ie/28525/1/World%20Cancer%20Report.pdf.

7. Durand N, Storz P. Targeting reactive oxygen species in development and progression of pancreatic cancer. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 2017;17(1):19–31.

8. Allen JA, Coombs MM. Covalent binding of polycyclic aromatic compounds to mitochondrial and nuclear DNA. Nature 1980;287(5779):244-5.

9. Augenlicht LH, Heerdt B. Mitochondria: integrators in tumorigenesis?. Nat Gen 2001;28:104-5.

10. Baysal BE, Ferrell RE, Willett-Brozick JE et al. Mutations in SDHD, a mitochondrial complex II gene, in hereditary paraganglioma. Science 2000;287(5454):848-51.

11. Walling CT. Radical. Encyclopædia Britannica (serial online) 1998 July (cited 2019 August 4). Available from: URL:https://www. britannica.com/science/radical-chemistry.

12. Wiseman H, Halliwell B. Damage to DNA by reactive oxygen and nitrogen species: role in inflammatory disease and progression to cancer. Biochem J 1996;313:17–29.

13. Fridovich, I. Superoxide anion radical (O2-.), superoxide dismutases, and related matters. J Biol Chem 1997;272(30):18515–7.

14. Kröller-Schön S, Steven S, Kossmann S et al. Molecular mechanisms of the crosstalk between mitochondria and NADPH oxidase through reactive oxygen species-studies in white blood cells and in animal models. Antioxid Redox Signal 2014;20(2):247-66.

 Klaunig JE, Xu Y, Isenberg JS et al. The role of oxidative stress in chemical carcinogenesis. Environ Health Perspect 1998;106:289–95.
Cross CE, Halliwell B, Borish ET et al. Oxygen radicals and human disease. Ann Intern Med 1987;107(4):526-45.

17. Bae YS, Kang SW, Seo MS et al. Epidermal growth factor (EGF)-induced generation of hydrogen peroxide. Role in EGF receptor-mediated tyrosine phosphorylation. J Biol Chem 1997;272:217–21.

18. Szatrowski TP, Nathan CF. Production of large amounts of hydrogen peroxide by human tumor cells. Cancer Res 1991;51:794–8.

19. Cameron E, Pauling L. Supplemental ascorbate in the supportive treatment of cancer: prolongation of survival times in terminal human cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1976;73(10):3685–9.

20. Cameron E, Pauling L. Supplemental ascorbate in the supportive treatment of cancer: reevaluation of prolongation of survival times in terminal human cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1978;75(9):4538–42.

21. Sabharwal SS, Schumacker PT. Mitochondrial ROS in cancer: initiators, amplifiers or an Achilles' heel? Nat Rev Cancer 2014;14(11):709–21.

22. Sayin VI, Ibrahim MX, Larsson E et al. Antioxidants accelerate lung cancer progression in mice. Sci Transl Med 2014;29(6):221ra215.



23. Piskounova E, Agathocleous M, Murphy MM et al. Oxidative stress inhibits distant metastasis by human melanoma cells. Nature 2015;527(7577):186-91.

24. Goodman GE, Thornquist MD, Balmes J et al. The beta-carotene and retinol efficacy trial: incidence of lung cancer and cardiovascular disease mortality during 6-year follow-up after stopping beta-carotene and retinol supplements. J Natl Cancer Inst 2004;96(23):1743–50. 25. Ali HS, Abdullah AA, Pınar PT et al. Simultaneous voltammetric determination of vanillin and caffeine in food products using an anodically pretreated boron-doped diamond electrode: its comparison with HPLC- DAD. Talanta 2017;170:384-91.

26. Manach C, Scalbert A, Morand C et al. Polyphenols: food sources and bioavailability. Am J Clin Nutr 2004;79(5):727-47.

27. Imanishi H, Sasaki YF, Matsumoto K et al. Suppression of 6-TG-resistant mutations in V79 cells and recessive spot formations in mice by vanillin. Mutat Res 1990;243(2):151-8.

