



Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey
Üniversitesi
Spor Bilimleri Fakültesi

ULUSLARARASI
BEDEN EĞİTİMİ VE
SPOR BİLİMLERİ DERGİSİ

INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF
PHYSICAL EDUCATION AND SPORT
SCIENCES





KMU JIPES

Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey Üniversitesi Uluslararası Spor Bilimleri Dergisi
International Journal Of Physical Education And Sport Sciences

Yayıncı/Publisher:

Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey Üniversitesi Spor Bilimleri Fakültesi

Sahibi/Publisher:

Prof. Dr. Mehmet GAVGALI
Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey Üniversitesi Rektörü

Baş Editör/Editor-in-Chief:

Prof. Dr. Sefa LÖK

Editör/Editor:

Prof. Dr. Murat TEKİN

Editör Yardımcısı/Co-Editor:

Doç. Dr. Veysel TEMEL
Doç. Dr. Erhan DEVRİLMEZ

Yayın ve Danışma Kurulu/Editorial and Advisory Board:

Prof. Dr. Gökhan BAYRAKTAR
Prof. Dr. Erkal ARSLANOĞLU
Prof. Dr. Ahmet Haktan SİVRİKAYA
Doç. Dr. Cenk TEMEL
Doç. Dr. Yücel MAKARACI

Mizanpaj Editörü/Page-Layout Editor

Arş. Gör. Hilal ŞİMŞEK

İngilizce Dil Editörü/English Editor

Prof. Dr. Murat TEKİN

Yazım Editörü/Language Editor

Prof. Dr. Murat TEKİN



KMU JIPES

Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey Üniversitesi Uluslararası Spor Bilimleri Dergisi
International Journal Of Physical Education And Sport Sciences

Amaç ve Kapsam

Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University International Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences, dergisi; beden eğitimi pedagojisi, spor psikolojisi, spor sosyolojisi, rekreasyon, spor yönetimi, disiplinler arası spor eğitimi, kinesiyojji, egzersiz fizyolojisi, spor hekimliği, biyomekanik ve Hareket ve Antrenman, Spor Sağlık, psiko sosyal alanlar, bilişsel gelişim ve spor, fiziksel aktivite ve öğrenme konularında yapılan çalışmaları yayınlamaktadır.

Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University International Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences dergisi özgün araştırmalar yayınlayan, uluslararası, hakemli ve ücretsiz bir dergi olup yılda Mart ve Ekim aylarında olmak üzere 2 kez yayınlanır ve yazarlardan herhangi bir ücret talep etmez.

Yazım Kuralları

Makaleler, İngilizce özet ile Türkçe veya tamamen İngilizce olarak yazılmalıdır.

Yazarlar Çalışmalarını yüklemeyen önce derginin amaçlarına ve kapsamına uygunluğunu kontrol etmeli, etik sorumlulukları ayrıntılı olarak okumalıdır.

Makaleniz online gönderim sistemi üzerinden editöre ulaştıktan sonra, öncelikle makalenizin derginin amacına, kapsamına ve yazım kurallarına uygunluğu kontrol edilecek olup uygun olmayan yazılar yayın sürecine dahil edilmeyecek ve yazara iade edilecektir.

Gönderilen makalelerin dergiye uygunluğu editör tarafından incelendikten sonra, makale alanında uzman 2 bağımsız ve kör hakem tarafından incelenecektir.

Tüm makaleler için etik kurul onay belgesi sunulmalıdır. Makale yayına kabul edildikten sonra etik kurul izin numarası ana metinde yer almalıdır. Herhangi bir kuruma bağlı olmayan araştırmacıların, çalışmalarının veri toplama sürecinden önce buldukları ildeki üniversitelerin etik kurullarına başvurmaları ve etik kurul onay belgesi almaları gerekmektedir. Tezlerden türetilen makaleler için, tez için verilmiş etik kurul onayının sunulması yeterlidir.

Yazarlar, değerlendirme sürecinin herhangi bir aşamasında makalelerini herhangi bir sebep göstermeksizin çekme hakkına sahiptir.

