

REVIEWER EVALUATION FORM

MANUSCRIPT TYPE	Research Article	Reviev
MANUSCRIPT TITLE		

Evaluation Scale

CRITERIA		EVALUTION			
		Partially	No		
Is the manuscript related to productivity sufficiently?					
Is the manuscript title compatible with the content?					
Do English and Turkis abstract include the purpose, scope and findings of the study?					
Are the keywords appropriate and sufficient?					
Is the manuscript original to contribute to the field and is it original?					
Is the structuring and expression of the text in integrity, consistent and adequate?					
Are the purpose of the study and the research question obvious?					
Have the findings/results been discussed in a scientific cause-effect relationship in accordance with the purpose?					
Are the figures and tables visible/readable and sufficient to reflect the content of the study?					
Have the relevant domestic and foreign literature been used sufficiently?					
Is it written in a fluent and understandable language in accordance with the grammar rules?					

General Evaluation

Very Good □	Good		Moderate □	Bad	
-------------	------	--	------------	-----	--

Evaluation Result

Can be published .	
Can be published on the condition that revisions are made.	
I would like to re-evaluate it after the revisions have been made	
The article cannot be published for the reason stated below	



Notes to Editor:				
If you have reached one of the "can be published after revision" or "opinions about the article you have evaluated; we ask you to briefly stat section below.				
If there are other issues in the article that you would like to correct, you issues either in the text or using a separate page.	can also specify these			
Notes to Author(s)				
<u>Reviewer</u>				
Name, Surname: 20	Date:/ /			
	Signature:			