28. Kuroda Y, Inoue T. Antimutagenesis by factors affecting DNA repair in bacteria. Mutat Res 1988;202:387–91.

29. Ohta T, Watanabe M, Shirasu Y et al. Post-replication repair and recombination in uvrA umuC strains of Escherichia coli are enhanced by vanillin, an antimutagenic compound. Mutat Res 1988;201:107–12.

30. Zhou YC, Zheng RL. Phenolic compounds and an analog as superoxide anion scavengers and antioxidants. Biochem Pharmacol 1991;42(6):1177-9.

31. Santosh Kumar S, Priyadarsini KI, Sainis KB. Free radical scavenging activity of vanillin and o-vanillin using 1,1-diphenyl-2-pic-rylhydrazyl (DPPH) radical. Redox Rep 2002;7(1):35-40.

32. Kamat JP, Ghosh A, Devasagayam TP. Vanillin as an antioxidant in rat liver mitochondria: inhibition of protein oxidation and lipid peroxidation induced by photosensitization. Mol Cell Biochem 2000;209(1-2):47-53.

33. Kumar SS, Priyadarsini KI, Sainis KB. Inhibition of peroxynitrite-mediated reactions by vanillin. J Agric Food Chem 2004;52(1):139-45.

34. Carmeliet P, Dor Y, Herbert JM et al. Role of HIF-1α in hypoxia-mediated apoptosis, cell proliferation and tumor angiogenesis. Nature 1998;394:485-90.

35. Park EJ, Lee YM, Oh TI et al. Vanillin suppresses cell motility by inhibiting STAT3-mediated HIF-1α mRNA expression in malignant melanoma cells. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18(3):532.

36. Lirdprapamongkol K, Sakurai H, Kawasaki N et al. Vanillin suppresses in vitro invasion and in vivo metastasis of mouse breast cancer cells. Eur J Pharm Sci 2005;25(1):57-65.

37. Fugh-Berman A, Epstein S. Tamoxifen: disease prevention or disease substitution?. Lancet 1992;340:1143–5.

38. King AA, Shaughnessy DT, Mure K et al. Antimutagenicity of cinnamaldehyde and vanillin in human cells, global gene expression and possible role of DNA damage and repair. Mutat Res 2007;616:60–9.

39. Martínez-Maqueda D, Miralles B, Recio I. HT29 cell line. In: Verhoeckx K, Cotter P, López-Expósito I, et al., editors. The Impact of Food Bioactives on Health. Cham:Springer; 2015.p.113-4.



RETRACTIONS & ERRATA

Date: 2019, October

Errata

In the article by Mutlu et al., entitled "A Newborn With Esophageal Atresia, Tracheoesophageal Fistula And Feeding Problems" (Turkish Med Stud J 2019:6(2);60-3.) Figure 1 was reflected on the y axis by mistake and caused a misunderstand like the patient had situs inversus. The figure is corrected as follows:





6th Volume Index SUBJECT INDEX February 2019 – October 2019

Arthritis, 54 Back Pain, 54 Caenorhabditis Elegans, 37 Cancer, 85 Cannabidiol, 12 Cervical Cancer, 1 Chronic Myeloid Leukemia, 70 Compound 48-80, 12 Coronary Artery, 49 Coronary Disease, 49 Cytokines, 25 Esophageal Atresia, 60 Exercise, 43 F-18, 82 Family Physicians, 54 Fluorodeoxyglucose, 82 HIV, 25 Hodgkin Disease, 75 In Vitro, 1 Infratentorial Neoplasms, 64 Lutetium, 31 Lymphoma, 75 Malnutrition, 18 Mantle-cell lymphoma, 80 Mortality, 25 Neoplasms, 70 Newborn, 60 Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma, 80 Nutrition Assessment, 18 Oxidative Stress, 85 Polyp, 80 Positron Emission Tomography, 75 Posterior Fossa Tumors, 64 Prostate Cancer, 31 Pruritus, 12 Pulse, 43 Reaction Time, 43 Reactive Oxygen Species, 85 Risk Factors, 49 Software, 43 Spider, 1 Surgery, 49