Makalenin derginin amacına, kapsamına ve derginin yazım kurallarına uygun olduğuna karar verildikten sonra, benzerlik oranı tespit edilir (iThenticate). Dergimizin benzerlik oranı üst sınırı derleme ve araştırma makaleleri için %15'dir. Makalenin benzerlik oranının belirlenen

sınırlar içinde olduğu tespit edildikten sonra inceleme süreci başlatılacaktır. Aksi takdirde yazara iade edilecektir.

Çalışma gönderen yazar(lar)ın aşağıdaki etik sorumluluklara uyması beklenmektedir:

* Yazar(lar)ın gönderdikleri çalışmaların özgün olması beklenmektedir. Yazar(lar)ın başka çalışmalardan yararlanmaları veya başka çalışmaları kullanmaları durumunda eksiksiz ve doğru bir biçimde atıfta bulunmaları ve/veya alıntı yapmaları gerekmektedir.

* Çalışmanın oluşturulmasında içeriğe entelektüel açıdan katkı sağlamayan kişiler, yazar olarak belirtilmemelidir.

* Yayınlanmak üzere gönderilen tüm çalışmaların varsa çıkar çatışması teşkil edebilecek durumları ve ilişkileri açıklanmalıdır.

* Yazar(lar) kullanılan verilerin kullanım haklarına, araştırma/analizlerle ilgili gerekli izinlere sahip olduklarını veya deney yapılan deneklerin rızasının alındığını gösteren belgeye sahip olmalıdır.

* Yazar(lar)ın yayınlanmış, erken görünüm veya değerlendirme aşamasındaki çalışmasıyla ilgili bir yanlış ya da hatayı fark etmesi durumunda, dergi editörünü veya yayıncıyı bilgilendirme, düzeltme veya geri çekme işlemlerinde editörle işbirliği yapma yükümlülüğü bulunmaktadır.

* Yazarlar çalışmalarını aynı anda birden fazla derginin başvuru sürecinde bulunduramaz. Her bir başvuru önceki başvurunun tamamlanmasını takiben başlatılabilir. Başka bir dergide yayınlanmış çalışma gönderilemez.

* Herhangi bir sempozyum ya da kongrede sunulan yazılarda kongrenin adı, yeri ve tarihi belirtilmelidir.

* Bir araştırma kurumu ya da fonu tarafından desteklenen çalışmalarda, desteği sağlayan kuruluşun adı ve proje numarası verilmelidir.

* Yazarlar, çalışmada kullanılan ham veya işlenmiş verilerin talep edilmesi durumunda editörlüğe sunmakla hükümlüdür.

* Yazar dergide belirtilen tüm etik kurallara uygun bir şekilde süreci tamamlamakla sorumludur.

* Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey Üniversitesi Uluslararası Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisine gönderilecek olan çalışmalar, A4 sayfa düzeniyle oluşturulmalı ve yazılar tek sütun biçiminde yazılmalıdır.

* Sayfa düzeni her kenardan 2.5 cm boşluk olacak şekilde ayarlanmalıdır.

* Yazılar "Times New Roman" karakteri ile 12 punto büyüklüğünde ve 1.5 satır aralığı olacak şekilde iki yana yaslanmış biçimde düzenlenmelidir.

* Sayfa numaraları sayfanın altında ve ortasında olacak şekilde düzenlenmelidir.

- * Sayfalarda üst bilgiye ve alt bilgiye yer verilmemelidir.
- * Başlıklar arası iki satır aralığı olacak şekilde düzenlenmelidir.
- * Tablolar tek satır aralığı ve 9-11 punto olacak şekilde düzenlenmelidir.
- * Çalışmalar başlık, özet ve kaynaklar dahil olmak üzere maksimum 25 sayfa olmalıdır.
- * Çalışmalarda olabildiğince Türkçe kelimeler kullanılmaya özen gösterilmelidir.
- * Çalışmalar; özet, anahtar kelimeler, ana metin, yazar notları, yazışma adresi ve kaynaklar bölümlerini içermelidir.
- * Metin içi kaynak gösterimi [APA Formatına](#) uygun olarak gerçekleştirilmelidir.
- * Tüm kaynaklar [APA Formatına](#) uygun olacak şekilde düzenlenmelidir.

