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# Introduction

……………………………………….

……………………………………………………………………………… (Timor, 2011: 111).

…………………………………………. (Opricovic & Tzeng, 2004).

# Conceptual Framework
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…………………………………….…………………………….…………………………….…………………………….…………………………….…………………………….…………………………….…………………………….…. are given below in the form of items (Opricovic & Tzeng, 2004).

* ………………………………………………………..
* …………………
1. **Method**

Regardless of the field or article type, a scientific method must be followed. The scientific path, methods and techniques followed according to the requirements of the field and type of the study must be given under this heading. Whether it is an archive research or document analysis, a case study or a research in which quantitative data is collected or a literature review, in short, no matter what type of study is conducted, the scientific characteristics of the study must be mentioned under this heading. If necessary, this section can be detailed with subheadings such as universe and sample, participants, data collection tools, data collection and analysis, etc.

This section provides information on the universe and sample of the study, data collection tools, analysis method and validity and reliability results.

……………….

……………… ..... An equation example in the text will be as follows. In mathematical expressions, MS Word's Equation Editor or MathType software should be used.………….
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|  | (1) |
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Table 1. Table Sample

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Years | Increase in Production | Labor Force Increase | Productivity of Labor |
| 1381–1522 | 0.01 | −0.01 | 0.02 |
| 1522–1700 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.13 |
| 1700–1759 | 0.79 | 0.22 | 0.57 |
| 1759–1801 | 0.85 | 0.44 | 0.41 |

**Source**: Broadberry et al., 2015: 367
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………………………….

……… (Kasaba, 1993: 22).

## Subtitle

……………………………………..

…………………



Figure 1. Decision Making Process

**Source**: Çakır et al., 2017: 111
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### Subtitle
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……………………………………..
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1. **Conclusion**

…………………….

……………………………………..

………………………………..

……………………………………..
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