Systolic Heart Failure, 18 Theranostic, 31 Torticollis, 64 Tracheoesophageal Fistula, 60 Tuberculosis, 25 Tyrosine Kinase, 70 Vanillin, 85 Vascular Endothelial Growth Factors, 37 VEGFR-1, 37 VEGFR-2, 37 Viral Load, 25



6th Volume Index AUTHOR INDEX February 2019 – October 2019

Abdulbaki Erkovan, 37 Ahmet Tolgay Akıncı, 64 Ahmet Ulugöl, 12 Ali Rıza Avul, 31 Alperen Elibol, 43,49 Alperen Taha Certel, 54 Arda Ulaş Mutlu, 43, 60, 85 Aslı Göztepe, 54, 64 Aslı Nur Özkan, 1 Aybüke Nazlı Gültekin, 43 Ayşe Çaylan, 54 Barış Yılmazer, 54 Begüm Söyleyici, 18, 49 Beliz Kocyiğit, 18, 70 Berfin Tan, 49, 54, 70 Berk Toy, 43 Berkay Kef, 43 Büşra Diler Zenginer, 85 Büşra Özdemir, 31, 75 Ceylin Eylül Karakaş, 43 Christos Tsagkaris, 42, 67 Ece Önay Özgür, 12 Ece Şenyiğit, 43 Ekin Altınbaş, 43 Elif Baksın, 12 Elif Gülsüm Ümit, 75 Emine İkbal Atlı, 70 Emine Sen, 37 Enes Taşçı, 43 Ezgi Güneş, 43 Fatih Erkan Akay, 43, 49, 70, 80 Fatih Mehmet Uçar, 18 Fatma Selin Soyluoğlu, 80 Fulya Öz Puyan, 80 Göktuğ Atnallar, 75 Gülay Durmuş Altun, 31, 75 Güldal İnal Gültekin, 43 Hakkı Onur Kırkızlar, 70, 80 Hasan Orkun İpsalalı, 43 Hatice Demirel, 12 Hennadii Petrochenkov, 25 Hilal Sena Çifcibaşı, 54

Hüseyin Karaman, 43 Irmak İrem Özyiğit, 18 İbrahim Kılıccalan, 37 İlker Dıbırdık, 85 Lefkothea Zacharopoulou, 67 Mahmut Alper Güldağ, 64 Mahmut Sami Oflaz, 75 Martin Kanev, 85 Melike Sahiner, 43 Mert Cezik, 80 Mert Çiftdemir, 64 Mustafa İnan, 60 Nuray Can, 80 Oğuz Kızılkaya, 60 Oğuzhan Doğanlar, 1 Oktay Kaya, 43 Orest Gritsiuk, 25 Pelinsu Elif Hünkar, 49 Ruhan Deniz Topuz, 12 Salim Turan, 43 Tetiana Kolotylo, 25 Umutcan Gölbaşı, 43 Volkan Bas, 70 Volkan Yüksel, 49





Authorship Contributions Form

Manuscript No. :

| | ••••••••••••••••• | |
|------------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Manuscript Title : | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Corresponding author : | | |

1. Authorship requires at least 3 contributions listed in the table below, including critical review of the manuscript, which is a mandatory contribution for all authors.

All authors are required to contribute to manuscript draft preparation, and critical review of its important intellectual content.
All authors are responsible for approval of the final proofs of the article

4. Those authors who do not fulfill the required number of contributions or do not meet criteria should be listed in the Acknowledgement section at the end of the manuscript.