Etik İlkeler ve Yayın Politikası

Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University International Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences dergisinde uygulanan yayın süreçleri, araştırmanın kalitesini yansıtması açısından önemli olup yazarlar, okuyucular ve araştırmacılar, yayıncı, hakemler ve editörler etik ilkelere yönelik standartlara uyması önem taşımaktadır.

Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University International Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences dergisi yayın etiği kapsamında tüm okuyucular ve araştırmacılar, yayıncı, hakemler ve editörlerin; aşağıda yer alan etik görev ve sorumluluklara uyması gerekir, açık erişim olarak Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) tarafından yayınlanan rehberler ve politikalar dikkate alınarak hazırlanmıştır.

Etik İlkeler ve Yayın Politikası

Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University International Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences dergisinde uygulanan yayın süreçleri, araştırmanın kalitesini yansıtması açısından önemli olup yazarlar, okuyucular ve araştırmacılar, yayıncı, hakemler ve editörler etik ilkelere yönelik standartlara uyması önem taşımaktadır.

Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University International Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences dergisi yayın etiği kapsamında tüm okuyucular ve araştırmacılar, yayıncı, hakemler ve editörlerin; aşağıda yer alan etik görev ve sorumluluklara uyması gerekir, açık erişim olarak Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) tarafından yayınlanan rehberler ve politikalar dikkate alınarak hazırlanmıştır.

Ücret Politikası

Hiçbir ad altında yazar veya kurumundan ücret alınmaz.

Karamanoglu Mehmetbey University International Journal of Physical Education and Sports Sciences dergisi, hiçbir aşamada ücret talebinde bulunmaz.

İçindekiler

Jenerik / i
Amaç ve Kapsam / ii
Etik İlkeler ve Yayın Politikası (Editorial Policies) / iv
İçindekiler (Contents) / v
Editörden (From the Editor) / vii

Araştırma Makaleleri / Research Articles

The Relationship Between Psychological Well-Being Levels And Social Well-Being Levels Of Teachers Doing Sports

Doç. Dr. Veysel Temel, Y. Lisans Öğr. Sıdıka Şahin / 1-12



KMU JIPES

Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey Üniversitesi Uluslararası Spor Bilimleri Dergisi
International Journal Of Physical Education And Sport Sciences

Editörden

JIPES dergisi 2024 yılının ilk sayısında 1 araştırma makalesine yer vermektedir. Bu sayıda yer alan ve aynı zamanda derginin ilk makalesi Doç. Dr. Veysel Temel ve Sıdıka Şahin tarafından yazılan “The Relationship Between Psychological Well-Being Levels And Social Well-Being Levels Of Teachers Doing Sports” başlıklı makaledir. Makalede spor yapan öğretmenlerin sosyal ve psikolojik iyi oluş düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki incelenmiş ve araştırma sonucunda elde edilen bulgulara yer verilmiştir.

Dergimizin bu sayısına akademik çalışmalarıyla katkıda bulunan araştırmacılara, makaleyi okuyup değerlendirme zahmetinde bulunan hakemlerimize ve dergi kurullarında yer alan öğretim üyelerimize teşekkür ederiz.

Bir sonraki sayımızda görüşmek dileğiyle.

Prof. Dr. Murat Tekin



ORİJİNAL MAKALE / ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Uluslararası Beden Eğitimi ve Spor Bilimleri Dergisi/ KMÜ UBESBD
International Journal Of Physical Education And Sport Sciences / KMU JIPES



THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING LEVELS AND SOCIAL WELL-BEING LEVELS OF TEACHERS DOING SPORTS*

¹Veysel TEMEL, ²Sıdıka ŞAHİN

¹Assoc. Prof., KMU, Physical Education and Sports Teaching, veyseltemel@kmu.edu.tr, 0000-0002-4575-2885

