**ATTENTION!**

This form should include the edits made by the author **“in bullet points”** within the framework of the referees' comments-suggestions-criticisms.

**In Section 1,** the author should enter the dates from the referee reports in the yellow highlighted areas. The **“Yes/No”** section should be reorganized by the author based on the relevant report, selecting either the **“Yes”** or **“No”** option. Any necessary details should be included in the **“Comments”** section by the author.

**In Section 2,** referee opinions, suggestions, and criticisms should be presented **“in bullet points”** under the relevant sections for **“Referee 1”**, **“Referee 2”**, and any additional referees. Additionally, in the **“Author's Responses to the Referees”** section, the arrangements made should also be stated **“in bullet points”**. Valid justifications for edits that were unable to be implemented despite the referees' suggestions **“must be clearly”** communicated.

**In Section 3**, the author can discuss in detail the points that he/she agrees or disagrees with the opinions-suggestions-criticisms addressed to him/her in the **“Author's Note”** section and can state his/her own theses and antitheses, ideas, and suggestions on the subject in this section.

**SECTION 1**

Notes regarding the corrections sent on …/…/20... are presented below:

| **Evaluation Criteria:** | **Referee-1** | **Referee-2** | **Referee-3** | **Comments:** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. EVALUATION DATE: | …-…-20… | …-…-20… | …-…-20… | - |
| 2. Are the title of the text and main and sub-headings in the text suitable for the content of the study? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 3. Do the executive summaries include sufficient information about the topic of the article? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 4. Are the keywords appropriate and sufficient to the topic of the article? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 5. Have the topic and purpose of the study been emphasized enough in the introduction of the article? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 6. Are the tables and figures well-arranged and understandable? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 7. Is the text suitable to the academic style in terms of language and expression? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 8. Is the integrity provided in the article? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 9. Is the topic of the article original? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 10. Is the bibliography about the subject sufficient? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 11. Are the results and suggestions sufficient? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 12. Are the footnotes and bibliography appropriate to the scientific research methods? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 13. Are the quotations and citations suitable to the scientific research methods? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |
| 14. Are there any spelling mistakes? | Yes/No | Yes/No | Yes/No | - |

**SECTION 2**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Referee-1**Additional Comments and Explanations | **Referee-2**Additional Comments and Explanations | **Referee-3**Additional Comments and Explanations | **Author's Responses to the Referees:** |
| 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) … | 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) … | 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) … | 1) 2) 3) 4) 5) … |

**SECTION 3**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Author's Note:** |  |