Reviewer Evaluation Form

English

\*Formun Üstü

Institution of the reviewer(This answer is not to appear to the author.):

**1. Is the title appropriate to the content of the study?**

1. yes
2. partially
3. no
4. Other

**2. Does the abstract give the content of the study?**

1. yes
2. partially
3. no
4. Other

**3. Is the language clear enough?**

1. yes
2. partially
3. no
4. Other

**4. Is the planning of the research (sections, main and sub-headings) correct?**

1. yes
2. partially
3. no
4. Other

**5. Were the scientific procedures for writing and quoting followed?**

1. yes
2. partially
3. no
4. Other

**6. Does the study make an original contribution to the field to which it belongs?**

**7. Is there enough scientific discussion in the study?**

(According to the TR Index criteria, these reports must contain a detailed scientific evaluation. Please evaluate the content of the article in this question).

**8. Are the resources used sufficient in terms of quality and quantity?**

* Suggestions to Author
* Comments to Editor
* Recommendation

Decision:

1. **Major Revision**
2. **Minor Revision**
3. **Reject**
4. **Accept**

Formun Altı