²Master Student, KMU, Physical Education and Sports, sdk440870@gmail.com

Geliş Tarihi / Received: 11.03.2024, *Kabul Tarihi / Accepted:* 29.03.2024

ABSTRACT

This descriptive study aims to investigate the association between teachers who participate in sports and their levels of social and psychological well-being. A total of 85 instructors (mean age 27.74 ± 9.51), 37 females and 48 males made up the study's sample. The study employed two different instruments for gathering data: the "Psychological Well-Being Scale," which was created by Diener et al. (2010) and translated into Turkish by Telef (2013), and the "Social Well-Being Scale," whose Turkish translation has been verified as valid and trustworthy by Akın et al. (2013). The measurements were made using the Kurtosis normality test and the skewness test. The t-test was used for pairwise comparisons and the One-Way Anova test was used for multiple comparisons since the test result was consistent with a normal distribution. Based on the study's findings, it was determined that the instructors' social well-being scale had a mean score of 4.0 and the participants' psychological well-being scale had a mean score of 5.5, both of which were at a medium level. The t-test was used for pairwise comparisons and the One-Way Anova test was used for multiple comparisons since the test result was consistent with a normal distribution. Based on the study's findings, it was determined that the instructors' social well-being scale had a mean score of 4.0 and the participants' psychological well-being scale had a mean score of 5.5, both of which were at a medium level. A statistically significant difference was observed between the participants' psychological and social well-being levels according to certain personal characteristics, while no statistically significant difference was found according to other variables. A noteworthy positive correlation was observed between the psychological and social well-being levels of the study participants, who were instructors.

Keywords: Psychological Well-Being, Social Well-Being, Sport, Student

*The current study was presented as a full paper at the international scientific research conference held in Kayseri on 01-03 September 2023.

Corresponding Author: Veysel TEMEL, KMU, Physical Education and Sports Teaching, Karaman, Turkey

E-mail: veyseltemel@kmu.edu.tr

1. INTRODUCTION

Athletes must not only possess the requisite skills and expertise, but also defend and propagate the ideals of the relevant society, exhibit attitudes and values suitable for the cultural context, and act as community role models. Individuals' actions, attitudes, and behaviors are influenced by their values (Tokmak, 2009). Value-related viewpoints are established and revealed in popular sports players, who serve as role models for future generations. Furthermore, values have an effect on the cooperative formations of both players and spectators in various sports, which influences decision-making. We can comprehend people's overall emotional moods and well-being by looking at the connection between personal values and psychological well-being. There is a wide range in the ways that people view and conduct their lives. A healthy individual has a beneficial effect on society. That society's standard of living rises. However, linking personal values to psychological health also contributes to a higher standard of living. A coach is a person who helps their athlete grow not only in the technical, tactical, and performance domains but also in the psychological and social domains. They also make Turkish sports and athletes elite in the global arena and help the sport, which is vital to all aspects of life, reach people from all walks of life. Sport: It is acknowledged that opportunities that emphasize physical activities and the mental condition of an individual in regard to his or her physical, social, and psychological interactions are not given enough priority (Gillespie and Culpan, 2000). According to Fishbach and Woolley (2022), motivation is a crucial component of positive sports outcomes. It involves cognitively strengthening behavior and exerting the required effort to achieve success, as highlighted by Bowman-Smart and Savulescu (2020) and Maslen et al. (2019). Motivation is a vital part of an athlete's performance, even while it is not sufficient in and of itself to attain a desired outcome. Even though an athlete has a strong desire to win, he is unlikely to succeed unless he puts in the necessary work. As a result, motivation and effort are strongly associated. A person with low motivation can need to exert more effort than necessary to complete an action, whereas a person with great motivation can accomplish his goal with less effort (Ilieva and Farah, 2013). Many academics have found motivation in athletic performance to be a fascinating subject, particularly in the past ten years. This category involves driving oneself to compete, succeed, or participate in sports, among other things. It may be viewed from several angles, including. According to Tunca and Gülsoy (2023), motivation is a significant factor in sporting activities, performance evaluation exams, and competitiveness at all levels. Because of this, there is a place in sports for coaches who can comprehend the realities of the world in which we live, who can bring the right elements

together, and who are a part of the society in which they persist, to develop their athletes into problem-solvers and leaders who can adapt to changing circumstances. A coach is someone who helps his athletes develop their qualities and skills at the appropriate time and in the appropriate manner, makes the best use of his energy, constantly asks probing questions to attain excellence, and encourages them to have a strong feeling of self-worth. Other studies that examine social well-being, problem-solving abilities, and connections between coaches play a significant role (psychological, social and advisory services). It is critical that our coaches, who play a major role in the development of young people who will shape our future in all spheres-physical, social, and mental-be able to instill this quality in the generations that they mentor.