5. These rules are set in frame of Council of Science Editors (CSE) and International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) guidelines for authorship.

| Contribution | Explanation | Contributing Authors |
|--------------------------------------|--|-----------------------------|
| CONCEPT | The idea for research or article/hypothesis generation | |
| DESIGN | Planning the methods to generate hypothesis | |
| SUPERVISION | Supervision and responsibility for the organization and course of the project and the manuscript preparation | |
| RESOURCES | Supplying financial resources, equipment, space, and personnel vital to the project | |
| MATERIALS | Biological materials, reagents, referred patients | |
| DATA COLLECTION AND/OR PROCESSING | Responsibility for conducting experiments, management of patients, organizing and reporting data | |
| ANALYSIS AND/OR INTERPRETATION | Responsibility for presentation and logical explanation of results | |
| LITERATURE SEARCH | Responsibility for conducting literature search | |
| WRITING MANUSCRIPT | Responsibility for creation of an entire or the substantial part of the manuscript | |
| CRITICAL REVIEW | Reworking the final, before submission version of the manuscript for intellectual content, not just spelling and grammar check | |
| OTHER | For novel contributions: | |

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR :

| SIGNATURE : | DATE : |
|-------------|--------|





TMSJ Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

The purpose of this form is to provide readers of your manuscript with information about your other interests that could influence how they receive and understand your work. The form is designed to be completed electronically and stored electronically. Each author should submit a separate form and is responsible for the accuracy and completeness of the submitted information. * The form is in four parts.

1. Identifying information.

Type your full name. If you are NOT the corresponding author please check the box "No" and type the name of the corresponding author. Provide the requested manuscript information.

*If you are the corresponding author, and neither you nor your co-authors have any disclosures to declare under Sections 2, 3, or 4 below, you can check "Nothing to disclose" (see Section 1, line 7, page 2). In this case only, the disclosure applies to all authors, and the form is complete.

2. The work under consideration for publication.

This section asks for information about the work that you have submitted for publication. The time frame for this reporting is that of the work itself, from the initial conception and planning to the present. The requested information is about resources that you received, either directly or indirectly (via your institution), to enable you to complete the work. Checking "No" means that you did the work without receiving any financial support from any third party—that is, the work was supported by funds from the same institution that pays your salary and that institution did not receive third-party funds with which to pay you. If you or your institution received funds from a third party to support the work, such as a government granting agency, charitable foundation, or commercial sponsor, check "Yes". Then complete the appropriate boxes to indicate the type of support and whether the payment went to you, or to your institution, or both.

3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

This section asks about your financial relationships with entities in the bio-medical arena that could be perceived to influence, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work. You should disclose interactions with ANY entity that could be considered broadly relevant to the work.

Report all sources of revenue paid (or promised to be paid) directly to you or your institution on your behalf over the 36 months prior to submission of the work. This should include all monies from sources with relevance to the submitted work, not just monies from the entity that sponsored the research. Please note that your interactions with the work's sponsor that are outside the submitted work should also be listed here. I there is any question, it is usually better to disclose a relationship than not to do so.

For grants you have received for work outside the submitted work, you should disclose support ONLY from entities that could be perceived to be affected financially by the published work, such as drug companies, or foundations supported by entities that could be perceived to have a financial stake in the outcome. Public funding sources, such as government agencies, charitable foundations, or academic institutions, need not be disclosed here (but can be acknowledged on the title page of the manuscript). For example, if a government agency sponsored a study in which you have been involved and drugs were provided by a pharmaceutical company, you need only list the pharmaceutical company.

4. Other relationships.

Use this section to report other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work.

*If you are the corresponding author, and neither you nor your co-authors have any disclosures to declare under Sections 2, 3, or 4 below, you can check "Nothing to disclose" (see Section 1, line 7, page 2). In this case only, the disclosure applies to all authors, and the form is complete.

Section 1. Identifying Information

Complete by providing the requested information in the white boxes.

| 1. Given Name (First Name): | | 2. Surname Last Name): | | 3. Current Date: | | |
|---|-----|---------------------------|--|------------------|-----|--|
| 4. Are you the corresponding author? | Yes | No | If "No", name of corresponding author: | | | |
| 5. Manuscript Title: | | | | | | |
| 6. Manuscript Identifying Number (if you know it): | | | | | | |
| 7. If you are the corresponding author, and neither you nor your co- disclosures to declare, check here: | | | authors have any | Nothing to Discl | ose | |

Section 2. The Work Under Consideration for Publication

Did you or your institution at any time receive payment or services from a third party for any aspect of the submitted work (including but not limited to grants, data monitoring board, study design, manuscript preparation, statistical analysis, etc...)? Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information in the white boxes. Add rows as needed.

