<u>Sakarya Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi</u>

Editör 💌	Dergi Değiştir	~
----------	----------------	---

○ **(**2**)** Poor

Değerlendirme Formları

HAKEMLER	İÇİN MAKALE DEĞERLENDİRME FORMU Önizleme
Form Di	lini Seçiniz (Select The Form Language)
Englis	h
Submission	title and the article are compatible with each other.*
○ (5) Excell	ent
○ (4) Good	
○ (3) Fair	
○ (2) Poor	
○ (1) Bad	
The abstrac	ct summarizes the article concisely (In both languages- if exists).*
○ (5) Excell	ent
○ (4) Good	
○ (3) Fair	
○ (2) Poor	
○ (1) Bad	
Keywords a	re enough and proper (In both languages- if exists).*
○ (5) Excell	ent
○ (4) Good	
○ (3) Fair	
○ (2) Poor	
○ (1) Bad	
The probler	n is stated clearly.*
○ (5) Excell	ent
○ (4) Good	
○ (3) Fair	

O(3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
○ (1) Bad
The sampling method and the characteristics of the sample/ work group are stated.*
(5) Excellent
O (4) Good
○ (4) 0000 ○ (3) Fair
○ (3) Fair ○ (2) Poor
○ (2) POOI ○ (1) Bad
The characteristics, reliability and validity of the data collection tool(s) are stated.*
O(5) Excellent
○ (4) Good
○ (3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
○ (1) Bad
Research design and research variables are stated and associated with each other.*
○ (5) Excellent
○ (4) Good
○ (3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
(1) Bad
Findings are stated and arranged properly *
Findings are stated and arranged properly.*
(5) Excellent
○ (4) Good ○ (3) Foris
(3) Fair(2) Page
○ (2) Poor
(1) Bad
Tables and figures are satisfactory and comprehensible.*
O(5) Excellent
○ (4) Good
(3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
○ (1) Bad
Findings are discussed based on the literature. *
(5) Excellent
○ (4) Good

\odot (5) Excellent
○ (4) Good
○ (3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
○ (1) Bad
Research subject/area is up-to-date.*
○ (5) Excellent
○ (4) Good
○ (3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
(1) Bad
Language of the article is comprehensible.*
○ (5) Excellent
○ (4) Good
○ (3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
O(1) Bad
The article has unity.*
○ (5) Excellent
○ (4) Good
○ (3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
O(1) Bad
References are adequate.*
○ (5) Excellent
○ (4) Good
○ (3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
○ (1) Bad
The article can contribute to the related field theoretically and/or practically.*
○ (5) Excellent
○ (4) Good
(3) Fair
○ (2) Poor
O(1) Bad

The article is original and up-to-date.*

Recommendation *	
O Major Revision	
O Minor Revision	
○ Reject	
∩ Accept	



Bu eser <u>Creative Commons Atıf-GayriTicari 4.0 Uluslararası Lisansı</u> ile lisanslanmıştır.

<u>Türkçe</u> // <u>English</u> // Sakarya Üniversitesi © 2023 <u>**a iletişim**</u>