The goal of the research

The goal of this study is to provide a descriptive analysis of the psychological and social well-being levels of teachers who participate in sports. It also reveals the link between these factors and the participants' psychological and social well-being.

Hypotheses

H₁ Does the gender variable have a significant impact on the mean scores for the psychological and social well-being aspects among teachers who participated in sports?

H₂ Regarding the domicile variable, is there a discernible difference in the mean scores for the psychological and social well-being aspects among teachers who participate in sports?

H₃ Does the frequency of sports participation affect the mean scores for the psychological and social well-being components among teachers who participate in sports?

H₄ Does the sport type variable affect the mean scores on the psychological well-being and social well-being aspects of teachers who participate in sports?

H₅ Is there a meaningful connection between psychological and social well-being?

2. METHOD

The study technique is covered in this section. The research method, sample and demographic characteristics, data and data collecting instrument, scale application, and statistical analysis utilized in the findings were the main points of interest.

2.1. Model of Research

The investigation was conducted using a basic random sample technique. Every sample unit has an equal chance of selection thanks to the Simple Random sample approach (Büyüköztürk et al., 2013). One aspect of the research is a descriptive study.

2.2. Group for Research

Teachers who work in different disciplines and participate in sports at schools connected to the Ministry of National Education make up the research population. A total of 85 instructors (mean age: 27.74 ± 9.51), including 37 women and 48 men, make up the research sample.

2.3. Data Gathering

Local and international sources were consulted by searching the research literature, and a theoretical framework for the thesis was developed. The research's data were voluntarily and in-person obtained. Data collecting instruments used in the study were the "Psychological Well-Being Scale" established by Diener et al. (2010) and modified into Turkish by Telef in 2013. It revealed students' psychological well-being levels. Akın et al. The "Social Well-Being Scale" was utilized; its validity and reliability in Turkish was assessed by (2013).

2.4. Data Tools

2.4.1. Personal Information Form

The researcher created a seven-item personal information form to gather data on the personal traits of educators who participate in sports and to establish the research's independent variables. Teachers' "Gender, Age, Marital Status, Length of Service, Place of Residence, Sports Branch, and Frequency of Doing Sports" are determined by a number of characteristics.

2.4.2 Psychological Well Being Scale – Psychological Well Being (PIOS)

Diener et al. (2010) created the Psychological Well-Being Scale to assess socio-psychological well-being in addition to the current well-being measures. Telef (2013) was the one who translated the scale into Turkish. An exploratory factor analysis revealed that 42% of the variation was explained overall. The scale items' factor loadings were computed to range from .54 to .76. Fit index values were determined to be RMSEA = 0.08, SRMR = 0.04, GFI =

0.96, NFI = 0.94, RFI = 0.92, CFI = 0.95, and IFI = 0.95 in the confirmatory factor analysis. Sub-dimensions of the Psychological Well-Being Scales include environmental mastery, autonomy, and thirty. 53, with personal growth. 29, a good interpersonal relationship. 41, intended for life. 38. Accepting oneself. with 56 and complete mental health. There were 56 relationship tiers identified. Additionally with autonomy, one of the Need Satisfaction Scale's subdimensions. 30 with proficiency.69 that are connected.57 and complete satiation of needs. There were found to be 73 layers of linkages. Cronbach's alpha internal consistency coefficient, which was found during the scale's reliability analysis. It comes to 80 when computed. The test-retest findings showed that the first and second applications of the scale had a strong, positive, and statistically significant association ($r = 0.86$, $p < .001$). connections between the Psychological Well-Being Scale's items and totals, with 41. It was discovered that the t-values were significant ($p < .001$) and that it varied between 63. The responses to the questions on the Psychological Well-Being Scale range from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). Every item has a good wording. If every question is answered "strongly disagree," the score is 8, and if every question is answered "strongly agree," it is 56. A high score denotes a person's plenty of strengths and psychological resources. The scale offers an overview of positive functioning in several domains that we feel are significant, even if it does not give individual evaluations of well-being (Diener et al., 2010). The current study's internal consistency (Cronbach Alpha) reliability coefficient for the psychological well-being measure was determined to be 0.79.