The Work Under Consideration for Publication

| Туре | No | Money Paid to You | Money to Your Institution* | Name of Entity | Comments |
|---|----|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 1. Grant | | | | | |
| 2. Consulting fee or honorarium | | | | | |
| 3. Support for travel to meetings for the study or other purposes | | | | | |
| 4. Fees for participation in review activities such as data monitoring boards, statistical analysis, end point committees, and the like | | | | | |
| 5. Payment for writing or reviewing the manuscript | | | | | |
| 6. Provisions of writing assistance, medicines, equipment, or administrative support | | | | | |
| 7. Other | | | | | |

*This means money that your institution received for your efforts this study.

Section 3. Relevant financial activities outside the submitted work.

Please indicate whether you have financial relationships (regardless of amount of compensation) with entities as described in the instructions. You should report relationships that were present during the 36 months prior to submission.

Complete each row by checking "No" or providing the requested information in the white boxes.

Relevant Financial Activities Outside the Submitted Work

| Type of Relationship (in alphabetical order) | No | Money Paid to You | Money to Your Institution* | Name of Entity | Comments |
|--|----|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|----------|
| 1. Board membership | | | | | |
| 2. Consultancy | | | | | |
| 3. Employment | | | | | |
| 4. Expert testimony | | | | | |
| 5. Grants/grants pending | | | | | |
| 6. Payment for lectures including service on speakers bureaus | | | | | |
| 7. Payment for manuscript preparation | | | | | |
| 8. Patents (planned, pending or issued) | | | | | |
| 9. Royalties | | | | | |
| 10. Payment for development of educational presentations | | | | | |
| 11. Stock/stock options | | | | | |
| 12. Travel/accommodations/ meeting expenses unrelated to activities listed** | | | | | |
| 13. Other (err on the side of full disclosure) | | | | | |

*This means money that your institution received for your efforts.

**For example, if you report a consultancy above there is no need to report travel related to that consultancy on this line.

Section 4. Other Relationships

Are there other relationships or activities that readers could perceive to have influenced, or that give the appearance of potentially influencing, what you wrote in the submitted work?

____No other relationships/conditions/circumstances that present a potential conflict of interest.

Yes, the following relationships/conditions/circumstances are present (explain below):

At the time of manuscript acceptance, we ask that you update your disclosure statements if anything has changed. On occasion, we may ask you to disclose further information about reported relationships.

This form is adapted from the Author Disclosure Form created by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE). The ICMJE has not endorsed nor approved the contents here. The official version of the ICMJE Author Disclosure Form is located at http://www.icmje.org/coi_disclosure.pdf





CONSENT FORM for CASE REPORT

Title of Project:_

- 1. I have read, and understood the Participant Information Sheet dated _____
- 2. I freely agree to the use of my medical records for the purpose of this study.
- 3. I understand that the case report will be published without my name attached and researchers will make every attempt to ensure my anonymity. I understand, however, that complete anonymity cannot be guaranteed.
- 4. I have been given a copy of the Participant Information Sheet and Consent Form to keep.

| Name of Participant | | |
|--------------------------|------|--|
| - | | |
| Signature of Participant | Date | |

The participant was informed through phone call and a verbal consent was obtained.

The following section regarding the witness is not essential but may be appropriate for patients where the search teams feel that the participant should have a witness to the consent procedure.

| Name of witness (if appropriate) | | | | |
|----------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Signature of witness | Date | | | |
| | | | | |
| Name of Researcher | | | | |
| Signature of Researcher | Date | | | |
| | | | | |
| Name of Researcher | | | | |
| Signature of Researcher | Date | | | |
| | | | | |