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# REVIEWER PROCESS

**Informatıon For Revıewers:**

**Conflict of Interest:**Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, funders, or institutions connected to the paper or any relevant interests in organizations that might benefit or suffer from publication of the work. Journal uses an evaluation system to eliminate reviewer options that are from the same institution of the authors.

**Confidentiality:**Reviewers should keep manuscripts, associated material, and the information they contain strictly confidential. Reviewers staff members must not publicly discuss the authors’ work, and reviewers must not appropriate authors’ ideas before the manuscript is published.
Reviewers must not retain the manuscript for their personal use and should destroy paper copies of manuscripts and delete electronic copies after submitting their reviews.

**Promptness:**Any selected reviewer who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse him/herself from the review process.

**Objectivity:**Reviews should be honest, objective and free from personal prejudice. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

**Acknowledgement of Sources:**Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor's attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.

**Turkish Journal of Science and Health the evaluation process of a manuscript is as follows:**

All submitted manuscripts are checked for plagiarism using iThenticate and subsequently reviewed for their suitability for publication in Turkiye Klinikleri Journal of Nursing Sciences. Manuscripts with high plagiarism, insufficient originality, serious scientific or technical flaws are rejected to journal.

**Manuscript first arrival assessment (Duration 1 working day):** The manuscript service checks the forms that the authors of the manuscript should sign, and if there is something missing or an error is found, they ask the author to correct the missing information. Edited or whole manuscript is presented to the publishing committee for preliminary evaluation within one working day.

**Publishing Committee preliminary evaluation (Duration 3 working days):** The publishing committee preliminary evaluates the manuscript for the following: subject-content, methodology, being up-to-date, contribution to the literature, the density of the manuscripts in the same category traded in the journal (compilation, case presentation etc.) or format aspects of the subject matter. As a result of this evaluation, the manuscript may not be subjected to scientific evaluation and returned directly to the authors. The manuscript decided to be taken for scientific evaluation is sent to the manuscript service for formal control.

**Format Control (Duration 1 working day):** The manuscript is checked by the manuscript service according to the journal writing rules (summaries, source software, suitability of rules of shape-table-graphics, error in transitional sequence in the text etc.). The edited manuscript is presented to the editorial evaluation.

**Editorial Evaluation (Duration 7 working days):** The editor preliminarily reviews the manuscript and decides whether it should be taken into consideration for the journal. The editor appoints two referees from among the members of the arbitral committee who have the work related to the branch of the manuscript, provided that the authors do not serve in the same institution on the manuscripts decided to be evaluated. In order to ensure authorship and referee privacy, all personal and communication information of the author or everything describing the authors of the manuscript are closed by editing service for review by the double-blind method. The blind copies prepared for the consultants are sent to the consultants by the editing services.

**Referee Evaluation (Duration 20 days):** Referees should evaluate within 20 days. If the referee does not report any response within the time limit, their role in manuscript is terminated. If at least one of the referees' opinions is negative, the manuscript may be sent to a third independent referee. After evaluation responses from the referees; the manuscript may be rejected, accepted or editing is required.

**Author Correction (Duration 25 days):** The referee and editor's comments are forwarded to the correspondence author of the manuscript. When corrections are made to the manuscript, the corrected or added fields must be colored and marked in the file. Authors should answer editorial and referee criticisms, as well as report corrections made in manuscripts. The articles that need to be corrected must be corrected within 25 days and sent back to the journal. The articles that cannot be answered within the correction period or whose reasoning is not requested for an additional period of time will be terminated.

**Editor Final Assessment (Duration 7 working days):** Articles coming from the editor for the decision after the corrections; if the referees indicate that they wish to see the corrections, they will be sent back to the referees in the final version. In other cases, the editor may wish to re-evaluate the final version of the article, specify a new referee, reject the article, or accept it.

**Informing the Authors about the Evaluation Results (Duration 1 working day):** As a result of the scientific evaluation, the authors are notified by e-mail if they are accepted or rejected for publication in the editorial decree. In rejected articles, the reason for editorial decision and if there are any final comments from referees, they are sent to all authors via personal automation pages.
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