2.4.3. Social Well-Being Scale (SIOÖ)

Seven-point Likert scale (1 being very disagree, and 7 being very agree). The scale is an assessment instrument designed to gauge traits associated with social well-being and is predicated on the person furnishing personal data. It has one dimension and fifteen components in total. The scale's lowest possible score is 15, while the greatest possible value is 105. Increased scores are considered to indicate increased social well-being. There are reverse-scored items on the scale. The model, which has 15 items and a single dimension, fit index values in the first sample ($\chi^2 = 914$, GFI = .86, AGFI = .84) and the second sample ($\chi^2 = 705$, GFI = .95, AGFI = .92) of the confirmatory factor analysis. internal coherence. Research on two samples yielded Cronbach's alpha internal consistency reliability coefficients for each of the five sub-dimensions of the scale. It was discovered to be between 81 and 81 in values. Four faculty members who were fluent in English translated the items into Turkish as part of the process of translating the scale to Turkish. The Turkish versions were then translated back into

English, and the linguistic coherence between the two forms was assessed. Three knowledgeable faculty members finally debated it, and a Turkish form that was suitable for usage was produced. legitimacy of construction. The original scale's five-dimensional model did not fit well in the confirmatory factor analysis, whereas the one-dimensional social well-being model fit well ($\chi^2= 155.46$, $SD= 86$, $RMSEA=.054$, $GFI=.93$, $AGFI=.90$, $SRMR=.065$). internal coherence.

The internal consistency reliability coefficient, or Cronbach's alpha, was determined to be .64. The current study indicated that the internal consistency (Cronbach Alpha) reliability coefficient of the social well-being scale was 0.69.

2.5. Data Collection Process

Prior to beginning the research's implementation phase, the required procedures were completed, including requesting institutional and individual approval. Subsequently, voluntary face-to-face three-part inventories were carried out with the subjects included in the sample group. The goal and scope of the study were outlined, along with the information that was required to read and thoroughly complete the questions.

2.6. Analysis of Data

In compliance with the research objectives, the following procedures were conducted during the data analysis phase.

- Descriptive statistics, frequency distributions, and percentage distributions were used to ascertain the properties of the data.
- To find out if the measurements fit a normal distribution, a single sample Skewness and Kurtosis normality test was used.
- The t-test was employed for pairwise comparisons and One Way Anova tests were utilized for multiple comparisons because the data did not follow a normal distribution. To find the difference between the groups, the Tukey test was employed.
- Ultimately, the association between them was discovered using the Pearson Correlation Analysis test. The statistical application SPSS for Windows 21.00 was used to assess the research data analysis.

3. RESULTS

The results drawn from the data analysis of the variables and hypotheses investigated in the study are presented in this part.

3.1. Findings Regarding the Personal Characteristics of the Research Group

Details on the individual traits of the research participants who made up the sample group were provided in this section of the study.

Table 1. Distribution of Participants According to Independent Variables

		N	%
Gender	Female	37	43,5
	Male	48	56,5
Age	19-20	19	22,4
	21 and +	66	77,6
Marital status	Married	60	70,6
	Single	25	29,4
Service Duration	1-5 Year	42	49,4
	6-10 Year	25	29,4
	11 Year and +	18	21,2
Sports Branch	Team	52	61,2
	Individual	33	38,8
Frequency of Exercising	Sometimes	17	20,0
	1 time per week	16	18,8
	2-3 Times a Week	21	24,7
	4-5 Times a Week	19	22,4
	Every day	12	14,1
Residential area	Centre	28	32,9
	District	32	37,6
	Other	25	29,4

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for the Dimensions of the Psychological Well-Being and Social Well-Being Scales

	N	\bar{X}	Ss	Skewness	Kurtosis	Min.	Max.
Social Well-being	85	4,0706	,82432	-,317	,069	1,80	6,07
Psychological Well-being	85	5,5721	,85791	,236	-,904	4,00	7,00

Based on Table 2's findings from the Skewness and Kurtosis normalcy tests for the psychological and social well-being scales, it can be concluded that the positive thinking skill component is not appropriate for a normal distribution because the p-value is less than .05. We looked at the participants' average psychological well-being level scores. It is clear from this study that the average psychological well-being scale score of the research participants is at a middle level, with Mean = 5.5. The average scores of the participants on the social well-being measure were analyzed. This study has led to the understanding that the average score on the social well-being scale for the research participants is at a medium level (Mean = 4.07).

