

Deęerlendirme Formları

[Dergilerim](#)[Kalemname](#)[Deęerlendirme Formları](#)[Önizleme](#)Kitap Deęerlendirmesi Bilimsel Deęerlendirme (Hakem) Formu [Önizleme](#)

Form Dilini Seçiniz (Select The Form Language)

English

Date *

31 . 10 . 2025

Based on the book review text, which of the following most accurately represents the dominant category of the review? *

- ☐ Book Review
- ☐ Book Introduction
- ☐ Book Critique
- ☐ Other

The review accurately reflects the main subject, scope, and purpose of the book. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The book under review demonstrates topical relevance and originality. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The introduction provides sufficient background information about the book and its author. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The review presents the book's aim, scope, method, style, and content comprehensively. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The book's main thesis, key arguments, and defense structure are clearly identified. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The author's claims and arguments are critically and fairly evaluated. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The review discusses the strengths and weaknesses of the book from multiple perspectives. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The book's position, contribution, and originality within its field are clearly assessed. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The analytical approach demonstrates systematic methodology and scholarly consistency. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The critiques are clear, reasoned, and internally coherent. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The language, expression, and academic tone conform to scholarly writing principles. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No
- ☐ Other

The review maintains objectivity, impartiality, and ethical sensitivity. *

- ☐ Yes
- ☐ Partly
- ☐ No

☐ Other

The terminology, concepts, and discipline-specific language are accurate and consistent. *

☐ Yes

☐ Partly

☐ No

☐ Other

The review situates the book within its scholarly and historical context. *

☐ Yes

☐ Partly

☐ No

☐ Other

The observations are original, constructive, and contribute to the scholarly dialogue. *

☐ Yes

☐ Partly

☐ No

☐ Other

The review maintains coherence, structural integrity, and logical organization. *

☐ Yes

☐ Partly

☐ No

☐ Other

Overall, the review arrives at a well-reasoned and substantiated judgment of the book's academic merit. *

☐ Yes

☐ Partly

☐ No

☐ Other

The references cited in the review are relevant, up-to-date, and drawn from high-quality scholarly works.

☐ Yes

☐ Partly

☐ No

Reviewer Decision *

☐ Major revisions are required. (Major Revision)

☐ Minor revisions are required. (Minor Revision)

☐ The book review can be accepted as it is. (Accept)

☐ The book review is not suitable for publication. (Reject)

I would like to review the revised version of the work to assess the impact of the changes on its content and overall structure. Accordingly, I request the opportunity to re-examine and evaluate the revised submission.

☐ Yes

☐ No

Suggestions to Author *

Comments to Editor *

Recommendation *

- ☐ Major Revision
- ☐ Minor Revision
- ☐ Reject
- ☐ Accept

Ulusal ve Uluslararası Alan İndeksleri



Kırıkkale Üniversitesi İslami İlimler Fakültesi Kampüsü, 71450 Yahşihan/Kırıkkale
E-posta: kalemnamedergi@gmail.com | e-ISSN: 2651-3595

Sosyal Medya Hesapları:

Twitter: [@kalemnamedergi](#) • Instagram: [@kalemnamedergi](#)