Table 3. T-Test Results Conducted to Determine Whether Participants' Psychological Well-Being Scale and Social Well-Being Scale Dimension Scores Differ According to Gender Variable

	Groups	N	Mean	Ss	Shg	T Test		
						T	Sd	P
Social Well-being	Female	37	3,8631	,92228	,15162	2,078	83	,041*
	Male	48	4,2306	,70914	,10236			
Psychological Well-being	Female	37	5,4831	,93270	,15334	-,838	83	,405
	Male	48	5,6406	,79878	,11529			

* p<.05

The table displays the results of a t test that was used to see if there was a statistically significant difference in the social well-being scale between the groups based on the gender variable. The difference was found to be statistically significant ($t=-2.078$; $p<.05$). The social well-being scale's gender variable revealed a statistically significant difference favoring the male participants. This indicates that compared to female participants, men participants have greater degrees of social well-being.

Table 4. One Way Anova Test Results Conducted to Determine Whether Participants Psychological Well-Being Scale and Social Well-Being Scale Dimension Scores Differ According to the Place of Residence Variable.

	Groups	N	Rank Average	df	F	p	Difference	
Social Well-being	Centre 1	28	4,1905	2	,439	,640	,530	-
	District 2	32	3,9500					
	Other 3	25	4,0907					
Psychological Well-being	Centre 1	28	5,8929	2	2,256	3,228	,045*	1-3
	District 2	32	5,4688					
	Other 3	25	5,3450					

* p<.05

Table 4 displays the results of the One Way ANOVA test, which was used to see if there was a statistically significant difference in the psychological well-being scale based on the location variable. The difference between the groups based on the residential variable was found to be statistically significant ($F=,439$; $p<.05$). A statistically significant difference was found in favor of the individuals whose place of residence was the center as a result of the Tukey test, which was used to identify which subgroups the psychological well-being scale differed between according to the location variable. This scenario shows that people with core settlements had better psychological well-being than those with other settlements.

Table 5. Results of the t-Test Conducted to Determine Whether the Participants' Psychological Well-Being Scale and Social Well-Being Scale Dimension Scores Differ According to the Sport Type Variable.

	Gruplar	N	Sıra Ortalaması	Sıra Toplamı	df	t	p
Social Well-being	Team	52	3,9769	,78968	83	-1,321	,190
	Individual	33	4,2182	,86783			
Psychological Well-being	Team	52	5,4159	,80809	83	-2,152	,039*
	Individual	33	5,8182	,88834			

The difference between the psychological well-being scales of the sport type variable groups was found to be statistically significant ($t=-2.152$; $p<.05$), as the table illustrates. This was determined by conducting a t test to see if the psychological well-being scale showed a significant difference according to the sport type variable. The sport type variable of the psychological well-being scale revealed a statistically significant difference favoring the individual sports participants. This indicates that those who participate in individual sports have better psychological well-being than those who participate in team sports.

Table 6. Pearson Product Moment Correlation Analysis Results Table Conducted to Determine the Relationship Between the Scores Obtained from the Psychological Well-Being Scale and the Social Well-Being Scale Test Scores

Psychological Well-being	N	R	P
Social Well-being	85	,366**	,001

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

There is a statistically significant, positive, and low-level relationship between the psychological well-being test score and the social well-being test dimension scores at the $p < .001$ level ($r = .366$; $p < .01$), according to an examination of the table and the results of the Pearson Product Moment Correlation analysis performed to ascertain the relationship between the scores obtained from the psychological well-being scale dimension and the social well-being scale test scores.

4. CONCLUSION, DISCUSSION, RECOMMENDATIONS

This part interprets and discusses the conclusions drawn from the research findings. The results were corroborated by research on social and psychological well-being in the pertinent literature. There was not a single study that examined the relationship between psychological and social well-being in the reviewed literature. It is believed to have a significant impact on the field from this angle. Nonetheless, other research on social and psychological well-being was also discovered, and the results of these studies were used to try to bolster the current study.

According to the research results;

- According to the results of the research, it was concluded that the participants' psychological well-being scale average scores and social well-being scale average scores were at medium levels. According to Güvenç, A.'s (2021) study on teachers, it was concluded that the psychological well-being levels of the participants were above the medium level. In their study on physical education and sports teachers, Karaçam and Pulur (2019) found that the psychological well-being levels of physical education and sports teachers were above the medium level.
- There is a significant difference in the mean scores of the Social Well-being scale in terms of gender, and this difference is in favor of men among the participants.
- There is a significant difference between the psychological well-being scale score averages and the place of residence, and this difference shows that the psychological well-being levels of those who live in the center are higher than those who live in other places (Village/Town).
- There is a significant difference in the psychological well-being scale mean scores in terms of sport type, and this difference is in favor of men and in favor of those who do individual sports.

Relationship Between Psychological Well-Being Scale and Mental Toughness Scale:

According to the findings of the Spearman product moment correlation analysis conducted to determine the relationship between the participants' psychological well-being scale and social well-being scale;

- As a result of Pearson Product Moment Correlation analysis; It can be concluded that there is a statistically significant, positive and low-level relationship at the $p < .001$ level ($r = .366$; $p < .01$) between the psychological well-being test score and social well-being test dimension scores.
- In the literature review conducted by the researcher, no study was found addressing the relationship between psychological well-being and social well-being levels.
- In this section, suggestions developed based on the results of the research and the researcher's experiences are included.
- Learning and teaching understanding measurements can be made on a larger sample group than the participants in our study, and the results can be interpreted more broadly by looking at the correlation of all variables.
- Our study can be applied to those who are professionally involved in sports in proportion to its participants.

REFERENCES

- Akın, A., Demirci, İ., Çitemel, N., Sariçam, H., ve Ocağcı, H. (2013). Sosyal İyi Olma Ölçeği Türkçe Formu'nun geçerlik ve güvenilirliği. 5. Ulusal Lisansüstü Eğitim Sempozyumu, (ss.10-11). Sakarya, Türkiye.
- Bowman-Smart, H. ve Savulescu, J. 2020. "The ethics of motivational neuro-doping in sport: praiseworthiness and prizeworthiness", *Neuroethics*, 205-215.
- Büyüköztürk, Ş., Akgün, Ö. E., Karadeniz, Ş., Demirel, F. ve Kılıç, E. (2013). Bilimsel araştırma yöntemleri. Ankara: Pegem Akademi.
- Diener, E., Wirtz, D., Tov, W., Kim-Prieto, C., Choi, D., Oishi, S., & Biswas-Diener, R. (2010). New well-being measures: Short scales to assess flourishing and positive and negative feelings. *Social Indicators Research*, 97, 143–156.
- Fishbach, A., & Woolley, K. (2022). The structure of intrinsic motivation. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 9, 339–363. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-012420-091122>
- Gillespie, L., Culpan, I. (2000). Critical thinking: ensuring the 'education' aspect is evident in physical education. *Journal of Physical Education New Zealand, ProQuest Education Journals*, 33 (3), 84-96.
- Ilieva, I.P. ve Farah, M.J. 2013. "Enhancement stimulants: perceived motivational and cognitive advantages", *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 7, 198.
- Maslen, H., Savulescu, J., & Hunt, C. (2019), Praiseworthiness and Motivational Enhancement: 'No Pain, No Praise'?. *Australasian Journal of Philosophy*.
- Telef, B.B. (2013). Psikolojik İyi Oluş Ölçeği: Türkçeye uyarlama, geçerlik ve güvenilirlik çalışması. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 28, 374- 384.
- Tokmak, N. (2009). Öğretmenlerin değer yargılarının eğitim süreçlerine etkilerinin incelenmesi. (Yüksek Lisans Tezi). Yükseköğretim Kurulu Ulusal Tez Merkezi'nden edinilmiştir. (Tez No. 231817)
- Tunca, M. Z. & Güngör Gülsoy, S. (2023). Spor Motivasyonu Üzerine Bir Literatür Taraması: Spor ve E-spor Karşılaştırması. *Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi İktisadi ve İdari Bilimler Fakültesi Dergisi*, 28 (2) , 181-197. Retrieved from <https://dergipark.org.tr/tr/pub/sduibfd/issue/77092/1197798>